# LANDERS & PARSONS, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

310 WEST COLLEGE AVENUE TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301

POST OFFICE BOX 271 TALLAHASSEE, FL 32302-0271

DAVID S. DEE RONALD A. LABASKY JOSEPH W. LANDERS, JR. JOHN T. LAVIA, III FRED A. McCORMACK PHILIP S. PARSONS ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT

April 4, 2005

TELEPHONE (850) 681-0311 TELECOPY (850) 224-5595 www.landersandparsons.com

03272 APR-48

FPSC-CAMMISSION OF TO:

#### BY HAND DELIVERY

Blanca Bayo, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850



Petition of Florida Power & Light Company for a Rate Increase, Docket No. 050045-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing are the original and fifteen copies of the Florida Retail Federation's consolidated Petition to Intervene, Petition to Conduct General Rate Case, and Request for Hearing in each of the above-styled docket. Also enclosed is a 3.5" diskette with the FRF's pleading in WORD format. (As we are simultaneously filing for the FRF a similar pleading in PSC Docket No. 050078-EI, both pleadings are included on the same diskette.) appreciate your confirming receipt of this filing by stamping the attached copy thereof and returning same to my attention.

As always, my thanks to you and to your professional Staff for their kind and courteous assistance. If you have any questions,

	please give me a d	call at (850)681-0311.	
	1/200 Metagorine consequences	Cordially yours	
OW	5		(1) >/
CTR	symposyment of their braids #British	Hul	Wigh
ECR		Robert Scheffel	Wright (/ '
GCL	yridaydiyah eşirif daleri	V	
OPC	Enclosures		
MAS			
1300	RECEIVED	§ FILED	
	manufacturing the statement of the state	Lockard_	Ancinyana
Carlow Arts	FPSC-BURE	AU OF RECORDS	DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re	: Peti	tion	for	Rate	Increase	by	)				
Flori	da Pow	er &	Ligh	nt Con	npany		)	DOCKET	NO. C	5004	45-EI
							)	FILED:	APRII	4,	2005

## PETITION TO INTERVENE, PETITION TO CONDUCT GENERAL RATE CASE, AND REQUEST FOR HEARING OF THE FLORIDA RETAIL FEDERATION

The Florida Retail Federation ("FRF"), pursuant to Chapters 120 and 366, Florida Statutes, and Rules 25-22.039, 28-106.201, and 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code ("F.A.C."), hereby petitions to intervene in the above-styled docket. The FRF also petitions the Florida Public Service Commission to conduct a general investigation (a general rate case) of the rates to be charged by Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") upon the expiration of the current Stipulation and Settlement entered into in 2002, and to conduct a hearing in that case in accordance with Chapters 120 and 366, Florida Statutes. The FRF asks and expects that its requested hearing will be the same hearing that the FRF presently expects the Commission to conduct in this docket pursuant to FPL's petition for a rate increase; if such is not the case, however, then, consistent with the Florida Supreme Court's opinion in South Florida Hospital & Healthcare Ass'n v.

All references herein to the Florida Statutes are to the 2004 edition thereof.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>See In Re: Review of the Retail Rates of Florida Power & Light Company</u>, Docket No. 001148-EI, Order No. PSC-02-0501-AS-EI (Fla. Pub. Serv. Comm'n, April 11, 2002).

Jaber, the FRF asks the Commission to conduct the hearing in approximately the same time frame and to allow the FRF and all other parties to "access and rely on the evidence and testimony" that has been filed and that will be filed in this Docket No. 050045-EI. See South Florida Hospital & Healthcare Ass'n v.

Jaber, 887 So. 2d 1210, 1214 (Fla. 2004). To be clear, the FRF is separately petitioning for a hearing, in an abundance of caution, to ensure that it does not later find itself in the same position that the South Florida Hospital and Healthcare

Association found itself at the end of the 2002 proceedings. As it did in 2002, the FRF stands fully ready to participate in good faith in any negotiations toward resolving this case via another stipulation and settlement.

In summary, the FRF is an established association with more than 10,000 members in Florida, many of whom are retail customers of FPL. The FRF respectfully petitions for intervention and for the Commission to conduct the requested general rate case to protect its members' interests in having the Commission determine the fair, just, and reasonable rates to be charged by FPL beginning January 1, 2006, i.e., upon the expiration of the current Stipulation and Settlement, and in having the Commission take such other action to protect the interests of the FRF's members and of all of FPL's customers as the Commission may deem appropriate. The interests of the many members of the FRF who are FPL customers will be directly affected by the Commission's

decisions in this case, and accordingly, the FRF is entitled to intervene to protect its members' substantial interests. In further support of its Petition to Intervene, Petition for General Rate Case, and Request for Hearing, the Florida Retail Federation states as follows.

1. The name, address, and telephone number of the Petitioner are as follows:

> Florida Retail Federation 100 East Jefferson Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone (850) 222-4082 Telecopier (850) 226-4082.

. .

