Į			
1	FI.OP	BEFORE THE IDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2	PHOR	IDA TOBLIC SERVICE COMMENT	
3		DOCKET NO. 060001-EI	
4	In the Matter	of . A A A A	
5	FUEL AND PURCHASED		
6	COST RECOVERY CLAUS GENERATING PERFORMA	NCE INCENTIVE	
7	FACTOR.		
8			
9		and the state of	
10			
11	ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT		
12	THE OFF	CICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.	
13	1112 .121		
14	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING	
15	BEFORE:	MATTHEW M. CARTER, II Prehearing Officer	
16	DATE:	Monday, October 23, 2006	
17	TIME:	Commenced at 9:30 a.m.	
18		Concluded at 12:40 p.m.	
19	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
20		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida	
21	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734	
22			
23			
24			
25			

APPEARANCES:

JOHN BUTLER, ESQUIRE, and R. WADE LITCHFIELD,

ESQUIRE, Florida Power & Light Company, 700 Universe Boulevard,

Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, appearing on behalf of Florida

Power & Light Company.

NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., ESQUIRE, Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A., Post Office Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876, appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

JAMES D. BEASLEY, ESQUIRE, and LEE L. WILLIS, ESQUIRE, ESQUIRE, Ausley & McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

JEFFREY A. STONE, ESQUIRE; RUSSELL BADDERS, ESQUIRE; and STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, ESQUIRE, Beggs & Lane Law Firm, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JOHN T. BURNETT, ESQUIRE, Progress Energy Service

Co., LLC, Post Office Box 14042, St. Petersburg, Florida

33733-4042, appearing on behalf of Progress Energy Service Co.,

LLC.

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

CHARLES J. BECK, ESQUIRE; JOSEPH A. McGLOTHLIN,

ESQUIRE; and PATRICIA CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE, Office of Public

Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street,

Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf

of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

CAPTAIN DAMUND WILLIAMS, c/o AFLSA/JACL-ULT, 139
Barnes Drive, Suite 1, Tyndall AFB, Florida 32403-5319,
appearing on behalf of the Federal Executive Agencies.

ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT, ESQUIRE, and JOHN T. LAVIA, III, ESQUIRE, Young van Assenderp Law Firm, 225 South Adams Street, Suite 200, Tallahassee, 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Retail Federation.

JOHN W. MCWHIRTER, JR., ESQUIRE, c/o McWhirter Reeves
Law Firm, 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450, Tampa, Florida
33602, appearing on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users
Group.

MICHAEL B. TWOMEY, ESQUIRE, Post Office Box 5256, Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256, appearing on behalf of AARP.

JAMES W. BREW, ESQUIRE, Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C., 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington D.C., appearing on behalf of PCS Phosphate - White Springs.

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

LISA BENNETT, ESQUIRE, and COCHRAN KEATING, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

PROCEEDINGS

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Next we shall proceed with Docket Number 060001-CI.

Ms. Bennett, any preliminary matters?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, Commissioner Carter, there are. First we have an appearance by Captain Damund Williams for the Federal Executive Agency. I just want to note that for the record.

CAPTAIN WILLIAMS: I'd like my appearance entered into the record.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Make it so in the record.

MS. BENNETT: Secondly, I want to mention that the draft prehearing order that you have before you today, Commissioner Carter, was revised as of yesterday. And I did by email send copies to every party, and there were additionally copies here. That's the order that we'll be working from today.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay.

MS. BENNETT: And based on that, we'll probably have to go item by item to make sure that we've incorporated any changes that need to be to that order.

The third item I want to mention is that there was a motion previously to include in the fuel docket for evidentiary hearing the natural gas storage petition of Florida Power &

Light. That has already been taken care of by a motion or an 1 order consolidating the two cases for evidentiary hearing. 2 Finally, there was a motion made by the Office of 3 Public Counsel that their petition to recover \$143 million from 4 Progress Energy be spun out of the November fuel docket. That 5 was done administratively and is currently scheduled in Docket 6 Number 060658. 7 At this point I have no other preliminary matters, 8 but there might be items that the parties have. 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any parties that have 10 preliminary matters in this case? 11 MR. BECK: Commissioner, I have a few, but I --12 COMMISSIONER CARTER: You want to wait as we go 13 14 through them? MR. BECK: Yes, please. 15 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Would everyone want to do that, 16 17 just as we go through the issues? Okay. Well, let us proceed. Section I. 18 MS. BENNETT: Section I is the case background. 19 20 changes? It appears that there are none. Section II, conduct of proceedings. Are there any 21 requests for changes? It appears that there are none. Let's 22 23 continue. 24 Section III, jurisdiction. Any changes? 25 We are ready to go to procedure for handling --

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Y'all didn't know I was a 1 ventriloquist, did you? See how I was doing that. My lips 2 3 weren't even moving. MS. BENNETT: I've been advised I need to be quiet. 4 5 (Laughter.) COMMISSIONER CARTER: That's all right. You sounded 6 7 as good as I do on it. So Section I was conduct of the proceedings. 8 9 comment? 10 Section II is case background. 11 Section III, jurisdiction. 12 Section IV. 13 Section V. MR. BECK: Commissioner? 14 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir. 15 MR. BECK: On Section V -- I have a number of items, 16 and the first one is V relating to the GPIF issues. The Office 17 of Public Counsel filed testimony related to GPIF and the way 18 19 the Commission -- we have a number of proposals on how the Commission should handle that both currently and in the future. 20 21 There's a couple of things I'd like to add with respect to 22 that. 23 First of all, it's a separate area of inquiry from 24 the other areas, and we were wondering if that could be

segregated so that we have a witness, Jim Ross, who testifies

on the direct case on GPIF, and I believe there's four witnesses by the companies who filed rebuttal to that, so we wondered if that could be segregated. And the reason I raise that also now is on the summary of testimony in Section V it has limited to five minutes. Because this is a big issue, you know, big policy questions, it would change what the Commission has been doing for 20 years, we'd like to ask for ten minutes for Mr. Ross to provide a summary of his testimony.

So to summarize, I'd like to ask for ten minutes summary for Mr. Ross, and then also to segregate his testimony and the rebuttal testimony to that separately perhaps at the end of this proceeding.

MR. BUTLER: Stating it separately actually seems like a good idea. I don't see why Mr. Ross needs ten minutes though. We've been operating in dockets for the last several years where our witnesses have extremely voluminous, complicated matters that they have to deal with and are pretty routinely limited to five minutes.

MR. BECK: Again, we think it would be helpful to the Commission to allow our witness to present his testimony -- because this is not a routine issue, this is a big policy issue asking for big changes by the Commission, we think it would be helpful.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff?

