

ORIGINAL

January 20, 2004

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 via Overnight Mail

Re: Docket No. 030852-TP Implementation of Requirements Arising from FCC
Triennial UNE Review: Location-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber
Loops, Route-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Transport

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed please find an original and seven (7) copies of the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of FDN Communications' witness Ryan Hand, along with a diskette containing said document.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed, please call me at 407-447-6636.

Sificerery

cott A. Kassman

Asst. General Counsel FDN Communications

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

1	Q.	Please state your name and address.
2	A.	My name is Ryan Hand. My business address is 390 North Orange
3	Avenu	ne, Suite 2000, Orlando, Florida, 32801.
4	Q.	Who do you work for?
5	A.	I am Vice-President of Operations and Engineering of FDN
6	Communications ("FDN").	
7	Q.	What are your responsibilities as VP of Operations and
8	Engineering for FDN?	
9	A.	As VP of Operations and Engineering, I am responsible the design
10	and quality of FDN's network.	
11	Q.	Please describe your education and your work experience in the
12	teleco	mmunications sector.
13	A.	I received a Bachelors Degree in Management from LeTourneau
14	Unive	ersity.
15		Prior to co-founding FDN in 1998, I served as Vice-President of
16	Opera	tions for Brooks Fiber Communications, Inc., where I was responsible
17	for all	operations, engineering and service delivery for all special access and
18	CLEC	products. I personally oversaw the installation and turn-up of the
19	Houst	on network and operations. Prior to my tenure at Brooks, I worked for
20	Telep	ort Communications for two years and have held various positions
21	withir	n Nortel over an eleven-year period.
22		

- 1 Q. Have you previously testified in a regulatory proceeding before a 2 state utility commission, the FCC or a hearing officer? No. 3 A. 4 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this 5 proceeding? 6 A. The purpose of my testimony is to rebut BellSouth's claims that FDN 7 has self-provisioned certain transport facilities such that it rises to the level of 8 a "trigger" company on those routes. Verizon correctly did not identify FDN 9 as a self-provider or a wholesale provider of transport. I will describe FDN's 10 network architecture and explain that, although on a limited number of routes 11 FDN may be a trigger company for the purposes of self-provisioned 12 dedicated transport, the number of routes that meet the criteria set out by the 13 TRO is far fewer than BellSouth would have the Commission believe. I will 14 also briefly address wholesale transport and transition issues. 15 Q. Please briefly describe FDN's Florida operations. 16 A. FDN is a facilities-based/UNE-L CLEC. FDN is also an IXC, a data
 - A. FDN is a facilities-based/UNE-L CLEC. FDN is also an IXC, a data services provider (both dial-up and dedicated), and FDN offers ISP and other Internet services. FDN was founded in 1998 with the mission of offering packaged services (local, long distance and Internet) to small- and medium-sized businesses. FDN launched operations in Orlando in April 1999 and expanded to Fort Lauderdale in May 1999 and to Jacksonville in June 1999.

17

18

19

20

21

A second round of expansion in West Palm Beach, Miami and the Tampa

Bay area was completed in the first quarter of 2000.

FDN owns and operates Class 5 Nortel DMS-500 central office switches in Orlando, Tampa, Jacksonville, and Ft. Lauderdale. FDN's switches are connected by fiber optic cable owned or leased by FDN to nearby incumbent local exchange carrier (or "ILEC") tandem switches. FDN leases collocation space in more than 100 ILEC wire centers throughout the state. Remote DLC/DSLAM equipment is installed at these collocation sites, and from these sites FDN accesses ILEC UNE loops. Connectivity from the collocation sites to the ILECs' tandem switches is via FDN's own fiber or leased DS-1 or DS-3 circuits. FDN relies upon its rights under the Act to obtain access to Florida consumers through the purchase of UNE loops from the ILEC.

Q. Please describe FDN's network architecture in BellSouth's territory.

A. FDN operates within BellSouth's region from three major "hubs" -Orlando, Jacksonville, and Ft. Lauderdale -- where it has deployed switches
capable of serving a wide geographic area. Of FDN's 100 plus collocations,
95 are located within BellSouth's footprint, many of which are within
BellSouth tandem offices. FDN has self-provisioned more of its own fiber in
BellSouth territory than it has in the Sprint or Verizon regions, but FDN's
fiber does not connect its three BellSouth markets (Orlando, Jacksonville and
South Florida). Unlike other CLECs, FDN has **not** deployed a "hub and