2. All pleadings, orders and correspondence should be directed to Petitioner's representatives as follows:

Robert Scheffel Wright, Attorney at Law John T. LaVia, III, Attorney at Law Landers & Parsons, P.A.
310 West College Avenue (ZIP 32301)
Post Office Box 271
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
(850) 681-0311 Telephone
(850) 224-5595 Facsimile.

3. The agency affected by this Petition to Intervene is:

Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

4. The Florida Retail Federation is an established association of more than 10,000 members in Florida. Many of the FRF's members are retail electric customers of FPL; these members purchase electricity from FPL pursuant to several different FPL rate schedules. The FRF's members require adequate, reasonably-

priced electricity in order to conduct their businesses consistently with the needs of their customers and ownership.

- 5. Statement of Affected Interests. In this docket, the Commission will decide whether to approve FPL's request for a general rate increase. FPL's Petition, filed herein on March 22, 2005, indicates that FPL is requesting a general rate increase of approximately \$430 million. The Commission will necessarily have to decide whether any rate increases are justified, and if so, the Commission will also have to approve the rates and charges that would enable FPL to recover any authorized increase in FPL's base rate revenues. As the representative of its many members who are FPL retail customers, the Florida Retail Federation's and its members' substantial interests will be affected by any action that the Commission takes in this docket.
- 6. The FRF's substantial interests are of sufficient immediacy to entitle it to participate in the proceeding and are the type of interests that the proceeding is designed to protect. To participate as a party in this proceeding, an intervenor must demonstrate that its substantial interests will be affected by the proceeding. Specifically, the intervenor must demonstrate that it will suffer a sufficiently immediate injury in fact that is of the type the proceeding is designed to protect. Ameristeel Corp. v. Clark, 691 So. 2d 473 (Fla. 1997); Agrico Chemical Co. v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So.2d 478 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981), rev. denied, 415 So. 2d 1359 (Fla. 1982). Here, the

FRF is the representative of a large number of its more than 10,000 members who are retail electric customers of FPL, and these members' substantial interests will be directly affected by the Commission's decisions regarding FPL's retail electric rates. Thus, the interests that the FRF seeks to protect are of sufficient immediacy to warrant intervention, and the nature of its members' interests in having the Commission set rates for FPL that are fair, just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory is exactly the type of interest that this proceeding is designed to protect. This is a general rate case, and the FRF seeks to protect its members' substantial interests as they will be affected by the Commission's decisions determining FPL's rates.

- 7. <u>Associational Standing</u>. Under Florida law, to establish standing as an association representing its members' substantial interests, an association such as the Florida Retail Federation must demonstrate three things:
  - a. that a substantial number of its members, although not necessarily a majority, are substantially affected by the agency's decisions;
  - b. that the intervention by the association is within the association's general scope of interest and activity; and
  - c. that the relief requested is of a type appropriate for an association to obtain on behalf of its members.

Florida Home Builders Ass'n v. Dep't of Labor and Employment

Security, 412 So. 2d 351, 353-54 (Fla. 1982). The FRF satisfies all of these "associational standing" requirements. A substantial number of the FRF's more than 10,000 members are located in FPL's service area and receive their electric service from FPL, for which they are charged FPL's applicable retail The FRF exists to represent its members' interests in a rates. number of venues, including the Florida Public Service Commission: indeed, the FRF was an intervenor in FPL's last general rate case and a signatory to the Stipulation and Settlement that resolved the issues in that docket. Finally, the relief requested -- intervention and the lowest rates consistent with the Commission's governing law -- is across-the-board relief that will apply to all of the FRF's members in the same way, according to the retail rate schedules under which they receive service; therefore, the requested relief is of the type that is appropriate for an association to obtain on behalf of its members.

8. <u>Disputed Issues of Material Fact</u>. The FRF believes that the disputed issues of material fact in this proceeding will include, but will not necessarily be limited to, the issues listed below. Naturally, at this early point in this docket, the issues stated below are broad, general issues, and the FRF expects that, as in past rate cases, numerous additional, specific issues will be identified and developed as this docket progresses.

Issue: What are the appropriate jurisdictional values of FPL's Plant in Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Rate Base for setting FPL's rates to be effective as of January 1, 2006?

Issue: What are the appropriate jurisdictional values of FPL's operation and maintenance expenses for setting FPL's rates in this case?

Issue: What is the appropriate capital structure for FPL for the purpose of setting FPL's rates in this case?

Issue: What is the appropriate rate of return on equity for FPL for the purpose of setting FPL's rates in this case?

Issue: How should FPL's costs of providing retail electric service be allocated to FPL's retail customer classes?

Issue: What are the appropriate rates to be charged by FPL for its services to each customer class?

Issue: What are the appropriate jurisdictional revenue requirements associated with FPL's participation in the GridFlorida Regional Transmission Organization to be used for setting FPL's rates in this case?

Issue: What is the appropriate amount to be included in FPL's base rates for storm restoration accrual?

The FRF reserves all rights to raise additional issues in accordance with the Commission's rules and the anticipated Order Establishing Procedure in this case.

