

Voice Data Internet Wireless Entertainment

EMBARQ

Embarq Corporation Mailstop: FLTLHO0201 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301 EMBARQ.com

March 12, 2007

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP, Embarg's Performance Measurement Plan,

Effective: January 1, 2007

ander a Khezeu

Dear Mrs. Bayó:

In accordance with the Commission's action in Docket 000121B, confirmed in Order PSC-07-0220-CO-TP issued March 12, 2007 approving the changes to Embarq's Performance Measurement Plan in Florida, attached is a copy of the final Performance Measurement Plan as revised and approved. Please file in the docket.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (850) 847-0173.

Sincerely,

Sandra A. Khazraee

Enclosures

Lisa Harvey

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

Sandra A. Khazraee

REGULATORY MANAGER LAW AND EXTERNAL AFFAIRS Voice: (850) 847-0173

02206 MAR 125

Embarq Performance Measurement Plan Florida Public Service Commission

January 1, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
- II. PERFORMANCE MEASURES
 - a. List of Performance Measurements
 - b. Performance Measurements Report Requirements
 - c. Reporting Process
- III. SERVICE GROUP TYPES/SERVICE ORDER TYPES
- IV. AUDITING
- V. REVIEW PROCEDURES
- VI. DEFINITIONS OF TERMS/ACRONYMS
- VII. ATTACHMENTS
 - a. Jeopardy Codes
 - b. Missed Appointment Reason Codes
 - c. Disposition Codes
- VIII. COMPLIANCE METHODOLOGY

I. Executive Summary

PMP Development Process

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the FCC's implementing rules require ILECs to provide CLECs with nondiscriminatory access to OSS. In the August 1996 Local Competition First Report and Order, the FCC commented, generally, that ILECs must provide CLECs with access to the pre-ordering, ordering, provisioning, billing, repair, and maintenance OSS subfunctions pursuant to the Act, such that CLECs are able to perform such OSS sub-functions in "substantially the same time and manner" as the ILECs can for themselves. In August of 1997, the FCC's *Ameritech Opinion* analyzed the nondiscriminatory access requirements of §251(c) to a Bell Operating Company's (BOC's) §271 application, and clarified that for those OSS subfunctions with retail analogs, a BOC "must provide access to competing carriers that is equal to the level of access that the BOC provides to itself, its customers or its affiliates, in terms of quality, accuracy and timeliness." The FCC further clarified in the *Ameritech Opinion* that for those OSS functions with no retail analog, a BOC must offer access sufficient to allow an efficient competitor "a meaningful opportunity to compete."

In 2000 the Florida Public Service Commission opened Docket No. 000121-TP to develop permanent performance metrics for the ongoing evaluation of operations support systems (OSS) provided for alternative local exchange carriers' (CLECs) use by incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs). Docket No. 000121-TP consisted of three phases. Phase I began with workshops conducted by Commission Staff with members of the CLEC and ILEC communities. The purpose of Phase I was to determine and resolve any policy and legal issues in this matter. Phase II involved establishing permanent metrics for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth), including a specific monitoring and enforcement program. In 2002 the Florida Public Service Commission began Phase III and opened Docket No. 000121B-TP (Embarq Track) and Docket No. 000121C-TP (Verizon Track) to establish performance metrics and a performance monitoring and evaluation program for the other Florida ILECs.

"Because the duty to provide access to network elements under section 251(c)(3) and the duty to provide resale services under section 251(c)(4) include the duty to provide nondiscriminatory access to OSS functions, an examination of a BOC's OSS performance is necessary to evaluate compliance with section 271(c)(2)(B)(ii) and (xiv)." See, Ameritech Opinion at 12 FCC Rcd at 20619 [¶141]; See also, BellSouth (Louisiana II) Opinion at ¶87 (citing Ameritech Opinion at 12 FCC Rcd at 20619).

¹ See, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98, First Report and Order, 11 FCC Rcd 15499, 15763-64 [¶518] (1996) ("Local Competition First Report and Order"), aff'd in part and vacated in part sub nom. Competitive Telecommunications Ass'n v. FCC, 117 F.3d 1068 (8th Cir. 1997) and Iowa Utilities Bd. v. FCC, 120 F.3d 753 (8th Cir. 1997), modified on reh'g, No. 96-3321 (Oct. 14, 1997) (Rehearing Order), petition for cert. granted, 118 S. Ct. 879 (1998).

² See, In the Matter of Application of Ameritech Michigan Pursuant to Section 271 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, To Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services In Michigan, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 20543, 20618-19 [¶139] (1997) (Ameritech Michigan Order), writ of mandamus issued sub nom. Iowa Utils. Bd. v. FCC, No. 96-3321 (8th Cir. Jan. 22, 1998). ("Ameritech Opinion"); see also, In the Matter of Application of Bellsouth Corporation, et al., for Provision of In-Region, InterLATA services in Louisiana ("BellSouth (Louisiana II) Opinion") CC Docket No. 98-121, FCC 98-271 (10-13-98), paragraph 87 (citing, Ameritech Opinion at 12 FCC Rcd 20618-19). See also, Ameritech Opinion at ¶131, wherein the FCC makes the following statement regarding application of the §251(c) requirements to a BOC's §271 application:

On May 2, 2002, Sprint filed its initial response to Commission Staff's data request for proposed permanent performance measures in Florida in Docket No. 000121B-TP (Sprint Track). On June 30, 2002, initial comments on Sprint's proposal were filed by interested parties. Taking into consideration the information provided by Sprint and the comments provided by interested parties, Commission Staff developed an independent proposal for Sprint OSS permanent performance measurements and submitted it for comment on November 1, 2002. Comments on Commission Staff's proposal were filed November 15, 2002, and supplemental comments were filed with the Commission on November 25, 2002.

On January 9, 2003, the Florida Public Service Commission issued Order No. PSC-03-0067-PAA-TP. Order No. PSC-03-0067-PAA-TP addressed the proposed establishment and implementation of operations support systems permanent performance measures for the Sprint Track, Docket Number 000121B-TP.

Sprint complied with Order No. PSC-03-0067-PAA-TP and implemented this Performance Measurement Plan (PMP) on February 1, 2003. This Performance Measurement Plan includes:

- service quality measures
- business rules
- reporting requirements
- auditing
- statistical methodology

This Performance Measurement Plan includes performance measurements from the Sprint Nevada Plan, *August 2002 Cookbook*, and statistical methodology contained in the *Sprint Performance Measurement Plan Compliance Methodology* adopted, with modifications, by the FPSC to measure Sprint's performance in Florida.

Notes:

These performance measures are not intended to create, modify, or otherwise affect parties' rights and obligations. The existence of any particular performance measure, or the language describing that measure, is not evidence that the CLECs are entitled to any particular manner of access, that these measures relate solely to access to OSS, nor is it evidence that the ILEC's obligations to such access are defined elsewhere, including the relevant laws, FCC, and state decisions/regulations, tariffs, and interconnection agreements.

Major Categories

Measurements developed to help assess the provision of non-discriminatory access to OSS and other services, elements or functions were combined into the following broad categories:

• Pre-Ordering

Pre-ordering activities relate to the exchange of information between the ILEC and the CLEC regarding current or proposed customer products and services, or any other information required to initiate ordering of service. Pre-ordering encompasses the critical information needed to submit a provisioning order from the CLEC to the ILEC. The pre-order measurement reports the timeliness with which pre-order inquiries are returned to CLECs by the ILEC. Pre-ordering query types include:

Address Verification/Dispatch Required Request for Telephone Number Request for Customer Service Record Service Appointment Scheduling (due date) Rejected/Failed Queries Facility Availability Loop Pre-Qualification

Ordering

Ordering activities include the exchange of information between the ILEC and the CLEC regarding requests for service. Ordering includes: (1) the submittal of the service request from the CLEC, (2) rejection of any service request with errors and (3) confirmation that a valid service request has been received and a due date for the request assigned. Ordering performance measurements report on the timeliness with which these various activities are completed by the ILEC. Also captured within this category is reporting on the number of CLEC service requests that automatically generate a service order in the ILECs' service order creation system.

Provisioning

Provisioning is the set of activities required to install, change or disconnect a customer's service. It includes the functions to establish or condition physical facilities as well as the completion of any required software translations to define the feature functionality of the service. Provisioning also involves communication between the CLEC and the ILEC on the status of a service order, including any delay in meeting the commitment date and the time at which actual completion of service installation has occurred. Measurements in this category evaluate the quality of service installations; the efficiency of the installation process and the timeliness of notifications to the CLEC that installation is completed or has been delayed.

Maintenance

Maintenance involves the repair and restoral of customer service. Maintenance functions include the exchange of information between the ILEC and CLEC related to service repair requests, the processing of trouble ticket requests by the ILEC, actual service restoral and tracking of maintenance history. Maintenance measures track the timeliness with which trouble requests are handled by the ILEC and the effectiveness and quality of the service restoral process.

• Network Performance

Network performance involves the level at which the ILEC provides services and facilitates call processing within its network. The ILEC also has the responsibility to complete network upgrades efficiently. Network performance is evaluated on the quality of interconnection and the timeliness of network upgrades (code openings) the ILEC completes on behalf of the CLEC.

Billing

Billing involves the exchange of information necessary for CLECs to bill their customers, to process the end user's claims and adjustments, to verify the ILEC's bill for services provided to the CLEC and to allow CLECs to bill for access. Billing measures have been designed to gauge the quality, timeliness and overall effectiveness of the ILEC billing processes associated with CLEC customers.

• Database Updates

Database updates for directory assistance/listings and E911 include the processes by which these systems are updated with customer information that has changed due to the service provisioning activity. Measurements in this category are designed to evaluate the timeliness and accuracy with which changes to customer information, as submitted to these databases, are completed by the ILEC.

Collocation

ILECs are required to provide to CLECs available space as required by law to allow the installation of CLEC equipment. Performance measures in this category assess the timeliness with which the ILEC handles the CLEC's request for collocation as well as how timely the collocation arrangement is provided.

Interfaces

ILECs provide the CLECs with choices for access to OSS pre-ordering, ordering, maintenance and repair systems. Availability of the interfaces is fundamental to the CLEC being able to effectively do business with the ILEC. Additionally, in many instances, CLEC personnel must work with the service personnel of the ILEC. Measurements in this category assess the availability to the CLECs of systems and personnel at the ILEC work centers.

Auditing and Review Procedures

The parties have agreed to most procedures for auditing and review. Descriptions of these procedures can be found in Sections IV and V.

Reservation of Rights

These reservations of rights do not negate the parties' agreement regarding performance measures and standards as reflected in the Florida Plan.

Incorporating the performance measures into the interconnection agreements raises several complex issues that require further consideration by the parties. This remains an open issue.

Embarq

By implementing these performance measurements, Embarq:

- does not make any admission regarding the propriety or reasonableness of establishing performance penalties;
- does not admit that an apparent less-than-parity condition reflects discriminatory treatment without further factual analysis.

CLECs

- By implementing these performance measurements, CLECs do not agree with, endorse, or otherwise concur in the terms of Embarq's reservation of rights.
- CLECs reserve the right to contend that Embarq's compliance with the performance measures and standards in the Florida Plan does not conclusively demonstrate Embarq compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- CLECs reserve the right to contend that Embarq's compliance with the performance measures and standards does not conclusively demonstrate the existence of an open competitive local market.

II. Performance Measurements

Measurement	
#	Measurement Title
Pre-Ordering	Tylousul ollione Title
01	Average Response Time to Pre Order Queries
Ordering	The state of the s
02	Average FOC Notice Interval
03	Average Reject Notice Interval
04	Percent of Flow-Through Orders
Provisioning	
05	Percentage of Orders Jeopardized
06	Average Jeopardy Notice Interval
07	Average Completed Interval
08	Percent Completed Within Standard Interval
09	Coordinated Customer Conversion as a Percentage On-Time
11	Percent of Due Dates Missed
12	Percent Due Dates Missed Due to Lack of Facilities
13	Delay Order Interval to Completion Date (For Lack of Facilities)
14	Held Order Interval
15	Provisioning Trouble Reports Prior to Service Order Completion
17A	Percentage Troubles in 5 Days for New Orders
18	Average Completion Notice Interval
Maintenance	
19	Customer Trouble Report Rate
20	Percentage of Customer Trouble Not Resolved Within Estimated Time
21	Average Time to Restore
22	POTS Out of Service Less Than 24 Hours
23	Frequency of Repeat Troubles in 30-Day Period
Network	
Performance	
24	Percent Blocking on Common Trunks
25	Percent Blocking on Interconnection Trunks
26	NXX Loaded by LERG Effective Date
Billing	
28	Usage Timeliness
30	Wholesale Bill Timeliness
31	Usage Completeness
32	Recurring Charge Completeness
33	Non-Recurring Charge Completeness
34	Bill Accuracy
Database	
Updates	
38	Percent Database Accuracy

39	E911MS Database Update Interval
Collocation	
40	Time to Respond to a Collocation Request
41	Time to Provide a Collocation Arrangement
Interface	
42	Percentage of Time Interface is Available
44	Center Responsiveness

Pre-Ordering Measure 1

Title: Average Response Time to Pre-Order Queries

Ittie: Avei	age Response Time		-			
Area	Re	quirement De	escription			
Description	The response interval f computing the elapsed the CLEC, whether or returns the requested d	time from the ILI not syntactically	EC receipt o	f the query from		
	Address Verification/Dispatch Required					
	Request for Teleph					
	Request for Customer Service Record					
	- Simple - Complex					
	Service Appointme	nt Scheduling (du	ie date)			
	Rejected/Failed Qu		,			
	Facility Availability	y				
	 Loop Pre-qualificat 	ion				
Method of	All Electronic:					
Calculation	Sum ((Query Response		· - •			
	Time)) / (Number of Q	ueries Submitted	in Reporting	g Period)		
	All Massach, I am Da	1:C' 4!	. 1 15 114	A • • 1 • 1 • 1 • 1		
	All Manual: Loop Pr Sum [((Fax Date and T	-	v	•		
	Reporting Period)] X 1	receipt of valid fax service request)) / (Number of Faxes Submitted in Reporting Period)] X 100				
Report Period	Monthly					
Report Structure	Individual CLECs, CLI	ECs in the aggreg	ate, and ILE	CC affiliate.		
Reported By	By query type and by in					
Geographic Level	Statewide					
Measurable						
Standards						
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Comparison S	tandard		
	All Electronic:		Parity	Benchmark		
	Address Verification/Dispatch Required	Request for Address Verification		6seconds		
	Request for Telephone Number	Request for Telephone Number		3 seconds		
	Request for Customer Service Record - Simple	Request for Simple CSR		10 seconds		
	Request for Customer Service Record – Complex	Request for Complex CSR		15_seconds		
	Service Appointment Scheduling	Request for Due Date		3 seconds		
	Rejected / Failed Queries	Rejected/Failed Queries		Diagnostic Only		
	Loop Pre -Qualification	Request for Loop Pre-Qualification		2 minutes, 30 seconds		

	All Manual:		
	Facility Availability	Request for Facility Availability	95% within 3 business days – Diagnostic Only
	Loop Pre-Qualification	Request for Loop Pre-Qualification	95% within 3 business days
Business Rules	requests. Results for CLE with a benchmar determine complete Elapsed time for during scheduled.	center of the condition of the condition of the condition of the condition of the center of the condition of	ons will be compared ectronic submeasure to s will be tracked.

