ORIGINAL

SUZANNE BROWNLESS, P. A.

ATTORNEY AT LAW 1975 Buford Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32308

TELEPHONE (850) 877-5200

TELECOPIER (850) 878-0090

December 26, 2006

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0800

Re:

Docket No. 060635-EU

Dear Ms. Bayo:

HECEIVED TO SELECTION OF DEC 26 PM 3: 10

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Attached please find the original and fifteen copies of the National Resources Defense Council's Revised Prehearing Statement, Notice of Cross Deposition of Myron Rollins, and a Notice of Service of NRDC's Response to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories to Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. (Nos. 1-5) to be filed in the above styled docket.

Should you have questions or need any additional information, please contact me.

		Very truly yours,	
CMP			
Сом		Jan Braund	en
CTR		Suzanne Brownless	
ECR		Attorney for NRDC	
GCL			
OPC			
RCA		The state of the s	
SCR	RECEIVED & FILED	5°	
SGA	('))	and the state of t	
SEC	FPSC-BUREAU OF REC	ORDS	
отн			DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE
			11757 DEC 26 g

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Petition for Determination of Need for electrical power plant in Taylor County by Florida Municipal Power Agency, JEA, Reedy Creek Improvement District, and the City of Tallahassee.

DOCKET NO. 060635-EU FILED: December 26, 2006

NATIONAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL'S REVISED PREHEARING STATEMENT

The National Resources Defense Council (NRDC), pursuant to Order No. PSC-06-0819-PCO-EU, files its Revised Prehearing Statement in this case and states as follows:

A. All known witnesses

Witness	Subject Matter/Issues
Dale Bryk (NRDC)	Alternatives to pulverized coal plant, demand side management (DSM), impact of CO ₂ regulation; Issues 2, 4, 5,9.
Daniel Lashoff (NRDC)	Impact of CO ₂ regulation, demand side management (DSM), alternatives to coal plant; Issues 2,4,5,9.
*Steve Urse (BBCAT)	City of Tallahassee's use of DSM, purchase of biomass power; Issue 4.

^{*} NRDC has adopted the testimony of this witness.

NRDC reserves the right to call such other witnesses as may be identified in the course of discovery and preparation for final hearing in this matter, including witnesses necessary for authentication and impeachment.

B. Exhibits

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Witness</u>	<u>Description</u>	
Ex(DB-1)	Bryk	The Energy Foundation, The Hewlett Foundation, Portfolio Management: Protecting Customers in an Electric Market that Isn't Working Very Well, July, 2002 (pages 1-52) and Appendix A-D (pages A-1 through D-7)	
Ex(DB-2)	Bryk	Synapse Energy Economics, Inc., <i>Portfolio</i> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE	

11757 DEC 26 8

		Management: How to procure electricity resources to provide reliable, low-cost and efficient electricity services to all retail customers, October 10, 2003 (pages 1-77)
Ex(DB-3)	Bryk	The Energy Foundation, The Hewlett Foundation, California's Secret Energy Surplus, The Potential for Energy Efficiency, September 23, 2002 (pages 1-56) and Appendix A-E (pages A-1 through E-3)
Ex(DAL-1)	Lashof	Resume
Ex(DAL-2)	Lashof	Synapse Energy Economics, Inc., Climate Change and Power: Carbon Dioxide Emissions Costs and Electricity Resource Planning, May 18, 2006.
Ex(DAL-3)	Lashof	Freese, B. and S. Clemmer, Gambling with Coal: How Further Climate Laws Will Make New Coal Power Plants More Expensive, September, 2006.
Ex(DAL-4)	Lashof	Bokenkamp, K., LaFlash, H., Singh, V. and Wang, D., Hedging Carbon Risk: Protecting Customers and Shareholders from the Financial Risk Associated with Carbon Dioxide Emissions, The Electricity Journal, Vol. 18, Issue 6, July, 2005.
Ex(DAL-5)	Lashof	Stern Review: The Economics of Climate change.
Ex(DAL-6)	Lashof	Hawkins, D., Lashof, D. and Williams, R., What to do about Coal, Scientific American, Sept., 2006.
Ex(DAL-7)	Lashof	Testimony of Daniel A. Lashof, Hearing on Rebalancing the Carbon Cycle, Committee on Government Reform, Subcommittee on Energy and Resources, House of Representatives, Sept. 27, 2006.
Ex(SU-1)	Urse	Resume
Ex(SU-2)	Urse	Potential Impact of DSM- Total Plan Costs

Ex(SU-3)	Urse	Capacity Need Deferred by DSM
Ex(SU-4)	Urse	Potential Impact of BG&E on Selected Cases
Ex(SU-5)	Urse	Biomass Impact on Resource Plan Cost
Ex(SU-6)	Urse	Evaluation of Biomass Options
Ex. (SU-7)	Urse	Tallahassee IRP Update

NRDC reserves the right to use such other exhibits as may be identified in the course of discovery and preparation for final hearing in this docket, including any exhibits necessary for authentication and impeachment.

C. **Basic Position**

Due to the fact that the Applicants have not appropriately evaluated demand side management programs and the cost of CO₂ allowances, the Applicants have failed to prove that the Taylor Energy Center (TEC) represents the least cost alternative to meet their identified need.

Statement of Issues and Positions D.

Is there a need for the proposed Taylor Energy Center (TEC) generating unit, taking into ISSUE 1: account the need for electric system reliability and integrity, as this criterion is used in

Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

POSITION: No.

Is there a need for the proposed TEC generating unit, taking into account the need for ISSUE 2:

adequate electricity at a reasonable cost, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519,

Florida Statutes?

POSITION: No.

