State of Florida		ORIGINAL Jublic Service Commission CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850 24 AM 10: 15 -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-MMISSION
		CLERK
DATE:	January 23, 2006	
то:	John Slemkewicz, P	ublic Utilities Supervisor, Division of Economic Regulation

FROM:	Denise N. Vandiver, Chief of Auditing, Division of Regulatory Compliance & Consumer Assistance

RE: Docket No: 041272-EI; Company Name: Progress Energy Florida, Inc. Audit Request: Audit of 2004 Storm Damage Cost Recovery; Audit Control No: 05-270-2-1

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send the response to the Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services. There are no confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNV:sbj Attachments

Copy: Division of Regulatory Compliance and Consumer Assistance (Hoppe, District Offices, File Folder) Division of Commission Clerk & Administrative Services (2) Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement (Harvey) General Counsel Office of Public Counsel

CMP
COM
CTR
ECR
GCL
OPC
RCA
SCR
SGA
SEC
ОТН



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE BUREAU OF AUDITING

TAMPA DISTRICT OFFICE

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.

STORM DAMAGE COST RECOVERY AUDIT

FOR THE PERIOD AUGUST 2004 THRU JUNE 2005

DOCKET NO. 041272-E1

AUDIT CONTROL NO. 05-270-2-1

ocelvn Y. Stei

ner Kopelovich/Audit Staf Thomas E. Stambaugh, Audit Sta

Rohrbacher, Tampa District Supervisor

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 00657 JAN 24 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	AUDITOR'S REPORT	PAGE
	PURPOSE	1
	DISCLAIM PUBLIC USE	1
	SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT PROCEDURES	2

II. DISCLOSURES

• •

1. RECALCULATION OF BURDENING	COST
2. TEST OF PLANT ADDITIONS	4
3. COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED M	ETHODOLOGY5
4. HOTEL ROOM ATTRITION COST	

III. EXHIBITS

STORM COST RECOVERY SURCHARGE (EXH JP-1 pg 1)	.7
ESTIMATED 2004 STORM DAMAGE EXPENSES (EXH JP-2 Revised	8
RECONCILIATION OF CAPITAL COST TO EXHIBIT JP-2	.9

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

January 9, 2006

TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have applied the procedures described later in this report to audit the accompanying 2004 Storm Damage Expense Report for the historical period August 2004 through June 2005 for Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (PEF). This schedule was prepared by the utility as part of its petition for the recovery of storm damage cost in Docket 041272-EI. There is no confidential information associated with this audit and there are no staff minority opinions.

This is an internal accounting report prepared after performing a limited scope audit. Accordingly, this report should not be relied upon for any purpose except to assist the Commission staff in the performance of their duties. Substantial additional work would have to be performed to satisfy generally accepted auditing standards and produce audited financial statements for public use.

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT PROCEDURES

Our audit was performed by examining, on a test basis, certain transactions and account balances which we believe are sufficient to base our opinion. Our examination did not entail a complete review of all financial transactions of the company. Our more important audit procedures are summarized below. The following definitions apply when used in this report:

Compiled - The exhibit amounts were reconciled with the general ledger, and accounts were scanned for error or inconsistency.

Verify - The item was tested for accuracy, and substantiating documentation was examined.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES: Analyzed capital charges to Account 1861900 – clearing account for costs incurred during the 2004 hurricane season. Requested summary detail for capital expenditures. Identified transactions of +/- \$10,000 or greater to determine pertinence to storm recovery. Requested documentation of selected plant additions. Reconciled detail of capital expenditures to amount requested by company in its filing (Exhibit No. JP-2). Tested 56% of capital material cost. Determined that all burdens were formula derived based upon capital material costs. Burdens are the dollars used to capture overhead costs associated with the acquisition, application and delivery of material and are classified as Material loading, Minor Material & Labor cost, Engineering & Supervision, Fleet, Travel and Other Miscellaneous expense. Recalculated burdens applied to material and other capital costs to determine accuracy of formulas. Determined that company complied with appropriate capitalization methodology of capital expenditures as approved in Order No. PSC-05-0748-FOF-EI. Summarized capital expenditures, for storm costs, by FERC account number.

EXPENSES: Analyzed storm charges to Account 1861900 - Clearing account for costs incurred during the 2004 hurricane season. Judgementally selected 26% of O&M expenses for further analysis. Traced detail of selected items to supporting documentation. Determined whether charges to storm clearing account were storm related and incurred for damage restoration activities.

OTHER: Requested a reconciliation of costs charged to the storm clearing account (A/C 1861900) by the following categories: capital expenditure (Electric Plant), retail recoverable O&M costs, wholesale recoverable costs, non-recoverable costs and removal costs. Determined that company recorded final adjustments to above classifications as of Year ended December 31, 2005. Reviewed calculation of Total Jurisdictional storm costs, as presented on Exhibit No. JP-1 (p 1 of 10). Performed analytical review.

