### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by customers of Aloha Utilities, Inc. for deletion of portion of territory in Seven Springs area in Pasco County.

DOCKET NO. 020896-WS ORDER NO. PSC-04-0254-PCO-WS ISSUED: March 8, 2004

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

BRAULIO L. BAEZ, Chairman J. TERRY DEASON LILA A. JABER RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY CHARLES M. DAVIDSON

# ORDER GRANTING REQUESTS TO REMOVE DOCKET FROM ABEYANCE AND TO SCHEDULE A CUSTOMER SERVICE HEARING ON AUDIT REPORT

BY THE COMMISSION:

# **Background**

Aloha Utilities, Inc. (Aloha or utility) is a Class A water and wastewater utility located in Pasco County. The utility consists of two distinct service areas; Aloha Gardens and Seven Springs. On July 18, 2002, the Commission received a letter dated July 16, 2002, from V. Abraham Kurien, M.D. (Dr. Kurien), a customer of Aloha, accompanied by a Petition signed by 1,491 residents from 1,314 households located in a portion of the utility's Seven Springs service area. This docket was established in order to consider the issues raised in the petition.

The Petition requests that this Commission order an independent audit of Aloha's processing plant and methodology, and, if water quality does not improve by a date certain, that the portion of the Seven Springs service area where these customers reside be deleted from Aloha's overall service territory. The Office of Public Counsel (OPC) has independently volunteered to conduct and finance the audit requested by the customers.

On September 11, 2002, OPC filed its Notice of Intervention. By Order No. PSC-02-1274-PCO-WS, issued September 18, 2002, OPC's intervention was acknowledged. On September 26, 2002, the Commission received Mr. Edward O. Wood's (Mr. Wood) letter dated September 23, 2002, in which he requested that he be listed as an "Official Party of Record." A copy of that letter was forwarded to all parties, and no response was received. Thus, by Order No. PSC-02-1504-PCO-WS, issued November 4, 2002, Mr. Wood was granted Intervenor status.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03242 MAR-8 #

On September 5, 2002, Aloha filed a Motion to Dismiss, accompanied by a Request for Oral Argument. Responses to the Motion to Dismiss were filed by Dr. Kurien and by OPC on September 13, 2002, and September 17, 2002, respectively. On November 4, 2002, our staff received a letter from Dr. Kurien submitting additional arguments to his Response. On November 7, 2002, Aloha filed a Motion to Strike Dr. Kurien's second responsive filing.

By Order No. PSC-02-1722-PCO-WS, issued December 9, 2002, we ordered that the Petition, along with Aloha's Motion to Dismiss, Request for Oral Argument, and the Responses filed thereto, be held in abeyance until the First District Court of Appeal rendered a decision on Aloha's appeal of this Commission's Final Order No. PSC-02-0593-FOF-WU, issued April 30, 2002, in Docket No. 010503-WU (In Re: Application for increase in water rates for Seven Springs System in Pasco County by Aloha Utilities, Inc.). The Order further directed that staff file a Motion to Expedite Aloha's appeal before the First DCA. Many of the issues raised in the Petition relate to the rate case docket (Docket No. 010503-WU). On May 6, 2003, the First District Court of Appeal per curium affirmed the Final Order in Docket No. 010503-WS. The Court's Mandate issued on June 30, 2003.

By letter dated October 1, 2003, and filed October 3, 2003, Dr. Kurien requested that we expeditiously move towards a hearing on the Petition. Attached to the letter is a resolution for Commission action by Aloha's Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), stating that discussions between Aloha and the CAC have reached an impasse.

On December 2, 2003, OPC filed a second Notice of Intervention in the docket, to support the petitioners in the preparation and presentation of their case. On December 3, 2003, OPC filed a Motion to Remove Docket from State of Abeyance, stating that because the results of the independent audit are now imminent, procedures should be scheduled for a hearing on the issues presented by the petitioners. On December 12, 2003, Aloha filed a Response in opposition to the Motion.

In addition, by letter dated November 17, 2003, and filed December 11, 2003, four legislators who represent Pasco County "request and demand that the Commission take this docket out of its state of abeyance and schedule a public hearing in the New Port Richey/Trinity area" in the near future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On May 28, 2002, Aloha filed its timely Notice of Appeal of the Final Order, and on June 14, 2002, Aloha filed its Motion for Stay. Order No. PSC-02-1056-PCO-WU, issued August 5, 2002, granted in part and denied in part Aloha's Motion for Stay.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On December 18, 2002, and December 20, 2002, respectively, the Commission received letters from Mr. Wood and Dr. Kurien, requesting reconsideration of Order No. PSC-02-1722-PCO-WS. By Order No. PSC-03-0325-FOF-WS, issued March 6, 2003, the requests for reconsideration were denied.

Finally, on December 29, 2003, a second customer Petition was filed, signed by 218 Aloha customers located in Riverside Villas, which is also located in Aloha's Seven Springs service area. These petitioners also seek deletion of their service territory. On January 20, 2004, Aloha filed a Supplemental Motion to Dismiss this most recent Petition.

This Order addresses the requests to remove the docket from abeyance. We have jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 367.045 and 367.121, Florida Statutes.

