ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Petition by Embarq Florida, Inc. under section 364.025(6)(d), Florida Statutes, for relief from its carrier of last resort	Docket No. OLED763-TL
obligations	Filed: November 20, 2006

Embarg Florida, Inc.'s Request for Confidential Classification Under Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes

Embarq Florida, Inc. (hereinafter, "Embarq") hereby requests that the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") classify certain documents identified herein as confidential and exempt from public disclosure under chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and issue any appropriate protective order reflecting such a decision.

1. The information that is the subject of this request is confidential and proprietary as described in paragraph 3. The following documents or excerpts from documents are the subject of this request:

 CMP
 a)
 Highlighted information on page 5 of Attachment No. 1, Affidavit of Michael J. DeChellis

 COM
 Michael J. DeChellis

CTR _____ b) Highlighted information on pages 3, 4 and 5 of Attachment No. 2, ECR _____ Affidavit of Kent W. Dickerson

 GCL
 (c)
 Entire Exhibit KWD-1, attached to the Affidavit of Kent W.

 OPC
 Dickerson

 RCA ______
 d) Highlighted information on lines 1-12 of column 2 and lines 13-23, of columns 2-21 of Exhibit KWD-2, attached to the Affidavit of Kent W. Dickerson

SEC $\frac{1}{2}$. Two redacted copies of the information are attached to this request. One **OTH** $\frac{1}{1}$ COWF unredacted copy of the confidential information is being filed under seal on this same day

with the Division of Records and Reporting. The confidential information is highlighted

in yellow.

SGA

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 10657 NOV 20 % FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK. 3. The information for which the Request is submitted includes information concerning the location and type of Embarq's facilities, information concerning Embarq's projected penetration rates and information concerning Embarq's costs and revenues, or information from which this information could be derived. This information is information relating to Embarq's competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair Embarq's competitive business (see, section 364.183(3)(e), Florida Statutes). In addition, protection of the location and type of Embarq's facilities is necessary to protect Embarq's security interests. (Section 364.183(3)(c), F.S.). Detailed justification for the request is set forth in Attachment A.

4. Section 364.183(3), F.S., provides:

(3) The term "proprietary confidential business information" means information, regardless of form or characteristics, which is owned or controlled by the person or company, is intended to be and is treated by the person or company as private in that the disclosure of the information would cause harm to the ratepayers or the person's or company's business operations, and has not been disclosed unless disclosed pursuant to a statutory provision, an order of a court or administrative body, or private agreement that provides that the information will not be released to the public. The term includes, but is not limited to:

- (a) Trade secrets.
- (b) Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors.
- (c) Security measures, systems, or procedures.

(d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the company or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms.

(e) Information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information.

(f) Employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities.

5. The subject information has not been publicly released by Embarq.

Based on the foregoing, Embarq respectfully requests that the Commission grant Embarq's Request for Confidential Classification, exempt the information from disclosure under chapter 119, Florida Statutes, and issue any appropriate protective order, protecting the information from disclosure while it is maintained at the Commission.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of November 2006.

Susan S. Masterton 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301 Voice: 850-599-1560 Fax: 850-878-0777 susan.masterton@embarq.com

Counsel for Embarq Florida, Inc.

ATTACHMENT A

.

•

۰,

Document page and line numbers	Justification for Confidential Treatment
Highlighted information on page 5 of Attachment No. 1, Affidavit of Michael J. DeChellis	This information includes Embarq's projected penetration rates at the Treviso Bay Development. This information is information relating to Embarq's competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of Embarq. (s. 364.183(3)(e), F.S.)
Highlighted information on pages 3, 4 and 5 of Attachment No. 2, Affidavit of Kenton W. Dickerson	This information includes the location of Embarq's facilities, Embarq's projected penetration rate and Embarq's projected costs and revenues associated with serving the Treviso Bay Development. This is information relating to Embarq's competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of Embarq. (s. 364.183(3)(e), F.S.) Protection of the location and type of Embarq's facilities is necessary to protect Embarq's security interests. (s. 364.183(3)(c), F.S.)
Entire Exhibit KWD-1, attached to Affidavit of Kenton W. Dickerson	This is a map that depicts the location and type of Embarq's facilities. is information relating to Embarq's competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of Embarq. In addition, protection of the location and type of Embarq's facilities is necessary to protect Embarq's security interests. (s. 364.183(3)(c) and (e), F.S.)
Highlighted information on lines 1-12 of column 2 and lines 13-23, of columns 2-21 of Exhibit KWD-2, attached to the Affidavit of Kent W. Dickerson	This information includes Embarq's projected penetration rate and projected costs and revenues associated with serving the Treviso Bay Development. This is information relating to Embarq's competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of Embarq. (s. 364.183(3)(e), F.S.)

. . .

-

Attachment No. 1 Embarq's Petition for Waiver November 20, 2006

Impacts on Embarq from Developer's Agreement with Comcast

With a 100 percent penetration of its video and data services to residents of Treviso Bay via its bulk agreement with the developer, and its ability to offer voice telephone services as an add-on, Comcast is in a strong position to garner a vast majority of the Treviso Bay residents' voice telephone services as well. Based on this scenario, if Embarq were required to place its facilities to provide service to this development, its potential revenues would be limited to only voice telephone services since Comcast has 100 percent penetration of video and data services through its bulk billing of these services, ultimately paid by the residents through their homeowners' dues. Embarq's voice telephone revenues would be further limited to those derived from a small percentage of customers who might choose not to subscribe to the voice services offered by Comcast as an add-on to their video and data services.