Subject: Recalculation of Burdening Cost

Statement of Fact: PEF calculated formula-driven amounts for Minor Materials, Labor on minor Materials, Engineering and Supervision Loading, Travel expenses, Fleet expenses and Other Miscellaneous expenses as components of its plant property recoverable through the storm audit.

Audit staff obtained applicable rates from PEF and recalculated the above indicated amounts.

Audit Opinion: Staff determined that all burden amounts stated for the specific hurricanes (Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne) were calculated according to the applicable burdening rates. Staff determined that differences existed when recalculating burden amounts related to Sweeps. Sweeps are those charges that overlap storms and are not identifiable with any one storm.

The Company's explanation is that the calculation of burdens applicable to sweeps has errors in the formula used to calculate the same. The formula omitted rows 2-178.

Staff recalculation using the correct formula provides the following differences:

Minor Material Cost	<u>Staff</u> (\$5,678)	<u>PEF</u> (\$18,778)	Difference \$13,100
Minor Labor Cost	(\$29,496)	(\$1,404)	(\$28,092)
Engr/Supv Loading	(\$1,465)	\$7,971	(\$9,436)
Travel Time	\$21,233	\$25,029	(\$3,796)
Fleet Cost	\$6,547	\$12,856	(\$6,308)
Other Miscellaneous	\$3,928	\$7,713	(\$3,785)
Total	(\$4,931)	\$33,385	(\$38,316)

PEF's calculation for burdens, applicable to sweeps capital costs, was overstated by \$38,316.

Recommendation: Since the \$38,316 are capital costs and were included in base rates set after the most recent rate case, these dollars should be removed from recoverable O&M expenses for the storm recovery proceeding.

Subject: Test of plant additions

Statement of Fact: In its storm costs filing, PEF included an amount for plant, of \$53,754,655 to be recovered through base rates. Of this total \$27,931,877 pertained to Charley; \$13,534,770 pertained to Frances; (\$455,617) pertained to Ivan; \$8,548,809 pertained to Jeanne and \$4,179,307 pertained to Sweeps. Sweeps are those charges that overlap storms and are not identifiable with any one storm.

Audit Opinion: During audit tes,t work, PEF did not provide documentation for twenty-four transactions selected for testing. The total of these dollars was \$388,353. The amount which pertained to each storm is as follows:

Charley	\$215,689
Frances	73,509
Jeanne	110,389
Sweeps	(11,234)

Since the plant dollars were included in base rates set after the most recent rate case, these undocumented dollars should be removed from recoverable O&M expenses for the storm recovery proceeding. If PEF provides these items to Tallahassee Staff and they are deemed to be sufficient to support the plant additions, then the effects of this disclosure may be set aside.

Audit Conclusion: Total recoverable expenses should be reduced by \$388,353.

Subject: Compliance with approved methodology

Statement of Fact: PEF stated in the direct testimony of Javier J. Portuondo that it had complied with FPSC Order PSC-05-0748-FOF-EI by booking to plant in service only the normal cost of <u>new</u> plant additions under <u>normal</u> operating conditions, and by booking to the reserve (<u>as extraordinary O&M</u>) only the costs of new plant additions that exceed those normal amounts. For tax purposes, PEF estimated the amount of extraordinary O&M that is being classified as capital to be \$30,098,372.

Audit Opinion: As of the audit due date, the company had not responded to staff request for verification of the methodology and amount(s) used to determine appropriate capitalization of capital expenditures and the booking of "normal" expenses.

Subject: Hotel Room Attrition Costs

Statement of Fact: PEF housed storm restoration crews at the Gaylord Palms Resort and Convention Center from August 12th through August 21st, 2004. Total cost was \$2,274,806.13. Of this total, Gaylord Palms charged PEF an attrition fee of \$142,932 for hotel rooms not used. This amount is 6.28% of the total.

Audit Opinion: All hotel bills rendered to PEF during the 2004 hurricane season for storm restoration should be audited for attrition costs or any similar term which describes an assessment for unused hotel rooms. These costs should be removed as a storm cost which is recoverable from the ratepayers.

Audit Recommendation: Remove costs of \$142,932 from storm restoration as a result of over-booking at Gaylord Palms Resort. Initiate an investigation into lodging costs charged to storm recovery expenses to determine the prudence, relevance and reasonableness of these dollars.