1	spoke" architecture. FDN's fiber routes generally run between BellSouth
2	offices where FDN has collocated in a "daisy chain" or "direct linked"
3	fashion. FDN chose to deploy its network in this manner to more efficiently
4	hand-off traffic to BellSouth for termination.
5	Q. Have you reviewed BellSouth's testimony concerning the
6	application of the self-provisioning trigger to dedicated transport routes?
7	A. Yes. I reviewed the direct testimony of BellSouth witness Gray and
8	the direct and supplemental direct testimony of BellSouth witness Padgett.
9	Q. What were the conclusions of BellSouth's dedicated transport
10	self-provisioning trigger analysis as it relates to FDN?
11	A. BellSouth has asserted that FDN has self-provisioned dedicated
12	transport that meets the criteria set out by the TRO on 189 of the 718 routes
13	listed in Ms. Padgett's supplemental direct testimony (Exhibit SWP-8).
14	Q. Of the 718 routes listed in BellSouth's Exhibit SWP-8, on how
15	many routes has FDN actually self-provisioned dedicated transport
16	meeting the criteria set out by the FCC in the TRO?
17	A. FDN maintains that it has deployed dedicated transport meeting the
18	criteria of the self-provisioning trigger on only 14 of the routes listed in
19	BellSouth Exhibit SWP-8.
20	Q. How did you arrive at that conclusion?
21	A. I examined BellSouth's exhibit and consistent with the TRO's
22	criteria, I simply counted the pairs of BellSouth wire centers where FDN has
23	operational collocations and has self-deployed fiber (and the optronics

necessary to "channelize" that fiber) connecting the pairs of wire centers.

BellSouth ignored evidence of self-provisioned routes which FDN provided to the Commission in response to the Commission's data request and provided to BellSouth in discovery. Instead, BellSouth arrived at a wholly inaccurate conclusion because it based its analysis on a "connect the dots" approach in which it simply assumes that a transport route exists between each and every FDN collocation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

This assumptions are laid bare in BellSouth's direct testimony. As stated in BellSouth witness Gray's direct testimony (p. 8 at line 5), "[i]t is logical and reasonable to assume that a carrier can route traffic between any pair of wire centers within a LATA where it has operational collocation arrangements, i.e., that a carrier's network is fully interconnected." (Emphasis added). Moreover, Mr. Gray states, '...it is unlikely that a CLEC would have a direct link between every ILEC wire center where it is collocated (e.g., it may instead have a "hub and spoke" layout)....' Further, Ms. Padgett states (p. 18 at line 9), "Unfortunately, to date, BellSouth has received far fewer responses than expected, so we have been forced to rely heavily on our own billing and operations data regarding collocations and fiber entrance facilities. Using discovery and these internal data, a list of fiber-based collocations for each competitive carrier as created and used to generate all the *potential* transport routes for a given carrier using the assumption that competitive carriers can route traffic between any pair of fiber-based collocation arrangements in a LAT.A" (Emphasis added).

Q. Has BellSouth or Verizon identified FDN as a provider of either			
precisely what BellSouth has done.			
assume CLEC self-provisioned routes where there are none, but that is			
routes BellSouth assumes FDN does. BellSouth should not and cannot			
architecture. In reality, FDN self-provides transport on a mere fraction of the			
spoke" architecture but rather uses a "daisy chain" or "direct linked"			
FDN's network. As I stated previously, FDN does not utilize a "hub and			
Mr. Gray and Ms. Padgett could not be more wrong with regard to			

Q. Has BellSouth or Verizon identified FDN as a provider of either loops or transport for purposes of the TRO wholesale triggers?

A. No, neither has claimed that FDN provides loop or transport facilities to other carriers. In fact, FDN neither provides nor is willing to provide wholesale loop or transport facilities to other carriers on a widely available basis.

Q. Have you reviewed BellSouth's testimony concerning the application of the wholesale trigger to dedicated transport routes?

A. I've reviewed Confidential Supplemental Direct Exhibits SWP-7, SWP-8, SWP-9, and SWP-10 to specifically analyze those instances where BellSouth identified carriers as providing wholesale transport services and attempted to verify wholesale availability. FDN is attempting to verify wholesale availability with some of the carriers identified, but has been told by a representative of one of those carriers that FDN could not purchase transport at any capacity level from that provider. Additional verification of wholesale availability is required, and completing that verification process

could not be achieved at the time this testimony was filed. FDN will therefore supplement this rebuttal as necessary if wholesale availability is not confirmed.

Q. What issues should the Commission address as part of its transition analysis?

A. The ILECs' direct testimony is lacking with regard to transition issues. The Commission needs to address several issues, including but not limited to the ability to order co-carrier cross connects to access alternative transport providers; the ability to migrate from UNEs to other facilities, where available; the ability of carriers to *easily* order loops, transport and loop/transport combinations, where available.

Concerning ordering of loops and transport where UNEs are no longer available, the Commission should specifically address the type of order, i.e., what "form" the order will take, as well as what the conversion process will entail. The current process for converting special access circuits to EELs may be particularly instructive as to what the Commission should not require, as converting special access circuits to EELs has proven to be more difficult than was originally imagined. FDN contends that any UNE to wholesale or retail conversion is no more than a simple billing change that should require little, if any, work for CLECs.

