LAW OFFICES ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP

2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32301

FREDERICK L. ASCHAUER, JR. CHRIS H. BENTLEY, P.A. ROBERT C. BRANNAN DAVID F. CHESTER F. MARSHALL DETERDING JOHN R. JENKINS, P.A. STEVEN T. MINDLIN, P.A. CHASITY H. O'STEEN DAREN L. SHIPPY WILLIAM E. SUNDSTROM, P.A. DIANE D. TREMOR, P.A. JOHN L. WHARTON WAYNE L. SCHIEFELBEIN, OF COUNSEL ROBERT M. C. ROSE (1924-2006)

(850) 877-6555 Fax (850) 656-4029 www.rsbattorneys.com

REPLY TO CENTRAL FLORIDA OFFICE

ORIGINAL

<u>Central Florida Office</u> Sanlando Center 2180 W. State Road 434, Suite 2118 Longwood, Florida 32779 (407) 830-6331 Fax (407) 830-8522

MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN, P.A. Valerie L. Lord Brian J. Street

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

November 15, 2006

HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca Bayo Commission Clerk & Administrative Services Director Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: Docket No. 060260-WS; Lake Placid Utilities, Inc.'s Application for Rate Increase in Highlands County, Florida Our File Nos.: 30057.120

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket is the response of Lake Placid Utilities, Inc. to Staff's final audit report dated October 10, 2006.

CMP	Should you have any questions regarding this filing places do not hegitate to contact
COM	Should you have any questions regarding this filing, please do not hesitate to contact
CTR	
ECR	Very truly yours
GCL	K / Jan A
OPC	VALERIE L. LORD
RCA	For the Firm
SCR	
SGAVLL/t	
	DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
OTH	10471 NOV 15 8

Ms. Blanca Bayo Commission Clerk & Administrative Services Director Florida Public Service Commission November 15, 2006 Page 2

cc: Katherine Fleming, Esquire, Office of General Counsel (w/o enc.- via hand delivery) Ms. Denise N. Vandiver, Regulatory Compliance and Consumer Assistance (w/enc. - via hand delivery)

Mr. Troy Rendell, Division of Economic Regulation (w/o enc.- via hand delivery) Ms. Cheryl Bulecza-Banks, Division of Economic Regulation (w/o enc.-via hand del) Ms. Tiffany Joyce, Division of Economic Regulation (w/o enc. - via hand delivery) Mr. Stanley Rieger, Division of Economic Regulation (w/o enc. - via hand delivery) Ms. Frances Lingo, Division of Economic Regulation (w/o enc. - via hand delivery) Ms. Sonica Bruce, Division of Economic Regulation (w/o enc. - via hand delivery) Steven M. Lubertozzi, Chief Regulatory Officer (w/enclosures - via U.S. Mail) John Hoy, Regional Vice President for Operations (w/o enclosures - via U.S. Mail) Patrick C. Flynn, Regional Director (w/enclosures - via U.S. Mail) Mr. Frank Seidman (w/o enclosures - via U.S. Mail) Steven Reilly, Esquire, Office of Public Counsel (w/enclosures - via U.S. Mail)

M:\1 ALTAMONTE\UTILITIES INC\LAKE PLACID\(.120) 2005 RATE CASE\PSC Clerk 12 (Audit Response).ltr.wpd

Audit Finding No. 1

.

The Utility agrees with audit finding number 1 and would propose the same entry as Staff, which is as follows:

<u>A/C #</u>	A/C Description	<u>Debit</u>	Credit
1083021 A/D - Strue	ctures & Improvements	1,791	
1083042 A/D - Dist	ribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	1,303	
1084010 A/D - Man	holes	107	
2151000 R/E		24,627	
3043021 Structures	& Improvements		18,810
3305042 Distributio	n Reservoirs & Standpipes		5,073
3612010 Manholes			3,200
4032021 Depreciation	on Expense - Structures & Improvements		588
4032042 Depreciation	on Expense - Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes		137
4033010 Depreciation	on Expense - Manholes		71

The Utility's average rate base would be reduced by \$14,150 and \$3,093 for water and sewer respectively. Depreciation expense would be reduced by \$725 and \$71 for water and sewer respectively as well.

Audit Finding No. 2

The Utility agrees with audit finding number 2 and would propose the same as Staff, which is as follows:

<u>A/C #</u>	A/C Description	Debit	Credit
1083002 A/D - Franchise	Fees		313
2151000 R/E		282	
4032002 Depreciation Ex	pense - Franchise Fees	31	

The utility's average rate base would be reduced by \$4,555 and \$4,424 for water and sewer respectively. Depreciation expense would be reduced by \$372 and \$306 respectively for water and sewer as well.