MR. KEATING: I mean, I think Mr. Butler is correct,

that we typically have limited the summaries to five minutes. Just for your background, the purpose of that has primarily been to speed up the hearing process, particularly in a hearing like this where we've got several dockets over a few days. But it's a matter that's entirely within your discretion as to the time to allow for the witness summary. And if you would like to take that under advisement, you could do that as well and reflect your ruling in the prehearing order.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: So the only heartburn is the ten minutes versus five minutes? Out of what Mr. Beck had just mentioned, is that the only heartburn that we have here?

MR. BECK: Commissioner, I do have one other issue related to that. It'll come up later on the opening statements.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay.

MR. BECK: You have limiting opening statements to ten minutes per party. We would like to separate our ten minutes into the portion that deals with GPIF so that we have five minutes opening statement there and then five minutes in the other portion of the hearing for the other matters. So we're agreeing to the ten minutes. We would just like to separate it so that there's a separate opening statement related to the GPIF issues.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Butler?

MR. BUTLER: We wouldn't have an objection to that.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff? 1 MS. BENNETT: We don't have an objection to it being 2 heard separately. It's certainly within the Commission's 3 discretion. 4 COMMISSIONER CARTER: I'll think about it. 5 Anything else on Section V, I believe we were on, or 6 was it Section IV? Section IV, is that where we were? 7 MS. BENNETT: We were on Section V, prefiled 8 9 testimony and exhibits. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Section V, posthearing 10 11 procedures. Any questions on Section V? MS. BENNETT: I apologize, Commissioner. Section V, 12 posthearing procedures, is now in the order Section XIII. 13 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Oh, so you got the -- so that's 14 what you meant when you had another list done. 15 Okay. Let's take a two-minute break. 16 (Recess taken.) 17 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Come back to order. Let us 18 come to order in this prehearing. I hope everybody is okay. 19 We just had little, slight technical difficulties. Sometimes I 20 can be technologically challenged here. 21 But what I would like to do is just kind of -- let me 22 just read the order first and then we'll go from there. That 23 way if you guys want to make notes on your sheets, we'll all be 24 on the same page. Is that okay with you guys? 25

So -- and we're not going to go through them -- we'll 1 come back and go through them individually. But I want you to 2 3 have them in your notes so that in Docket Number 060001-EI, Section I would be the case background; Section II, conduct of 4 proceedings. Let me know if I'm going too slow and I'll speed 5 it up. Section III will remain jurisdiction. Section IV would 6 7 be procedure for handling confidential information. Section V will be prefiled testimony and exhibits. Section VI would be 8 9 order of witnesses. Section VII, basic positions. 10 VIII, issues and positions. I don't see you guys writing. 11 Maybe y'all had the right sheet all the time, huh? Section IX 12 is exhibit list. Section X, proposed stipulations. Section XI 13 will be pending motions. Section XII will be pending 14 confidentiality matters. And Section XIII will be posthearing 15 procedures. And finally Section XIV would be rulings. 16 everyone's documents reflect that? Okay. Very good. 17 Let us continue. We were on Section V dealing with

Let us continue. We were on Section V dealing with prefiled testimony and exhibits on Section V.

MR. BADDERS: Good morning, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BADDERS: Russell Badders on behalf of Gulf
Power. This relates to Section V and VI. As in the 07 docket,
in 01 Witness R. J. Martin will be adopting the testimony,
prefiled direct testimony of Terry A. Davis filed on March 1 of
2006. So we will do that at the hearing, but we also need that

to be reflected in the order of witnesses in the next section. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any objections? Hearing none, make it so. 3 MR. BURNETT: Commissioner? 4 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir. 5 MR. BURNETT: Same as to PEF witness Joseph 6 McCallister. Mr. McCallister will be adopting the March 1st 7 8 testimony of Pamela Murphy and all her exhibits as well, sir. 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any objections? Make it 10 so. 11 Anymore on Section V dealing with prefiled testimony 12 and exhibits? 13 Okay. Section VI, order of witnesses. 14 MR. HORTON: Commissioner? 15 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir. MR. HORTON: Doc Horton for Florida Public Utilities. 16 17 Just -- no objection to the order of witnesses. One of my 18 witnesses may have a conflict on a date, and I will work with 19 staff to take care of that. But we're trying to arrange that 20 conflict out, just to let you know though. 21 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Duly noted. Any others 22 with any problems with potential witnesses? 23 MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner Carter, Tampa Electric 24 rebuttal witness William A. Smotherman needs to be added at the 25 bottom of the list of witnesses.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any objections? Show it 1 2 done. MR. BEASLEY: And also if I could request a change in 3 the order of our witnesses. We had submitted this earlier. 4 Apparently it didn't get picked up in the draft. But on the 5 Tampa Electric witnesses on the second page of the list of 6 witnesses, if we could request that Ms. Wehle go first, followed by Benjamin Smith, followed by Carlos Aldazabal, and 8 finishing up with William A. Smotherman. 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Show it done. 10 MR. BEASLEY: Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any other on Section V, 12 13 prefiled testimony and exhibits? Hearing none, Section VI -- or was -- were we on 14 Section VI, order of witnesses? We were on Section V. I'm 15 still looking at my old sheet. Sorry, guys. 16 MR. BECK: And Commissioner Carter, again, the 17 comments I made earlier would apply here. I would ask that the 18 GPIF witnesses be all put together. 19 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. That would be fine, Mr. 20 21 Beck. Staff, did you get that? 22 MS. BENNETT: Yes. We have that all of the GPIF 23 witnesses for OPC are placed together. But are we going to 24 have all of the GPIF witnesses together for the --25

1	MR. BECK: That's what I would request, that you'd		
2	have Mr. Ross up, file direct testimony, and then the people		
3	who filed rebuttal to him then follow that.		
4	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Did you gentlemen and ladies		
5	hear what Mr. Beck has said? Is there any objection to what		
6	Mr. Beck has said?		
7	MR. BUTLER: The only thing just in terms of the		
8	order, how it goes, I think that since OPC is the proponent of		
9	this issue, Mr. Ross goes first. I don't know what you'd call		
10	us, whether we're intervenors or just company witnesses. And		
11	then there's actually rebuttal that Mr. Ross filed; right?		
12	MR. BECK: Right.		
13	MR. BUTLER: Okay. So I guess that's the order it		
14	would end up showing is Ross, the company's witnesses, and the		
15	Ross again.		
16	MR. BECK: Right. I'd agree with that.		
17	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any objection? Staff?		
18	MS. BENNETT: We can include that in the prehearing		
19	order.		
20	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Show it done.		
21	Section VII, basic positions.		
22	MS. BENNETT: It doesn't appear that anyone has		
23	changes to the basic positions.		
24	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Show it done.		
25	Section VIII, issues and positions. Okay. Give me		

1 one moment here to flip my notes around. First would be the issues relating to the generic 2 fuel adjustment issues, Issue 1. 3 MS. BENNETT: This is a fallout issue and staff is of 4 the position that until other issues are resolved, this issue 5 cannot be decided, so it would not be stipulated. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. 8 MR. HORTON: Commissioner Carter? 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir. 10 MR. HORTON: It would appear that, it would appear that Florida Public Utilities and the staff are of the same 11 12 view though. It would seem that for Issue 1 that that could be 1.3 a stipulation for Florida Public Utilities. COMMISSIONER CARTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear it. 14 15 MR. HORTON: It would appear that that could be a 16 stipulation as to Florida Public Utilities in that particular 17 issue. 18 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff? MS. BENNETT: Staff is still reviewing those numbers 19 and they're not ready to stipulate. We may be by the end of 20 21 the week. MR. HORTON: That's fine. 22 23 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any other comments on

Issue 1? We'll reserve that one for later.