Based on these factors, Embarq expects at most only percent of the residents of Treviso Bay would choose to subscribe to services from Embarq if it were required to place facilities to serve this development. Further, Embarq's revenues from this group of customers would be limited to only voice services. The affidavit of Kent Dickerson of Embarq utilizes these assumptions, and others related to costs and investments, to estimate the negative financial consequences to Embarq if it were required to place facilities to serve the Treviso Bay development.

Embarg's Petition for Waiver November 20, 2006 KWD-1) attached to this affidavit and will reference it in my description. Under the COLR obligations, Embarg would be required to place enough facilities within Treviso Bay to provide service to every subscriber regardless of what the realistic expected penetration rates are for Embarg's services. Were Embarg to be required to construct facilities to offer voice service within the Treviso Bay development the Central Office switch used would be Embarg's existing central office 5ESS switch located at (CLLI NPLSFLXCDS0). As depicted by the yellow line on the aerial map exhibit, individual fibers within an existing fiber cable, which routes 12,000 feet from the central office switch to the entrance of Treviso Bay, would be used. From this point, Embarg would have to construct a new fiber cable for approximately an additional 6,000 feet in order to complete a fiber cable connection between the switch and a newly placed Digital Loop Carrier device.

Attachment No. 2

As just mentioned a new Digital Loop Carrier device would be required in accordance with the 12,000 feet Carrier Serving Area industry engineering standards. Embarq would then need to construct copper cable connections from the centrally located DLC device to each of the distribution areas indicated on the aerial map. Finally, Embarq would be required to construct drop wire and NIDs at each customer location and connect the drop to a pair of copper distribution cable wires to complete a voice path between the central office switch and each customer location.

Attachment No. 2 Embarq's Petition for Waiver November 20, 2006

Construction of Voice Telephone plant within Treviso Bay is wholly uneconomic

In order to understand the financial viability of being required to construct the necessary voice telephone network just described, I have prepared the attached exhibit KWD-2. This exhibit provides a standard financial analysis of the Net Present Value (NPV) of cash flows over a 20-year period, thereby demonstrating the grossly unworkable finances (i.e. negative cumulative cash flows of \$ were the Commission to deny the relief requested by Embarg. The NPV of cash flow analysis reflects incremental capital construction of \$ over the first 6 vears reflective of the developer's plans to construct 1200 customer locations during this same period. Additionally, the analysis reflects the consumption of existing capital in the form of existing fiber cable, switch termination \$ equipment and interoffice transport network bandwidth consumption for carrying voice traffic. Key to the analysis is the expected **16**% voice service penetration discussed in the Affidavit of Mr. DeChellis. The revenue assumed in my analysis is likely optimistic at best in that it assumes this **10%** of customers who purchase Embarg's services will purchase higher end bundles of voice services at the average Embarg penetration experience for the overall Naples market. Similarly, both the revenue per customer buying stand alone residential service and an average amount of a la carte features, as well as the revenue per customer purchasing a bundle, were set based on the actual average experience for each from the Naples market. The retail costs of serving these customers was set based on Embarg Florida specific experience and data, as was the maintenance

Treviso Bay - Voice Network Construction

Exhibit KWD-1

•

REDACTED

Exhibit KWD-2

-

.

.

~

,

Embarq - Flofida Treviso Bay NPV Cash Flow Analysis

1	Key Assumptions:		1
i.	New Capital (shown Yrs 1-6)	d second	
2	Consumed Capital (shown Yr 1)		
3	Total Capital		:
1	loui oupital		
1	Reinvested Capital (new DLC Yr 11)		1
*	Reinvesteu Capital (new DLC 11 11)		
_			
Э	Penetration		:
3	Subscribers		1
7	Revenue - Ala Carte Sub		
3	Revenue - Bundle Sub		
-	Percent Buying Bundle		
J	Revenue Per Sub - Avg		
1	Maintenance		
	1		

2 Capital Cost

3 Demand Units - Year End 4 Demand Units - Mid-Year	<u>, Year 1</u>	Year 2	<u>Year 3</u>	<u>Year 4</u>	<u>Year 5</u>	<u>Year 6</u>	<u>Year 7</u>	Year 8	<u>Year 9</u>	<u>Year 10</u>	<u>Year 11</u>	<u>Year 12</u>	<u>Year 13</u>	<u>Year 14</u>	<u>Year 15</u>	<u>Year 16</u>	<u>Year 17</u>	<u>Year 18</u>	<u>Year 19</u>	<u>Year 20</u>	,
5 Capital Expenditures	1																				
6 Revenue																					
7 Cash Expenses 8 Income Tax																					
9 Cash Flow - In(Out) 0 Cumulative Cash Flow - In(Out)																					
1 Discount Rate @ 8.12% 2 NPV By Year 3 Cumulative NPV																					