Ordering Measure 2

Title: Average FOC Notice Interval

Area	Requ	uirement De	scription			
Description	Measures the average tim	Measures the average time from receipt of a valid service request to				
	returning a Firm Order C	returning a Firm Order Confirmation (FOC).				
Method of	All Electronic:					
Calculation	Sum ((Date and Time of FOC) - (Business Date and Time of Receipt of					
	Valid Service Request)) /	(Number of FO	Cs Sent in R	eporting Period)		
	Electronic/Manual Mix	•				
	Sum ((FOC Date and Tin	ne) – (Receipt I	Date and Time	of receipt of		
	error free order)) / (Numb	per of FOCs sen	ıt.)			
Report Period	Monthly					
Report Structure	Individual CLECs, CLEC	s in the aggreg	ate, by ILEC	(if analog		
Topon Dunemie	applies) and ILEC affiliat		, 0, 1220	(
Reported By	Electronically receive		handled			
<i>y</i>	Electronically receive	*				
	By Service Group Ty	· ·	114114144			
Geographic Level	Statewide Statewide	r -				
Measurable	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Compa	rison Standard		
Standards	RESALE		Parity	Benchmark		
	Blind FOC		Tarrey	Denemnar K		
	Res POTS	Res POTS				
	All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix			15 mins 4 hrs		
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS		71115		
	All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix			15 mins 6 hrs		
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI				
	All Electronic			15 mins Diagnostic Only		
	Electronic/Manual Mix			6 hrs		
	CENTREX All Electronic	CENTREX		15 mins		
				Diagnostic Only		
	Electronic/Manual Mix PBX	PBX		13 hrs.		
	All Electronic	IBA		15 mins		
	Electronic/Manual Mix			Diagnostic Only 13 hrs.		
	Intelligent FOC			15 113.		
	DDS	DDS				
	All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix			TBD 36 business hrs		
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI				
	All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix			TBD 36 business hrs		
	DS3	DS3				
	All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix			TBD 36 business hrs		
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0				
	All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix			TBD 36 business hrs		
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK					

ELEMENTS		
Blind FOC		
UNE Loops Non-Designed All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	UNE Loops Non-Designed	15 mins 6 hrs
UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned	15 mins 6 hrs
UNE Subloops – Voice Grade All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	15 mins Diagnostic Only 6 hrs
UNE Subloops – Data All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	UNE Subloops – Data	15 mins Diagnostic Only 13 hrs
UNE Ports Non - Designed All Electronic	UNE Ports Non- Designed	15 mins Diagnostic Only
Electronic/Manual Mix		6 hrs
LNP All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	LNP	15 mins 6 hrs
Intelligent FOC		
UNE Loops Designed All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	UNE Loops Designed	TBD 36 business hrs
UNE Ports Designed All Electronic Electonic/Manual Mix	UNE Ports Designed	TBD 36 business hrs
EELS All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	EELS	TBD 36 business hrs
UNE Dedicated Transport		Se odomess ms
UNE DS1/ISDN PRI All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	TBD 36 business hrs
UNE DS3 All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	UNE DS3	TBD 36 business hrs
Interconnection Trunks All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	Interconnection Trunks	TBD 7 business days
PROJECTS: Projects All Electronic Electronic/Manual Mix	Projects	TBD Diagnostic Only
 Elapsed time calculated business days and ILE The start time of requestill be the beginning defined as published has center. Excludes Loop Pre-Qualculate 	C published holidays. ests received after the of the next business dates of operation for the cours of operation for the course of the co	end of the business day ay. Business day is the ILEC ordering

Business Rules

	 Manually received and handled FOCs not included. Denominator includes all FOCs sent regardless of receipt and response time. CLEC to CLEC conversions are not included in the elapsed time of FOC response for LNP Service Group Type.
Notes	None at this Time.

Ordering Measure 3

Title: Average Reject Notice Interval

Tille: Averag	ge Reject Notice filter	· vai			
Area	Regu	irement Des	cription		
Description	Reject interval is the elaps from the CLEC to the ILE CLEC.			•	
Method of	All Electronic				
Calculation	Sum((Business Date and T	ime of ILEC Tr	ansmission of o	Order	
	Rejection) - (Business Dat	e and Time of O	rder Receipt))	/ (# of	
	Mechanized Orders Reject	red)			
	Electronic/Manual Mix				
	Sum((Business Date and T	ime of ILEC tra	nsmission of C)rder	
	Rejection) – (Business Dat	te and Time of C	Order Receipt))	/ (# of	
	Electronic/Manual Orders	Rejected).			
Report Period	Monthly				
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs	in the aggregate	and ILEC Aff	iliates	
Reported By	Electronically received				
•	All interfaces	•			
	Syntax (edit engine)	e) and content er	rors (other edit	s)	
	Resale orders and I	•	*	- /	
	Electronically received				
	All interfaces	i, mandany mand	ica		
	 Syntax (edit engine) and content ar	rors (other edit	a)	
	,	*	,	5)	
Communicational	Resale orders and I Statewide	racility based Of	NE Orders	,	
Geographic Level	Statewide				
Measurable					
Standards	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard	
	Disaggi egation Level	CLEC	Parity	Benchmark	
	All Electronic	Reject Notice		TBD	
Business Rules	Electronic/Manual Mix	Reject Notice	1711	6 hrs	
Dusiness Rules	Elapsed time calculated described H.E.C. multiple		irs. Excludes i	ion-business	
	days and ILEC published holidays.				
	Calculation of requests received after the end of the business day				
	starts at the beginning of the next business day. Business day is				
	1 0 1 11 1 11	defined as published hours of operation for the ILEC ordering			
:	-	ours of operation	i ioi iiie ille (ordering	
	center	-		-	
	centerExclude rejects when the	ne PON is receiv	ved after busine	ess hours and	
	centerExclude rejects when the processed prior to the best	ne PON is receiv	ved after busine next business o	ess hours and lay.	
	centerExclude rejects when the	ne PON is receiv	ved after busine next business o	ess hours and lay.	

Ordering Measure 4

Title: Percent of Flow-Through Orders

	$ \cdot R$	equirement Descr	iption			
Description	Measures the percentage of mechanized service orders processed on a					
1	flow through basis. The definition of Flow-through for the intent of this					
		measure is to reflect those orders that are able to get to the Firm Order				
	Confirmation status v	vithout manual interven	tion.			
Method of	[(Number of valid electronically received orders that flow-through					
Calculation	1 7 '	vention) / (Total valid el				
		service orders)] x 100				
Report Period	Monthly					
Report Structure	9.0000.7.	Individual CLECs, CLECs in the aggregate, and ILEC Affiliates				
Reported By	Orders that flow the state of the state	hrough as a percentage	of			
	I I	nically received orders p				
		nearly received orders p	orogrammed to now-			
	through					
	2) All electron	nically received orders				
	By Service Group	Types				
Geographic Level	Statewide					
Measurable	The process to evalua	te performance on this i	measure is under			
Standards			y defined. Final resolution			
	_	d development of an agr				
		i development of an agi	eed to Flow-Tillough			
	Plan.					
	Disaggregation Level CLEC Retail Comparison Sta Parity Benc					
	Resale	D DOTC	I Di ci O I			
	Res POTS Bus POTS	Res POTS Bus POTS	Diagnostic Only			
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	Diagnostic Only			
		ISDIV DICI	Diagnostic Only			
	CENTREX	CENTREX	Diagnostic Only			
	CENTREX PBX	CENTREX PBX	Diagnostic Only			
	CENTREX PBX DDS	CENTREX PBX DDS	Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only			
	PBX	PBX	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3	Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI	Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3	Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed	Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops Provisioned UNE Loops Non-Designed	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops - Voice Grade	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops Provisioned	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops Provisioned UNE Subloops – Voice Grade UNE Subloops – Data UNE Ports	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops - Voice Grade UNE Subloops - Data UNE Ports	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops – Voice Grade UNE Subloops – Data UNE Ports EELS	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops - Voice Grade UNE Subloops - Data	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops Provisioned UNE Subloops – Voice Grade UNE Subloops – Data UNE Ports EELS UNE Dedicated Transport	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops - Voice Grade UNE Subloops - Data UNE Ports EELS	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops – Voice Grade UNE Subloops – Data UNE Ports EELS UNE Dedicated Transport UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops - Voice Grade UNE Subloops - Data UNE Ports	Diagnostic Only			
	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops – Voice Grade UNE Subloops – Data UNE Ports EELS UNE Dedicated Transport UNE DS1/ISDN	PBX DDS DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNE Loops - Non-Designed UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops - Voice Grade UNE Subloops - Data UNE Ports EELS	Diagnostic Only			

Business Rules	•	Excludes Loop Pre-Qualification queries.
Notes	•	None at this time.

Provisioning Measure 5

Title: Percentage of Orders Jeopardized

Area	Regu	irement Des	cription				
Description	Percentage of total orders	processed for w	hich the ILEC r	otifies the			
•	CLEC that the work will not be completed by the due date committed on the FOC.						
M / 1 / C							
Method of	(Number of Orders Jeopardized) / (Number of Orders Completed) x						
Calculation	100						
Report Period	Monthly						
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs	in the aggregate	, ILEC and ILE	C Affiliates			
Reported By	By service group type						
Geographic Level	Statewide						
Measurable Standards	Embarq is required to prov	vide a retail anal	og for this meas	surement.			
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison Parity	Standard Benchmark			
	Resale		latity	Delicilitat k			
	Res POTS	Res POTS	Res POTS				
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS	Bus POTS				
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI				
	CENTREX	CENTREX	CENTREX				
	PBX	PBX	PBX				
	DDS DOL/GDN DDI	DDS	DDS				
1	DS1/ISDN PRI DS3	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI				
•	VGPL/DS0	DS3 VGPL/DS0	DS3 VGPL/DS0				
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS						
	UNE Loops						
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops Non-Designed	Bus. POTS Dispatched				
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops Designed	DDS, VGPL/DS0				
	UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned	UNE Loops – xDSL Provisioned	Retail xDSL				
	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	Bus. POTS Dispatched				
	UNE Subloops - Data	UNE Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL				
	UNE Port	UNE Port	DS1/ISDN PRI				
	EELS	EELS	DS3, DS1/ISDN PRI, VGPL/ DS0				
	UNE Dedicated Transport						
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI				
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3				
Business Rules	Excludes delays for cusExcludes Loop Pre-Qu						
Notes	• None at this time.	anneamon quen	<i>-</i> 5.	<u></u>			

Provisioning Measure 6

Title: Average Jeopardy Notice Interval

Area	Requ	uirement Des	cription		
Description	Measures the remaining time between the pre-existing committed order				
	completion date and time				
	and time the ILEC issues	s a notice to the C	LEC indicating a	n order is in	
	jeopardy of missing the				
	missed).		THE PERSON NAMED IN	- · · 	
Method of	Assignment: Jeopardies	identified during	r assignment		
Calculation	11331Billione. Joopardies	, racinition during	9 00015111110111		
Calculation	Same ((Data and Time a fix	C:44 . 4 D	No. 1 0 1) (T) (
	Sum((Date and Time of of and Time of Jeopardy No			, \	
	Installation: Jeopardies	identified during	; installation prior	r to due time	
	Sum ((Date and Time of			, ,	
	and Time of Jeopardy No	otice) / (Number o	of Installation Je	opardy	
	Notices)			-	
	Notification of Missed (Commitments:			
	Sum(Due Date and Time of Missed Commit Notice) –(Due Date and				
	Time of Order) / (Number of Missed Commit Notices)				
Report Period	Monthly				
Report Structure	Individual CLECs, CLEC	s in the aggregat	e. and ILEC Affi	liates	
Reported By	By service group type		v, and into the	.114100	
reported by		_			
C 11 T 7	By jeopardy type				
Geographic Level	Statewide				
Measurable	Embarq is required to pro	ovide a retail anal	og for this measu	irement.	
Standards					
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison St		
	Resale		Parity	Benchmark	
	Res POTS	Res POTS	Res POTS		
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS	Bus POTS		
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI		
	CENTREX PBX	CENTREX PBX	CENTREX PBX		
	DDS	DDS	DDS		
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI		
	DS3	DS3	DS3		
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0		
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS				
	UNE Loops				
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops	Bus. POTS		
	LINE Long Designed	Non-Designed	Dispatched		
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops Designed	DDS, VGPL/DS0		
	UNE Loops - xDSL	UNE Loops - xDSL	Retail xDSL		
	Provisioned	Provisioned			
	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	UNE Subloops –	Bus. POTS		

		Voice Grade	Dispatched			
	IDVE C 11		- I			
	UNE Subloops - Data	UNE Subloops -	Retail xDSL			
		Data				
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI,			
			DS3, VGPL/DS0			
	UNE Dedicated Transport	-				
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3			
	Projects	Projects Diagnostic Only	Projects Diagnostic Only			
Business Rules		requested due dates beyond interval offered, for customers teasons.				
Notes	 If the ILEC policy changes regarding jeopardy notices to their Retail customers, this measure should be evaluated for analog. Interval is reported in business days. 					

