ORIGINAL Legal Department

Nancy B. White General Counsel-Florida

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 305 347-5558

February 18, 2004

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 030852-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.'s Response in Opposition to FCCA's Motion to Compel, in the above referenced docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Enclosure

cc: All Parties of Record Marshall M. Criser III R. Douglas Lackey Meredith Mays

527792

AUS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE

02379 FEB 183

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 030852-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

Electronic Mail, Hand Delivery* and FedEx this 18th day of February 2004 to the

following:

Adam Teitzman, Staff Counsel*
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
Phone: (850) 413-6212
Fax: (850) 413-6250
ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us

Michael A. Gross
VP Reg. Affairs & Reg. Counsel
Florida Cable Telecomm. Assoc.
246 East 6th Avenue, Ste. 100
Tallahassee, FL 32303
Tel. No. (850) 681-1990
Fax. No. (850) 681-9676
mgross@fcta.com

Matthew Feil (+) Scott Kassman

FDN Communications 2301 Lucien Way Suite 200 Maitland, FL 32751

Tel. No. 407 835-0460 Fax No. 407 835-0309 mfeil@mail.fdn.com skassman@mail.fdn.com Joseph A. McGlothlin+
Vicki Gordon Kaufman+
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin,
Davidson, Kaufman & Arnold PA
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-2525
Fax. No. (850) 222-5606
imcglothlin@mac-law.com
vkaufman@mac-law.com
Represents FCCA

Mr. Charles E. Watkins+
1230 Peachtree Street, NE
19th Floor
Atlanta, GA 30309-3574
Phone: (404) 942-3492
Fax: (404) 942-3495
Represents Covad
gwatkins@covad.com
ibell@covad.com

Nanette Edwards, Esq.+
Director – Regulatory
ITC^DeltaCom
4092 S. Memorial Parkway
Huntsville, AL 35802
Tel. No. (256) 382-3856
Represent ITC^DeltaCom
nedwards@itcdeltacom.com

Ms. Donna C. McNulty+⊗
MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.
1203 Governors Square Blvd.,
Suite 201
Tallahassee, FL 32301-2960
Phone No. 850- 219-1008
Fax No. 850 219-1018
Represents MCI WorldCom
donna.mcnulty@mci.com

De O'Roark, Esq. (+)
MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc (GA)
Six Concourse Parkway
Suite 3200
Atlanta, GA 30328
Represents MCI WorldCom
de.oroark@mci.com

Floyd Self, Esq.+
Norman H. Horton, Esq.~
Messer Caparello & Self
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 222-0720
Fax. No. (850) 224-4359
Represents ITC^DeltaCom
Represents MCI
Represents KMC
Represents Xspedius~
fself@lawfla.com
nhorton@lawfla.com

Tracy Hatch, Esq. **
AT&T
101 North Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Tel. No. (850) 425-6364
thatch@att.com

Lisa A. Sapper+⊗
AT&T
1200 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 8100
Atlanta, GA 30309
Tel. No. (404) 810-7812
lisariley@att.com

Marva Brown Johnson, Esq.
KMC Telecom III, LLC
1755 North Brown Road
Lawrenceville, GA 30043-8119
Tel. No. (678) 985-6261
Fax No.: (678) 985-6213
Represents KMC
marva.johnson@kmctelecom.com

Richard A. Chapkis (+)
Kimberly Caswell
Verizon Florida, Inc.
One Tampa City Center
201 North Franklin Street (33602)
Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007
Tampa, Florida 33601-0110
Tel. No. (813) 483-2606
Fax. No. (813) 204-8870
Represents Verizon
Richard.chapkis@verizon.com

Susan S. Masterton, Esq. +
Sprint-Florida, Inc.
Sprint Communications Co. L.P.
1313 Blair Stone Road
P.O. Box 2214
Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214
Tel. No. (850) 599-1560
Fax. No. (850) 878-0777
susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

Jean Houck
Business Telecom, Inc.
4300 Six Forks Road
Raleigh, NC 27609
Tel. No. (919) 863-7325
jean.houck@btitelecom.net

Jake E. Jennings + **NewSouth Communications Corp** Two North Main Center Greenville, SC 29601-2719 Tel. No.: 864 672-5877 Fax No.: 864 672-5313 jejennings@newsouth.com

Jon Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm (Tall) The Perkins House 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828

Fax: 681-8788

Represents NuVox Communications Inc.

Email: jmoylejr@/moylelaw.com

Allegiance E-mail Only charles.gerkin@algx.com

Terry Larkin Allegiance Telecom, Inc. 700 East Butterfield Road Lombard, IL 60148 Phone: (630) 522-6453 terry.larkin@algx.com

Jorge Cruz-Bustillo + **Assistant General Counsel** Supra Telecommunications 2620 S.W. 27th Avenue Miami, Florida 33133 Tel. No. (305) 476-4252 Fax. No. (305) 443-1078 jorge.cruz-busitillo@stis.com Jonathan Audu ** Manager, Regulatory Affairs **Supra Telecommunications** 1311 Executive Center Drive Suite 220 Tallahassee, FL 32301-5027 Tel. No. (850) 402-0510 Fax. No. (850) 402-0522 Email: jonathan.audu@stis.com

AT&T by E-Mail only: soniadaniels@att.com

Bo Russell Nuvox Communications Inc. 301 North Main Street Greenville, SC 29601-2171 Phone: (864) 331-7323 Email: brussell@nuvox.com