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Storm Cost Recovery Surcharge (SCRS) Total O&M Storm Costs Incurred and Proposed Recovery

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET NO. 041272 EXHIBIT NO. ____ (JP-1) PAGE 1 OF 10

Line	Total Storm Costs 2004 & 2005	Percent of Total			
1 Total O&M Storm Costs Incurred by Function a Transmission Costs b Distribution Costs c Production Demand Related - Base d Production Demand Related - Intermediate e Production Demand Related - Peaking f Production Energy Related	67,225,009 256,962,048 607,806 475,719 5,198,999	20.34% 77.76% 0.18% 0.00% 0.14% 1.57%			
2 Total Costs Incurred	\$ 330,469,581	100.00%			
3 Insurance Proceeds a Amount Claimed b Less Deductible c Nat Proceeds	0 C 0	0 0 0	·		
4 Storm Damage Reserve Funds (balance @ 12/31/04)	46,915,219				
5 FPSC Adjustments to Incurred Costs	(27,316,897)				
6 Total Recoverable Storm Costs (A) a Transmission Costs		Application of Storm Reserve FPS (9,643,620) (<u>C Adjusts</u> 5,556,876)	Total Recoverable \$ 52,124,513	Sep Factor 0.72115
 b) Distribution Costs c) Production Demand Related - Base d) Production Demand Related - Intermediate e) Production Demand Related - Peaking f) Production Energy Related Total 	255,962,048 607,805 0 475,719 5,198,999 330,469,581	(36,479,699) (2 (86,287) 0 (67,536) (738,078)	(39,323) (429,754) (36,897)	199,241,648 471,277 368,860 4,031,168	0.99529 0.95957 0.86574 0.74562 0.94775
 7 Jurisdictional Storm Costs a Transmission Costs b Distribution Costs c Production Demand Related - Base d Production Demand Related - Intermediate e Production Demand Related - Peaking f Production Energy Related Total 		<u>(((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((</u>	<u>, (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	\$ 256,237.465 \$ 37,589.592 198,303,220 452,223 275,030 3,820.552 \$ 240,440,617	<u>% of Total</u> 15.63% 82.47% 0.09% 0.00% 0.11% 1.59% 100.00%
 8 Recovery of Costs by Period Based on Sales - MWH a Retail Sales Aug 2005-Dec 2005 b Retail Sales Jan 2006-Dec 2006 c Retail Sales Jan 2007-Jul 2007 d Retail Sales Aug 2005-Jul 2007 				MWH 17,310,623 40,148,242 22,972,687 80,431,552	% of Total 21.52% 49.92% 28.56% 100.00%
 9 2005 Beginning Deferred Cost a Less Amount Recovered in 2005 b Ending Deferred Costs 				240,440,517 47,504,617 192,935,000	
10 2006 Beginning Deferred Cost a Less Amount Recovered in 2006 b Encing Deferred Costs				192,936,000 122,723,816 70,212,183	
11 2007 Beginning Deferred Cost a Less Amount Recovered in 2007 b Ending Deferred Casts				70,212,183 70,212,183 0	
12 Amortization for Jan - Dec 2006 Amortization prior to interest (Line 7 * Line 8a) Interest Provision Total Amortization for 2006				\$122,723,816 \$1,900,663 \$124,624,479	
 13 Amortization for Jan - Dec 2005 by Function (E) a Transmission Costs b Distribution Costs c Production Demand Related - Base d Production Demand Related - Intermediate 				19,483,328 102,783,947 234,395 -	
e Production Demand Related - Peaking f Production Energy Related				142,553 1,980,258 \$ 124,524,479	

Notes.

• .

,

(A) Reserve Funds and FPSC Adjustments allocated to function based on percent of total costs incurred on Line 1 (B) Annual Amortization allocated to function based on percent of jurisdictional costs incurred on Line 7

Revised Exhibit No. JP-2

	a Constant	Based on Filing	1999 - 1999 - 19		Based on June YTD 2005 Actuals				
	Capital	O&M	Total		Capital	O&M (1)	Total		
PEF Estimated 2004 Storm Damage Expense	\$ 54,926,450	\$ 311,411,476	\$ 366,337,926		\$ 53,754,654	\$ 330,469,582	\$ 384,224,236		
Staff Adjustments									
Issue 2. Non-Mgmt Payroll Expense		(5,140,639)				(6,094,639)			
Issue 3. Managerial Payroll Expense		(6,197,565)				(6,470,611)			
Issue 6. Tree Trimming Expense		(1,400,000)				(1,400,000)			
Issue 7. Vehicle Expense		(3,043,014)				(3,188,352)			
Issue 8. Call Center Costs		(625,852)				(307,225)			
Issue 9. Advertising & Public Relations Exp		(1,496,270)				(1,456,070)			
Issue 12. Cost of Removal Transfer	8,400,000	(8,400,000)			8,400,000	(8,400,000)			
Total Staff Adjustments	8,400,000	(26,303,340)	(17,903,340)		8,400,000	(27,316,898)	(18,916,898)		
Adjusted Total	63,326,450	285,108,136	348,434,586		62,154,654	303,152,684	365,307,338		
Less: Reserve Balance as of 12/31/2004		(46,915,219)	(46,915,219)			(46,915,219)	(46,915,219)		
Less: Wholesale Portion of Storm Costs per staff 0.952189225		(11,388,188)	(11,388,188)	revised rate 0.938350746		(15,796,849)	(15,796,849)		
Staff Recommended Recovery base on org est.	63,326,450	226,804,729	290,131,179		62,154,654	240,440,616	302,595,270		
PEF Request based on orginal estimate	54,926,450	251,850,486	306,776,936		53,754,654	266,073,447	319,828,101		
	\$ 8,400,000	\$ (25,045,757)	\$ (16,645,757)		\$ 8,400,000	\$ (25,632,831)	\$ (17,232,831)		
Impact to 2005 Net Income			\$ (10,224,656)	\$ 256,138	_		\$ (10,585,267)		