Q. Does that conclude your rebuttal testimony?

22 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket 030852-TP

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent by e-mail and regular mail to the persons listed below, other than those marked with an (*) who have been sent a copy via overnight mail, this 20th day of ________, 2004.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
R. Lackey/M. Mays/N. White/J. Meza/A. Shore c/o Ms. Nancy H. Sims
150 South Monroe Street
Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556
nancy.sims@bellsouth.com
linda.hobbs@bellsouth.com
chantel.stevens@bellsouth.com
douglas.lackey@bellsouth.com
meredith.mays@bellsouth.com
nancy.white@bellsouth.com

Mr. Adam Teitzman/Jason Rojas Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us jrojas@psc.state.fl.us jsusac@psc.state.fl.us wgarcia@psc.state.fl.us vmckay@psc.state.fl.us

McWhirter Law Firm Vicki Kaufman/Joseph McGlothlin 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 vkaufman@mac-law.com

Verizon Florida, Inc.
Richard Chapkis/Kimberly Caswell
One Tampa City Center
201 North Franklin Street (33602)
P.O. Box 110, FLTC 0007
Tampa, FL 33601-0110
Richard.chapkis@verizon.com

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC Ms. Lisa A. Sapper 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 8100 Atlanta, GA 30309-3579 lisariley@att.com

Covad Communications Company Mr. Charles E. Watkins 1230 Peachtree Street, N.E. 19th Floor Atlanta, GA 30309-3574 gwatkins@covad.com

Florida Cable Telecom Assoc., Inc. Michael A. Gross 246 East 6th Avenue Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 mgross@fcta.com

AT&T
Tracy Hatch
101 North Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1549
thatch@att.com

ITC DeltaCom Ms. Nanette S. Edwards 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802-4343 nedwards@itcdeltacom.com

KMC Telecom III, LLC Marva Brown Johnson, Esq. 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30043-8119 marva.johnson@kmctelecom.com

Messer Law Firm Floyd Self/Norman Horton P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 fself@lawfla.com nhorton@lawfla.com

Sprint Communications Corp. (FL)
Susan Masterton
P.O. Box 2214
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214
susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

Allegiance Telecom, Inc. Charles Gerkin, Jr., Esq. 9201 N Central Expressway Dallas, TX 75231 Charles.gerkin@algx.com

Moyle Law Firm
Jon Moyle, Jr.
The Perkins House
118 N Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
jmoylejr@moylelaw.com

Florida Competitive Carriers Assoc C/O McWhirter Law Firm
Joseph McGlothlin/Vicki Kaufman
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
jmcglothlin@mac-law.com

MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. Ms. Donna C. McNulty 1203 Governors Square Boulevard Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960 donna.mcnulty@mci.com

MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. De O'Roark, Esq. Six Concourse Parkway Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30328 de.oroark@wcom.com

Xspedius Communications Ms. Rabinai E. Carson 5555 Winghaven Boulevard Suite 300 O'Fallon, MO 63366-3868 rabinai.carson@xspedius.com

Allegiance Telecom, Inc. (IL) Theresa Larkin 700 East Butterfield Road Suite 400 Lombard, IL 60148 Terry.larkin@algx.com

Access Integrated Networks, Inc. Mr. Mark Ozanick 4885 Riverside Drive Suite 107 Macon, GA 31210-1148 mark.ozanick@accesscomm.com

NewSouth Communications Corp. Jake E. Jennings/Keiki Hendrix Two North Main Center Greenville, SC 29601-2719 jejennings@newsouth.com khendrix@newsouth.com

Casey & Genta, LLP Bill Magness 919 Congress Avenue Suite 1060 Austin, TX 78701

Nuvox Communications, Inc. Bo Russell 301 North Main Street Greenville, SC 29601-2171

Phone Club Corporation Carlos Jordan 168 SE First Street Suite 705 Miami, FL 33131-1423 phoneclubcorp@aol.com

Sprint (NC) H. Edward Phillips, III 14111 Capital Boulevard Mailstop: NCWKFR0313-3161 Wake Forest, NC 27587-5900

Tier 3 Communications
Kim Brown
2235 First Street
Suite 217
Ft. Meyers, FL 33901
steve@tier3communications.net

Universal Telecom, Inc.
Jennifer Hart
PO Box 679
LaGrange, KY 40031-0679
jenniferh@universaltelecomin.com

BellSouth BSE, Inc.
Mr. Mario L. Soto
North Terraces Building
400 Perimeter Center Terrace, Suite 400
Atlanta, GA 30346-1231
Mario.soto@bellsouth.com

Comm South Companies, Inc. Sheri Pringle
PO Box 570159
Dallas, TX 75357-9900
springle@commsouth.net

Sprint (KS) Kenneth A. Schifman 6450 Sprint Parkway Mailstop: KSOPHN0212-2A303 Overland Park, KS 66251-6100

Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc. (MIA)
Jorge Cruz-Bustillo, Esq.
2620 SW 27th Avenue
Miami, FL 33133-3005
Jorge.cruz-bustillo@stis.com

Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc.
Jonathan Audu
1311 Executive Center Drive
Suite 220
Tallahassee, FL 32301-5027
ionathan.audu@stis.com

Mathew Feil

Scott A. Kassman
FDM Communications

390 North Orange Avenue

Suite 2000

Orlando, FL 32801

(407) 835-0460

(407) 447-6636

mfeil@mail.fdn.com

skassman@mail.fdn.com