ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for rate increase by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Docket No. 050078-E1 Submitted for filing: August 12, 2005

<u>PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO STAFF'S TWELFTH SET OF</u> INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 350-360)

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure in this matter, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission ("Staff") Twelfth Set of Interrogatories to PEF, Nos. 350-360, and states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

With respect to the "Definitions" in Staff's Twelfth Set of Interrogatories, Nos. 350-360, PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not with any of Staff's definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules.

PEF objects to any definition or interrogatory that seeks to encompass persons or entities other than PEF who are not parties to this action and thus are not subject to discovery. No responses to the interrogatories will be made on behalf of persons or entities other than PEF.

PEF must also object to Staff's Twelfth Set of Interrogatories to PEF to the extent that they require PEF or PEF's retained experts to develop information or create material

1

BOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE

for Staff, presumably at PEF's expense. The purpose of discovery, of course, is to obtain information that already exists, not to require the other side to create information or material for the requesting party. PEF, therefore, is not obligated to incur the expense of performing or having its experts perform work for Staff to create information or material that Staff seeks in these interrogatories.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to Staff's interrogatories to the extent that they call for data or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. Further, in certain circumstances, PEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that information responsive to certain interrogatories to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such an interrogatory, PEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law or in the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Order Establishing Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules and legal principles.

PEF also objects to any interrogatory that calls for projected data or information beyond the year 2006 or prior to 2004 because such data or information is irrelevant to this case and has no bearing on this proceeding, nor is such data or information likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Furthermore, if an interrogatory does not

тра#2073826.1

specify a timeframe for which data or information is sought, PEF will interpret such interrogatory as calling only for data and information relevant to the years 2004-2006.

Finally, PEF objects to any attempt by Staff to exceed the numerical limitations set on interrogatories in the Order Establishing Procedure by asking multiple independent questions within single individual questions and subparts.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to Staff's discovery at the time PEF's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF provides these general objections at this time to comply with the intent of the Order Establishing Procedure to reduce the delay in identifying and resolving any potential discovery disputes.

Respectfully submitted,

R. ALEXANDER GLENN
Deputy General Counsel – Florida
PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE
COMPANY, LLC
100 Central Avenue, Stc. 1D
St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Telephone: (727) 820-5587 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

GARY L. SASSO
Florida Bar No. 622575
JAMES MICHAEL WALLS
Florida Bar No. 0706272
JOHN T. BURNETT
Florida Bar No. 173304
DIANNE M. TRIPLETT
Florida Bar No. 0872431
CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.
Post Office Box 3239
Tampa, FL 33601-3239

Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

TPA#2073826.1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished electronically and via U.S. Mail this \(\frac{1}{2} \) day of August, 2005 to all counsel of record as indicated below.

Attorney Ariplott

Jennifer Brubaker

Felicia Banks

Jennifer Rodan

Office of the General Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Fl. 32399-0850

Tananassee, 11, 323//-003

Harold McLean

Office of the Public Counsel

c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mike B. Twomey P.O. Box 5256

Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Counsel for AARP

Robert Scheffel Wright,
John T. LaVia, III,
Landers & Parsons, P.A.
310 West College Avenue (ZIP 32301)

Post Office Box 271

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Counsel for Florida Retail Federation

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

McWhirter, Reeves, Davidson, Kaufman

& Arnold, P.A.

400 North Tampa Street, Ste. 2450

Tampa, FL 33601-3350

-and-

Timothy J. Perry

McWhirter, Reeves, Davidson, Kaufman

& Arnold, P.A.

117 South Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, FL 32301

Counsel for Florida Industrial Power

Users Group

C. Everett Boyd, Jr.

Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP

2282 Killearn Center Blvd.

Tallahassee, FL 32309

James M. Bushee

Daniel E. Frank

Andrew K. Soto

Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP

1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20004-2415

Richard A. Zambo

Richard A. Zambo, P.A.

2336 S.E. Ocean Boulevard, #309

Stuart, Florida 34996

Alan R. Jenkins McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP One Peachtree Center 303 Peachtree Street, Suite 5300 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Counsel for the Commercial Group

Christopher M. Kise, Solicitor General and Jack Shreve, Senior General Counsel OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL The Capitol-PL01
Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-1050

Counsel for the Attorney General

-and-

Karin S. Torain PCS Administration, (USA), Inc. Suite 400 Skokie blvd. Northbrook, IL 60062

Counsel for White Springs