Issue 2.

24

MS. BENNETT: Again, Commissioner, this is a fallout 1 issue and cannot be determined until other issues in the docket 2 3 have been decided. We are still reviewing FPUC's number. We're not ready to stipulate to that one yet, but maybe by the 4 end of the week. 5 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Issue 3. 6 7 MS. BENNETT: Again, Commissioner, this is a fallout issue and will not be determined until all of the other issues, 8 9 or several of the other issues are determined. Again, we will be working with FPUC to stipulate to their numbers. 10 11 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Issue 4. MS. BENNETT: This issue has been stipulated. It is 12 13 included in Section X. 14 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any, anybody, any parties commenting any differently? Okay. Show it done. 15 Issue 5. 16 17 MS. BENNETT: Staff does not currently have a stipulation for any of the parties on this matter. 18 19 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. We'll just hold on for that one for a minute. 20 21 Issue 6. MS. BENNETT: Again, this is a fallout issue. Issues 22 23 1 through 5 need to be determined prior to this one being 24 determined.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Issue 7.

MS. BENNETT: Issue 7 is stipulated. We will address 1 that in Section X. 2. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any parties? Show it 3 done. 4 Issue 8. 5 MS. BENNETT: Issue 8, staff has not -- is not 6 prepared to take a -- is not prepared to stipulate to this one 7 yet. 8 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. 9 MS. BENNETT: Each party has taken a position. 10 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Just give me one second 11 12 here. Issue 9. MS. BENNETT: Issue 9 is stipulated. We will discuss 13 14 that in Section X. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any parties comment on Issue 9? 15 Any parties? Show it done. 16 17 Issue 10. MS. BENNETT: Issue 10 is stipulated to all parties 18 except TECO, and I believe we are now ready to stipulate with 19 TECO. I need to state a number and make certain that they're 20 in agreement with that number, and also that the other parties, 21 AARP, FIPUG, are in agreement with their stipulation. 22 The number that TECO and staff have agreed to for 23 their benchmark is \$1,051,869. 24 MR. BEASLEY: And Tampa Electric is in agreement with 25

that number. 1 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Other parties? Mr. 2 Beck? 3 MR. BECK: We don't take a position on that. 4 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Show it done. 5 Issue 11. 6 7 MS. BENNETT: Issue 11 is also stipulated by all -to all parties except TECO, and, again, we have a number that I 8 9 believe if TECO is in agreement with we can stipulate to. The 10 number is \$1,165,220. 11 MR. BEASLEY: And Tampa Electric agrees with that 12 number. 13 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any other parties? 14 MR. TWOMEY: No position. 15 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Beck? 16 MR. BECK: No position. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Show it done. 17 18 Issue 13. Wait a minute. Hold it. Sorry. My pages 19 are out of order here. I believe I'm supposed to be on Issue 20 12; is that correct? 21 MR. TWOMEY: 12. COMMISSIONER CARTER: 12, Issue 12. Thank you, 22 23 Mr. Twomey. I appreciate that. 24 MS. BENNETT: Currently staff does not have a

25

position on Issue 12.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Issue 13. 1 MS. BENNETT: Again, staff does not have a position 2 3 on Issue 13. I believe all of the parties have stated positions. 4 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Go ahead. Who was --5 MR. BECK: I'm sorry. 6 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Beck. 7 MR. BECK: We just have a typo on the second line of 8 our position where it says, "Experiencing and over or under." 9 It should be "an" instead of "and." I think that was our, our 10 11 typo. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Did you guys get that language 12 13 change? MS. BENNETT: Yes, Commissioner. 14 15 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. So we're on Issue 14. MS. BENNETT: Staff has taken no position at this 16 17 time. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Now under the generic 18 fuel adjustment issues, Issues 1 through 14, did we overlook 19 any issue, staff, parties? Ordinarily I wouldn't do that, but 20 we had a little -- you know, I wouldn't want a typographical 21 22 error to cause somebody to get hurt there. Okay. 23 MR. McWHIRTER: Commissioner Carter, on 13 FIPUG 24 would like to change its position to agree with OPC. COMMISSIONER CARTER: On Issue 13? 25

1	MR. McWHIRTER: Yes, sir.
2	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff, would you make that
3	change, please.
4	MS. BENNETT: Yes, Commissioner.
5	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Give me a moment here.
6	We'll go into the company-specific issues. It looks like
7	Progress Energy Florida, Issue 15A.
8	MS. BENNETT: Staff has no position at this time.
9	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. 15B.
LO	MS. BENNETT: This issue is part of the spinoff
L1	docket 060658 and, by stipulation, has been dropped from this
L2	docket.
L3	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Show it done.
L4	16A. On Florida Power & Light, 16A.
L5	MS. BENNETT: Staff has no position at this time.
16	COMMISSIONER CARTER: 16B.
L7	MR. BUTLER: 16B, Commissioner Carter
18	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Butler.
19	MR. BUTLER: FPL would ask, and I will dispense
20	with the long-winded explanation, but that we need the same
21	determination about whether this issue is going to be in or out
22	of this docket that we had the discussion about the CAMR
23	project in the 07 docket.
24	The position of OPC on this issue is again premature.

They think that it should be spun off. We disagree. We think

that it's properly here, that there's been adequate opportunity for discovery on the project, and, of course, the project-specific costs will continue to be subject to review and scrutiny in the future. But we would like it to be decided here. We would like one way or the other for there to be a ruling on whether it is going to be heard and decided in this docket or not rather than carried forward as something where there's potential for it to get, excuse me, deferred even after the hearing had begun.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff?

MS. BENNETT: Staff does not have a position on this yet. We will be ready for the hearing on November the 6th, if it is the Commission's desire to hear this issue.

Consistent with your ruling in the ECRC, you might wish to take this under advisement as to whether we will continue it or hear it on November the 6th.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Let's do that. And if there are other -- and I know we're going to go through all the issues, but if there are other company-specific issues related to this, let's do it all at one time so we can have some clarity to this issue.

MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Carter?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir, Mr. Butler.