J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMullen 227 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel. No. (850) 425-5471 Fax. No. (850) 222-7560 jwahlen@ausley.com

(+) signed protective agreement

(*) via Hand Delivery

(⊗) via FedEx

ORIGINAL BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Implementation of requirements arising)	
from Federal Communications Commission)	
triennial UNE review: Location-Specific Review)	Docket No. 030852-TP
for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Loops, and)	
Route-Specific Review for DS1, DS3 and Dark)	Filed: February 18, 2004
Fiber Transport)	
•)	

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATION, INC.'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO FCCA'S MOTION TO COMPEL

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") files this response in opposition to the Motion to Compel responses to FCCA's interrogatories 16-19, and certain subparts of 31-22. BellSouth has categorized the information requested as "information relevant to potential trigger candidates" and "potential deployment." BellSouth's response follows this organizational structure.

<u>Information the FCCA Contends is Relevant to Potential Trigger Candidates – FCCA-16 - 19</u>

With respect to the FCCA's Interrogatories 16-19, BellSouth previously advised counsel for FCCA that it would supplement its responses to the extent the information requested is readily available in BellSouth's systems. With respect to any information that may constitute CPNI, BellSouth has previously requested that parties obtain subpoenas in order to obtain carrier specific information. BellSouth will supplement its responses to these questions, and requests that the Commission either (1) issue an order or (2) require the FCCA obtain a subpoena that clearly allows BellSouth to disclose carrier specific information. The information the FCCA is requesting seeks BellSouth to disclose carrier names and seeks BellSouth to identify services purchased by these carriers. It is not clear to BellSouth that the protective order issued in this proceeding allows such disclosure; however, if the Commission has authorized such disclosure, then BellSouth simply requests clarification of that authorization.

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

02379 FEB 18 3

<u>Information the FCCA Contends is Relevant to Potential Deployment</u>

Concerning Interrogatories 31 – 33, the FCCA mistakenly claims, "BellSouth must demonstrate there is sufficient demand for high capacity loops for that building such that multiple competitors could justify the investment to build loop facilities into that building." Conspicuously absent from the FCCA's motion is a single citation to any portion of the rules or the TRO that would support this position. The FCC's potential deployment test considers various factors "affecting the ability to economically deploy" at a particular locations. *See* TRO, ¶ 335. None of the factors listed in either the rules or the TRO suggests that BellSouth must provide some particular evidence of demand. In any event, BellSouth has provided evidence concerning the amount of spending per building as detailed in BellSouth's prefiled testimony and the FCCA has no legitimate need for additional information and the FCCA has failed to demonstrate the relevancy of the information it has requested.

Moreover, a subpart of the FCCA's requests, seeks to compel BellSouth to provide "each long term contract between BellSouth and tenants/customers . . . for high capacity loop, private line, or special access arrangements at the DS1, DS3, OC(3) and above, and dark fiber" Even assuming the FCCA's request was relevant – which it is not – it would be unnecessarily oppressive and burdensome for BellSouth to attempt to search for contracts, that may not exist, for hundreds of buildings, and then provide the FCCA with "each" of them. The FCCA's suggestion that BellSouth has not demonstrated why it would be unduly burdensome is nonsensical – simple logic dictates that the time required to for BellSouth to search its records to attempt to locate contracts for multiple buildings that may or may not exist to begin with is burdensome on its face.

The overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressiveness of the FCCA's discovery requests are obvious – the FCCA seeks to compel BellSouth to engage in laborious discovery to obtain information that the FCCA has failed to demonstrate any need for. The FCCA has failed to cite to any portion of the rules, the TRO, or BellSouth's testimony that would justify its requests. The FCCA has imposed no limitations whatsoever on its requests, which requests apply to hundreds of buildings. A simple mathematical equation illustrates the breadth of the FCCA's request – the FCCA's interrogatories cite 387 customer locations for which the FCCA has requested responses for four discrete subparts of three discovery responses. This results in a total of twelve requests that apply to 387 buildings; multiplying 12 and 387 equals 4,644 responses, which is well in excess of this Commission's limitation on discovery requests, which provides that "interrogatories, including all subparts, shall be limited to 750." Order No. PSC-03-1055-PCO-TP, p. 6. Moreover, the subparts of the FCCA's requests contain additional discrete parts; for example, Interrogatory 33 seeks monthly revenue for "DS1 channel terminations or equivalent, total and per DS1." This subpart contains within it two additional subparts – total and per DS1 – the FCCA cannot realistically claim that its requests are not unduly burdensome and its motion should be denied on this basis also.

III. CONCLUSION

As set forth above, BellSouth respectfully requests that the Commission: (1) authorize BellSouth to disclose any carrier specific information in connection with its planned supplemental responses to Interrogatories 16 - 19 and acknowledge that the supplemental responses shall be limited to the information that is readily available in BellSouth's systems, and (2) deny the FCCA's Motion to Compel responses to Interrogatories 31 - 33.

Respectfully submitted this 18th day of February 2004.

Mancy B Whitegoo NANCY BOWHITE

c/o Nancy Sims

Suite 400

150 South Monroe Street

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(305) 347-5558

R. DOUGLAS LACKI

ANDREW D. SHORE

MEREDITH E. MAYS

Suite 4300, BellSouth Center

675 W. Peachtree Street, N.E.

Atlanta, GA 30375

(404) 335-0750

COUNSEL FOR BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

527643