

# Case Background

Section 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 provides that a carrier that receives universal service support "... shall use that support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended." In its Fourteenth Report and Order, Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 00-256 (the Rural Task Force Order; hereafter, the RTF Order) the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) modified its rules pertaining to the provision of high-cost support for rural telephone companies. The FCC adopted a rule requiring that states who wish for rural carriers in their territory to receive federal high-cost support must file a certification annually with the FCC and with the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC). This certification is to affirm that the federal high-cost funds flowing to rural carriers in the state, or to any competitive eligible telecommunications carriers seeking support for serving customers within a rural carrier's service area, will be used in a manner that comports with Section 254(e). The rule provisions are:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05731 MAY 193

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

§54.314. State certification of support for rural carriers.

- (a) State certification. States that desire rural incumbent local exchange carriers and/or eligible telecommunications carriers serving lines in the service area of a rural incumbent local exchange carrier within their jurisdiction to receive support pursuant to §§54.301, 54.305, and/or 54.307
  - of this part and/or part 36, subpart F of this chapter must file an annual certification with the Administrator and the Commission stating that all federal high-cost support provided to such carriers within that State will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended....

. . .

(c) Certification format. A certification pursuant to this section may be filed in the form of a letter from the appropriate regulatory authority for the State, and shall be filed with both the Office of the Secretary of the Commission clearly referencing CC Docket No. 96-45, and with the Administrator of the high-cost universal service support mechanism, on or before the deadlines set forth below in subsection (d). . . .

The FCC requires that certifications for the next calendar funding year must be submitted by the preceding October 1; thus, in order for a rural carrier to be eligible for high-cost universal service support for all of calendar year 2005, certification must be submitted by October 1, 2004.

This recommendation pertains to the Commission's certification of Florida's rural LECs for 2005.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Staff notes that there is a companion FCC rule, §54.313, associated with state certification for non-rural carriers in order for them to receive high-cost model support or interim hold-harmless support. Since Florida's non-rural carriers (BellSouth, Verizon and Sprint) are ineligible to receive such support, this Commission has never been called on to certify them.

## **Discussion of Issues**

**<u>Issue 1</u>**: Should the Commission certify to the FCC and to USAC that for the year 2005 ALLTEL Florida, Inc., Frontier Communications of the South, Inc., GTC, Inc., Indiantown Telecommunications Systems, Inc., Northeast Florida Telephone Company, TDS Telecom, and Smart City Telecom will only use the federal high-cost support they receive for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended?

## Recommendation: Yes. (DOWDS, FOGLEMAN)

<u>Staff Analysis</u>: Unless the Commission submits certifications to the FCC and to USAC by October 1, 2004, Florida's seven rural carriers will receive no interstate high-cost universal service funds during the first quarter of 2005, and would forego all federal support if certification from the FPSC is not eventually submitted. Other than Frontier, these rural LECs are under intrastate price regulation; thus, this Commission's regulatory oversight over their operations is somewhat limited. However, the FCC anticipated that certain state commissions may have restricted authority:

In the case of non-rural carriers, we concluded that states nonetheless may certify to the Commission that a non-rural carrier in the state had accounted to the state commission for its receipt of federal support, and that such support will be "used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended." We determined that, in states in which the state commission has limited jurisdiction over such carriers, the state need not initiate the certification process itself. . . .We conclude that this approach is equally appropriate here with regard to rural carriers and competitive eligible telecommunications carriers serving lines in the service area of a rural local exchange carrier. (RTF Order, ¶188)

Staff notes that on February 27, 2004, the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (Joint Board) recommended that the FCC encourage states to use the annual ETC certification process to ensure that federal universal service support is used to provide the supported services and for associated infrastructure costs.<sup>2</sup> It made this recommendation in order to ensure the accountability of all ETCs for the proper use of funds received. Annual review affords states the opportunity for a periodic review of ETC fund use.<sup>3</sup> The Joint Board asserted that states should examine compliance with any build-out plans. Where an ETC fails to comply with the requirements in section 214(e) and any additional requirements proposed by the state commission, the Joint Board noted that the state commission may decline to grant an annual

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Recommended Decision, CC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 04J-1, pars. 46-48 (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Ninth Report and Order and Eighteenth Order on Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 99-306, par. 95 (1999) (*Ninth Report and Order*) (stating that accountability for the use of federal funds in the state ratemaking process is an appropriate mechanism to ensure that non-rural carriers use high-cost support for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended); *see also Rural Task Force Order*, CC Docket 96-45, FCC 01-157, par. 187 (2001) (anticipating that states would take the appropriate steps to account for the receipt of high-cost support and ensure that federal support is being applied in a manner consistent with section 254).

Docket No. 010977-TL Date: May 20, 2004

certification or may rescind a certification granted previously.<sup>4</sup> To date, only incumbent local exchange carriers have ETC status in Florida, and there have been no indications that the rural ILECs are in violation of any of the provisions of Section 214(e); thus, staff sees no need to conduct, e.g., an audit at this time. However, should the need arise prospectively, we would recommend to the Commission that it take the necessary steps to ensure that all ETCs in Florida are in compliance, as a condition of recertification.