MR. BUTLER: One thing I neglected to add, I should just to be sure everybody is understanding where we are on

this, it is, as with the CAMR project, important to us to get a decision now, that this is heard, in fact, in this docket. Perhaps in some ways it's -- I wouldn't say it's more important but it's important for a different reason. This is a project where, like the gas storage projects you'll be hearing about later, you know, FPL is sort of at a threshold of making a decision whether to proceed with the project. And if we don't get a decision in this docket, if it gets spun off and, you know, several months pass before we get a decision, most likely that will have the effect of deferring the commencement of the project. We're a major player in the project in question, this Southeast Supply Header Project. And right now the project is scheduled where it would be in service and available to benefit FPL through the summer, both the sort of summer peak season and also the potential to help avoid Gulf of Mexico supply disruptions in the hurricane season of 2008. If there were a several-month deferral of a decision on this, the project might very well not be available in time to assist in that year. it's -- obviously you need to take what time you need to make an appropriate decision, but that is a consideration about the timing of the project that we would ask you to keep in mind.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you.

Mr. Beck?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BECK: Yes, if I could briefly respond.

Commissioner, I want to first of all adopt all the comments

that Ms. Christensen made previously in the other docket, but would add that we think that this case is even stronger for not having it in here.

I think FPL first filed anything about this

September 1st. So this was filed later than the CAMR and the

CAIR expenses. Also there's no projected expenses during any
period here for this project. You'll see in the issue itself
it says beginning 2008. So there's no, there's no reason for
this to be in here because there's no cost associated with the
period the Commission is looking at. It's our position that
the only reason this is here is because FPL wants it to be here
and has made it a part of their agreement. But there's no need
for having this in the fuel docket, which is an expedited
process with expedited decision-making, so.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Twomey?

MR. TWOMEY: Commissioner Carter, I want to adopt what Public Counsel just said and go one step further and suggest that the, all these companies, including Florida Power & Light, have managements. This Commission isn't here to manage the operation of these utilities. The management are there to run their companies in the furtherance of the public good, do what they find and determine are in the best interest of their customers and their stockholders and do those things that are reasonable and prudent. And the, the tactic of coming in and saying to the Commission, we're at the cusp of making a

decision here, and if you don't make a decision now and give us 1 2 a yes or no, we may have to defer it at the expense of our 3 customers and doing something good is, I think, just wrong. 4 If the decision of this company, if they believe 5 entering in this project is the proper thing to do, that it's cost-effective and would benefit their customers, they should 6 7 do it and then bring their proofs in when they have the, the 8 data, the numbers, the projections and so forth. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Anyone else? Staff? 9 10 MS. BENNETT: Again, Commissioner, it is, it is the 11 pleasure of the Commission as to whether they would like to hear and make a decision on November 6th or to continue it to a 12 time later in the year. And consistent with the ECRC, I 13 14 would -- you could take it under advisement and issue your 15 opinion with the prehearing order. 16 COMMISSIONER CARTER: I'll do that. I want to see, I want to see staff's position also on this matter. 17 18 Let us move further to 16C. 19 MS. BENNETT: 16C. Staff has not taken a position on 20 this. 21 COMMISSIONER CARTER: 16D. MS. BENNETT: 16D. Due to a numbering error, there 22 is no 16D.

> COMMISSIONER CARTER: Aha.

MR. TWOMEY: I'm sorry.

23

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Τ	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir, Mr. Twomey.		
2	MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. Thank you. AARP's position		
3	would be agree with Florida Power & Light.		
4	COMMISSIONER CARTER: On 16E?		
5	MR. TWOMEY: On 16 echo, E.		
6	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir.		
7	Staff?		
8	MS. BENNETT: Just a minute, Commissioner.		
9	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay.		
10	MS. BENNETT: Staff is not ready to agree with		
11	Florida Power & Light's position. We're still checking the		
12	number, the \$96 million number. Once that number has been		
13	verified, then we may be ready to agree with FPL.		
14	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Would you make the changes		
15	recommended by Mr. Twomey on this 16E?		
16	MS. BENNETT: Yes. My understanding is he will agree		
17	with FPL.		
18	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. 16F.		
19	MS. BENNETT: Staff has not taken a position on this		
20	issue.		
21	MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Carter? I'm sorry. Before		
22	we leave that		
23	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir. Mr. Butler.		
24	MR. BUTLER: I would like to note a change in		
25	FPL's position. And actually I had thought from our		

pre-prehearing conference call that maybe there was the 1 possibility of stipulation on this. We had discussed with 2 Public Counsel that we would agree to the figure that appeared 3 in our discovery responses for the calculation of the 4 replacement power cost, which is \$6.163 million. I believe 5 Public Counsel is prepared to accept that figure. And I don't 6 know if staff has had an opportunity to confirm yet or not that 7 it agrees that's the appropriate number, but I'm raising it as 8 9 a possibility for a stipulation. 10 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Beck?

MR. BECK: Yes. We agree and think that the number ought to be stipulated.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BENNETT: Staff is in agreement with the \$6.163 million, so we can show this issue as stipulated as to Florida Power & Light and OPC and staff. I'm not certain what the other parties' positions will be.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any other parties want to be heard on this?

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, sir. We agree with Public Counsel. So if they're in agreement with the company, then we're good with that.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Mr. Wright?

MR. WRIGHT: Same here, Commissioner Carter. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Let's see. Mr. McWhirter?

MR. McWHIRTER: I'm happy, Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Is everybody else happy?

Okay. Show it done.

16G.

MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Carter?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir, Mr. Butler.

MR. BUTLER: I need to speak to Issue 16G. This issue is one that has kind of evolved through the fall and we are concerned about kind of where it stands at this point.

The issue is whether or not there is anything imprudent or otherwise that I guess for some other reason FPL should be not allowed to recover the replacement power costs associated with a hole that -- the outage that resulted from a hole being drilled in pressurizer piping at Turkey Point Unit 3.

We filed some testimony, covered this at sort of a high level in testimony that was filed in September by Mr. Gwinn. No one, including the Office of Public Counsel, has filed any testimony in opposition to, you know, what was stated by Mr. Gwinn and, therefore, there's no opportunity, no occasion for Mr. Gwinn to file rebuttal testimony that would go into any more detail on the subject. So we have a fairly complicated issue with basically a couple of pages of overview testimony and then whatever may come of cross-examination at

the hearing. That by itself would be somewhat troubling.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

What makes it particularly troubling here is the fact that this is by all indications the result of someone, you know, malicious mischief, sabotage, whatever you want to call it, you know, deliberately drilling this hole. FPL, excuse me, is conducting an investigation, the FBI is conducting an investigation, NRC has. The FBI's investigation continues, and FPL is under very strict instructions not to disclose practically anything about what is going on in the investigation to the extent we know. To a large extent we don't even have the information. But the bottom line is that at this point in time and, you know, most likely at the time of the hearing we've got this existing thin record and a situation where a lot of the questions that might be put to Mr. Gwinn, he's not going to be in a position to answer publicly even if he knows the answers, and in most instances he doesn't because the FBI is keeping its investigation close to their vests.

