ORIGINAL

Alltel Communications, Inc. One Allied Drive Little Rock, AR 72202 P.O. Box 2177, 72203-2177



Stephen B. Rowell

Vice President - Wireless Regulatory Legal Affairs 501/905-8460 501/905-4443 fax

January 3, 2007

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 RECEIVED TO SEE

Re: Docket Nos. 060581-TP and 060582-TP

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above referenced dockets on behalf of Alltel Communications, Inc. (Alltel) are the following:

- 1. Alltel's Request for Specified Confidential Classification; and
- 2. An envelope marked "CONFIDENTIAL" containing a copy of the documents considered proprietary and confidential.

•	Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter ad returning the copy to me.
COM	Thank you for your assistance with this filing.
CTR	
ECR	Sincerely,
GCL _	Stall of Rouge
OPC	S. W. Carret
RCA	Stephen B. Rowell
SCRSBR/sl	xp
SGAEnclos	ures
SEC	
OTH 1 come,	DOCUMENT NO
record	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

ORIGINAL

Before The FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of))
Application of Alltel Communications, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Certain Rural Study Areas Located <u>Partially</u> in Alltel Licensed Area and for Redefinition Of Those Study Areas Pursuant To Section 214(e)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934	Docket No. 060581-TP)))))))))))
Application of Alltel Communications, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Certain Rural Study Areas Located Entirely in Alltel Licensed Area and for Redefinition Of Those Study Areas Pursuant To Section 214(e)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934) Docket No. 060582-TP)))))))))))

ALLTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S REQUEST FOR SPECIFIED CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Alltel Communications, Inc. (Alltel), by and through its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, and Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, files this Request for Specified Confidential Classification, and states as follows:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 00083 JAN-45

- 1. Contemporaneous with the filing of this request, Alltel has provided responses to data requests in this proceeding.
- 2. Response #43(i) contains proprietary confidential business information of Alltel. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.006(4), Florida Administrative Code, Alltel files this Request for Specified Confidential Classification of the proprietary confidential business information in the response.
- 3. Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, provides an exemption from the public disclosure requirements of Section 119.07, Florida Statutes, for "proprietary confidential business information." Under Section 364.180(3)(e), Florida Statutes, "proprietary confidential business information" includes "[i]nformation relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information. In this case, the information provided by Alltel identifies sufficient information to determine numbers of wireless customers per wire center.
- 4. The information described above and provided in Response #43(i) is competitively sensitive information of Alltel and the public disclosure of such information would impair the competitive business of Alltel and provide a competitive advantage to competitors in the telecommunications service market. Alltel maintains this information as proprietary confidential business information which, if publicly disclosed, could be used by competitors to harm Alltel's competitive interests. The information for which confidential classification is sought is intended to be and treated as private and confidential by Alltel, and has not been disclosed publicly or otherwise. Accordingly, the information should be determined to be proprietary confidential business information pursuant to Section 364.183(3)(e), Florida Statutes, exempt from the public disclosure requirements of Section 119.07, Florida Statutes.

5. Attached as Exhibit A are two copies of the redacted versions of Alltel's proprietary confidential business information included in Response #43(i).

6. Attached and included in a sealed envelope marked as Exhibit B to this Request for Specified Confidential Classification are highlighted copies of the same documents containing the confidential information.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Alltel Communications, Inc. respectfully requests that, pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, the Commission enter an Order declaring the information described above to be proprietary confidential business information that is not subject to public disclosure.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen B. Rowell

Alltel Communications, Inc.

One Allied Drive

Little Rock, AR 72202

501-905-8460 (Telephone)

501-905-4443 (Fax)

Florida Bar No. 0789917

Docket Nos. 060581-TP and 060582-TP 2nd Data Request
December 18, 2006

Data Request No. 43:

For purposes of the following requests, please refer to the Company's response to Data Request No. 36.

(a) Referring to the first page titled *Rural Study Areas Served in Their Entirety*, are the values shown in the column to the right of "CLLI" monthly billing units (presumable handsets)?

Response:

The values referenced in (a) are the number of handsets that were mapped to each CLLI based on a customer's billing address as of September 30, 2005.

(b) If response to (a) is negative, please clarify what these entries represent.

Response:

NA

(c) Please identify the vintage of the units in the column to the right of "CLLI".

Response:

The handsets referenced are those that were in service as of September 30, 2005.

(d) Are the amounts shown in the last four columns monthly (as opposed to annual) amounts?

Response:

The amounts shown on the last four columns are projected monthly receipts.

(e) If the response to (d) is negative, please clarify what these amounts represent.

Response:

NA

(f) Referring to the subsequent pages of the response, which pertain to those rural telco study areas that are not served in their entirety, please identify the billing units used to derive the amounts shown in the last four columns. Please indicate whether these units are monthly or annual.

Response:

Handsets that were in service as of September 30, 2005 in the pertinent areas were used to derive the amounts referred to in (f).

Docket Nos. 060581-TP and 060582-TP 2nd Data Request December 18, 2006

(g) Are the amounts shown in the last four columns monthly (as opposed to annual) amounts?

Response:

The amounts shown on the last four columns are projected monthly receipts.

(h) If response to (g) is negative, please clarify what these amounts represent.

Response:

NA

(i) Please provide all work papers that yield the various amounts shown in this response, including (if applicable) the spreadsheet file.

Response:

Please see attached for "Confidential" Exhibit.

Docket Nos. 060581-TP and 060582-TP 2nd Data Request
December 18, 2006

Data Request No. 44:

At a October 19, 2006 meeting with FCC Commissioner Robert McDowell, Alltel representatives made a presentation on Universal Service and ETCs. A statement on Page 5 of that presentation states that the FCC and 44 states have determined the Public Interest is served by designating wireless as eligible for USF support.

(A) Which 44 states determined that the Public Interest is served by designating wireless carriers as ETCs?

Response:

Research shows that the following states have designated wireless service providers ETC status:

Alaska	Alabama	Arkansas	Arizona
California	Colorado	Delaware	Georgia
Hawaii	Iowa	Idaho	Illinois
Indiana	Kansas	Kentucky	Louisiana
Maryland	Maine	Minnesota	Michigan
Missouri	Mississippi	Montana	North Carolina
North Dakota	Nebraska	New Hampshire	New Mexico
Nevada	New York	Oklahoma	Oregon
Pennsylvania	South Dakota	Tennessee	Texas
Utah	Virginia	Vermont	Washington
Wisconsin	West Virginia	Wyoming	Florida

^{*} The FCC has also designated wireless service providers ETC status in Guam and Puerto Rico

(B) How many of these granted Alltel ETC status in rural areas?

Response:

Alltel has been granted ETC status in the rural areas of the following states:

Arkansas	Colorado	Iowa	Kansas
Louisiana	Michigan	Minnesota	Mississippi
North Dakota	Nebraska	New Mexico	Nevada
Oklahoma	South Dakota	Texas	Virginia
Wisconsin	Wyoming		