Provisioning Measure 7

Title: Average Completed Interval

Title: Avera	ge Completed Interva	al						
Area		Requirement Description						
Description	Average business days from receipt of valid, error-free service request							
-	to completion date in serv	vice order system	for new, move	e, and change				
	orders.							
Made								
Method of		(Total business days from receipt of valid, error-free service request to						
Calculation	completion date in service	•		nd change				
	orders) / (Total new, mov	<u>re and change ord</u>	ers)					
Report Period	Monthly							
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs	s in the aggregate	, by ILEC, and	ILEC				
2.00	Affiliates		, - ,					
D I.D		1 C -1 11-/ C	_1.11	1:1-1-				
Reported By	By service group type and	i neid work/no n	eid work wher	e applicable.				
Geographic Level	Statewide							
Measurable	Embarq is required to pro	vide a retail anal	og for this mea	surement.				
Standards								
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard				
			Parity	Benchmark				
	Resale	 						
	Res POTS	Res POTS	Res POTS					
	Bus POTS ISDN BRI	Bus POTS ISDN BRI	Bus POTS	 				
	CENTREX	CENTREX	ISDN BRI CENTREX					
	PBX PBX		PBX	 				
	DDS	DDS	DDS					
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	1				
	DS3	DS3	DS3					
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0					
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS							
	UNE Loops			 				
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops Non-Designed	Bus. POTS Dispatched					
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops	DISpatched DDS,VGPL/DS0	 				
		Designed	223, . 31 1/250					
	UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned	UNE Loops – xDSL Provisioned	Retail xDSL					
	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	UNE Subloops -	Bus. POTS					
		Voice Grade	Dispatched	<u> </u>				
	UNE Subloops - Data	UNE Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL					
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI	 				
	EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI,					
			DS3, VGPL/DS0					
	UNE Dedicated Transport							
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI					
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3					
	Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection	ILEC Dedicated					
		Trunks	Trunks					
	Projects	Projects Diagnostic	Projects					
		Only	Diagnostic Only					

Business Rules	 Excludes customer requested due dates beyond interval offered, and orders delayed for customer reasons. For UNE Loop services, feature only orders are excluded from the retail analog. Excludes Loop Pre-Qualification queries The start time of requests received after the end of the business day will be the beginning of the next business day.
Notes	None at this time.

Provisioning Measure 8

Title: Percent Completed Within Standard Interval

Title: Percen	t Completed Within	Standard Int	ervai					
Area	1	irement Des						
Description	Measures orders completed within the standard interval of receipt of							
	valid, error-free service request.							
Method of	[(Total New, Move and Change Orders Completed Within the Standard							
Calculation	interval of Receipt of Valid, Error-free Service Request) / (Total New,							
	Move and Change Orders)] x 100							
Report Period	Monthly							
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs	in the aggregate	by ILEC and	ILEC				
Report Structure	Affiliates	in the aggregate	, of ille, and	ILLO				
		1. 1	مناهام الماسية	o dotoo				
Reported By	By service group type exc	luding services v	vitn Hexible du	e dates.				
Geographic Level	Statewide							
Measurable	Embarq is required to prov	vide a retail anal	og for this mea	surement				
Standards								
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison					
	Resale		Parity	Benchmark				
 								
	Res POTS	Res POTS	Res POTS					
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS	Diagnostic Only Bus POTS					
			Diagnostic Only					
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI					
	CENTREX	CENTREX	Diagnostic Only CENTREX	-				
	CENTREX	CENTREX	Diagnostic Only					
	PBX	PBX	PBX					
	DDS	DDS	Diagnostic Only DDS					
	DDS		Diagnostic Only					
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI					
	DS3	DS3	Diagnostic Only DS3					
		D55	Diagnostic Only					
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0					
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK		Diagnostic Only					
	ELEMENTS UNE Loops							
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops	Bus. POTS					
		Non-Designed	Dispatched					
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops	Diagnostic Only DDS, VGPL/DS0					
		Designed	Diagnostic Only					
	UNE Loops - xDSL	UNE Loops – xDSL	Retail xDSL					
	Provisioned UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	Provisioned UNE Subloops –	Diagnostic Only Bus. POTS					
	CALS Sublected 7 0.00 State	Voice Grade	Dispatched Diagnostic Only					
	UNE Subloops – Data	UNE Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL Diagnostic Only					
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI					
	EELS	EELS	Diagnostic Only DS1/ISDN PRI,					
	LLL	LLLU	DS3, VGPL/DS0	ļ.				
			Diagnostic Only					

	UNE Dedicated Transport						
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI Diagnostic Only				
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3 Diagnostic Only				
	Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection Trunks	ILEC Dedicated Trunks Diagnostic Only				
	Projects	Projects Diagnostic Only	Projects Diagnostic Only				
Business Rules	 Excludes customer requested due dates greater than the standard interval, and orders delayed for customer reasons. Excludes services with flexible due dates. For UNE Loop services, feature only orders are excluded from the retail analog. 						
	 Excludes Loop Pre-C 	Qualification queri	es.				
Notes	None at this time.		-				

Provisioning Measure 9

Title: Coordinated Customer Conversion as a Percentage On-Time

	p		<u> </u>					
Area		equirement D						
Description	Measures the percentage of coordinated cut overs CHC started on time							
	where CLEC has requ	where CLEC has requested timed coordination.						
		* Note: "On time" means appointment arrival time plus or minus 1						
	hour. Orders started b							
	time if early arrival ir							
Method of	[(Number of coordinate)							
Calculation	coordinated cut overs	completed in repo	orting period)] x	100				
Report Period	Monthly	Monthly						
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, and ILEC Affiliates							
Reported By	Residence, Business, and LNP conversions							
Geographic Level	Statewide							
Measurable								
Standards								
	Disaggregation Level							
	Resale		Parity	Benchmark				
	Res POTS	Res POTS		95% within 1 hour				
				of planned time on due date				
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS		95% within 1 hour				
				of planned time on due date				
	LNP	LNP		95% within 1 hour				
				of planned time on due date				
Business Rules	Excludes CLEC c	aused misses.	.,.,,	•				
	Excludes Loop Pr	e-Qualification qu	eries.					
	_	requested coordinate		nly.				
Notes	None at this time.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
	1.022 31 11110							

Provisioning Measure 11

Title: Percent of Due Dates Missed

Area	Requ	irement Des	cription						
Description	Measures the percent of new, move and change orders where								
1	installation was not completed by the due date.								
Method of	[(Total Number of Missed Due Dates Due to ILEC Reasons for New,								
•									
Calculation		Move and Change Orders) / (Total Number of New, Move and Change							
		Orders)] x 100							
Report Period	Monthly								
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs	in the aggregate	e, by ILEC, and	l ILEC					
*	Affiliates		. •						
Reported By	By service group type and	l Field Work/No	Field Work as	appropriate					
Geographic Level	Statewide								
Measurable Standards	Embarq is required to pro-	vide a retail anal	og for this mea	surement.					
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	n Standard					
	Resale		Parity	Benchmark					
	Res POTS	Res POTS	Res POTS						
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS	Bus POTS						
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI						
	CENTREX	CENTREX	CENTREX						
	PBX	PBX	PBX						
	DDS DS1/ISDN PRI	DDS DS1/ISDN PRI	DDS	 					
	DS1/ISDN PRI DS3	DS1/ISDN PRI DS3	DS1/ISDN PRI DS3						
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	-					
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS	V 01 E/D50	VGI Li DOV						
	UNE Loops								
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops Non-Designed	Bus. POTS Dispatched						
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops	DDS and						
		Designed	VGPL/DS0						
	UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned	UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned	Retail xDSL						
	UNE Subloops - Voice Grade	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	Bus. POTS Dispatched						
	UNE Subloops – Data	UNE Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL						
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI	 					
	EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI, DS3, VGPL/DS0						
	UNE Dedicated Transport								
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI						
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3						
	Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection Trunks	ILEC Dedicated Trunks	İ					
Business Rules	 Excludes customer requested due dates beyond interval offered, orders delayed for customer reasons. All available due dates are reported, except those missed due to customer reasons. 								
	For UNE Loop services, feature only orders are excluded from the								

	retail analog. • Excludes Loop Pre-Qualification queries.
Notes	 Embarq will provide disaggregation by Missed Appointment Reason codes as diagnostic data upon raw data request.

Provisioning Measure 12

Title: Percent of Due Dates Missed Due to Lack of Facilities

Measures the percent of mark of facilities. Note: Results also include [((Total New, Move and Cack of Facilities) / (Total Orders))] x 100 Monthly Individual CLEC, CLECs	ed in Measure "P Change Orders M	ercent Missed I	Oue Dates" es Due to
Note: Results also include [((Total New, Move and C Lack of Facilities) / (Total Orders))] x 100 Monthly	Change Orders M	lissed Due Date	es Due to
[((Total New, Move and C Lack of Facilities) / (Total Orders))] x 100 Monthly	Change Orders M	lissed Due Date	es Due to
[((Total New, Move and C Lack of Facilities) / (Total Orders))] x 100 Monthly	Change Orders M	lissed Due Date	es Due to
[((Total New, Move and C Lack of Facilities) / (Total Orders))] x 100 Monthly	Change Orders M	lissed Due Date	es Due to
Lack of Facilities) / (Total Orders))] x 100 Monthly	_		
Orders))] x 100 Monthly		v, wove and en	lange
Monthly			
Individual CLEC, CLECs		 	
	in the aggregate	e, by ILEC, and	ILEC
Affiliates			
By service group type			
Statewide			
Embara is required to prov	vide a retail anal	og for this meas	surement.
		- 6 0 111000	
Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard
Resale		Parity	Benchmark
	Res POTS		Jenemark
Bus POTS	Bus POTS	Bus POTS	
ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	
			
UNBUNDLED NETWORK			
	 		
	UNE Loops	Bus. POTS	
	Non-Designed	Dispatched	
UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops	DDS, VGPL/DS0	
LINE Loops vDSf		Patail vDCI	
		Meran XD2F	1
UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	UNE Subloops –	Bus. POTS	
	Data	Dispatched	
UNE Subloops – Data		Retail xDSL	İ
UNE Ports		DS1/ISDN PR1	
EELS	EELS		
		DS3, VGPL/DS0	
UNE Dedicated Transport			
UNE DS1/ISDN PRI		DS1/ISDN PRI	
UNE DS3		DS3	
Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection	ILEC Dedicated	
	Trunks	Trunks	
All available due dates	are reported, ex	cept those miss	ed due to
1	1 ,	•	
	uantod duo dotaa	harvand the int	omiol offer-
	Affiliates By service group type Statewide Embarq is required to produce to p	Affiliates By service group type Statewide Embarq is required to provide a retail anal Disaggregation Level Res POTS Bus POTS Bus POTS Bus POTS Bus POTS ISDN BRI CENTREX PBX DDS DDS DSI/ISDN PRI DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 VGPL/DS0 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS UNE Loops UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops - Voice Grade UNE Subloops - Data UNE Ports EELS UNE DOS3 UNE DOS3 UNE DOS1/ISDN PRI UNE Subloops - Data UNE Subloops - Data UNE Subloops - Data UNE DOS1/ISDN PRI UNE DOS1/ISDN PRI UNE DOS1/ISDN PRI UNE DOS1/ISDN PRI UNE DS3 Interconnection Trunks • All available due dates are reported, ex customer reasons.	By service group type Statewide Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for this meas Disaggregation Level Resale Res POTS Res POTS Bus POTS DDS DDS DDS DDS DDS DDS DDS

	 and orders delayed for customer reasons. For UNE Loop services, feature only orders are excluded from the retail analog.
	Excludes Loop Pre-Qualification queries.
Notes	None at this time.

Provisioning Measure 13

Title:

Delay Order Interval to Completion Date (For Lack of Facilities)

	108)	D					
Area		Requirement D					
Description	Measures the average	ge calendar days fro	m due date to comp	oletion date			
•	on company missed	orders due to lack	of ILEC facilities.				
16 4 1 6							
Method of	Sum ((Completion Date for orders missed due to lack of ILEC						
Calculation	facilities) – (Committed Order Due Date for orders missed due to lack of ILEC facilities)) / (Number of Orders Missed due to lack of ILEC Facilities in the Reporting Period)						
		orung Period)					
Report Period	Monthly						
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, C	LECs in the aggree	ate by ILEC and I	LEC			
Report Structure	t .	LLC5 in the uggicg	ate, by IBBC, and I	LLC			
	Affiliates			<u>-</u>			
Reported By	By service group type						
F	1 .		za 21 00 galandar d	ove and SOO			
		y 1-30 calendar day	8, 31-90 calendar d	ays and -90			
	calendar days						
Geographic Level	Statewide						
Measurable	Embarq is required t	to provide a retail a	nalog for this measi	urement.			
Standards	1 1	•	C				
Stunuarus	Disagraphica Loyal	CLEC	Detail Companies Star	ndard			
	Disaggregation Level Resale	CLEC	Retail Comparison Standard				
	Resarc		Parity	Benchmark			
	Res POTS	Res POTS	Res POTS				
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS	Bus POTS				
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI				
	CENTREX	CENTREX	CENTREX				
	PBX	PBX	PBX				
	DDS	DDS	DDS				
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI				
	DS3	DS3	DS3				
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0				
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS						
	UNE Loops						
	UNE Loops Non-	UNE Loops - Non-	Bus. POTS Dispatched				
	Designed	Designed	<u> </u>				
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops Designed	DDS and VGPL/DS0				
	UNE Loops - xDSL	UNE Loops - xDSL	Retail xDSL				
	Provisioned	Provisioned					
	UNE Subloops –	UNE Subloops - Voice	Bus. POTS Dispatched				
	Voice Grade	Grade	D + 3 DOI				
	Subloops - Data	Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL				
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI	 			
	EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI, DS3, VGPL/DS0				
	UNE Dedicated Transport						
	UNE DS1/ISDN	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	 			
	PRI						
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3				
	Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection Trunks	ILEC Dedicated Trunks				
Business Rules	Excludes Loop F	Pre-Qualification qu	lorios				

Notes	•	None at this time.		****	
			 	 ·	

Provisioning Measure 14

Title: Held Order Interval

	D	·				
Area		irement Desc				
Description	Measures the time period that service orders are not completed					
*	original due dates for all ILEC reasons (including lack of facilities).					
Method of	Sum((Reporting Period Close Date) – (Committed Order Due Date)) /					
v						
Calculation	(Number of Orders Pendir	ommitted Due	Date)			
	Note: For all orders pending and past the committed due date.					
Report Period	Monthly					
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, by ILEC, and ILEC					
Kepori Siruciure						
	Affiliates					
Reported By	By service group type					
Geographic Level	Statewide					
Measurable	Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for this measurement.					
Standards						
Siunaurus	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard		
	Resale	D DOWS	Parity	Benchmark		
	Res POTS	Res POTS Bus POTS	Res POTS Bus POTS			
	Bus POTS ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	 		
	CENTREX	CENTREX	CENTREX			
	PBX	PBX	PBX			
	DDS	DDS	DDS			
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	DS3	DS3	DS3			
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0			
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS					
	UNE Loops					
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops	Bus. POTS			
	YDID I I	Non-Designed	Dispatched			
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops Designed	DDS and VGPL/DS0			
	UNE Loops - xDSL	UNE Loops - xDSL	Retail xDSL			
	Provisioned	Provisioned				
	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	UNE Subloops –	Bus. POTS			
	_	Voice Grade	Dispatched			
	UNE Subloops – Data	UNE Subloops -	Retail xDSL			
	LINE Ports	Data UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	UNE Ports EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI,			
	ELLO		DS3, VGPL/DS0			
	UNE Dedicated Transport					
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3			
	Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection Trunks	ILEC Dedicated Trunks			
Business Rules	Excludes customer caused misses.					
	Excludes Loop Pre-Qualification queries.					
	Interval is measured in business days.					
Notes	Embarq will provide disaggregation by Missed Appointment					
Tioles	- Lineary will provide d	ibuggi egailon by	THISSOUT TIPPO	TTTATTA		

Reason codes as diagnostic data upon raw data request.