What we would propose here is that the issue be deferred and that we would agree that, you know, any amount that is associated with replacement power costs, if there were later a determination that all or a portion of that was imprudent, then we would refund it to customers with interest running from the time when the money was collected. And that we could do that, you know, the deferral in either one of two ways. One would be simply to defer it to next year where, you

know, one, there would be sort of a natural occasion, it would be the final true-up for the costs that are in question here and we could pick it up at that point. Or if there was a preference to do so, we would be willing to have it spun off and heard at some earlier time whenever the investigation by the FBI is concluded. And we would take responsibility for notifying the Commission and the parties when that investigation was concluded. Either of those approaches would be acceptable to FPL. And we think either of them would be preferable to going forward to what will end up being presumably a final determination on this issue where we've got the limitations that I just described on the record that you would be deciding it on.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Beck?

MR. BECK: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner, OPC cannot agree with Mr. Butler on his proposal.

We can think of no factual circumstance where the customers

would be responsible in this case. FPL has complete control

over access to its nuclear facility. You know, anybody that's

been to one of these knows that you can't just walk in.

There's an incredible amount of control over who enters and who

doesn't. And as Mr. Butler said, this is a case of malicious

mischief or sabotage, and it can only be either an FPL employee

or a contractor of FPL who engaged in this. I don't know what

waiting for the final FBI report would do because given those

circumstances where you have \$6 million of extra fuel costs that are caused by an act of sabotage or mischief by either a contractor or employee of FPL, we don't see any, any circumstance where customers would be responsible for that.

You have the deliberate drilling of a 3/16th-inch hole in their pressurized pipe.

FPL has the burden of proof at all times. And the notion that they should be allowed to charge these extra -- over \$6 million to customers, you know, pending another year for an FBI investigation we don't think is correct.

Now if FPL wants to delay this for another year, it seems to me that what that says is they're not prepared to meet their burden of proof at this point, you know, as far as justifying the extra fuel charges. If FPL would agree to take those monies out pending another time, if they want to wait until the FBI report, then, fine, we would go with that. We don't see what facts are going to come in that would change anything, but if they want to. But we cannot agree to have them recover these costs now from customers when they can't prove their entitlement to it. So to extent Mr. Butler would agree that FPL would not charge those \$6 million now but wants to come in in another year and prove its case up, we wouldn't oppose that. But we very much oppose them collecting the money now.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Wright?

MR. WRIGHT: We agree strongly with the Public Counsel on this issue, Commissioner Carter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. McWhirter?

MR. McWHIRTER: FIPUG is in accord with Public Counsel.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Twomey?

MR. TWOMEY: Yes, Commissioner Carter. AARP very strongly supports the Public Counsel on this. If -- there should not be -- we don't believe there is an automatic default in every situation where the company takes the money from the customers and then says, if we can't prove it later, we'll give it back to them. We think especially under these circumstances where they have some of the most tightly guarded facilities in the country, we agree with Public Counsel, we can't conceive of any factual situation that will shift the responsibility for this delay and the costs associated with it to the customers, that the company should take its time in making a case, trying to make a case that the customers, not the stockholders, should pay for this tampering, whatever it was. And if they're successful in that, whether it's in a spinoff or next year's fuel proceeding, then the customers should have to pay the money and interest as is traditional. But the default shouldn't always be in each and every case no matter how extreme that the customers should have to pay upfront.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Butler?

MR. BUTLER: I have two responses. First of all, as to the, sort of the default for recovering the money, I think that, first of all, FPL actually incurred these replacement power costs. I think there's very little debate over that subject.

The Commission's practice has been consistently in these circumstances to allow actual fuel costs to be recovered pending a decision that those costs are imprudently incurred. And at that point then they would have to be refunded with interest, which is the proposal that I made, you know.

One example that particularly comes to mind to me, I worked on it, was in 1984 FPL had the thermal shield on its St. Lucie Unit 1 nuclear unit fail; incurred considerable replacement fuel costs on the order of \$180 million, if I remember correctly. That money was recovered as a matter of course through the fuel adjustment proceedings at the time, and there was a proceeding that carefully investigated the prudence of FPL's action with respect to the handling of initially installing, maintaining, et cetera, the thermal shield.

Ultimately it resulted in no disallowance. But the procedure was set up so that if FPL had been found to be imprudent in some respect, then the money would be given back to customers with interest. And I see nothing different between that and the situation we're talking about here. I know there was an instance in 1986 very similarly handled with respect to outages

at the Crystal River unit of Florida Progress at the time, or Florida, Florida Power at the time, and I think that is the appropriate procedure here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other thing I would note is that it seems to be that the folks at that end of the bench are describing this as being a matter of strictly a legal dispute; that, you know, if a utility is handling things or if an incident occurs because of the actions of its employees, then the utility ought to be responsible for that kind of irrespective of how well it did in trying to avoid that situation from occurring. You know, I suppose if there was an interest in stipulating that FPL was prudent in all respects with respect to hiring the personnel, supervising the personnel, controlling their access to the plants and nonetheless, you know, somebody was able to slip through the cracks and drill this hole that we're talking about, and so the only issue is, accepting that stipulation of prudence in all respects, is FPL nonetheless responsible for the costs, that maybe we could just brief that as a legal issue. I'm not sure whether the Public Counsel and others would be willing to make that stipulation.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff?

MR. KEATING: Commissioner, this, this may be one that you want to give some additional consideration to, take it under advisement. I mean, it seems that regardless of what we do with this specific Issue 16G which asks who's responsible

for the additional fuel cost, if we defer it or address it in this hearing, there's still the question if you don't -- if you defer it, there's still the question of whether that additional fuel cost gets included in the fuel charges for next year or, or not, pending the results of whatever decision you make down the road.

I believe that that second question could possibly be addressed in one of the earlier generic issues on the total amount to be recovered. But this is one -- it may be helpful to take this one under advisement.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. We'll do that. We'll take it under advisement.

MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Carter?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir.

MR. BUTLER: I'm sorry. If you're going to take it under advisement, one other thing that I would like to ask then is that if you decide that it's going to proceed in this docket, we would like the opportunity, FPL would like the opportunity to file brief additional written testimony addressing the issue in greater detail. Because, as I say, the way it has evolved, there's been a very thin record on the subject.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: I would presume that whatever ruling I make, everybody would want to file something in this matter. Am I correct?

MR. BECK: I don't know. I don't know what Mr. Butler has in mind for his testimony.

Commissioner Carter, what I had planned to do, and I gave Mr. Butler notice of this early last week, is that we plan to use a few documents that FPL provided and claims to be confidential. And we don't contest the confidentiality, but we plan to put into evidence a licensee event report and a final disposition report that FPL, you know, provided in discovery responses.

As far as FPL filing additional testimony, you know, it's getting awfully late. I would be glad to talk to Mr. Butler, see what he has in mind, and perhaps we could reach an agreement. I suspect we could. Time is running out. And particularly how do we do discovery on a witness filing testimony at this point in time?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. I'll still take it under advisement, staff.

Issue 16H.