## Removal of Docket from Abeyance

In its Motion to Remove Docket from State of Abeyance, OPC states that because the First District Court of Appeal has rendered its decision on the Final Order on appeal in Docket No. 010503-WU, all subject matter of the customers' Petition now rests within the Commission's jurisdiction. During the period that this case has been in abeyance, Dr. Audrey Levine has performed an audit of Aloha's water quality, and a report of her findings will be completed imminently. The petitioners seek a Commission hearing on the audit report, and on the implications of its findings. OPC requests that this Commission remove the docket from abeyance and schedule appropriate procedures for a hearing.

In its Response to OPC's Motion, Aloha states that the original Petition which initiated this docket requests that the "plan of action" that Aloha had been asked to submit to the Commission, which Aloha previously filed in a timely fashion, only be accepted if it contained the minimum requirements adopted by "neighboring utilities," and that the Commission order Aloha to put "new minimum requirements" into effect for water. In the absence of this, the Petition requests that certain parts of Aloha's territory be deleted and made a "part of the service area of Pasco County Water Utility System." Aloha states that the relief requested in OPC's Motion does not appear to be consistent with the relief requested in the Petition.

Further, Aloha argues that Dr. Levine's audit report has not even been completed. (See footnote 1.) According to Aloha, at a minimum, this matter should remain in abeyance until such time as the report has been issued and its contents studied, reviewed, and discussed between Aloha, the Commission staff, OPC, and the petitioning customers. Removing this case from its current state of abeyance will create a significant distraction from the consideration of Dr. Levine's not yet completed report, as such removal will immediately revive certain pending motions, as well as create a vehicle for discovery, depositions, further motions, and all other accounterments of litigation. According to Aloha, nothing has occurred to justify removing the docket from its current state of abeyance at this particular time.

The results of the February, 2004 independent audit conducted and financed by OPC have now been published. The independent audit suggests certain options for improvements to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Since the filing of the Motion, the audit report has been published.

the processing plant and methodologies for the purpose of cleaning up the black water.<sup>3</sup> All parties appear to be in agreement that the results of the independent audit will directly impact what improvements should be made to Aloha's processing plant and methodology.

We disagree with Aloha that this docket should be held in abeyance until after the contents of the audit report have been studied. The docket shall be removed from abeyance in order for all parties and staff to move forward with their study and discussions of the audit report and its implications. Moreover, in the course of studying the audit report, we believe that it would be beneficial to hear from customers in the service area concerning their views on the audit report and the implications of its findings, and the customers, OPC, and four legislators have expressed an interest in having a hearing on the matter.

This docket shall be removed from abeyance in order to fully consider the audit report, not in order to set the matter directly for a full evidentiary hearing pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57(1), Florida Statutes. Nor is it necessary to immediately revive the pending motions in the docket at this time. Instead, because the results of the audit report may directly impact the Petitions, we shall defer ruling on the two customer Petitions and on the pending motions responsive to them until after all parties and staff have fully analyzed the audit report and a customer service hearing is conducted on its findings and recommendations.

For the foregoing reasons, the requests to remove the docket from abeyance are granted, and a customer service hearing on the audit report and the implications of its findings shall be scheduled by the Chairman's Office for a date certain in Aloha's Seven Springs service area. Because the audit report and the implications of its findings may affect all customers in the Seven Springs service area, Aloha shall give written notice of the date, time, location, and purpose of the customer service hearing to each of its customers in the Seven Springs service area no less than fourteen days prior to the service hearing. The utility shall utilize first class mail for notices sent to customers with out of town mailing addresses. The notice shall include a statement that any comments regarding the results of the audit report should be addressed to the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, and that such comments should identify the docket number assigned to this proceeding. A ruling on the two customer petitions and all other pending motions shall be deferred until the audit report has been Staff is directed to fully analyze the findings of the audit report and the fully analyzed. information gathered at the customer service hearing, and to thereafter file a recommendation concerning the disposition of the petitions and pending motions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> By Final Order No. PSC-02-0593-FOF-WU, issued April 30, 2002, in Docket No. 010503-WU, the Commission required Aloha to complete certain plant improvements.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the requests to remove this docket from abeyance are granted and a customer service hearing on Dr. Audrey Levine's February, 2004 audit report and the implications of its findings shall be scheduled by the Chairman's Office for a date certain in Aloha's Seven Springs service area. It is further

ORDERED that Aloha shall give written notice of the date, time, location, and purpose of the customer service hearing to each of its customers in the Seven Springs service area no less than fourteen days prior to the service hearing. The utility shall utilize first class mail for notices sent to customers with out of town mailing addresses. The notice shall include a statement that any comments regarding the results of the audit report should be addressed to the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, and that such comments should identify the docket number assigned to this proceeding. It is further

ORDERED that a ruling on the two customer petitions and all other pending motions shall be deferred until the audit report has been fully analyzed. Our staff is directed to fully analyze the findings of the audit report and the information gathered at the customer service hearing, and to thereafter file a recommendation concerning the disposition of the petitions and pending motions.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 8th day of March, 2004.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services

Bv:

Kay Flynn, Chief

Bureau of Records

(SEAL)

#### NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.