ISSUE 3: Is there a need for the proposed TEC generating unit, taking into account the need for

fuel diversity and supply reliability, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida

Statutes?

The NRDC recognizes in principle the value of fuel diversity in the state's current POSITION:

> generation mix. However, fuel diversity would be better served by an IGCC unit. Further, diversity should also include serious consideration of renewable sources of

energy which was not done by the Applicants in this case.

ISSUE 4: Are there any conservation measures taken by or reasonably available to the Florida Municipal Power Agency, JEA, Reedy Creek Improvement District, and City of

Tallahassee (Applicants) which might mitigate the need for the proposed TEC generating

unit?

POSITION: Yes, due to the fact that the total benefits of DSM opportunities and total cost of the

proposed TEC generating unit have not been adequately evaluated in the economic

analyses conducted by the Applicants.

ISSUE 5: Have the Applicants appropriately evaluated the cost of CO₂ emission mitigation costs in

their economic analyses?

POSITION: No. The Applicants have drastically underestimated the costs of CO₂ allowances which

will be necessary to operate the proposed TEC generating unit at the projected heat rate

and capacity factors.

ISSUE 6 Does the proposed TEC generating unit include the costs for the environmental controls

necessary to meet current state and federal environmental requirements including

mercury (Hg), NO₂, SO₂ and particulate emissions.

POSITION: No.

ISSUE 7: Have the Applicants requested available funding from DOE to construct an IGCC unit or

other cleaner coal technology?

POSITION: No. DOE has not received any formal written requests for funding from the Applicants

to construct an IGCC unit or other cleaner coal technology.

ISSUE 8: Has each Applicant secured final approval of its respective governing body for the

construction of the proposed TEC generating unit?

POSITION: No. All Applicants have the contractual right to withdraw once all permitting has been

secured necessary to construct the TEC generating unit and the final construction costs are known. At this time the Applicants predict that this "go or no go" vote will occur in

2008.

ISSUE 9: Is the proposed TEC generating unit the most cost effective alternative available, as this

criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

POSITION: No.

ISSUE 10: Based on the resolution of the foregoing issues, should the Commission grant the

Applicants' petition to determine the need for the proposed TEC generating unit?

POSITION: No.

ISSUE 11: Should this docket be closed?

POSITION: This docket should be closed when the Commission has issued its final order and all motions for reconsideration have been disposed of.

E. Stipulated Issues

None.

F. Pending Motions and Other Matters Upon Which Action Is Sought

Applicants' Motion to Strike Portions of Testimony and Exhibits filed by the Natural Resources Defense Council dated December 20, 2006;

Applicants' Motion to Strike Portions of Testimony and Exhibits filed by John Carl Whitton, Jr. (Dian Deevey), dated December 20, 2006;

Applicants' Motion to Strike Portions of Testimony and Exhibits filed by the Sierra Club, Inc., John Hedrick and Brian Lupiani. (Hale Powell), dated December 20, 2006;

*Although the Applicants filed Requests for Oral Argument before the full Commission on December 20, 2006 for each of the above motions, it is NRDC's understanding that these requests were withdrawn at the Prehearing Conference on December 21, 2006.

G. Pending Requests or Claims for Confidentiality

Applicants' Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification of its Response to Staff Production of Documents Request No. 9.

NRDC has no objection to this request for confidentiality as long as it can have access to the documents upon the execution of a reasonable confidentiality agreement. At the Prehearing Conference it is NRDC's understanding that the Applicants agreed to provide all parties access under these conditions.

H. Objections to Witness Qualifications

None.

I. Requirements of Order Establishing Procedure

At this time NRDC is unaware of any requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which it cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted this 2644 day of Recentler, 2006 by:

Patrice L .Simms, Esq.

Natural Resources Defense Council 1200 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 400

Washington, DC 20005 Phone: (202) 289-2437 FAX: (202) 289-1060

Suzanne Brownless, Esq. Fla. Bar No. 309591 Suzanne Brownless, P.A. 1975 Buford Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32308

Phone: (850) 877-5200 FAX: (850) 878-0090

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by electronic mail as listed and U.S. Mail, this 26th day of December, 2006 to the following:

Gary V. Perko, Esq.
Carolyn S. Raepple, Esq.
Hopping Law Firm
P.O. Box 6526
Tallahassee, FL 32314-6526
Gperko@hgslaw.com
Craepple@hgslaw.com

Brian P. Armstrong, Esq. 7025 Lake Basin Road Tallahassee, FL 32312 barmstrong@ngn-tally.com

E. Leon Jacobs, Esq. Williams & Jacobs 1720 South Gadsden Street, MS 14, Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32302 ljacobs50@comcast.net

Valerie Hubbard, Director Department of Community Affairs Division of Community Planning 2555 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-2100

Valerie.Hubbard@dca.state.fl.us

Harold A. McLean Office of the Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399 hallmc@earthlink.net

Patrice L. Simms
Natural Resources Defense Council
1200 New York Ave., YW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 2005
psimms@nrdc.org

Jeanne Zokovitch Paben, Sr. Staff Attorney Brett M. Paben, Sr. Staff Attorney WildLaw 1415 Devils Dip Tallahassee, FL 32308-5140 jeanne@wildlaw.org

Buck Oven
Michael P. Halpin
Department of Environmental Regulation
Siting Coordination Office
2600 Blairstone Road MS 48
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Hamilton.Oven@dep.state.fl.us
Michael.Halpin@dep.state.fl.us

Jennifer Brubaker, Esq. Katherine Fleming, Esq. Legal Division Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Suzanne Brownless Fla. Bar No. 309591

c:teccert/5674c