MS. BENNETT: Issues 16H, 16I and 16J have all been stipulated. They are moved to Docket 060362.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: For the record, Issues 16H, 16I, 16J have been moved from Docket Number 060001-EI to Docket Number 060362.

Issue 17A.

MS. BENNETT: Staff has taken no position at this

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 time. 2 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 18A. MS. BENNETT: Commissioner, this issue has been 3 dropped per stipulation by the parties. However, we will also 4 by stipulation use the witness's testimony, exhibits and 5 discovery by both the parties and the Commission to support 6 7 positions or decisions in Docket 060362. 8 COMMISSIONER CARTER: So then for the record, Issue 18A from Docket Number 060001-EI has been moved to Docket 9 Number 060362. 10 11 Issue 18B. 12 MS. BENNETT: And, Commissioner, Issue 18A is company 13 specific, Gulf Power. It actually has not been moved. 14 just been dropped. But there is specific testimony that the 15 parties will use to support their positions in 362. 1.6 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Correction. Issue 18A in 17 Docket Number 060001-EI has been dropped from this docket but 18 will be used in Docket 060362. Is that the correct language that we needed for the record? 19 20 MS. BENNETT: Yes, Commissioner. 21 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Show it done. 22 Issue 18B. 23 MS. BENNETT: Staff has taken no position at this

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 18C.

time.

24

1 MS. BENNETT: This issue has been dropped. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 18C in Docket Number 2 3 060001-EI has been dropped. Issue 19A. 4 MS. BENNETT: Commissioner, this issue has also been 5 dropped. The witness testimony, exhibits and discovery will 6 also be used by the parties and Commission to support their 7 positions or decisions in Docket 060362. 8 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 19A in Docket Number 9 10 060001-EI has been dropped. It will be used in Docket Number 060362. 11 Issue 19B. 12 13 MS. BENNETT: Staff has taken no position at this 14 time. 15 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 19C. 16 MR. BEASLEY: Under 19B, Commissioner, could I 17 request -- I don't know that any of the other parties have anything adverse to us on this issue. If they could state "no 18 19 position," that would be helpful. 20 COMMISSIONER CARTER: So we're back on Issue 19B. 21 MR. BEASLEY: 19B. 22 COMMISSIONER CARTER: You're recognized. 23 MR. BEASLEY: 19B. 24 COMMISSIONER CARTER: 19B, parties? 25 MR. TWOMEY: No position.

1	MR. BECK: No position, Commissioner.
2	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff, Issue 19B?
3	MS. BENNETT: Issue 19B, we have not taken a position
4	yet. Staff has not taken a position.
5	MR. BEASLEY: I was just asking about the other
6	parties. And I understand nobody else has taken a position on
7	this issue.
8	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Great.
9	MR. KEATING: And, Commissioner, I believe through
10	the order establishing procedure that there probably and I
11	can't recall if this provision is in the order establishing
12	procedure in this particular docket or not. I know most of our
13	new orders establishing procedure do make it almost default
14	that if you haven't taken a position by the prehearing, your,
15	any position that's listed as "no position at this time" will
16	probably be shown as "no position" in the prehearing order.
17	MR. BEASLEY: Okay. Thank you. And I recognize that
18	does not apply to the staff, so.
19	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Keating? Okay. Okay.
20	19C.
21	MS. BENNETT: Staff has not taken a position on 19C
22	yet.
23	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 20.
24	MS. BENNETT: We may have a stipulation on Issue 20.
25	Staff is in agreement with each of the investor-owned

utilities. It's my understanding that OPC does not object or 1 2 does not oppose these numbers. 3 MR. BECK: That's correct. We don't oppose the numbers. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any other parties? 6 MR. TWOMEY: Same. 7 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. MS. BENNETT: So we can show Issue 20 as stipulated. 8 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Show it done. 10 Issue 21. 11 MS. BENNETT: Staff has not taken a position. Each 12 of the other parties have taken a position with the exception of the Federal -- of the FEA. 13 CAPTAIN WILLIAMS: FEA has no position, sir. 14 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you. We'll continue. 15 Issue 22. 16 17 MS. BENNETT: Again, staff has no position at this time. 18 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 23. 19 20 MS. BENNETT: This issue has been dropped. 21 duplicative of Issues 21 and 22. 22 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Show it done. 23 Issue 24. 24 MS. BENNETT: Staff is not taking a position. This 25 is pending resolution of Issues 21 and 22.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Let us move now to --1 I'm sorry. Commissioner Carter? MR. BUTLER: 2 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir. 3 MR. BUTLER: May I ask staff a question about Issue 4 24, please? 5 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue which one? 6 24. MR. BUTLER: 7 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir, you're recognized. 8 MR. BUTLER: Is the, is the question over what the 9 ranges should be? What I'm looking at here is that, you know, 10 this has to do with the targets. And when I look at certainly 11 for FPL what the targets are stated as, I'm not sure how 12 anything that OPC is proposing in Issues 22 or 23, or I guess 13 it's 21 or 22 would affect that. I guess it's also maybe a 14 question for Public Counsel. If there's a possibility for 15 stipulation here, I'm just wanting to not let it slip through 16 17 the cracks. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Mr. Beck? 1.8 MR. BECK: I think we continue -- I don't see where 19 24 affects 21 and 22. And we've take no position on 24, so I 20 think the question is really of staff more than the parties 21 though. 22 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Give them a moment here. 23 Staff, you're recognized. 24 MS. BENNETT: Thank you. We're not ready to take a 25

position. We will continue to work with the parties on this 1 issue. But at the current time we're not ready to apply the 2 3 deadband or look to see how that applies to the numbers. Okay. Now we have 4 COMMISSIONER CARTER: 5 company-specific GPIF issues. We have Progress Energy Florida. 6 MS. BENNETT: There are no company-specific GPIF issues as far as staff is concerned. You might wish to check 7 8 with the other parties to see if they've raised any. 9 MR. BECK: None by OPC. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. FPL? 10 11 MR. BUTLER: None. 12 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any issues on the 13 company-specific GPIF issues? I'm going down the list of the 14 companies. I'm sorry. 15 MR. BECK: Oh, no. All the companies, none by OPC. 16 COMMISSIONER CARTER: None on all the companies? 17 None by OPC. 18 MR. BECK: Right. 19 MR. TWOMEY: Same. 20 MR. WRIGHT: Same. 21 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you so kindly. 22 Let us turn now to Issue 29. I wasn't overlooking 23 you guys, I was just -- Issue 29. 24 MS. BENNETT: Issue 29, we -- staff is not ready to

take a position on this particular issue.