• For UNE Loop services, feature only orders are excluded from the

For UNE Loop services, feature only orders are excluded from the retail analog.

Provisioning Measure 15

Title:

Provisioning Trouble Reports Prior to Service Order Completion

Area	Requ	irement De	scription				
Description	Measures the percent of troubles that are reported (via customer or indirectly by CLEC) that occur during the provisioning process.						
Method of		[(Total number of trouble reports that occur from the time of service					
Calculation	order creation, up to and including the date of service order completion) / (Total Number of service orders completed in reporting period)] x 100.						
Report Period	Monthly	Monthly					
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, ILEC, and ILEC Affiliates						
Reported By	 By Resale, UNE Loop Non-Designed, UNE Subloops – Voice Grade, and LNP By Affecting Service and Out of Service 						
Geographic Level	Statewide	Statewide					
Measurable Standards	Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for this measurement.						
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison Standard				
	Resale		Parity	Benchmark			
	ResPOTS, Bus POTS UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS	Res POTS, Bus POTS	Res POTS, Bus POTS				
	UNE Loops						
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops Non-Designed	B1 Dispatch Non- Designed				
	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	B1 Dispatch Non- Designed				
	LNP	LNP	LNP				
Business Rules	 Excludes CPE and IEC/IXC/CLEC caused troubles Excludes Subsequent reports. Excludes Message Reports (circuit reports for which ILEC has no records). Excludes ILEC employee generated reports. 						
Notes	None at this time.						

Provisioning

Measure 17a

Title: Percentage Troubles in 5 Days for New Orders

<i>Title</i> : Percen	tage Troubles in 5 D	ays for new	Orders			
Area		iirement Des	300 300 20 30 40 17 March 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10			
Description	Measures the percent of network customer trouble reports receive					
_	within 5 calendar days of					
Method of	[(Total Number of Customer Trouble reports received within 5 calendar					
•						
Calculation	days of service order completion) / (Total Number of new, move an change completed orders)] x 100					
Report Period	Monthly					
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, ILEC, and ILEC Affiliates					
Reported By	By service group type					
Geographic Level	Statewide					
Measurable	Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for this measurement.					
Standards			U			
Stantauras	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison S	tandard		
	Resale	D DOTE		Benchmark		
	Res POTS Bus POTS	Res POTS Bus POTS	Res POTS	<u> </u>		
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	Bus POTS ISDN BRI			
	CENTREX	CENTREX	CENTREX			
	PBX	PBX	PBX			
	DDS	DDS	DDS	<u> </u>		
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	DS3	DS3	DS3			
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0			
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS					
		Non-Designed				
		Designed				
	Provisioned	Provisioned				
,	UNE Subloops Voice Grade	UNE Subloops - Voice Grade				
	UNE Subloops – Data	UNE Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL			
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI, DS3,			
	UNE Dedicated Transport		VGI L/D30			
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN	DS1/ISDN PRI			
Ì	UNE DS3		DS3	*		
	LNP	LNP	LNP			
Business Rules	Excludes CPE and IEC/IXC/CLEC caused troubles.					
	Exercises descented with histor wife.					
	• Excludes Trouble Reports Received on the Due Date (which instead)					
	are reported in Measurement 15).					
	• Excludes Message Reports (circuit reports for which ILEC has no					
	records).					
Business Rules	UNE Subloops - Voice Grade UNE Subloops - Data UNE Ports EELS UNE Dedicated Transport UNE DS1/ISDN PRI UNE DS3 LNP Excludes CPE and IEC Excludes troubles asso Excludes Trouble Repeare reported in Measur Excludes Subsequent r Excludes Message Rep	UNE Loops Designed UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned UNE Subloops - Voice Grade UNE Subloops - Data UNE Ports EELS UNE DS1/ISDN PRI UNE DS3 LNP C/IXC/CLEC cau ciated with inside orts Received on ement 15).	DS1/ISDN PRI, DS3, VGPL/DS0 DS1/ISDN PRI DS3 LNP used troubles. le wire. the Due Date (w			

	•	Excludes ILEC employee generated reports. Excludes Loop Pre-Qualification queries.
Notes	•	Embarq will provide disaggregation by Maintenance Disposition codes as diagnostic data upon a request for raw data.

Provisioning Measure 18

Title: Average Completion Notice Interval

Area	. Rea	quirement Des	cription			
Description	Measures the average t	ime per order to iss	ue notification	to CLEC of a		
_	completed order.	-				
Method of	All Electronic:	-				
Calculation	Sum((Date and Time o	Sum((Date and Time of Electronic Completion Notification to CLEC) -				
	, ,	(Date and Time of Work Completion)) / (Number of Orders Completed				
	Electronically)	1 // (r		
	Electronic/Manual M	liv:				
	[(Number of Manual O	-	and Time of E	lectronic		
	Completion Notificatio					
	Completion ≤ 24)/ (N	, ,				
	Manual Intervention)		P			
Report Period	Monthly					
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLE	Cs in the aggregate	, and by ILEC	Affiliates		
Reported By	Electronic and Electron	ic/Manual Mix Int	erface	-		
Geographic Level	Statewide			<u> </u>		
Measurable						
Standards						
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard		
			Parity	Benchmark		
	All Electronic	Completion Notice		20 minutes		
	Electronic/Manual Mix	Completion Notice	<u> </u>	95% within 24 hrs		
Business Rules	• 24-hour clock is use	ed to measure inter	val for electron	ic/manual		
	process.					
	• For fully electronic	completions that o	ccur after 11pm	n (Eastern),		
	the interval will star	rt at 8am (Eastern)	the next busine	ss day.		
	• Excludes weekends	and ILEC published	ed holidays.			
	• Excludes Loop Pre-	-	•			
Notes	Embarq will track factors			···		

Maintenance Measure 19

Title: Customer Trouble Report Rate

	iner trouble repor					
Area		equirement D				
Description	Measures the total nur	Measures the total number of network customer trouble reports				
1						
Method of	received within a calendar month per 100 circuits/UNEs. [(Total Number of Customer initial and repeat network trouble reports)					
	- :		-	· · ·		
Calculation	/ (Number of access li		s in service at the en	id of the		
	reporting period)] x 10	00				
Report Period	Monthly					
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLI	ECs in the aggreg	gate, ILEC, and ILEC	C Affiliates		
Reported By	By service group type					
Geographic Level	Statewide					
Measurable	Embarq is required to	provide a retail a	nalog for this measu	rement		
Standards		r-s, ras a rotair a				
Stanuarus	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison Stand	and		
	Disaggi egation Devel	CLEC	Ketan Comparison Stand	aru		
	Resale			chmark_		
	Res POTS	Res POTS	Res POTS			
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS	Bus POTS			
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI			
	CENTREX	CENTREX	CENTREX			
	PBX	PBX	PBX			
	DDS	DDS	DDS			
	DS1/ISDN PRI DS3	DS1/ISDN PRI DS3	DS1/ISDN PRI DS3			
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0			
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS	VGFL/DS0	VGFL/DS0			
	UNE Loops					
	UNE Loops Non-	UNE Loops	Res and Bus. POTS			
	Designed	Non-Designed				
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops Designed	DDS and VGPL/DS0			
	UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned	UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned	Retail xDSL			
	Line Sharing	Line Sharing	Retail xDSL			
	UNE Subloops - Voice Grade	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	Res and Bus. POTS			
	UNE Subloops – Data	UNE Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL			
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI, DS3, VGPL/DS0			
	UNE Dedicated Transport					
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3			
	Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection Trunks	ILEC Dedicated Trunks			
	LNP	LNP	LNP			

Business Rules	 Excludes CPE and IEC/IXC/CLEC caused troubles. Excludes Subsequent reports. Excludes Message Reports (circuit reports for which ILEC has no records).
	• Excludes ILEC employee generated reports.
Notes	• Embarq will provide disaggregation by Maintenance Disposition codes as diagnostic data upon a request for raw data.

Maintenance Measure 20

Title: Percentage of Customer Trouble Not Resolved Within Estimated Time

	nated Time					
Area		uirement Des				
Description	Measures the percent of	trouble reports no	t cleared by the	commitment		
	time.		—————	Communication		
Method of	[(Total network trouble 1	reports not cleared	l by the commit	ment time for		
Calculation		[(Total network trouble reports not cleared by the commitment time for ILEC reasons) / (Total network trouble reports completed)] x 100				
Report Period	Monthly					
Report Structure		Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, ILEC, and ILEC Affiliates				
Reported By		By service group type				
	By dispatch and no d	lispatch				
Geographic Level	Statewide					
Measurable	Embarq is required to pr	ovide a retail anal	og for this meas	urement		
Standards		o vido a iovali aliai	og for time meas	, an entirent.		
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard		
	Resale		Parity	Benchmark		
	Res POTS	Res POTS	Res POTS			
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS	Bus POTS			
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI			
	CENTREX	CENTREX	CENTREX	T		
	PBX	PBX	PBX			
	DDS	DDS	DDS			
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	DS3	DS3	DS3			
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0			
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS					
	UNE Loops UNE Loops Non-Designed	IDIDI	D 1D DOTE	 		
		UNE Loops Non-Designed	Res and Bus. POTS			
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops Designed	DDS and VGPL/DS0			
	UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned	UNE Loops – xDSL Provisioned	Retail xDSL			
	Line Sharing	Line Sharing	Retail xDSL			
	UNE Subloops - Voice Grade	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	Res and Bus. POTS			
	UNE Subloops – Data	UNE Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL			
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI, DS3, VGPL/DS0			
	UNE Dedicated Transport		200, 101111000	 		
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3	<u> </u>		
	Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection Trunks	ILEC Dedicated Trunks			
	LNP	LNP	LNP	 		
Business Rules	Excludes CPE and IE	— 		L		
	Excludes Subsequent	reports.				
	Excludes Message Re	ports (circuit repo	orts which ILEC	has no		
	records on).					

	 Excludes ILEC employee generated reports. Excludes customer caused misses. Includes LNP NXX Code Opening Troubles.
Notes	• Embarq will provide disaggregation by Maintenance Disposition codes as diagnostic data upon a request for raw data.

Maintenance Measure 21

Title: Average Time to Restore

Area	Require to Restore	uirement Des	cription				
Description	Measures the average du	Measures the average duration of customer trouble reports from the					
2							
Mathad of		receipt of the customer trouble report to the time the trouble is cleared. (Total duration of customer network trouble reports) / (Total customer					
Method of		ier network troub	ie reports) / (10t	ai customer			
Calculation	network trouble reports)						
Report Period	Monthly	Monthly					
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLEC	Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, ILEC, and ILEC Affiliates					
Reported By	By service group type	 e					
1	By dispatch and no d						
Communications		тэратен					
Geographic Level	Statewide						
Measurable Standards	Embarq is required to pro	ovide a retail anal	og for this measi	irement.			
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison S	tandard			
	Resale		Parity	Benchmark			
	Res POTS	Res POTS	Res POTS				
	Bus POTS	Bus POTS	Bus POTS				
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI				
	CENTREX	CENTREX	CENTREX				
	PBX	PBX	PBX				
	DDS	DDS	DDS				
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI				
	DS3	DS3	DS3				
	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0				
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK						
	ELEMENTS UNE Loops			 			
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops	Res and Bus. POTS				
	CIVE Edops Ivon-Designed	Non-Designed	Res and Bus. 1015				
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops Designed	DDS and VGPL/DS0				
	UNE Loops - XDSL	UNE Loops - xDSL	Retail xDSL				
	Provisioned	Provisioned					
	Line Sharing	Line Sharing	Retail xDSL				
	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	Res and Bus. POTS				
	UNE Subloops – Data	UNE Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL				
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI				
	EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI, DS3, VGPL/ DS0				
	UNE Dedicated Transport						
	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI				
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3				
	Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection	ILEC Dedicated				
		Trunks	Trunks				
	LNP	LNP	LNP				

Business Rules	 Excludes CPE and IEC/IXC/CLEC caused troubles. Excludes Subsequent reports. Excludes Message Reports (circuit reports which ILEC has no records on). Excludes ILEC employee generated reports. Includes LNP NXX Code Opening troubles. Elapsed time is measured on a 24-hour-a-day, seven-days-a-week basis.
Notes	• Embarq will provide disaggregation by Maintenance Disposition codes as diagnostic data upon a request for raw data.

Maintenance Measure 22

Title: POTS Out of Service Less Than 24 Hours

Area	Reg	uirement De	scription				
Description	Measures the percent of	POTS out-of-ser	vice trouble repo	rts cleared in			
	less than 24 hours.						
Method of	[(Total number of out of	[(Total number of out of service network troubles cleared in less than					
Calculation	24 hours) / (Total number of out of service network troubles reported)]						
	x 100	x 100					
	Note: For non-designed services only						
Report Period	Monthly						
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLEC						
Reported By	By POTS Residence and	•	-	Non-			
	Designed, and UNE Sub	loops – Voice Gi	ade				
Geographic Level		Statewide					
Measurable	Embarq is required to pro	ovide a retail ana	log for this meas	urement.			
Standards	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard			
	Disaggi egation Level	CLEC	Ketan Comparison				
	Resale Res. POTS, Bus POTS	Res POTS, Bus	Parity Res POTS, Bus	Benchmark			
	100.1010, Bus 1015	POTS	POTS				
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS						
	UNE Loops		10.000				
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops Non-Designed	Res and Bus. POTS				
	UNE Subloops - Voice Grade	UNE Subloops - Voice Grade	Res and Bus. POTS				
Business Rules	Residential and Busin	ness POTS only.					
	• Excludes no access.						
	• Interval for tickets re-		•	published			
	holiday begins no late	-	-				
	• Excludes CPE and IE	CC/IXC/CLEC ca	used troubles.				
	Excludes Subsequent	reports.					
	• Excludes Message Re	eports (circuit rep	oorts for which I	LEC has no			
	records).						
	• Excludes ILEC emple		-				
	• Excludes out of servi						
	commitment more the reported.	an 24 hours from	the time the trou	ıble is			
Notes	Embarq will provide	disaggregation b	y Maintenance D	Disposition			
	codes as diagnostic d	ata upon a reque	st for raw data.				