Similarly, the FCC has noted that it may institute an inquiry on its own motion for companies for which it, rather than state commissions, has conducted ETC designations.<sup>5</sup> Such an inquiry could include an examination of the ETC's records and documentation to ensure that the high-cost support it receives is being used "only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services." The FCC stated that failure to fulfill the requirements of the statute, its rules and the terms of its designation order, could result in the loss of the carrier's ETC designation.

As has been done in prior years, each of the seven Florida rural LECs has provided the Commission with an affidavit (see Attachments A through G) in which they have certified that their use of interstate high-cost universal service support received during 2005 will comport with Section 254(e) of the Act and applicable FCC rules. Given these LECs' certifications, staff again recommends that the Commission certify to the FCC and to the USAC that these LECs will be using interstate high-cost universal service support in 2005 in a manner that complies with Section 254(e).

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

**<u>Recommendation</u>**: No. This docket should remain open in order to deal with future certification of rural telephone companies. (**B.KEATING**)

<u>Staff Analysis</u>: Under the FCC's rule 54.314, state commission certification that their rural LECs will use interstate high-cost universal service support in a manner that comports with Section 254(e) will need to be addressed once a year. We anticipate that in subsequent years, Florida's rural LECs who continue to desire to receive interstate high-cost universal service support will again submit affidavits to this Commission; such affidavits would need to be received on a schedule that allows for an order to be issued and forwarded with a letter to the FCC and the USAC prior to October 1. Accordingly, staff believes it is appropriate for this docket to remain open to handle subsequent certifications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; Western Wireless Corporation Petition for Preemption of an Order of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, Declaratory Ruling, CC Docket No. 96-45, (2000), recon. pending (Section 214(e) Declaratory Ruling), par. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Memorandum Opinion and Order, CC Docket No. 96-45, FCC 04-37, par. 43, (2004).

Docket No. 010977-TP Date: May 20, 2004

#### ATTACHMENT A

#### AFFIDAVIT

## STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ORANGE

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, appeared James T. Schumacher, who deposed

and said:

1. My name is James T. Schumacher. I am employed by Smart City Telecommunications LLC d/b/a Smart City Telecom ("Smart City" or the "Company") as its Vice-President – Finance and Administration. I am an officer of the Company and am authorized to give this affidavit on behalf of the Company. This affidavit is being given to support the Florida Public Service Commission's certification as contemplated in 47 C.F.R. §54.314.

2. Smart City hereby certifies that it will only use the federal high-cost support it receives during 2005 for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and service for which support is intended.

#### FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

LYNN B HALL Notory Public - State of Florida My Commission Explies Jul 23, 2007 Commission # DD224383

Bonded By National Notary Assn

ames T. Schumacher, Vice-President – Finance and Administration

## STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ORANGE

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ZHU day of March, 2004, by James T. Schumacher, as Vice-President – Finance and Administration of Smart City Telecommunications LLC d/b/a Smart City Telecom, who is personally known to me or produced identification and who did take an oath.

Printed Name of Notary

Personally Known	X
Produced Identification	
Type of Identification Produced	

h:\data\jjw\ne\certification.aff.doc

### AFFIDAVIT

### STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF MARTIN

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, appeared <u>Jeffrey S. Leslie</u>, who deposed and said:

1. My name is <u>Jeffrey S. Leslie</u>. I am employed by Indiantown Telephone Systems, Inc. ("ITS" or the "Company") as <u>Vice President, Chief Financial Officer</u>. I am an officer of the Company and am authorized to give this affidavit on behalf of the Company. This affidavit is being given to support the Florida Public Service Commission's certification as contemplated in 47 C.F.R. §54.314.

2. ITS hereby certifies that it will only use the federal high-cost support it receives during 2005 for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and service for which such support is intended.

### FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

MAKT ANN HOLT

Exp. 25 4121/2007

Bondes through

Vice President, Chief Financial Officer ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc.

### STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF MARTIN

Acknowledged before me this <u>22<sup>nd</sup></u> day of <u>April</u>, 2004, by <u>Jeffrey S.</u> <u>Leslie</u>, as <u>Vice President</u>, <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> of ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc., who is personally known to me or produced identification and who did take an oath.

Mary Unic NOTARA PUBLIC

Mary Ann Holt Printed Name of Notary

4254) Florida Notary Assn., Inc. Personally Known Produced Identification Type of Identification Produced

<del>do</del>oument nomber oate

04886 APR 27 3

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

### AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF GULF

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, appeared James B. Faison, who deposed and said:

- 1. My name is James B. Faison. 1 am employed by GTC, Inc. d/b/a GT Com ("GT Com" or the "Company") as its President. I am an officer of the Company and am authorized to give this affidavit on behalf of the Company. This affidavit is being given to support the Florida Public Service Commission's certification as contemplated in 47 C.F.R. §54.314.
- 2. GT Com hereby certifies that it will only use the federal high-cost support it receives during 2005 for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and service for which such support is intended.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

4

James B. Faison, President

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF GULF

Acknowledged before me this 29th day of March, 2004, by James B. Faison, as President of GTC, Inc., who is personally known and who did take an oath.

