TOM LEE President



Harold McLean Public Counsel

# STATE OF FLORIDA OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

C/O THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE
111 WEST MADISON ST.
ROOM 812
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-1400
850-488-9330

EMAIL: OPC\_WEBSITE@LEG.STATE.FL.US WWW.FLORIDAOPC.GOV ALLAN BENSE Speaker



Joseph A. McGlothlin Associate Public Counsel

February 11, 2005

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0870

RE: Docket No. 041291-EI, In Re: Florida Power & Light Company's petition for authority to recover prudently incurred storm restoration costs related to 2004 storm season that exceed storm reserve balance.

Dear Ms. Bayó:

On February 8, 2004, our office filed the direct testimony and exhibits of Michael J. Majoros. I am enclosing several corrected pages, which I ask you to substitute for the originals. They include page 26 from testimony and exhibits MJM-4 (page 1 of 4) and MJM-6.

Corrected page 26 of the testimony corrects the erroneous reference to "PEF" in line 15 to "FPL".

There are no substantive changes to the exhibit pages. Rather, they have been recopied to eliminate extraneous notes that were inadvertently included with the originals.

We will provide the corrected pages to parties today.

Thank you for your assistance.

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

01507 FEB 11 8

Sincerely,

Foseph A. McGlothlin Associate Public Counsel

JM/pwd Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

Further, FPL objects in that the interrogatory requires FPL to conduct an analysis or create information not prepared by FPL in the normal course of business. Finally, the information needed to perform the requested calculation is readily available to OPC through normal procedures, or is not yet available.

Α.

#### Q. Have you made this calculation?

Yes. By my calculations, FPL could apply \$271 million to reduce the negative balance of the storm reserve and still earn 10% return on equity capital for calendar year 2004. My calculation is shown on Exhibit\_(MJM-8).

#### 12 VIII. Summary

### 13 Q. Please summarize your recommendations.

In this case, FPL has claimed that storm-related costs have resulted in a negative storm reserve balance of \$356 million (system), which FPL wants to collect from customers over a period of two years. However, this amount should be reduced to remove O&M and capital costs that should not have been charged to the storm reserve to begin with. To date I have estimated about \$38.6 million (system) of those types of expenses. In addition to these reductions, FPL should apply \$271 million (system), whether to satisfy the legal requirement of the 2002 ratemaking stipulation or to implement the recommendation of James Rothschild to reflect an appropriate sharing of storm-related risks. As shown in Exhibit (MJM-7), the impact of my adjustments is to reduce FPL's proposed surcharge from \$356 million to \$46.9 million. I recommend the Commission emphasize its approval of a surcharge is limited to the specific 2004 events, and does not authorize FPL to charge further amounts of storm related costs to the reserve without specific Commission approval.

Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 041291 OPC Fourth Set of Interrogatories Interrogatory No. 31 Page 1 of 1

Q.

1

.

- (a) Please provide a detailed breakdown of all costs related to company-owned vehicles that the company has booked, or proposes to book, to the storm reserve. Please include, among other categories of costs, amounts for depreciation, maintenance, overheads, and fuel.
- (b) Of the amounts shown in the breakdown provided in response to (a) above, please identify all of the costs that the company would have incurred in the normal course of business, whether or not there were hurricanes in 2004.
- (c) Of the amounts shown in the breakdown provided in response to (a) above, identify (1) the level of costs that was included in the company's budget for 2004 and (2) the amount by which actual costs exceeded the amount that was included in the budget for 2004.
- (d) Show all accounting adjustments that would be necessary to remove, from the amount of vehicle expense booked or proposed to be booked to the storm reserve, all expenses and overheads with the exception of 50% of the cost of gasoline. Quantify and state the impact, in dollars, such adjustments would have on the amount booked to the storm reserve.

A.

(a)	Depreciation Maintenance Fuel Overhead/Support Total	\$1,683,803 \$4,615,272 \$ 947,140 \$ 841,902 \$8,088,117
	Total	Φυ,000,117

(b) \$5,261,887

(c)(1) \$5,261,887

(c)(2) \$2,826,230

(d) See FPL's objections filed February 2, 2005, in Docket No. 041291-El. Not withstanding and without waiving its objection, 50% of the fuel cost in (a) above would be \$473,570.

Docket No. 041291-EI Michael J. Majoros, Jr. Exhibit (MJM-4) Page 1 of 4 Uncompleted Projects of more than 100K

# Florida Power & Light Docket No. 041291-El

## Salt Spray and Vegetation Studies

Project Name		Estimated <u>Amount</u>	
3rd party system assessment to determine the relative state of vegetative conditions post storm	\$	341,000	
Identify salt spray, sand and water intrusion problems in costal communities		1,035,520	
Total	\$	1,376,520	

Source: OPC Second Request for Production of Documents, Request No. 19