Maintenance Measure 23

Title: Frequency of Repeat Troubles in 30 Day Period

Area		uirement Des				
Description	Measures the percent of o	Measures the percent of customer network trouble reports received				
	within 30 calendar days of					
Method of) colondon		
Calculation	[(Total customer network trouble reports received within 30 calendar days of a previous customer report) / (Total customer network trouble					
Culculation	days of a previous custom	ner report) / (1 ot	al customer netwo	ork trouble		
	reports)] x 100					
Report Period	Monthly					
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs	s in the aggregate	e, ILEC, and ILEC	Affiliates		
Reported By	By service group type					
Geographic Level	Statewide					
Measurable	Embarq is required to pro	vide a retail ana	og for this measu	rement		
Standards			og for time invasu	TOTTICITE.		
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison St	andard		
	, .					
	Resale Res POTS	D. DOTO		Benchmark		
	Bus POTS	Res POTS Bus POTS	Res POTS Bus POTS	+		
	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	-		
	CENTREX	CENTREX	CENTREX	 		
	PBX	PBX	PBX			
	DDS	DDS	DDS			
	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	DS3 VGPL/DS0	DS3	DS3			
	UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0			
	UNE Loops					
	UNE Loops Non-Designed	UNE Loops Non-Designed	Res and Bus. POTS			
	UNE Loops Designed	UNE Loops Designed	DDS and VGPL/DS0			
	UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned	UNE Loops - xDSL Provisioned	Retail xDSL			
	Line Sharing	Line Sharing	Retail xDSL			
	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	UNE Subloops – Voice Grade	Res and Bus. POTS			
	UNE Subloops – Data	UNE Subloops – Data	Retail xDSL			
	UNE Ports	UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	EELS	EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI, DS3,			
	UNE Dedicated Transport		VGPL/DS0	-		
	UNE DSI/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI			
	UNE DS3	UNE DS3	DS3	 		
	Interconnection Trunks	Interconnection	ILEC Dedicated			
	LNP	Trunks	Trunks			
usiness Rules	Excludes CPE and IEC	LNP	LNP	1		
	J.					
	• Excludes troubles asso		e wiring.			
	• Excludes Subsequent r	*				
	Excludes Message Rep					
	 Excludes ILEC employ 	ee generated rer	orts.			

	•	Includes LNP NXX Code Opening troubles.
Notes	•	Embarq will provide disaggregation by Maintenance Disposition codes as diagnostic data upon a request for raw data.
		codes as diagnostic data upon a request for raw data.

Network Performance

Measure 24

Title: Percent Blocking on Common Trunks

Area	$R\epsilon$	equirement De	scription				
Description	Measures the total percentage of blockage across all common and shared transport trunk groups exceeding 1% blockage. Note: Includes list of trunks exceeding 1% benchmark						
Method of Calculation	[(Total blocked calls acgroups)/(Total call attentrunk groups)] x 100		-	•			
Report Period	Monthly						
Report Structure	Reported by common/sl	nared transport trui	ık group				
Reported By		State					
Geographic Level	Statewide						
Measurable Standards							
	Disaggregation Level	Disaggregation Level CLEC Retail Comparison Standard Parity Benchmark					
	State	Common Trunk Group		No more than 1%			
Business Rules	 Exclude 911 trunks Excludes the mainte Internal traffic data of God, Natural Disa Measured by: Total trunk grou Percent Blocking 	nance window (12 collection procedurasters, etc.).	am local time t	to 6am local time.			
Notes	Common trunk grou is one result for both	ps provide service	to all customer	rs, therefore, there			

Network Performance

Measure 25

Title: Percent Blocking on Interconnection Trunks

Area	Requ	irement Des	cription	
Description	Measures the total percent	of blockage on	final dedicated	
	interconnection trunk grou	ips exceeding 1°	% blockage.	
Method of	[(Total blocked calls across all final dedicated interconnection trunk			
Calculation	groups per CLEC)/(Total	call attempts cor	unt across all fi	nal dedicated
	interconnection trunk grou	ips per CLEC)]	x 100	
Report Period	Monthly			
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLECs	in the aggregate	e, and ILEC Af	filiates
Reported By	State			
Geographic Level	Statewide			
Measurable				
Standards				
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard
			Parity	Benchmark
	State	Interconnection Trunks		No more than 1% blockage
Business Rules	Only measured on trun	ks where ILEC	has outgoing tr	affic to
	CLECs and where ILE	C controls trunk	c capacity.	
	 Threshold exception tr 	unk detail.		
	Internal traffic data collection procedures exclude force majeure			
	(Acts of God, Natural)	Disasters, etc.).		
	• Excludes the maintenance window (12am local time to 6am local			
	time.			
	Applies to those trunks where the ILEC has augmentation control.			
ALAMAT .	 Does not apply when to 	runks are provis	ioned as two-w	ay trunks.
Notes	Measured by:			
	- Total trunk groups			
	 Threshold exceptio 			•
	- ILEC end office to		ce	
	 ILEC tandem to CI 	LEC end office		

Network Performance

Measure 26

Title: NXX Loaded by LERG Effective Date

Area		Requirement D	escription		
Description	Measures the number	per of NXXs loaded	and tested by the	LERG	
1	effective date.		•		
Method of	[((Number of NXX	s loaded and tested	by LERG effecti	ve date) /	
Calculation	(Number of NXXs	scheduled to be load	led and tested by	LERG	
	effective date))] x	100			
Report Period	Monthly				
Report Structure	Individual CLEC,	CLECs in the aggreg	gate, by ILEC (if	analog applies)	
	and by ILEC Affili	ates			
Reported By	Reported for all N2	XX codes scheduled	to be loaded in r	eporting period	
Geographic Level	Statewide				
Measurable	Embarq is required	Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for this measurement.			
Standards					
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison S	Standard	
			Parity	Benchmark	
	CLLI	CLEC NXXs loaded	ILEC NXXs loaded		
Business Rules	•	XXX codes with requ	•		
	1	than the industry standard (currently 45 calendar days).			
	• Excludes any NXX code facilities that cannot be completely tested				
	because the CLEC has not provided an accurate test number or				
	because CLEC	because CLEC facilities have not been installed.			
Notes	NXX loading proce	edures include centra	l office/tandem	translations,	
	verification of trans	slations, call through	testing, and AM	IA testing.	

Billing Measure 28

Title: Usage Timeliness

Title: Usage	1 111161111688				
Area	4	irement Des			
Description	This measure captures the	elapsed time be	tween the recor	ding of usage	
•	data generated either by C				
	associated with CLEC cus	tomers and the t	ime when the c	lata set, in a	
	compliant format, is availa			•	
Method of	[(Count of all messages a			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Calculation	messages available for train		• / \		
Report Period	Monthly	<u>*</u>	<u> </u>		
Report Structure	Individual CLECs, CLECs	s in the aggregat	e, by ILEC (if a	analog	
1	applies) and by ILEC Affi		, ,	J	
Reported By	Resale				
1	• UNE				
	Jointly provided switch	ned access (asso	ciated with mee	et point	
	billing)	ied decess (dsso	Clatea With life	et point	
Geographic Level	Statewide	• •			
Measurable	Embarq is required to prov	vide a retail anal	og for certain le	evels of	
Standards	disaggregation for this mea		og for co rtain i	0 1 0 1 0 1	
Stuttuutus	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard	
			Daviter	Donahmoule	
	Resale	CLEC End user	Parity Embarq End user	Benchmark	
		messages	messages		
	UNE – Unbundled Network Element	CLEC billing messages	Embarq End user messages		
	Access (Associated with Meet Point	CLEC access		95% within 5 days	
Business Rules	Billing Only) The reporting period us	billing messages	dermonth (ha	rad upon tha	
Dusiness Rules	message process date).		idai illolluli (bas	sed upon the	
	,		MA) magaaga	a macandad har	
	0111) 110001110000 111000	•		•	
	Embarq LTD are inclu		_		
	Connecting Company 1 excluded.	illessages record	ed by officer cor	npames are	
		1 d . d 1	41	المستحداء مفدات	
	• Long duration calls are		_		
	accurately reflect the d		_		
	Long duration calls are		mai remain co	omected	
N7-4-0	through two successive			4 41	
Notes	• This measurement assurement				
	CLECs. If the CLECs do not request daily transmissions, the				
	measurement still applies based upon transmission availability date, however the actual timeliness of the usage received by the CLEC				
	will vary depending up			•	
	transmissions (e.g. wee		sure only applie	es for CLECs	
	who receive copies of t	heir messages.			

Billing Measure 30

Title: Wholesale Bill Timeliness

Area	$R\epsilon$	equirement De	scription		
Description	This measure captures	the elapsed number	er of calenda	r days between	
	the scheduled close of	a Bill Cycle and the	ne ILEC's tra	ansmission	
	availability of the asso	ociated invoice to the	ne CLEC.		
Method of	[(Count of Invoices where difference between distribution date and bill				
Calculation	date is less than or equivithin the Reporting I		of Total Invo	pices Distributed	
Report Period	Monthly				
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CL	ECs in the aggrega	te, and by IL	EC Affiliates	
Reported By	• Resale				
	• UNE				
	• Facilities/Intercon	Facilities/Interconnection			
Geographic Level	Statewide				
Measurable					
Standards					
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Compa	arison Standard	
			Parity	Benchmark	
	Resale	CLEC Invoices		99% within 10 calendar days	
	UNE	CLEC Invoices		99% within 10 calendar days	
	Facilities/Interconnection	CLEC Invoices		99% within 10 calendar days	
Business Rules	• Includes only mec	hanized bills.	-		
	• Excludes paper bill, magnetic bill, CD ROM bill or Custom Bill diskette bill.			or Custom Bill	
Notes	• None at this time.				

Billing Measure 31

Title: Usage Completeness

*Correct bill = next available bill [(Count of usage charges on the bill that were recorded within last 30 billing days) / (Total count of usage charges on the bill)] x 100 *Report Period* *Report Structure* Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, by ILEC (if analog applies) and by ILEC Affiliates *Reported By* Resale* UNE* Facilities/Interconnection Statewide Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for certain levels of disaggregation for this measurement. Disaggregation Level Resale* IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid IntraLATA toll IntraLATA toll Embarq Embarq Embarq IntraLATA toll IntraL	Title. Osago	Completeness			
*Correct bill = next available bill [(Count of usage charges on the bill that were recorded within last 30 billing days) / (Total count of usage charges on the bill)] x 100 *Report Period* *Report Structure* Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, by ILEC (if analog applies) and by ILEC Affiliates *Reported By* Reported By* Resale* UNE* Facilities/Interconnection Statewide Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for certain levels of disaggregation for this measurement. Disaggregation Level Resale* IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid messag	Area	Requ	irement Des	cription	
[(Count of usage charges on the bill that were recorded within last 30 billing days) / (Total count of usage charges on the bill)] x 100	Description	Measures the percentage of	of usage charges	appearing on th	ne correct bill.
Disagregation Level CLEC Retail Comparison Standard		*Correct bill = next availa	ble bill		
Monthly Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, by ILEC (if analog applies) and by ILEC Affiliates	Method of	[(Count of usage charges on the bill that were recorded within last 30			
Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, by ILEC (if analog applies) and by ILEC Affiliates	Calculation	billing days) / (Total coun	t of usage charge	es on the bill)]	x 100
and by ILEC Affiliates Reported By Resale UNE Facilities/Interconnection Statewide Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for certain levels of disaggregation for this measurement. Disaggregation Level Resale Resale IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid UNE Facilities/Interconnection Minutes of use Facilities/Interconnection Minutes of use Facilities/Interconnection Business Rules Parity Benchmark Embarq IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid Parity Benchmark Embarq IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid Parity Benchmark Embarq IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid Parity Benchmark Parity Benchm	Report Period	Monthly			
UNE Facilities/Interconnection Statewide	Report Structure		in the aggregate	, by ILEC (if a	nalog applies)
Facilities/Interconnection Statewide Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for certain levels of disaggregation for this measurement. Disaggregation Level CLEC	Reported By	• Resale			
Statewide Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for certain levels of disaggregation for this measurement. Disaggregation Level CLEC Retail Comparison Standard Parity Benchmark					
Embarq is required to provide a retail analog for certain levels of disaggregation for this measurement. Disaggregation Level CLEC Retail Comparison Standard					
Disaggregation for this measurement. Disaggregation Level CLEC Retail Comparison Standard Parity Benchmark	Geographic Level	Statewide			
Disaggregation for this measurement. Disaggregation Level CLEC Retail Comparison Standard Parity Benchmark	Measurable	Embarq is required to prov	vide a retail anal	og for certain le	evels of
Resale IntraLATA toll Embarq IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid messages sent-paid IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid messages sent-paid	Standards				
Resale IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid IntraLATA toll messages sent-paid		Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard
Minutes of use 95% complete		<u> </u>		Parity	Benchmark
UNE Facilities/Interconnection Minutes of use 95% complete 95% comple		Resale	1	IntraLATA toll	
 Excludes summarized charges. Billing dataset will be defined as charges occurring in past monthly period and processed within 3 calendar days of the end of the billing month. Resale long duration calls are excluded because the message date does not accurately reflect the date on which the message was recorded. Long duration calls are defined as calls that remain connected through two successive midnights. Excludes usage recorded by other (non-Embarq affiliate) companies and sent to Embarq. 					
 Billing dataset will be defined as charges occurring in past monthly period and processed within 3 calendar days of the end of the billing month. Resale long duration calls are excluded because the message date does not accurately reflect the date on which the message was recorded. Long duration calls are defined as calls that remain connected through two successive midnights. Excludes usage recorded by other (non-Embarq affiliate) companies and sent to Embarq. 	D . D /				95% complete
		 Billing dataset will be defined as charges occurring in past monthly period and processed within 3 calendar days of the end of the billing month. Resale long duration calls are excluded because the message date does not accurately reflect the date on which the message was recorded. Long duration calls are defined as calls that remain connected through two successive midnights. Excludes usage recorded by other (non-Embarq affiliate) companies 			
Notes • None at this time.	Notes	3.7			

Billing Measure 32

Title: Recurring Charge Completeness

Area	Re	quirement De	scription		
Description	Measures the percenta	ge of fractional rec	curring charges	appearing on	
	the correct bill.				
_	* Correct bill = next a	vailable bill			
Method of	[(Count of fractional r	ecurring charges t	hat are on the co	orrect bill*)/	
Calculation	(Total count of fraction	nal recurring charg	es that are on the	ne bill)] x 100	
Report Period	Monthly				
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLI and by ILEC Affiliates		te, by ILEC (if	analog applies)	
Reported By	• Resale				
	• UNE	• UNE			
	• Facilities/Interconn	• Facilities/Interconnection			
Geographic Level	Statewide				
Measurable	Embarq is required to	provide a retail ana	alog for certain	levels of	
Standards	disaggregation for this	measurement.			
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Compariso	n Standard	
			Parity	Benchmark	
	Resale	Number of fractional OCCs	Number of fractional OCCs		
	UNE	% charges on correct bill	Tractional GGGS	90% Complete	
	Facilities/Interconnection	% charges on correct bill		90% Complete	
Business Rules	 Billing dataset will be defined as charges occurring in past monthly period and processed within 3 calendar days of the end of the billing month. Excludes late charges resulting from mandated billing changes if Embarq makes its changes on time. 				
Notes	None at this time.				