Karen A Collhosworth My Commission DD058883 Expires September 19, 2005

10/ XINSWOA

Notary Public Karen A. Collinsworth

Notary Seal

## <u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

### STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF MONROE

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, appeared Gregg C. Sayre, who deposed and said:

- 1. My name is Gregg C. Sayre. I am employed by Frontier Communications of the South, Inc. ("Frontier" of the "Company") as Associate General Counsel. I am an officer of the Company and am authorized to give this affidavit on behalf of the Company. This affidavit is being given to support the Florida Public Service Commission's certification as contemplated in 47 C.F.R. §54.314. Please refer to Docket No. 010977-TL.
- 2. Frontier hereby certifies that it will only use the federal high-cost support it receives during 2005 for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and service for which such support is intended.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Gregg

Associate General Counsel Frontier Communications of the South, Inc.

STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF MONROE

Acknowledged before me this 14th of May 2004, by Gregg C. Sayre, as Associate General Counsel of Frontier Communications of the South, Inc., who is personally known to me or produced identification and who did take an oath.

Holl	M. James
NOTARY PUBLIC	,
	HOLLY M. JAMES Notary Public, State of New York
Printed Name of Notary	Qualified in Monroe County My Commission Expires Nov. 30,
Personally Known	/
Produced Identification	
Type of Identification Produced	

#### ATTACHMENT E

#### DOCKET NO. 010977-TL

#### AFFIDAVIT

# STATE OF WISCONSIN COUNTY OF DANE

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, appeared Kevin Hess who deposed and said:

1. My name is Kevin Hess. I am employed by TDS Telecom, the parent company of Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy ("TDS" or the "Company") as its Vice President, Government and Regulatory Affairs. I am an officer of the Company and am authorized to give this affidavit on behalf of the Company. This affidavit is being given to support the Florida Public Service Commission's certification as contemplated in 47 C.F.R. §54.314.

2. TDS Telecom hereby certifies that it will only use the federal high-cost support it receives during 2005 for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and service for which such support is intended.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

n Hess

Vice President, Government & Regulatory Affairs

# STATE OF WISCONSIN COUNTY OF DANE

÷

Acknowledged before me this <u>J</u> th day of March, 2004, by Kevin Hess, as Vice President, Government & Regulatory Affairs of TDS TELECOM d/b/a TDS TELECOM/Quincy Telephone, who is personally known to me or produced identification and who did take an oath.

ARY PUBLIC Printed Name of Notary

Personally Known Produced Identification Type of Identification Produced

# **AFFIDAVIT**

DOCKET NO. 010977-TL DATE: April 5, 2004 STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BAKER

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, appeared Leon Conner, who deposed and said:

- 1 My name is Leon Conner. I am employed by Northeast Florida Telephone Company, Inc. ("NEFCOM" or the "Company") as its President. I am an officer of the Company and am authorized to give this affidavit on behalf of the Company. This affidavit is being given to support the Florida Public Service Commission's certification as contemplated in 47 C.F.R. 554.314.
- 2. NEFCOM hereby certifies that it will only use the federal high-cost support it receives during 2005 for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which such support is intended.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

onde

Leon Conner, President

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BAKER

- ----

Acknowledged before me this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2004, by Leon Conner, as President of Northeast Florida Telephone Telephone Company, Inc., who is personally known to me or produced identification and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC

Louvina Hotton COMMISSION # DO044447 EXPIRES July 23, 2005 BONDED THEN TROY FAIN INSURANCE, INC.

Personally Known	~	
Produced Identification		
Type of Identification Produced		

#### ATTACHMENT G

X

L.

I.

Ł

### AFFIDAVIT

## STATE OF ARKANSAS COUNTY OF PULASKI

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, appeared Steve R. Mowery, who deposed and

said:

1. My name is Steve R. Mowery, I am Vice President of ALLTEL Florida, Inc. I am an officer of the Company and am authorized to give this affidavit on behalf of the Company. This affidavit is being given to support the Florida Public Service Commission's certification as contemplated in 47 C.F.R. §54.314.

2. ALLTEL Florida, Inc. hereby certifies that it will only use the federal high-cost support it receives during 2005 for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and service for which such support is intended as indicated by 47 C.F.R. §54.101.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

I

tue & Mourie

Steve R. Mowery, Vice-President

# STATE OF ARKANSAS COUNTY OF PULASKI

Comm. Expin

i

Acknowledged before me this  $3 \pm 4$  day of May 2004, by Steve R. Mowery, as Vice-President of ALLTEL Florida, Inc. who is personally known to me or produced identification and who did take an oath.

NOTARY PUBLIC

SANDRA JEAN (NO DA

Personally Known\_\_\_\_ Produced Identification\_\_\_\_ Type of Identification Produced\_\_\_\_\_