- Statement of Ultimate Facts Alleged. It is FPL's 9. burden to prove that it is entitled to any rate relief, and to prove that requirement, FPL must prove that its existing rates and charges are not fair, just, and reasonable. It has been three years since FPL's last general rate proceeding was resolved by the current Stipulation and Settlement, which expires at the end of this year, and it has been approximately 20 years since the Commission last decided disputed issues in an FPL general rate case. A substantial number of the FRF's more than 10,000 members are FPL's retail customers, and accordingly, their substantial interests are subject to determination in and will be affected by the Commission's decisions in this docket. Accordingly, as the representative association of its members who are FPL customers, the FRF is entitled to intervene herein and entitled to a hearing as to the fair, just, and reasonable rates to be charged by FPL upon the expiration of the Stipulation and Settlement.
- 10. Statutes and Rules That Entitle the Florida Retail

  Federation to Relief. The applicable statutes and rules that
  entitle the FRF to relief include, but are not limited to,

  Sections 120.569, 120.57(1), 366.04(1), 366.05(1), 366.06(1)&(2),
  and 366.07, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.039 and Chapter 28
  106, Florida Administrative Code.
- 11. Statement Explaining How the Facts Alleged By the Florida Retail Federation Relate to the Above-Cited Rules and

Statutes In Compliance With Section 120.54(5)(b) 4.f, Florida Statutes. Rules 25-22.039 and 28-106.205, F.A.C., provide that persons whose substantial interests are subject to determination in, or may be affected through, an agency proceeding are entitled to intervene in such proceeding. Rule 28-106.201, F.A.C., provides that a petition is the appropriate vehicle by which a party may ask an agency to conduct evidentiary proceedings where disputed issues of material fact are involved. A substantial number of the FRF's more than 10,000 members are FPL's retail customers, and accordingly, their substantial interests are subject to determination in and will be affected by the Commission's decisions in this docket. Accordingly, as the representative association of its members who are FPL customers, the FRF is entitled to intervene herein. The above-cited sections of Chapter 366 relate to the Commission's jurisdiction over FPL's rates and the Commission's statutory mandate to ensure that FPL's rates are fair, just, and reasonable. The facts alleged here by the FRF demonstrate (a) that the Commission's decisions herein will have a significant impact on FPL's rates and charges, (b) that a substantial number of the FRF's members will be directly impacted by the Commission's decisions regarding FPL's rates and charges, and (c) accordingly, that these statutes provide the basis for the relief requested by the FRF in its Petition to Intervene. Additionally, the facts alleged herein demonstrate that the FRF is entitled to a hearing on FPL's rates,

because the current Stipulation and Settlement expires at the end of 2005.

### CONCLUSION

The Florida Retail Federation is an established association that, consistent with its purposes and history of intervening in Commission proceedings to protect its members' interests, seeks to intervene in this general rate case docket, and requests the Commission to investigate FPL's rates and to conduct a hearing, to protect its members' substantial interests in having the Commission set rates for Florida Power & Light Company that are fair, just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory. The interests of the FRF's members that the FRF seeks to protect via its intervention and participation in this case are immediate and of the type to be protected by this proceeding.

## RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the Florida Retail Federation respectfully requests the Florida Public Service Commission to enter its order GRANTING this Petition to Intervene, GRANTING the FRF's Petition To Conduct a General Rate Case, and GRANTING the FRF's Request for Hearing, and requiring that all parties to this proceeding serve copies of all pleadings, notices, and other documents on the FRF's representatives indicated in paragraph 1 above.

Respectfully submitted this \_\_4th\_\_ day of April, 2005.

Robert Scheffel Wryght Florida Bar No. 966721 John T. LaVia, III

Florida Bar No. 853666 LANDERS & PARSONS, P.A.

310 West College Avenue (ZIP 32301)

Post Office Box 271

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

(850) 681-0311 Telephone

(850) 224-5595 Facsimile

Attorneys for the Florida Retail Federation

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served by U.S. Mail, hand delivery (\*) or facsimile and U.S. Mail (\*\*) on this 4th day of April, 2005, on the following:

Cochran Keating, Esq.\*
Katherine Fleming, Esq.
Jeremy Susac, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Harold A. McLean, Esq.\*
Patricia Christiansen, Esq.
Office of the Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399

R. Wade Litchfield, Esq.\*\*
Natalie F. Smith, Esq.
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. Bill Walker, Esq.\*
Florida Power & Light Company
215 South Monroe Street
Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Jaime Torrens\*\*
Miami-Dade County Public Schools
1450 N.E. 2nd Avenue
Miami, FL 33132

John W. McWhirter, Esq. McWhirter Reeves Davidson Kaufman & Arnold, P.A. 400 North Tampa Street Suite 2450 Tampa, FL 33602

Timothy J. Perry, Esq.\*
McWhirter Reeves Davidson
Kaufman & Arnold, P.A.
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

David Brown, Esq.\*\*
McKenna Long & Aldridge
c/o Commercial Group
303 Peachtree Street, NE
Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308

Bruce May, Esq.\*
Holland & Knight
315 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Attorney