Billing Measure 33

Title: Non-Recurring Charge Completeness

Area	Requ	uirement Des	cription		
Description	Measures the percentage	of non-recurring	charges appear	ring on the	
	correct bill.				
	* Correct bill = next avai	* Correct bill = next available bill			
Method of	[(Count of non-recurring	charges that are o	on the correct b	oill) / (Total	
Calculation	count of non-recurring ch	narges that are on	the bill)] x 100	9	
Report Period	Monthly				
Report Structure	Individual CLEC, CLEC	s in the aggregate	, by ILEC (if a	nalog applies)	
	and by ILEC Affiliates				
Reported By	• Resale				
	• UNE				
	• Facilities/Interconnection				
Geographic Level	Statewide				
Measurable	Embarq is required to pro	vide a retail anal	og for certain l	evels of	
Standards	disaggregation for this me	easurement.			
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	n Standard	
			Parity	Benchmark	
ı	Resale	Total number of non-recurring OCCs	Total number of non-recurring OCCs		
	UNE	% of charges on correct bill		90% complete	
	Facilities/Interconnection	% of charges on correct bill		90% complete	
Business Rules	 Billing dataset will be defined as charges occurring in past monthly period and processed within 3 calendar days of the end of the billing month. Excludes late charges resulting from mandated billing changes if Embarq makes its changes on time. 				
Notes	None at this time.				

Billing Measure 34

Title: Bill Accuracy

Area	R	equirement Des	cription			
Description	Measures the percenta		The second secon	ot adjusted by		
4	correcting service ord					
Method of	(Total monies billed v					
Calculation	average) / (Total mon					
Report Period	Monthly		8 211 111011011 00 1	1484) 11 100		
Report Structure		ECs in the aggregate	by II FC (if a	nalog annlies		
		Individual CLEC, CLECs in the aggregate, by ILEC (if analog applies) and by ILEC Affiliates				
Reported By	• Resale					
· •	- Usage					
	- Recurring Cha	rges				
	- Non-Recurring	g Charges				
	• UNE					
	- Usage					
	- Recurring Cha	rges				
	- Non-Recurring					
	-	Facilities/Interconnection				
	- Usage	nection				
	Recurring ChargesNon-Recurring Charges					
Canquarkia I anal	Statewide	g Charges		 		
Geographic Level			<u> </u>	1 0		
Measurable	Embarq is required to		og for certain le	evels of		
Standards	disaggregation for this Disaggregation Level	clec	Dotall Comments	C4		
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	Standard		
	Resale		Parity	Benchmark		
	Usage	Total Dollars billed	Total Dollars	T		
		and adjustments for	billed and			
		usage	adjustments for usage – Diagnostic			
			Only	ļ		
	Recurring Charge	Total Dollars billed	Total Dollars			
		and adjustments for recurring charges	billed and adjustments for			
			recurring charges	i		
	Non-recurring Charges	Total Dollars billed	- Diagnostic Only Total Dollars			
		and adjustments for	billed and			
		non-recurring charges	adjustments for non-recurring			
		onarges	charges -			
	UNE		Diagnostic Only			
	Usage	Total Dollars billed		TBD		
		and adjustments for		Diagnostic Only		
	Recurring Charge	usage Total Dollars billed		92%		
	Recurring Charge	and adjustments for		Diagnostic Only		
		recurring				

	Non-recurring Charges	Total Dollars billed and adjustments for nonrecurring	95% Diagnostic Only
	Facilities/Interconnection		
	Usage	Total Dollars billed and adjustments for	92% Diagnostic Only
		usage	Diagnostic Only
	Recurring Charges	Total Dollars billed and adjustments for recurring	TBD Diagnostic Only
	Non-recurring Charges	Total Dollars billed and adjustments for nonrecurring	TBD Diagnostic Only
Business Rules	recurring charges be refunds of deposits check charges, taxes	table status accounts, restor- pilled in installments, non-re- transfer of payments or bases, and surcharges. Into issued for reasons not re-	egulated charges, lances, returned
Notes	None at this time.		

Database Updates

Measure 38

Title: Percent Database Accuracy

Area	Requi	irement Des	cription	
Description	The percentage of E911 and DA records that were updated by Embarq in error. The data required to calculate this measurement will be provided by the CLEC. The CLEC will provide the number of records transmitted and the errors found. Embarq will verify the records determined to be in error to validate that the records were input by Embarq incorrectly. An update is completed without error if the database completely and accurately reflects the activity specified on the order submitted by the CLEC. • E911 Databases			
Method of Calculation	[(Count of Updates Complet Completed)]x 100	ted without error	r) / (Count of U	pdates
Report Period	Monthly			-
Report Structure	Individual CLECs, CLECs is and by ILEC Affiliates	n the aggregate,	by ILEC (if and	alog applies)
Reported By	For E911 Database:Service Order generaDirect gateway input	-		
Geographic Level	Statewide			
Measurable Standards	Embarq is required to provid	le a retail analog	for this measur	rement.
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	n Standard
	E911		Parity	Benchmark
	Service Order Direct Gateway	Number Updates	Number Updates	TBD
Business Rules	• Excludes CLEC caused e	errors	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	132
Notes	 CLECs reserve the right to request additional databases be included in this measure. There is insufficient historical data to develop a valid benchmark for To Be Determined (TBD) disaggregation levels. 			

Database Updates

Measure 39

Title: E911 MS Database Update

Area	Requ	irement Des	scription		
Description		Measures the percentage of E911 database updates completed within 48			
	hours.				
Method of	(Number of records updated within 48 hours) / (Total number of				
Calculation	records updated) x 100				
Report Period	Monthly				
Report Structure	Individual CLECs, CLE		ate, by ILEC (i	f analog	
	applies) and by ILEC Af	filiates			
Reported By	Update types				
Geographic Level	Statewide				
Measurable	Embarq is required to pro	vide a retail ana	log for certain l	levels of	
Standards	disaggregation for this me	easurement.			
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison	n Standard	
			Parity	Benchmark	
	Service Order Update	911 Updates	911 Updates		
	Direct Gateway Update	% Updates within 48 hours		99% in 48 hours	
Business Rules	Excludes scheduled s	ystem outages.			
	• Excludes Carrier caus	sed delays due to	requests to put	file on hold or	
	delays in processing records due to invalid data or invalid file				
	formats (i.e. CLEC caused errors).				
	Interval is measured in clock hours.				
Notes	• For this measurement, Embarq will provide a retail analog for retail				
	to resale customers and a benchmark for those facility based CLEC				
	carriers who use Emb				
	file transfer methods.	-			

Collocation Measure 40

Title: Time to Respond to a Collocation Request

	e to respond to a e				
Area	R	equirement Des	scription		
Description	Measures the percen	tage of time the ILE	C responds t	o a CLEC	
	complete collocation	request, within the a	allotted time.		
Method of	Space Availability:				
Calculation	[(Count of Complete days) / (Count of requ				
	Price and Schedule [(Count of Complete days) / (Count of requal)	Requests due and re			
	Right Of Way Requ	ired:			
	[(Count of complete s		equests requi	ring ROW	
	permits returned with requests returned that	in 15 calendar days)	/(Count of S		
	[(Count of complete]	ICB (Individual Case Basis) Quote: [(Count of complete ICB Price and Schedule Quote requests due and returned within 15 calendar days)/(Count of ICB Price and Schedule Quote requests due)] x 100			
Report Period	Monthly	<u> </u>			
Report Structure	Individual CLECs, Cl	LECs in the aggrega	te and by IL	EC Affiliates	
Reported By		ypes: Caged, Cagele			
<i>I V</i>	Space Availability		22, 1211111, 2		
	Price and Schedule Quote				
	Space Availability Requests Requiring ROW Permits Price and Schodyle Overtee for non Commission Assumed Bridge				
	Price and Schedule Quotes for non-Commission Approved Price List requests with Individual Case Basis (ICB) requirements				
C 1 . T		murriquai Case Bas	sis (ICB) req	urrements	
Geographic Level	Statewide				
Measurable Standards	Benchmark				
Stunuarus	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Compan	ison Standard	
			Parity	Benchmark	
	Space Availability:	0 4 3 1 3 3		1000/ 1.5	
	Physical Caged	Space Availability Requests		100% in 15 Calendar days	
	Physical Cageless	Space Availability		100% in 15	
	Virtual	Requests Space Availability	 	Calendar days	
	v II tudi	Requests		Calendar days	
	Other	Space Availability		100% in 15	
	ROW	Requests Space Availability	 	Calendar days 100% in 15	
	1-2-1	Requests		Calendar days	

	Price and Schedule Quote		
	Physical Caged	Price and Schedule Quotes	100% in 15 Calendar days
	Physical Cageless	Price and Schedule Quotes	100% in 15 Calendar days
	Virtual	Price and Schedule Quotes	100% in 15 Calendar days
	Other	Price and Schedule Quotes	100% in 15 Calendar days
	ICB Requests	ICB Price and Schedule Quotes	100% within 15 Calendar days
Business Rules	 Excludes orders canceled by CLEC. Excludes requests/applications that are incomplete and must be returned to CLEC for completion. The new completed version counts as a new request. If an CLEC submits ten or more applications within ten calendar days the initial 15 day response period will increase by 10 days for every additional 10 applications. Embarq will provide a tracking log for ROW requests that provide the following component: Name of agency contacted, date ROW request submitted to the agency, and date ROW received from agency. 		
Notes	A collocation application is complete when both the application and applicable application fee are received by Embarq.		

Collocation Measure 41

Title: Time to Provide a Collocation Arrangement

Title:	to Provide a Cono	canon Anang	CITICITE		
Area	R	equirement De	escription		
Description	Measures the percentage of time the ILEC responds to the CLEC			o the CLEC	
	approved* collocation request, within the allotted time.				
	approved conocation	irequest, wrami tir	e anotted tim	.	
	* A II I	EC	1: 4:	1	
	*Approved means ILEC approves the application and has recei			has received,	
	from CLEC, financial payment or bond.				
Method of	New Arrangement (Physical Caged, Physical Cageless, Other):				
Calculation	[(Count of Collocation Arrangements due and completed within 9			ted within 90	
	calendar days) / (Cou	nt of Collocation A	rrangements	Due)] x 100	
		calendar days) / (Count of Collocation Arrangements Due)] x 100			
	New Arrangement (New Arrangement (Virtual):			
	[(Count of Collocation Arrangements due and completed within			ted within 60	
calendar days) / (Count of Collocation Arrangements Due)]					
	Augment Arrangement: [(Count of Collocation Arrangements due and completed within 45				
				4 - 4141-1 45	
	calendar days) / (Count of Collocation Arrangements Due)] x 100				
Report Period	Monthly				
Report Structure	Individual CLECs, CI	LECs in the aggreg	ate and by IL	EC Affiliates	
Reported By	All Collocation Type	ypes: Caged, Cagel	ess. Virtual, a	and Other	
	• New				
	• Augment				
C		The state of the s			
Geographic Level	Statewide Picagonaption Level	CLEC	D. (a) Commo		
Measurable Standard	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Compa	rison Standard	
			Parity	Benchmark	
	New Arrangement			1000/ 11100	
	Physical Caged	Collocation Arrangements		100% within 90 days	
	Physical Cageless	Collocation		100% within 90	
		Arrangements		days	
	Virtual	Collocation Arrangements		100% within 60	
	Other	Collocation		days 100% within 90	
		Arrangements		days	
	Augment Arrangement				
	Physical Caged	Collocation		100% within 45	
	Physical Cageless	Arrangements Collocation		days 100% within 45	
		Arrangements		days	
	Virtual	Collocation		100% within 45	
	Other	Arrangements Collocation		days 100% within 45	
		Arrangements		days	
Business Rules	Excludes orders canceled by CLEC.				
	Excludes requests/applications that are incomplete and must be				
	returned to CLEC for completion.				
	Totallied to CLEC	ioi compiciton.			
Notes	• None at this time.	· -			

Interfaces Measure 42

Title: Percentage of Time Interface is Available

10100	mage of time mich	acc 15 1 t v alla	010	
Area	Red	quirement Des	scription	
Description	Measures percent of time OSS interface is available compared to			
L	scheduled availability.			
Method of	[((Number of Scheduled Interface Available Hours) - (Number of			
Calculation	Unscheduled Interface Unavailable Hours)) / (Scheduled Interface			
	Available Hours)] x 100			
Report Period	Monthly	Monthly		
Report Structure	CLECs in the aggregate			
Reported By	By interface type accessed by CLECs			
Geographic Level	Statewide			
Measurable	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Compa	rison Standard
Standards			Parity	Benchmark
	Ordering	IRES Availability		98.5% of scheduled hours
Business Rules	 Outage hours are obtained from outage reports. Any change requests for extended availability during the reporting period are added to the scheduled hours. 			
				ng the reporting
	 Scheduled interface availability hours: 8AM - 8PM Eastern (Monday-Friday). Excludes non-business days and ILEC published holidays. 			
	CLECs are notified via e-mail in advance of changes to the			
	published availability schedule.			
Notes	 Embarq has one interface for pre-ordering and ordering; therefore, both of these functions are reported under ordering. Any outage in a source system that inhibits the system from performing pre-ordering or ordering functions is considered an 			ering; therefore,
				tem from
				onsidered an
	outage.			

<u>Interfaces</u> Measure 44

Title: Center Responsiveness

Area	Requirement Description			
Description	Measures the average time it takes the ILEC's work center to answer a			
-	call.			
Method of	(Date and Time of Call answer – (Date and Time of Call Receipt)/ (Total			
Calculation	calls answered by center))			
Report Period	Monthly			
Report Structure	CLECs in the aggregate, and by ILEC (if analog applies)			
Reported By	ILEC Ordering Center			
	ILEC Repair Center			
Geographic Level	Statewide			
Measurable				112
Standards				
	Disaggregation Level	CLEC	Retail Comparison Standard	
			Parity	Benchmark
	Ordering Center	ACD Inc Calls		80% within 20 Sec
	Repair Center (Designed)	ACD Inc Calls	Parity by design	
	Repair Center (Non-Designed)	ACD Inc Calls	.	20 Sec
Business Rules	Does not include abandoned calls.			
	Measured by individual queue, if applicable, in each ILEC center.			
Notes	None at this time.			