Audit Finding No. 3

The Utility agrees with audit finding number 3 in that the UIF allocated rate base should be split between water and sewer. Water and sewer rate base balances are overstated and understated by \$5,699 respectively, and depreciation expense for water and sewer are overstated and understated by \$1,707 respectively.

Audit Finding No. 4

The Utility agrees with audit finding number 4 in that the average WSC allocated utility plant in service balance should increase by \$173 and \$218 for water and sewer respectively per the audit report in Docket No. 060253-WS. The Utility would also like to note that beginning June 30, 2006, it books WSC rate base to each affiliate company, in the respective rate base accounts.

Audit Finding No. 5

The Utility agrees with audit finding number 5 in that the average rate base for water and sewer should be set at \$2,210 and \$6,622 based on historical O&M expenses. Any further adjustments to O&M that affect cash working capital will be mentioned in further audit exceptions.

Audit Finding No. 6

The Utility agrees with audit finding number 6 and would propose the entry that follows:

<u>A/C #</u>	A/C Description	Debit	<u>Credit</u>
1862019 Deferred Charges		3,253	
1865019 Accumulated Amor	tization - Deferred Charges		651
7755070 Sewer Permits		3,253	
7758490 Sewer - Other Main	itenance Expense	651	

While the entry above does not change the reduction to O&M, it is the proper entry to remove sewer permits from the expense, and include it in deferred charges, and also reflect accumulated amortization and the proper expense account for amortization expense.

Audit Finding No. 7

The Utility agrees with audit finding number 9 in that Lake Placid's expenses from WSC are overstated by \$114 and \$143 for water and sewer respetively, and that working capital is overstated by \$14 and \$18 for water and sewer respectively. The breakdown is as follows:

<u>A/C #</u>	A/C Description D	ebit	<u>Credit</u>
6329002	Audit Fees		89
6599090	Insurance		102
6759005	Postage Fees		52
6369009	Amortization Expense - Computer		13
4032098	Depreciation Expense		1
4191010	Interest Income *	1	
4272090	Interest Expense *	51	
2151000	R/E	205	

* Not included in net operating income

Audit Finding No. 8

The Utility agrees with audit finding number 8, and would propose the same entry as Staff, which is as follows:

<u>A/C #</u>	A/C Description	Debit	<u>Credit</u>
2151000 R/E			1,838
4032092 Deprecia	tion Expense - Transportation	919	
4032000 Deprecia	tion Expense - Undistributed Water Plant	392	
4033000 Deprecia	tion Expense - Other Tangible Plant	527	

The Utility's depreciation expense should be increased by \$1,311 and \$527 for water and sewer respectively.

Audit Finding No. 9

The Utility agrees with audit finding number 9 and would increase its taxes other than income for water and sewer by \$468 and \$2,064 respectively.

Audit Finding No. 10

The Utility recognizes Staff's comments in audit finding number 10.

Audit Finding No. 11

The Utility agrees with the capital structure presented in audit finding number 11. This was the capital structure agreed upon in Docket No. 060253-WS.

Audit Finding No. 12

The Utility agrees with item (1) of audit finding number 12, and would propose the same entry as Staff, which is as follows:

<u>A/C #</u>	A/C Description	Debit Credit
2151000 R/E		3,564
1902031 State ADIT	- Depreciation	3.564

The Utility agrees with item (2) of audit finding number 12, and would propose the same entry as Staff, however, the Utility does note that Staff has a typo, and retained earnings should be \$5,342 based on the credit entries to ADIT:

<u>A/C #</u>	A/C Description	Debit	Credit
2151000 R/E		5,342	
1901024 Federal ADIT - Org Costs			4,010
1902024 State ADIT - Org Costs			1,422

While the final audit requests surrounding ADIT were not complete for the audit reports, the Utility has now completed these per audit Staff's request and has provided them to Commission audit Staff for their use or for the use of testifying Staff. This satisfies item (3) of audit finding number 12.

The Utility recognizes Staff comments in item (4) of audit finding number 12.

The Utility recognizes Staff comments in item (5) of audit finding number 12, but would also point out that any erros made in the MFRs were inadvertent, and not meant to cause audit inefficiencies.

The Utility recognizes Staff comments in item (6) of audit finding number 12.