BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2

3

1

DOCKET NO. 060531-EU

In the Matter of: 4

> REVIEW OF ALL ELECTRIC UTILITY WOODEN POLE INSPECTION PROGRAMS.

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DATE:

PLACE:

23

24

25

THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.

PROCEEDINGS: AGENDA CONFERENCE

ITEM NO. 7

BEFORE: CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR

COMMISSIONER ISILIO ARRIAGA

ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE

A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT

COMMISSIONER MATTHEW M. CARTER, II

COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. TEW

COMMISSIONER KENNETH W. LITTLEFIELD

Tuesday, January 9, 2007

Betty Easley Conference Center

Room 148

4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY: JANE FAUROT, RPR

Official Commission Reporter

(850)413-6732

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION (104 6 JAN 16 5

FPSC+COMMISSION CLERK

1	PARTICIPA	IING:					
2		RUSSELI	L BADDERS,	ESQUIRE,	represen	ting Gul	f Power
3	Company.						
4		ROSANNI	E`GERVASI,	ESQUIRE,	and BILL	McNULTY	,
5	represent	ing the	Florida E	Public Ser	rvice Comm	ission S	taff.
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15	i						
16							
17							
18	·						
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							. •
24							
25							

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: We are on Item 7.

2.2

2.4

MR. McNULTY: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Item
7 addresses two issues pertaining to electric utility pole
inspections. Issue 1 addresses whether each investor-owned
electric utility has adequately addressed the deviations to the
requirements identified in Order Number PSC-06-0144-PAA-EI.
These deviations all had to do with whether utilities would
excavate certain types of wood poles in their inspection
process.

Staff recommends that each utility has adequately addressed the deviations at this time. However, staff further recommends that the Commission continue to monitor the utilities' annual reports to determine whether the methods the utilities are using to excavate are acceptable on a going-forward basis as more data becomes available. And that is Issue 1. We can address that now and go to Issue 2 or I can introduce Issue 2, if you like.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Go ahead and address Issue 2, please.

MR. McNULTY: Very good. Issue 2 addresses whether additional information should be collected from municipal electric utilities and cooperative electric utilities regarding pole inspection practices. Staff recommends that the Commission should continue to collect data pertaining to those

utilities which have inspection methods which deviate from those approved for the IOUs. Such data will be provided as a result of a new rule which has been adopted, Rule 25-6.0343, Subparagraph 4. The first annual reports are due March 1, 2007, and staff recommends that such inspection practices be monitored in this annual review process.

Now, back on Issue 1, staff understands that Russell Badders with Gulf Power Company is here today to speak on that particular issue.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Mr. Badders.

MR. BADDERS: Thank you. Good afternoon. I am
Russell Badders on behalf of Gulf Power. We just have one
correction that we need to make in the staff recommendation at
Page 7. At Page 7, Line 4 through Line 8, there is a
discussion about legal liability for pole failure. This
information is based on information provided by Gulf Power to
the staff. Since the time the recommendation came out, we have
discovered that there is an error in the information that we
provided and that this section should probably just be removed.
In our discussions with staff since that time, they told us
this is not a key vital component to the recommendation. It
was basically just further information. Given that, we fully
support staff's position on this issue.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Badders.

Mr. McNulty, any further comment in response? 1 2 MR. McNULTY: No further comment. I think that the main of staff's recommendation on this portion of the 3 recommendation would be contained in the previous two 4 paragraphs providing the justification for why we think that 5 Gulf Power's plan for excavating seems reasonable to us at this 6 time. 7 8 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you. 9 Commissioners, any questions for our staff or for Mr. 10 Badders? Commissioner Arriaga. 11 12 COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Staff, I understand you are approving your finding in compliance what the companies are 13 indicating that their methodology will be about. What are you 14 15 basing your analysis on to assure us that that is the proper 16 way to go? 17 MR. McNULTY: Commissioner, are you speaking specifically of Gulf Power or of each of the utilities? 18 19 COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: I think, in general, the four utilities. 20 MR. McNULTY: Well, one of the things that was a 21 22 common theme throughout the excavation requirements response that we received from the utilities was that they were going to 23 be looking statistically at -- for those utilities who decided 24

not to do a full inspection or a full excavation, which some

25

decided to do the full excavation and some decided not to. 1 those who decided not to, they did say, basically, that they 2 would be statistically sampling their population of the poles 3 in question, whether it be, you know, CCA poles under 15 years 4 or 20 years or what have you, and looking at those poles and 5 providing that information and data to us so that we can know 6 whether or not their claim, which is to say that these poles do 7 not decay to the point of needing replacement, is valid or not. 8 9 And so we will be looking at that and looking at that data that 10 will be provided in March. 11 COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: So technically you find that 12 this procedure they are proposing is acceptable to staff and you are recommending that we adopt it? 13 MR. McNULTY: Yes. 14 15 COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Okay. Thanks. CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioners, further questions or 16 comments? Seeing none. 17 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Move staff. 18 COMMISSIONER TEW: Second. 19 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. We have a motion and a second 20 21 in favor of the staff recommendation. Any further discussion? COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: One question. 22 23 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Arriaga. COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Issue 1 only or both issues? 24

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: My understanding was that the motion

25

included the entire item, which would be all of the issues before us. But if you have a question about either one, we can address that now.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Thank you. On Issue 2, please.

-7

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: You may ask a question on Issue 2.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: In your conclusions on Page

12, first paragraph, how do you intend to monitor the progress

made by the municipalities and co-ops in this process?

MR. McNULTY: Well, Commissioner, the rule, the new rule that was adopted last month, basically provides that the utilities will be providing a good bit of information about their wood pole inspections. And we will be looking at pole failures and such, and we feel as though it would be appropriate at this time for those utilities who have not come into what we would say -- not eliminate all such deviations that apply to the IOUs, for those who have not removed those deviations from their going-forward plans, we can analyze what the data is telling us there and what they are reporting and ask questions. I think the door is open there for us to ask questions of the municipal and the cooperative electric utilities to understand whether or not areas where they are having those deviations, whether or not that represents a concern in terms of reliability.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: And at that time which I

1	believe is March this year.
2	MR. McNULTY: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: At that time we will be able
4	to look at some of the data?
5	MR. McNULTY: The staff will have that data, and it
6	is my understanding that to the extent that there is something
7	that comes out of that data that is of a concern, it will be
8	staff's responsibility to bring that to you.
9	COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: All right. Thank you so much.
10	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. There is a motion and a
11	second. Is there further discussion? Seeing none, all in
12	favor of the motion say aye.
13	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)
14	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed?
15	Show it adopted.
16	MS. GERVASI: Chairman, just to be sure, the
17	recommendation was moved and that includes removing the
18	language that Mr. Badders identified?
19	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: That is my understanding. And, yes,
20	that is our understanding.
21	MS. GERVASI: Thank you.
22	* * * *
23	
24	
25	

25