1 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 30. 2 MS. BENNETT: Again, staff is not prepared to take a position at this time. 3 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 31. 4 5 MR. BUTLER: I'm sorry. Commissioner Carter? 6 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir, Mr. Butler. 7 MR. BUTLER: Back quickly to Issue 29. 8 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 29. 9 MR. BUTLER: A question of staff. It looks like for 10 some of the utilities, including FPL, you have taken a position 11 on Issue 29. I was just wondering if it's possible for there 12 to be a stipulation with respect to FPL. 13 MS. BENNETT: These numbers are subject to being confirmed by discovery, so we're not quite prepared to take a 14 position on this. 15 16 MR. BUTLER: Okay. Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER CARTER: So be it. 18 MS. BENNETT: And the same would hold true for Issue 30. There are numbers for FPL and Progress. 19 20 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Issue 31. 21 MS. BENNETT: And, again, staff is not prepared to 22 take a position. We're -- the numbers are still pending subject to discovery. 23 24 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 32. 25 MS. BENNETT: Staff is not prepared to take a

position on Issue 32. 1 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 33. 2 MS. BENNETT: Staff is not ready to take a position 3 on Issue 33. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 34. MS. BENNETT: Issue 34 has been stipulated and is in 6 Section X at this time. 7 COMMISSIONER CARTER: All parties in agreement with 8 that? Show it done. 9 10 Issue 35. 11 MS. BENNETT: Staff has not taken a position on Issue 12 35 at this time. 13 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Issue 36A. MS. BENNETT: Staff has not taken a position on Issue 14 15 36A. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Would that include TECO and 16 17 FPL? MS. BENNETT: This is a company-specific to Progress 18 19 Energy, so, no, it does not include TECO or FPL. 20 Okay. So there's no position COMMISSIONER CARTER: 21 on Issue 36A as it relates to Progress Energy, TECO or FPL? MS. BENNETT: On Issue 36A the issue is whether 22 23 Progress Energy incremental security costs as projected for 2007 are reasonable for capacity cost recovery purposes. And 24 25 staff's position is that we're awaiting review of discovery.

s

1	There the company-specific issue for TECO is Issue 37, and
2	there are no company-specific issues for TECO. There are
3	several under Florida Power & Light.
4	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Hold on a second here. Hold
5	on. I don't have an Issue 37. I just have the companies
6	listed. So let's go to since we're not ready on that, let'
7	just go to Issue 38A then, I mean, excuse me, 30 wait a
8	minute. Yeah, 38A.
9	MS. BENNETT: 38A?
10	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Because you don't have a
11	position on the prior, right, on 36A?
12	MS. BENNETT: 36A we do not have a position.
13	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. And no company-specific
14	issues for TECO. And is there none for FP&L on 36A?
15	MS. BENNETT: FPL is Issue 38A.
16	COMMISSIONER CARTER: That's a no; right? Is that
17	what you're saying?
18	MR. BUTLER: No. That's right. No. We don't have
19	any position on 36A.
20	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. That's all I need to
21	know.
22	MS. BENNETT: I'm sorry.
23	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you. Okay. Let's go.
24	Issue 38A.
25	MR. BUTLER: We had discussed this one at the

prehearing conference call, and there was, I think, the 1 2 possibility of the parties reaching a stipulation on it. I 3 don't see it reflected here, but I just raise it for consideration, whether there is any disagreement on 38A. 4 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Parties, was there a meeting of 5 the minds on Issue 38A? Mr. Beck? 6 7 MR. BECK: We have no position on that, Commissioner. MR. McWHIRTER: No position. 8 9 MR. TWOMEY: No position. 10 MR. WRIGHT: No position. 11 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Staff? 12 MS. BENNETT: Staff is not ready to take a position 13 on 38A either. We're still confirming numbers. This is the generation-based rate adjustment for the Turkey Point Unit 5. 14 15 We're still reviewing the numbers. 16 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Issue 38B. 17 MS. BENNETT: 38B has been dropped. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue -- from Docket Number 18 060001-EI Issue Number 38B has been dropped. 19 20 Issue 38C. 21 MS. BENNETT: Staff has no position pending receipt 22 and review of discovery. 23 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Issue 38D. 24 MS. BENNETT: Issue 38D, staff has no position at

this time.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 38E.

1.6

MS. BENNETT: And, again, staff has no position for Issue 38E. I will note that we don't have positions for several of the parties on 38E.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Section IX. Section IX of the prehearing order. We're back on -- yes, sir.

MR. BADDERS: We have one change. Russell Badders for Gulf Power. Under the exhibit listed for Rusty or H. R. Ball, it's HRB-2, it should read, "Projected versus Actual Fuel Cost of Generated Power, March 1997 through December 2007." Basically that 2007, the first 2007 needs to be changed to 1997.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff, did you get that?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. We are on Section IX, exhibit list, is where we are. Any other parties?

Mr. Beck?

MR. BECK: Commissioner, sometimes the order on prehearing procedure requires parties to notify others when they intend to use confidential documents.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir.

MR. BECK: I didn't see it on this one, but I wanted to at least put on the record that I have notified FPL that we intend to use certain confidential documents they've provided and I've given them the Bate stamp numbers of the pages. And

I'll be glad to provide that to anybody else, if they'd like. 1 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any other parties under 2 Section IX, exhibit list? 3 MS. BENNETT: Commissioner --4 5 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, ma'am. MS. BENNETT: -- staff will prepare a comprehensive 6 exhibit list consisting of all the prefiled exhibits to enter 7 8 into the record at the hearing. 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: And also any -- based upon what we've covered today, if there are any changes, we'll add that 10 So I'm sure you guys are taking good notes; right? 11 We want to give you an opportunity for a full disclosure. 12 13 Okay? Section X, proposed stipulations. We had a number of 14 references early on in this docket where a lot of things were 15 referred to Section X. So now that we're on Section X --16 MS. BENNETT: Commissioner? 17 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, ma'am. 18 MS. BENNETT: The first set of stipulations pursuant 19 to the meeting that the parties had, the first set of issues 20 that are stipulated reflect that staff and the investor-owned 21 22

utility agree. The other parties have taken the position "Do not oppose." So the first issue -- would you like me to go through each issue?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Absolutely.

23

24

MS. BENNETT: The first issue, Issue 4, staff has taken the position 1.00072 for each investor-owned electric utility is the appropriate revenue tax factor.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any objections? Hearing none, make it so.

MS. BENNETT: The next issue that staff agrees with investor-owned utilities, all other parties take the position "Do not oppose," is Issue 7: "What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?" And we have adopted and agree with each of the parties for their rate classes.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any objections? Show it done.

MS. BENNETT: The next issue that is stipulated is

Issue 9, "What should be the effective date of the fuel

adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge for billing

purposes?" We have adopted all the positions of the parties

with the exception that -- we have adopted all the positions of
the parties.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any objections? Hearing none, show it done.

MS. BENNETT: Issue 10, "What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2006?" Staff agrees with the positions of each of the parties.

