Timolyn	Henry
---------	-------

From:

Nanci Nesmith@fpl.com

Sent:

Tuesday, December 06, 2005 4:04 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Wade Litchfield@fpl.com; Garson\_Knapp@fpl.com; Bert\_Gonzalez@fpl.com;

Bill\_Feaster@fpl.com; Lynne\_Adams@fpl.com

Subject:

Electronic Filing for Docket No. 050890-El FPL's Response in opposition to Sears, Roebuck

and Company's Renewal Motion

Attachments:

050890-FPL Response to Renewal Sears Motion.doc; 050890-Exhibit A.pdf





050890-FPL 050890-Exhibit ponse to Renew A.pdf (112 KB)

a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

Garson Knapp, Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408 Tel: (561) 304-5720 Garson\_Knapp@fpl.com

- b. Docket No. 050890-EI re: Complaint of Sears, Roebuck and Company Against Florida Power & Light Company and Motion to Compel FPL to Continue Electric Service and to Cease and Desist Demands for Deposit Pending Final Decision Regarding Complaint.
- c. Document being filed on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.
- d. There are a total of 4 pages.
- e. The document attached for electronic filing is Florida Power & Light Company's Response in Opposition to Sears, Roebuck and Company's Renewal Motion for an Order Compelling Florida Power & Light Company to Continue Electric Service and to Cease and Desist Demands for Deposit Pending the Commission's Final Decision Regarding Complaint.

(See attached file: 050890-FPL Response to Renewal Sears Motion.doc) (See attached file: 050890-Exhibit A.pdf)

Thank you for your attention and cooperation to this request.

CMPanci NeSmith
Florida Power & Light Company COM 5 S. Monroe St., Suite 810
CTR <sub>50—521—</sub> 3900
ECR
GCL
OPC
RCA
SCR
<b>S</b> GA
SEC /

**OTH** \_\_\_

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11473 DEC-68

**ORIGINAL** 

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint of Sears, Roebuck	)	
and Company Against Florida Power	)	
and Light Company	)	
	)	Docket No. 050890-EI
	) .	
	)	Filed: December 6, 2005
	)	

#### RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION OF FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

TO

SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY'S RENEWAL MOTION FOR AN ORDER COMPELLING FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY TO CONTINUE ELECTRIC SERVICE AND TO CEASE AND DESIST DEMANDS FOR DEPOSIT PENDING THIS COMMISSION'S FINAL DECISION IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT BY SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY AGAINST FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL"), pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(1), Florida Administrative Code ("FAC"), hereby files its Response in Opposition to the Renewal Motion of Sears, Roebuck and Company ("Sears") requesting the Commission issue an Order requiring FPL to continue electric service and desist its demands for a deposit pending final disposition of Sears' complaint against FPL ("Motion"). In support of this Response, FPL states:

1. On November 23, 2005, FPL filed its Response to Sears' initial Emergency Order, filed on November 21, 2005. In this Response, FPL stated its clear intention to comply with Rule 25-22.032(3), FAC, and would neither terminate electric service to Sears pending resolution by the Commission of its Complaint nor would attempt to collect the deposit sought

during same. In consequence, FPL, viewing the matter of the requested relief as moot, requested the Commission to deny, as unnecessary as a matter of administrative regulation, Sears' Motion.

- 2. On December 2, 2005, Sears, through its qualified representative, Rodger A. Kershner, Esq., filed its Renewal Motion again requesting the Commission issue an Order prohibiting FPL from discontinuing electric service to any Sears location pending the Commission's resolution of Docket No. 050890-EI.
- 3. FPL views Sears' Renewal Motion as premature and unripe inasmuch as the Commission has yet to rule on Sears' initial Emergency Motion. Further, in light of FPL's Response to the initial Emergency Order, the assurances set forth therein that FPL would not violate Rule 25-22.032(3), FAC, and discontinue electric service to Sears locations within its service territory pending resolution of Sears' Complaint, and given the absence of any compelling and demonstrable evidence that FPL would otherwise do so, FPL views the instant motion as superfluous. In this regard, particularly, FPL notes that subsequent to the filing of Sears' initial Motion and FPL's Response thereto, it provided, at the insistent request of Sears' Qualified Representative, an email communication confirming FPL's commitment not to terminate power service to Sears locations within FPL's service territory as a result of the filing of the Sears Complaint and initial Emergency Order. This communication, wherein Sears' Qualified Representative expresses his appreciation for the said FPL commitment, is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 4. Rule 28-106.107, FAC, requires Qualified Representatives to exercise due diligence to insure that any motion or pleading is filed and argued in good faith. In the case of the instant Renewal Motion and the complete absence of any compelling reasoning as grounds for its requested relief set forth therein, FPL is at a complete loss for an explanation as to why

Sears' Qualified Representative continues to imply that FPL, notwithstanding its unambiguous assurances and stated commitment to comply with Rule 25-22.032(3), FAC, would discontinue electric service to Sears locations within its service territory pending Commission resolution of Sears' Complaint.