•

•

,

0.061649254

2004 Storm Costs - Revised True-up	Capital	O&M (1) Total
Total Storm Costs Less: Reserve Balance as of 12/31/2004	\$ 53,754,654	(46,915,219) (46,915,219)
Cost of Removal Adjustment Unrecovered 2004 Storm Costs	<u>8,400,000</u> -62,154,654	275,154,363 337,309,017
Wholesale Portion of O&M Costs Retail Storm Costs	6.16%	16,963,061 16,963,061 258,191,302 320,345,956
FPSC Adjustments - System		(6,094,639) (6,094,639)
Issue 2. Non-Mgmi Payroll Expense Issue 3. Managertal Payroll Expense		(6,470,611) (6,470,611)
Issue 6. Tree Trimming Expense Issue 7. Vehicle Expense		(1,400,000) (1,400,000) (3,188,352) (3,188,352)
Issue 8. Call Center Costs Issue 9. Advertising & Public Relations Exp.		(307,225) (307,225) (1,456,070) (1,456,070)
Total System Retail	93.8%	(18,916,898) (18,916,898) (17,750,685) (17,750,685)
Adjusted Retail Recovery	<u></u>	
		15,796,849
Wholesale Portion per original frue-up Revised Wholesale Portion		16,963,061 1,166,212
Difference		1,180,212
Journal entry made December 2005 to transfer wholesale portion of FPSC adjustments left Dr. Wholesale Recoverable Storm Costs 1821050	in Retail 182 account	1,684,066
Cr. Retail Recoverable Storm Costs 1821055		(1,684,056)
Total Commission Proposed O&M Adjustments Separation Factor		18,916,898 93.8%
Retail portion of Commission Adjustments Amount to Charge to Account 5930000		17,750,685 17,232,831
Adjustment to Account 5930000		517,854
Journal entry made December 2005 to transfer wholesale portion of Cost of Removal adjust Dr O&M 5930000	ment	517,854
Cr. Wholesale Recoverable Storm Costs		(517,854)

Reconciliation of Capital Costs between Exhibit JP-2 and Company books

	1861900	2281300	1821055	1821050	5930000	1010100	1070000	Total 1080100 Check	
	(312,074,481) (32,256,534)	46,915,219	243,993,320		16,645,757	32,256,534		4,520,185	-
	(2,668,523)			40,000,700		,,		2,668,523	-
	(13,963,722)		1,677,450	13,963,722				(1,677,450)	-
			(965,271)	4 020 704	965271			(,,,	-
			(1,832,721) 378,197	1,832,721	(378,197)				-
			(1,125,885)			1,125,885 20,372,235	(00.270.025)		-
	(23,260,974)				,	20,372,235	(20,372,235) 23,260,974		
									-
	(384,224,234)	46,915,219	242,125,090	15,796,443	17,232,831	53,754,654	2,888,739	5,511,258	
December 2005 True-up entry			(1,684,066)	1,684,066					-
December 2005 True-up entry Distribution Capital - ADJ True-up Entry	(34,496,761)			(517,854)	517,854	24 406 764			-
Adjust Jeanne number for formula error	34,042					34,496,761	(34,042)		-
June Entry - Joan Borger							6,398,085	(6,398,085)	
Dec - Reverse June Entry November Power Plant							(6,398,085)	6,398,085	
Dec Reverse Joan Borger Entry						23,260,974 (20,372,235)	(23,260,974) 20,372,236		
Dec. Entry to Adjust Removal - Transmission						(2,952,307)	20,072,200	2,952,307	
ADJ to reverse June ADI for Distribution Capital	34,925,056					(32,256,533)		(2,668,523)	-
	(383,761,897)	46,915,219	240,441,024	16,962,655	17,750,685	55,931,314	(34,041)	5,795,042	-

.

6

-