1	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any objections? Hearing none,
2	show it done.
3	MS. BENNETT: Issue 11, "What are the appropriate
4	estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2007 for gains on
5	non-separated wholesale energy?"
6	I apologize. On Issue 10 and Issue 11 staff had
7	taken no position pending review of discovery. We do have a
8	number for both 10 and 11 now.
9	COMMISSIONER CARTER: So let us in Docket Number
10	060001-EI under the Section X, proposed stipulations, we will
11	revisit Issue number was it 9 and 10 or just 10?
12	MS. BENNETT: It's Issue 10.
13	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 10. Restatement, please
14	MS. BENNETT: The issue the number on Issue 10 is
15	\$1,051,869.
16	MR. BEASLEY: That's for Tampa Electric. Right.
17	MS. BENNETT: I'm sorry. For TECO.
18	MR. BEASLEY: And we're in agreement with that
19	number.
20	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any objection by any of the
21	parties? Show it done.
22	MS. BENNETT: For Issue 11 staff has reached an
23	agreement with all of the parties, including TECO. The TECO
24	number is not reflected in this prehearing draft. It will be
25	in the final order if everybody agrees. The number

1	\$1,165,220 is for TECO, if TECO agrees and the other parties do
2	not oppose.
3	MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric is in agreement with
4	that number.
5	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Any objections? Show it done.
6	MS. BENNETT: That concludes the set of issues that
7	staff agrees and the other parties take no opposition.
8	We do have a group of issues in which all parties
9	agree, it's my understanding, so we'll make sure that I
10	understood correctly.
11	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Let's take it from the top.
12	MS. BENNETT: Issue 15B. This was a spinoff docket
13	to 060658 and was dropped.
14	Issue 16H
15	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Hold it. Wait a minute.
16	15B. Any objections? Show it done.
17	MS. BENNETT: 16H.
18	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 16H. Any objections?
19	Show it done.
20	Issue 16I. Any objections? Show it done.
21	Issue 16J. Any objections? Show it done.
22	Issue 18A, 18A, as in apple. Any objections? Show
23	it done.
24	Issue 19A. Any objections? Show it done.
25	I believe now we're on Issue 34. Is that correct,

staff? 1 2 MS. BENNETT: That is correct. 3 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Issue 34. Issue 34. Are there any objections? Show it done. 4 MS. BENNETT: And, Commissioner, with your 5 permission, staff will continue to work with the parties to 6 obtain stipulations for some of the other issues. 7 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Please do so with all 8 deliberate speed, please. And we want to have an open dialogue 9 and we want everybody to, you know, have an opportunity to have 10 their opinions made and the positions of their respective 11 clients. And I think that when that happens, then the people 12 13 win, so long as the people have a voice in this. 14 Section XI, pending motions. 15 MS. BENNETT: Staff has several pending motions. They will be -- the orders will be prepared prior to the 16 17 hearing. 18 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Section XII, pending 19 confidentiality matters. 20 MS. BENNETT: Staff has several pending 21 confidentiality matters. It is my understanding that we will 22 have orders for all of those prior to the hearing. 23 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Section XIII.

MR. BURNETT: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir.

24

MR. BURNETT: I'm sorry. Section XII, Progress
Energy Florida's first request, it's just noted as 2005. I
believe that's a typo. It should be 2006.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Staff, would you make the necessary corrections? Scrivener's error. Bartleby, "I prefer not." Remember that? They don't teach English Lit in school anymore, huh?

Section XIV, rulings. Staff?

MS. BENNETT: We also have Section XIII, posthearing procedures, Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: There are no comments on that.

Any comments on Section XIII, posthearing procedures?

MS. BENNETT: I would point out that the turnaround time on the posthearing, if there's, if we have a nonbench decision, is very short. It's in the order establishing -- or in the CASRs. But we will have -- everything is scheduled to be heard, if it's a nonbench decision, by December 19th.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Oh, okay. I think that what we can do -- it is my goal to all of you that are here today and out of respect of your time and the appreciation of the professionalism that you've conducted yourself as well as how thorough you are, it's my goal to make those pending matters that I said I'd hold under advisement as soon as possible and as soon as practical and give you a written notice on my decisions. So I want to do that as expeditiously as possible,

1 so that way it will give you the maximum amount of time to, you 2 know, file whatever you need to file and take whatever position you need to take. So I can make you that assurance that I will 3 4 do my utmost to get it done as soon as possible. 5 One moment, please. 6 (Pause.) 7 Thank you very kindly. Section -- any other comments 8 on Section XIII, posthearing procedures, staff? MS. BENNETT: No other comments. 9 10 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Section XIV, rulings. MS. BENNETT: Staff suggests that you make a ruling 11 12 that opening statements, if any, not exceed ten minutes. 13 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any other rulings? 14 MS. BENNETT: That is the only one I'm aware of. 15 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Good. Any other matters? 16 MS. BENNETT: It has been brought to my attention 17 that in the witness list for rebuttal witnesses Mr. Ross is not 18 listed, and I would like to include him if OPC would like to include him in the witness list. 19 20 MR. BECK: Yes. I thought we would -- Mr. Butler and 21 I had discussed that earlier. It would be Ross and then the 22 rebuttal witnesses to him and then Ross's rebuttal. MS. BENNETT: We'll make that change. 23

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

parties that need to have a witness or anything? I mean, I

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Any other, any other

24

1	want to give everybody an ample opportunity.
2	MR. STONE: Commissioner Carter?
3	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes, sir.
4	MR. STONE: Will we be taking the dockets up at the
5	hearing in the same order that we took them up in the
6	prehearing conference?
7	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes.
8	MR. STONE: And so the witnesses for the 07 docket
9	will be testifying before the witnesses in the 01 docket? I
-0	just wanted to make sure that was
L1	COMMISSIONER CARTER: It's my goal to proceed I
L2	mean, you know, if it ain't broke, don't fix it in my opinion,
L3	the way I'm going.
L4	MR. STONE: Thank you very much.
15	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Staff, are there any
L6	other matters well, let me ask the parties, do you guys have
17	any other matters before we staff, any other matters?
18	MS. BENNETT: I don't believe so.
19	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. So seeing no other
20	matters, Docket Number 060001-EI is concluded.
21	Now let's proceed you guys, y'all can go for a
22	little longer, right?
23	Good. Good. All right. Yeah. We got a
24	streak going now. Let me get my notes together.
25	MR STONE: Commissioner Carter, if we're not

1	involved in the 362 docket, may we be excused?
2	COMMISSIONER CARTER: I thought you guys were having
3	fun. How do you like these guys?
4	MR. BADDERS: Just trying to streamline it for you.
5	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Thank you. Thank you so
6	kindly. Yes. Let's prepare for Docket Number 060362-EI.
7	Let's take a two-minute break so the parties can get themselves
8	together and staff can too.
9	(Recess taken.)
10	(Prehearing Conference in Docket Number 060001-EI
11	concluded.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17.	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

li li	
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was
5	heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
7	transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said
8	proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative
10	or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
11	the action.
12	DATED THIS 30TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2006.
13	$\omega + \Delta$
14	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
15	FPSC Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6734
16	(030) 413 0/34
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	