5. Regarding Sears' allegation contained in Paragraph 5 of its Renewal Motion that Sears has conferred with FPL regarding this motion and FPL has declined to stipulate to an entry of the requested order, FPL observes such statement is accurate. Importantly, what is absent, however, is FPL reason for not agreeing to such stipulation. FPL, upon being informed by Sears that Sears would withdraw its original Emergency Motion on the condition that FPL enter into such stipulation, so declined noting that same was unnecessary in view of FPL's stated commitment in its Response to comply with Rule 25-22.023(3), and not disconnect electric service to Sears locations.

Indeed, in light of Rule 25-22.023(3), Sears' initial Motion itself was completely unnecessary. The requirements of the Rule speak for themselves. Nothing further is required. The order or stipulation sought by Sears unreasonably assumes that a jurisdictional utility will not comply with a Commission rule. Such a request is neither warranted nor necessary. The Commission should not enter an order that assumes a jurisdictional utility will not comply with an existing Commission rule.

WHEREFORE, FPL respectfully, again, urges the Commission to deny, as unnecessary as a matter of administrative regulation, specifically Rule 25-22.032(3), FAC, Sears' Renewal Motion. FPL further requests Sears' Renewal Motion be denied on the grounds that it is premature and without any reasonable basis in fact

# Respectfully submitted,

By: s/Garson Knapp

GARSON KNAPP, ESQ. Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420 (561) 304-5720 (Telephone) (561) 625-7504 (Telecopier)

Qualified Representative for Florida Power & Light Company

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was placed in the U.S. mail, postage paid, to the following this 6<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2005:

Rodger A. Kershner, Esq. Howard & Carter, P.C. 39400 Woodward Avenue, Suite 101 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304

> By: <u>s/Garson Knapp</u> GARSON KNAPP, ESQ.



### "Kershner, Rodger A." <RKershner@Howarda ndHoward.com>

CC:

To: Garson\_Knapp@fpl.com

Subject: RE: Shut Off Notice Received - Your Response Needed

11/29/2005 04:29 PM

Thank you.

Rodger A. Kershner Howard & Howard Attorneys, P.C. The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101 39400 Woodward Avenue Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304-5151 248/723-0421 248/645-1568 (FAX) http://www.howardandhoward.com rkershner@howardandhoward.com

NOTICE: Information contained in this transmission to the named addressee is proprietary information and is subject to attorney-client privilege and work product confidentiality. If the recipient of this transmission is not the named addressee, the recipient should immediately notify the sender and destroy the information transmitted without making any copy or distribution thereof.

----Original Message-----

From: Garson Knapp@fpl.com [mailto:Garson Knapp@fpl.com]

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2005 4:21 PM

To: Kershner, Rodger A.

Cc: Wade Litchfield@fpl.com; John\_Hall@fpl.com; Ralph\_Calleja@fpl.com;

Damaris Diaz@fpl.com

Subject: RE: Shut Off Notice Received - Your Response Needed

#### Rodger,

This will confirm, as was stated in FPL's responses to the Kmart/Sears Motions, that FPL, as a result of the filing of the Motions and Complaints will not terminate power service to Kmart/Sears locations within FPL's service territory.

Garson Knapp, Attorney Florida Power and Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408 Tel: (561) 304-5720 Fax: (561) 625-7504

### \*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED INFORMATION\*\*\*

This message, together with any attachments, is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message, or any attachment, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by return email and delete this message, along with any attachments, from your computer.

#### "Kershner, Rodger

A."

To:

sgree21@sears.com

kzenkov@sears.com, pfrale1@sears.com, Garson\_Knapp@fpl.com, lmill64@sears.com, "ZZS 11/29/2005 04:16 PM Robinett, Clarence@Sears " <crobine@sears.com>

Subject: RE: Shut Off

Notice Received - Your Response Needed

#### Steve,

I just spoke to Garson Knapp, FP&L Senior Attorney in Juno Beach. While FP&L has committed to Sears and to the Florida Public Service Commission that there will be NO shutoffs while the formal Complaint filed last week remains pending before the Commission, he has agreed to take further steps to get that word out so that no person or machine inadvertently interrupts Sears' electric service in ignorance. He will confirm that he has done so by the end of the day. I am sure he understands that the damages to which Sears would be entitled for wrongful interruption of service during the holiday season would be very large. I also note that FP&L has added what appears to be a late charge or interest in the amount of an additional \$615, which is completely inappropriate and illegal. The million dollars, if paid, is a deposit, not a debt. Each day we do not pay saves them the interest that would otherwise be due to Sears. Because FP&L is represented by counsel, I am constrained by the rules of conduct from communicating directly with him, but you may want to share this information with Mr. Calleja, your service rep. Rodger Kershner

Rodger A. Kershner
Howard & Howard Attorneys, P.C.
The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101
39400 Woodward Avenue
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304-5151
248/723-0421
248/645-1568 (FAX)
http://www.howardandhoward.com
rkershner@howardandhoward.com