Commissioners: Lisa Polak Edgar, Chairman J. Terry Deason Isilio Arriaga Matthew M. Carter II Katrina J. Tew

X

## STATE OF FLORIDA



GENERAL COUNSEL MICHAEL G. COOKE (850) 413-6248

## Hublic Service Commission

June 9, 2006

STAFF DATA REQUEST Submitted by E-Mail

Patrick M. Bryan, Esquire Law Department Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. William G. Walker, III Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1859

> RE: Docket No. 060150-EI - Petition for approval of revisions to contribution-in-aid-ofconstruction definition in Section 12.1 of First Revised Tariff Sheet No. 6.300, by Florida Power & Light Company.

Dear Messrs. Bryan and Walker:

To assist us in analyzing the above-referenced Petition filed in this docket, the Commission staff requests that you provide responses to the following Staff Data Requests:

**ECR** \_\_\_\_\_2. What methodology did FPL use to decide the 25% reduction in CIAC proposed in the tariff was the appropriate discount?

- PC \_\_\_\_\_3. Please discuss in detail and quantify the benefits of undergrounding limited geographical areas to the general body of ratepayers who reside outside of the specific areas receiving underground construction, and explain how those benefits would be quantified.
- A Please provide the following information: (a) the name of each local government that has a contacted FPL in the past 24 months regarding the conversion of its facilities; (b) the name of each local government that has requested and paid for a binding cost estimate in the past 24 months; (c) the status of the negotiations between FPL and each local government listed in (a) and (b); and (d) an estimate of the conversion costs for each local government listed in (a) and (b).

٦

- 5. Please state the total estimated conversion costs FPL will incur if the Town of Palm Beach enters into a contract with FPL to convert its overhead facilities to underground and the estimated cost to each homeowner. Has the Town of Palm Beach requested and paid for a binding cost estimate from FPL?
- 6. Has any city discussing conversion requested that FPL impose a surcharge on the affected customers' bills to pay for the conversion? If so, would all residents within the boundaries of the governmental entity be required to pay the surcharge?
- 7. The Town of Jupiter Island states in its Petition to Intervene in Docket No. 060150-EI that it has requested and paid for a binding cost estimate from FPL for a conversion project. Please state the cost of the estimate, the total cost of the conversion project, and the cost to each homeowner. Please state whether the actual work has begun and the projected completion date.
- 8. At the May 19, 2006 rule development workshop in Docket Nos. 060172-EU and 0601730-EU, FPL represented that it can justify an investment in the CIAC if FPL undergrounds an area that results in a significant reduction in storm restoration costs. See transcript, p 36. Please discuss and quantify the reduction in storm restoration costs resulting from the conversion project for (a) the Town of Palm Beach, and (b) the Town of Jupiter Island.
- 9. At the May 19, 2006 rule development workshop, FPL referred to a model. See page 37 of the transcript lines 6-7. Please provide a detailed description of this model, including all inputs and assumptions.

If at all possible, please submit your responses to this Staff Data Request by e-mail to me and to Elizabeth Draper by close of business on Monday, July 10, 2006. Ms. Draper's e-mail address is <u>edraper@psc.state.fl.us</u>. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

s/Rosanne Gervasi, Senior Attorney rgervasi@psc.state.fl.us