COMMISSIONERS: LISA POLAK EDGAR, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON ISILIO ARRIAGA MATTHEW M. CARTER II KATRINA J. TEW

STATE OF FLORIDA



TIMOTHY DEVLIN, DIRECTOR

DIVISION OF ECONOMIC REGULATION (850) 413-5900

06 OCT 24 AM 9:01

COMMISSION CI ERK

Hublic Service Commission

October 24, 2006

STAFF'S FIFTH DATA REQUEST

Martin S. Friedman, Esquire Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley, LLP 2180 West State Road 434 Sanlando Center, Suite 2118 Longwood, FL 32779

Re: Docket No. 060254-WS- Application for increase in wastewater rates in Pinellas County by Mid-County Services, Inc.

Dear Mr. Friedman:

Staff needs the following information to complete our review of the application.

1. Has Mid-County Services been contacted by the City of Dunedin concerning providing its treated effluent to the city for reuse (reclaimed water) usage?

2. If so, provide the date, nature of discussion, and any related correspondence with the city. This should include any letters or e-mails either to or from any representative of the City of Dunedin.

CMP 3. Would Mid-County Services be opposed to providing either all, or a portion of, its treated effluent COM to the City of Dunedin?

CTR

4. If so, why? ECR

GCL 5. Would additional capital investment be required in any plant item to provide the treated effluent to the City of Dunedin? OPC

RCA -6. If so, provide a breakdown by primary plant account of any necessary plant items.

SCR 7. Provide the costs involved in discharging the treated effluent into Curlew Creek. This should SGA include the annual costs of testing required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System DOCUMEN Program. SEC

OTH

PSC-COMMISSION CLERK

90

ಸ

က

ന \bigcirc

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850 An Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

Martin S. Friedman, Esquire Page 2 October 24, 2006

8. Would these costs be avoided by discontinuing the disposal of treated effluent into Curlew Creek and providing the effluent to the City of Dunedin?

9. Would the utility be opposed to continuing discussions with the City of Dunedin? If so, why?

10. Would the utility be opposed to discussions with the City of Dunedin with the assistance of the Public Service Commission and the Department of Environmental Protection? If so, why?

Please provide the above information by November 14, 2006. If you have any questions, please call Jay Revell at (850) 413-6425.

Sincerely. Troy-Rendell

Public Utilities Supervisor

TR:jr

cc: Division of Economic Regulation (Bulecza-Banks, Edwards, Revell) Office of the General Counsel (Gervasi)