REPORTING PROCESS

Performance reports will be provided by the twentieth calendar day of the month succeeding the reporting period, unless otherwise approved by the Commission. The reporting period is the calendar month, unless otherwise noted. Positive reporting will be done for all measures, even those reported on an exception only basis.

Embarq will publish results for all CLECs who have ordered one or more CLEC products and have one or more CLEC access lines (e.g., Measure 19 denominator is 1 or more). If the CLEC announces they will discontinue service to all of their end users, performance reporting for the CLEC will cease on the last day of the month of the discontinuation month.

When reporting begins on a new measure or for a new CLEC, Embarq is only required to report results after a full calendar month of data is available. CLEC failure to provide an Operating Company Number (OCN) on orders will result in those orders being excluded from the CLEC Service Performance Measurements. Exclusions based on application of business rules apply to both the numerator and denominator of the Method of Calculation

For those measures where results appear to be statistically less than parity or not meeting the benchmark level, Embarq will perform analysis of the data upon CLEC request. This analysis will detail the underlying causes contributing to the reported performance results. Within 90 days of the web-site publication of monthly results, a report recipient may request an analysis of a measurement that is less than parity or not meeting the benchmark. Embarq will provide the analysis within 45 days of the request.

Authorized users will have access to monthly reports through an interactive website. Each CLEC will have access to its own data, aggregate CLEC data, and Embarq Retail data. The Public Service Commission will have access to reports for all entities, including Embarq Affiliate data. Embarq Affiliate data will not be included in CLEC aggregate data.

In addition to the performance measure results themselves, upon request Embarq will provide data which comprise the results and which are readily available from the systems that provides the reportable data. Raw data will be archived for a period of 24 months to provide an adequate audit trail and will be retained with sufficient detail so that CLECs can reasonably reconcile the data captured by Embarq (for the CLEC) with its own internal data. Furthermore, data that relates to Embarq's own performance will be retained, at a consistent level of disaggregation comparable to that reported for the CLECs.

If revisions to the reports are required after the reporting due date, Embarq will repost results (if accurate data can be reconstructed) and publish a notification of the repost, along with the reason for reposting on the web site. Embarq will archive the repost notifications and make them available on the reporting web site for 12 calendar months and in archive an additional 12 months.

If there is noncompliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Embarq shall provide to the Commission a report of root cause analysis on a

monthly basis. Embarq's root-cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and critical completion dates for implementation.

Embarq will report affiliate results to the Commission, Bureau of Consumer Protection and CLECs under proprietary information provisions.

General Exclusions

Published results will not include the following:

- Queries, orders, or maintenance tickets initiated by Embarq for administrative purposes.
- Data impacted by customer-caused reasons.
- Data impacted by Embarq dependence on a third party (not including Embarq affiliates or agents within Embarq's control).
- Service results for products and services outside of Interconnection and Resale Agreements between Embarq and CLEC's

Embarq dependence on a third party

If Embarq dependence on a third party is not specifically noted in this document, Embarq will contact parties of record from Docket No. 000121B-TP (EMBARQ-FLORIDA TRACK) to discuss implementation of the data exclusion. Embarq will request a meeting within 30 days and propose 5 potential meeting times to occur during business hours. If any party does not respond within 10 days, the meetings will be scheduled without their input.

Embarq will propose two meeting dates/times based on maximum availability of parties and request attendance at both. Any party who cannot make one or both meetings and wishes to request an alternate date/time must contact Embarq within 5 days. Contingent upon the willingness of parties to schedule meetings in a timely manner, Embarq will make every attempt to schedule meeting dates/times that are amenable to all parties.

At least 10 days prior to the first scheduled meeting, Embarq will distribute relevant documentation/information to parties.

During the first meeting, Embarq will describe the situation and answer questions from parties. If parties agree this constitutes a valid case of dependence on a third party, Embarq will implement this exclusion in the reporting system and communicate the intended implementation date.

If parties are not in agreement at the end of the first meeting, the second meeting will be utilized to resolve open issues. Additional meetings may be scheduled if parties are willing.

If parties cannot reach agreement, and Embarq wishes to pursue the exclusion, Embarq will initiate an expedited hearing process in accordance with the Commission's rules.

At least 30 days prior to implementation of a new exclusion, Embarq will publish a notification on the reporting website.

For this purpose, Embarq will provide the excluded data within 15 days upon request by any affected party and Commission Staff, for the first three reporting dates following implementation of a new exclusion.

III. SERVICE GROUP TYPES

Service Group Type	Embarq	CLEC
RESALE		
Residential POTS	Residential POTS	Residential POTS
Business POTS	Business POTS	Business POTS
ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI	ISDN BRI
Centrex	Centrex	Centrex
PBX	PBX	PBX
DDS	DDS	DDS
DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI
DS3	DS3	DS3
VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0	VGPL/DS0
UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS		
UNE Loops Designed 5.5 dB 2 or 4 wire analog assured 2 wire Digital ISDN Capable	DDS, VGPL/DS0	UNE Loops Designed
UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned	Retail xDSL	UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned
UNE Loops Non-Designed 8dB weighted 2/4 wire analog basic/Coin	Provisioning- Bus. POTS Dispatched Maintenance-Res and Bus. POTS	UNE Loops Non-Designed
UNE Ports	DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE Ports
UNE Sub Loops – Voice Grade	Provisioning- Bus. POTS Dispatched Maintenance-Res and Bus. POTS	UNE Sub Loops – Voice
UNE Sub Loops – Data	Retail xDSL	UNE Sub Loops – Data
UNE Dedicated Transport		
UNE DS1/ISDN PRI	DS1/ISDN PRI	UNE DS1/ISDN PRI
UNE DS3	DS3	UNE DS3
Line Sharing	Retail xDSL	Line Sharing
EELS	DS1/ISDN PRI, DS3, VGPL/DS0	EELS
Interconnection Trunks	ILEC Dedicated Trunks	Interconnection Trunks
LNP	LNP	LNP
Projects	Projects as defined below.	Projects as defined below.

INTERCONNECTION TRUNKS will be included in measures: 2, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34.

LNP is considered a facilities based service group type. LNP will be a level of disaggregation for the following measures: 2, 4, 9, 15, 17a, 19, 20, 21, and 23. Service orders with multiple service group types will be categorized according to the service group type of the first access line entered on the order.

PROJECTS are defined as follows:

"Project is a planned event where terms and conditions in which work is performed is agreed to by both the CLEC, Embarq and any other party engaged in the provisioning process. To allow for successful turn-up of facilities or conversion of facilities, each party must negotiate, in good faith, the timelines that allow required activities to be met, equipment ordered, placed and tested to meet the overall objectives of the project. The timeline must meet the rule of reasonable and prudent business practices. If the activity is not agreed to be a project, the transaction will be reported in the appropriate service group type."

SERVICE ORDER TYPES

- New Service Installations
- Service Migrations without Changes
- Service Migrations with Changes
- Move and Change activities
- Feature Changes
- Service Disconnects

IV. AUDITING

The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) ordered at least one annual independent third-party comprehensive audit. Based on the results of the initial independent comprehensive audit and any future reviews outlined in the Review Procedures, FPSC staff shall determine whether the interval for additional comprehensive third-party audits should be modified during the first five years after initial implementation.

The cost for a comprehensive annual audit shall be borne by Embarq within the first five years after implementation of the Florida Plan. During this time period, Embarq reserves the right to seek a waiver if it deems a comprehensive annual audit unnecessary.

Independent third-party auditors and audit scope shall be jointly selected by Embarq and the CLECs prior to initiating any third-party audit. If the parties cannot agree on the independent auditor, FPSC staff shall have final approval.

In addition to an audit, Embarq and the CLECs agree that the CLECs would have the right to mini-audits of individual performance measures during the year. When a CLEC has reason to believe the data collected for a measure is flawed or the reporting criteria for the measure is not being adhered to, it has the right to have a mini-audit performed on the specific measure upon written request (including e-mail), which will include the designation of a CLEC representative to engage in discussions with Embarg about the requested mini-audit. If, 45 days after the CLEC's written request, the CLEC believes that the issue has not been resolved to its satisfaction, the CLEC will commence the mini-audit upon providing Embarg with 5 business days advance written notice. Each CLEC would be limited to auditing five single measures during the year. The CLEC would pay for the mini-audit, including Embarg's reasonable associated costs and expenses, unless Embarg is found to be misreporting or misrepresenting data or to have non-compliant procedures, in which case, Embarq would pay for the mini-audit, including the CLECs' reasonable associated costs and expenses. If, during a mini-audit of individual measures, more than 50% of the measures in a major service category are found to have flawed data or reporting problems, the entire service category will be re-audited at the expense of Embarg. The major service categories for this purpose are:

- Pre-Ordering
- Ordering
- Provisioning
- Maintenance
- Network Performance
- Billing
- Database Updates
- Collocation
- Interfaces

Each mini-audit shall be submitted to the Commission as a proprietary document.

V. REVIEW PROCEDURES

For the first two years after this Florida Plan is implemented, collaborative reviews between Embarq and the CLECs are scheduled to be conducted every six months by FPSC staff. Based on input from the participants at each review and the need determined therein, FPSC staff will determine whether the interval for the next review should be adjusted.

VI. DEFINITION OF TERMS

TERM	DEFINITION
Automatic Location Identifier (ALI)	The feature of E911 that displays at the Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) the street address of the calling telephone number. This feature requires a data storage and retrieval system for translating telephone numbers to the associated address. ALI may include Emergency Service Number (ESN), street address, room or floor, and names of the enforcement, fire and medical agencies with jurisdictional responsibility for the address. The Management System (E911) database is used to update the Automatic E911 Location Identifier databases.
Affiliate	An entity that (directly or indirectly) owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with another entity. The Telecommunications Act defines "Own" as owning an equity interest (or equivalent thereof) of more than 10 percent, or as defined by state commissions."
Benchmark Measurable Standards	Benchmark measures have an agreed upon standard to determine compliance due the lack of a meaningful retail analog comparison.
Call Blocking	A condition on a telecommunications network where, due to a maintenance problem or an over capacity situation in a part of the network, some or all originating or terminating calls cannot reach their final destinations. Depending on the condition and the part of the network affected, the network may make subsequent attempts to complete the call or the call may be completely blocked. If the call is completely blocked, the calling party will have to re-initiate the call attempt.
Centralized Data Collection	Centralized Data Collection system collects hourly operational measurement data from switches/trunks groups for the LTD, and provides a direct feed to CIRAS. The information is used for traffic forecasting by trunk capacity planners.
Code Opening	Process by which new NPA/NXXs (area code/prefix) are defined, through software translations to network databases and switches, in telephone networks. Code openings allow for new groups of telephone numbers (usually in blocks of 10,000 or less with number pooling) to be made available for assignment to an ILEC's or CLEC's customers, and for calls to those numbers to be passed between carriers.
Common Channel Signaling System 7 (CCSS7)	A network architecture used to for the exchange of signaling information between telecommunications nodes and networks on an out-of-band basis. Information exchanged provides for call set-up and supports services and features such as CLASS and database query and response.
Common Transport	Trunk groups between tandem and end office switches that are shared by more than one carrier, often including the traffic of both the ILEC and several CLECs.
Completion	The time in the order process when the service has been provisioned and service has been deployed.
Completion Notice	A notice the ILEC provides to the CLEC to inform the CLEC that the requested service order activity is complete.
Coordinated Hot Cut	Coordinated Customer Conversion of Orders that have a due date negotiated between the ILEC, the CLEC, and the customer so that work activities can be performed on a coordinated basis under the direction of the receiving carrier.
Customer Requested Due Date	A specific due date requested by the customer which is either shorter or longer than the standard interval or the interval offered by the ILEC.
	A report that the carrier providing the underlying service opens when notified that a customer has a problem with their service. Once resolved, the status of the trouble is changed to closed.
Dedicated Transport	A network facility reserved to the exclusive use of a single customer, carrier or pair of carriers used to exchange switched or special, local exchange, or exchange access traffic.

TERM	DEFINITION	
Delayed Order	An order which has been completed after the scheduled due date and/or time	
Diagnostic Measurable Standards	This indicates that the results per the measurement will be reported for analysis purposes only and are not subject to determination of compliance or non-compliance.	
Directory Assistance Database	A database that contains subscriber records used to provide live or automated operator-assisted directory assistance. Including 411, 555-1212, NPA-555-1212.	
Directory Listings	Subscriber information used for DA and/or telephone directory publishing, including name and telephone number, and optionally, the customer's address.	
DS-0	Digital Service Level 0. Service provided at a digital signal speed commonly at 64 kbps, but occasionally at 56 kbps.	
DS-1	Digital Service Level 1. Service provided at a digital signal speed of 1.544 Mbps.	
DS-3	Digital Service Level 3. Service provided at a digital signal speed of 44.736 Mbps.	
Due Date	The date provided on the FOC the ILEC sends the CLEC identifying the planned completion date for the order.	
End Office Switch	A switch from which an end users' exchange services are directly connected and offered.	
Firm Order Confirmation (FOC)	Notice the ILEC sends to the CLEC to notify the CLEC that it has received the CLECs service order, created a service request, and assigned it a due date.	
Flow-Through	The term used to describe whether a LSR electronically is passed from the OSS interface system to the ILEC legacy system to automatically create a service order. LSRs that do not flow through require manual intervention for the service order to be created in the ILEC legacy system.	
Held Order	An order for which the ILEC has issued a FOC, but whose due date has passed without it being completed.	
Installation	The installation activity required to activate a service request.	
Installation Troubles	A trouble, which is identified after service order activity and installation have been completed, on a customer's line. It is likely attributable to the service activity (within a defined time period).	
Inside Wiring	The telecommunications wiring located at a customer's premises that extends beyond the demarcation point.	
Interconnection Trunks	A network facility that is used to interconnect two switches generally of different local exchange carriers	
	A planned or unplanned failure resulting in the unavailability or access degradation of a system.	
	A failure in the service provisioning process which results potentially in the inability of a carrier to meet the committed due date on a service order	
Jeopardy Notice	The actual notice that the ILEC sends to the CLEC when a jeopardy condition has been identified.	
Lack of 1 actifics	A shortage of cable facilities identified after a due date has been committed to a customer, including the CLEC. The facilities shortage may be identified during the inventory assignment process, or during the service installation process. If no facilities are available, the ILEC will issue a jeopardy.	

TERM	DEFINITION	
Line Sharing	Unbundling of the local loop to make the high-frequency portion of the local loop available to CLECs, while the physical line and low-frequency voice path continues to be provided by the ILEC. Line Sharing allows customers to receive both services (voice and data) on the same line, eliminating the need for consumers to procure a second line.	
Local Exchange Routing Guide (LERG)	A Telcordia master file that is used by the telecom industry to identify NPA-NXX routing and homing information, as well as network element and equipment designations. The file also includes scheduled network changes associated with activity within the North American Numbering Plan (NANP).	
Local Exchange Traffic	Traffic originated on the network of a LEC in a local calling area that terminates to another LEC in a local calling area.	
Local Number Portability	A network technology that allows end user customers to retain their telephone number when moving their service between local service providers. This technology does not employ remote call forwarding, but actually allows the customer's telephone number to be moved and redefined in the network of the new service provider. The activity to move the telephone number is called "porting".	
Local Service Confirmation	OBF term for a FOC	
Mechanized Bill	A bill that is delivered via electronic transmission.	
Meet Point Billing	A billing arrangement used when two or more LECs jointly provide access to and from an interexchange carrier (IXC) for inter LATA traffic. This arrangement can be Single Bill, where one LEC bills the IXC on behalf of both LECs and remits payment to the other LEC or Multiple Bill, where each LEC bills their portion directly to the IXC.	
Missed Commitment Notification	A notice from ILEC to inform CLEC that the committed due date on an order has been missed.	
Non-Recurring Charge	A rate charged for a product or a service that is assessed on a one-time basis.	
NXX, NXX Code or Central Office Code	The three digit switch entity indicator that is defined by the "D", "E", and "F" digits of a 10-digit telephone number within the NANP. Each NXX Code contains 10,000 station numbers.	
Ordering and Billing Forum (OBF)	Industry forum that works to develop national ordering and billing standards.	
Other Charges and Credits	Partial month recurring and non-recurring charges, installation, and other charges other than basic monthly charges appearing on a bill.	
Parity Measurable Standards	Indicates a retail analog process or system exists and can report the ILEC and ILEC Affiliate results to be compared to the CLEC results.	
	Parity by Design occurs where the same process or system is used for both CLEC and ILEC and does not allow the opportunity to discriminate or to recognize differences between CLEC activity and ILEC activity. As such, the results calculated will apply for all CLECs and ILEC measurable standards.	
	A network technology that allows end user customers to retain their telephone number when moving their service between local service providers. This technology does not employ remote call forwarding, but actually allows the customer's telephone number to be moved and redefined in the network of the new service provider. The activity to move the telephone number is called "porting".	

TERM	DEFINITION	
Physical Collocation	Shall have the meaning set forth in 47 C.F.R. Section 51.5.	
Plain Old Telephone Service (POTS)	Refers to basic 2 wire analog residential and business services. Can include feature capabilities (e.g., CLASS features).	
Projects	Project is a planned event where terms and conditions in which work is performed is agreed to by both the CLEC, Embarq and any other party engaged in the provisioning process. To allow for successful turn-up of facilities or conversion of facilities, each party must negotiate, in good faith, the timeline must meet the overall objectives of the project. The timeline must met the rule of reasonable and prudent business practices. If the activity is not agreed to be a project, the transaction will be reported in the appropriate service group type,	
Provisioning Troubles	A trouble report that is opened for a customer's existing or new service for a trouble identified between the time of the service order creation to the time of order completion. Provisioning troubles that are associated with a CLECs customers include troubles that occur and are reported during the conversion of an ILEC customer to a CLEC.	
Query Types	Pre-ordering information that is available to a CLEC that is categorized according to standards issued by OBF, the FCC and/or the Florida PSC.	
Recurring Charge	A rate charged for a product or service that is assessed each successive billing period.	
Reject	A status that can occur to a CLEC submitted local service request (LSR) when it does not meet certain criteria. There are two types of rejects: syntax, which occurs if required fields are not included in the LSR and content, which occur if invalid data is provided in a field. A rejected service request must be corrected and resubmitted before provisioning can begin.	
Repeat Report	Any trouble report that is a second (or greater) report on the same telephone number/circuit ID and at the same premise address within 30 days. The original report can be any category, including excluded reports, and can carry any disposition code.	
Service Group Type	The designation used to identify a category of similar services, .e.g., UNE loops	
Service Order	The work order created and distributed in ILECs systems and to ILEC work groups in response to a complete, valid service request.	
Service Order Type	The designation used to identify the major types of provisioning activities associated with a service request	
Service Request	The transaction sent from the CLEC to the ILEC to order services or to request a change(s) be made to existing services.	
Standard Interval	The interval that the ILEC quotes to its customers with respect to how long it will take to provision a service request. These intervals are standardized by specific service type and type of service modification requested ILECs publish these standard intervals in documents used by their own service representatives as well as ordering instructions provided to CLECs. POTS services do not have standard intervals; their installation intervals are based on force available and workload. They may change as frequently as twice a day.	
Subsequent Reports	A trouble report that is taken on a previously reported trouble prior to the date and time the initial report has a status of "cleared".	
Summarized Charges	Billing charges that are aggregated on the bill, rather than individually itemized, e.g., local usage minutes on resale or retail calls, which are listed on the bill as "xx" minutes with no call detail.	

TERM	DEFINITION	
Tandem Switch	Switch used to connect and switch trunk circuits between and among Central Office switches.	
Time to Restore	The time interval from the receipt, by the ILEC, of a trouble report on a customer's service to the time service is fully restored to the customer.	
Transport	A carrier facility medium in which transmission takes place. Transport carries voice and data from point A to point B, usually between two offices. Transport medium includes copper wire, fiber optics, microwave and satellite.	
Trouble Cause Code	A code identifying the known or suspected cause of a trouble condition.	
Trouble Disposition	A code identifying the end result of diagnostic and/or repair activities on a customer trouble report.	
Usage Data	Data generated in network nodes to identify switched call data on a detailed or summarized basis. Usage data is used to create customer invoices for the calls.	
Usage Records	The individual call records created in a switch to report the date, time, duration, calling and called numbers associated with a given call	
Virtual Collocation	Shall have the meaning set forth in 47 C.F.R. Section 51.5.	

VI. GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

ACRONYM	DESCRIPTION	
ALEC	Alternative Local Exchange Carrier (term equivalent to CLEC)	
ALI	Automatic Location Identifier (for E911 systems)	
AS	Affecting Service (type of trouble condition)	
BDT	Billing Data Tape	
BRI	Basic Rate Interface (type of ISDN service)	
СНС	Coordinated "Hot" Cut	
CKT	Circuit	
CLEC	Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (term equivalent to ALEC)	
СО	Central Office	
CPE	Customer Premises Equipment	
CSR	Customer Service Record	
DA	Directory Assistance	
dB	Decibel Decibel	
DDS	Digital Data Service	
DID	Direct Inward Dialing	
DS0	Digital Service 0	
DS1	Digital Service 1	
DS3	Digital Service 3	
E911 MS	E911 Management System	
EAS	Equal Access Service	
EDI	Electronic Data Interchange	
FOC	Firm Order Confirmation	
GUI	Graphical User Interface	
HDSL	High-bit-rate Digital Subscriber Line	
HICAP	High Capacity Digital Service	
IEC/IXC	Inter-exchange Carrier	
ILEC	Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier	
IRES	Integrated Request Entry System	
N, T, C	Service Order Types - N(new), T(to or transfer), and C(change)	
ISDN	Integrated Services Digital Network	
IW	Inside Wire	
LATA	Local Access Transport Area	
LERG	Local Exchange Routing Guide	
LNP	Local (or Long Term) Number Portability	

ACRONYM	DESCRIPTION	
LSMS	Local Service Management System	
LSR	Local Service Request	
MRC	Missed Appointment Reason Code	
NANP	North American Numbering Plan	
NDM	Network Data Mover	
NPAC	Number Portability Administration Center	
NXX	Telephone number prefix	
OBF	Ordering and Billing Forum	
OOS	Out of service (type of trouble condition)	
OSS	Operations Support System	
PBX	Private Branch Exchange	
PON	Purchase Order Number	
POTS	Plain Old Telephone Service	
PRI	Primary Rate Interface (type of ISDN service)	
PSC	Public Service Commission (term equivalent to PUC)	
PUC	Public Utilities Commission (term equivalent to PSC)	
SCP	Service Control Point	
SGT	Service Group Type	
SOT	Service Order Type	
SS7	Signaling System 7	
STP	Signaling Transfer Point	
TN	Telephone Number	
UNE	Unbundled Network Element	
VGPL	Voice Grade Private Line	
xDSL	(x) Digital Subscriber Line	

VII. Performance Measurement Plan Attachments

A. JEOPARDY CODES Embarq Due Date - Specials

Jeopardy Code	Description	
1	Incorrect or Late Order	
2	Related Order Not Issued	
<u></u>	Related Order Not Completed	
4	Pending Cancellation	
5	Pending Due Date Change	
6	Local Facilities Not Available or Late	
7	Local Facilities Incorrectly Assigned	
8	Local Facility Records Incorrect	
9	Late Local Loop Makeup	
10	Defective Local Facility	
11	Access Customer Facilities Not Available	
12	Connecting Company Facilities Not Available	
13	CIRAS Records Incomplete or Inaccurate	
14	Intracompany Facilities Not Available	
15	Incorrect or Late Engineering	
16	Late/Incorrect Info from Connecting Company	
17	Translation Late or Unavailable	
18	Unable to Meet Design Requirements	
19	Central Office Equipment Not Installed	
20	Circuit Order Equipment Late or Not Available	
21	Defective Equipment	
22	Customer Not Ready- LTD Work Complete	
23	Customer Order Issues	
24	No Access to End User Premise	
25	Customer Not Ready – LTD Work Not Complete	
26	System Not Available	
27	System Edit/Error	
28	Lack of Manpower	
29	Weather Conditions	
30	Work Completed on Time-Reported Late	
31	Not Installed as Engineered	
32	Connecting Company Not Ready	
33	Original Date Met, Field RID Required Changes	
34	Natural Disaster	
35	Union Issues	

36	Overtime/budget Restriction	
37	Order/tech not dispatched	
38	Dark Fiber LAM interval	
39	Maintenance resource priority	
40	Date not signed off by owner	
41	No Response to Escalation	
42	HDSL Status Not Provided	
43	Late Engineering Order Confirmation (EOC)/Estimated Completion Date (ECD)	
44	To be Worked by Intergrated Tech on PTD	
45	Switched Conversion Delayed	
46	CDDD Less than DVA- Short Interval	
47	Live CKTS on Higher Level CKT being Disc.	

Note: Bolded codes are exclusion reasons outside of Embarq's control, including customercaused reasons.

B. MISSED APPOINTMENT REASON CODES Embarq - Retail

Code	Customer Reasons - Description	
AB	This code will indicate working service was found at the time of installation and delayed the original due date installation.	
CL	The due date was not met due to inaccurate or incomplete information received from the customer to work the service order.	
PO	The port was not activated by the CLEC on the due date	
RD	The customer called and requested a different date prior to the appointed due date.	
SA	Plant employee attempted to complete order on appointed date but could not gain access to the customer's premise.	
SO	The installation was delayed because customer requested an instrument that is not normally offered and it had to be special ordered.	
SR	The customer indicated he was not ready for completion of the request on the original due date or provided incomplete or incorrect information which prohibited completion of the request on the original due date (trip was made).	

MISSED APPOINTMENT REASON CODES Embarq - Retail

Code	Company Reasons - Description	
PL	Unanticipated plant workload precluded the completion of the order on the original due date.	
SE	Request was delayed because there was a temporary lack of standard station equipment.	
PF	Lack of plant facilities delayed the completion of the order.	
PB	Bad cable pair or cable plant exists.	
IW	Inclement weather delayed installation.	
CE	Commercial provided incomplete or inaccurate information.	
ME	Marketing provided incomplete or inaccurate information.	
СО	Any other Company Reason.	

C. DISPOSITION CODES

Embarq

Code	Description		
CAN	Cancellation of ticket at customer request		
CC	Came Clear		
СО	Central Office – The trouble was found in central office equipment. This includes concentrators, remotes, OPMs.		
СРЕ	Customer Provided Equipment – Trouble found in the end user's equipment or wiring. This also includes extended demarc. If the problem was customer action, XCC is used.		
FAC	Facility – Anything from the local distribution frame protector to the protector on the end user site.		
INF	Ticket created for informational purposes only		
HSD	High Speed Data		
OTH	Other – Embarq LTD Network		
ND	Natural Disaster – Hurricane, Earthquake, Tornado, Volcano, Typhoon		
STN	Station – Network Interface Devices (NIDs), loopback devices, jacks, up to the demarc		
ток	Test Okay/No Trouble Found – Could not identify the problem the customer reported either through remote or field testing.		
The state of the s	Transport – Troubles isolated to an outage caused by a transport issue in the Embarq network. These outages are generally isolated to DS3 or higher service types.		
XCC	IXC/CLEC/CLEC		
CCO	Connecting Company – The problem was identified in connecting company network or equipment, referrals to connecting company.		
TT	Translations Trouble		
UNK	Unknown		
PRV	Provisioning Trouble		

Note: Bolded codes are exclusion reasons outside of Embarq's control, including customercaused reasons.

VIII. Performance Measurement Plan Compliance Methodology

Overview

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("the Act"), and the FCC's associated rules, require incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs") to provide competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs") with nondiscriminatory access to operations support systems ("OSS"). In the August 1996 Local Competition First Report and Order, the FCC commented generally that ILECs must provide CLECs with access to the pre-ordering, ordering, provisioning, billing, repair, and maintenance OSS sub-functions pursuant to the Act, such that CLECs are able to perform such OSS sub-functions in "substantially the same time and manner" as the ILECs can for themselves. In August of 1997, the FCC's *Ameritech Opinion* analyzed the nondiscriminatory access requirements of §251(c) to a Regional Bell Operating Company's ("RBOC's") §271 application, and clarified that for those OSS sub-functions with retail analogs, a RBOC "must provide access to competing carriers that is equal to the level of access that the RBOC provides to itself, its customers or its affiliates, in terms of quality, accuracy and timeliness." The FCC further clarified in the *Ameritech Opinion* that for those OSS functions with no retail analog, a BOC must offer access sufficient to allow an efficient competitor "a meaningful opportunity to compete."

This document describes the method used to determine parity and benchmark compliance for measures in the Embarq Performance Measurement Plan (PMP). Also described are the associated provisions that are necessary counterparts to the parity methodology (e.g., forgiveness and materiality) and benchmark methodology (e.g., small sample adjustments), and provisions that are associated with determination of compliance. This methodology is appropriate for Embarq and yields actionable compliance information regarding Embarq's service to CLEC customers.

1. General Principles

- 1.1 The Compliance Methodology described herein is to be associated with the Commission approved Embarq Performance Measurement Plan (the "PMP").
- 1.2 The Compliance Methodology describes the method for determining compliance for parity measures (those measurements where the level of service that Embarq provides to CLECs can be compared to the level of service Embarq provides to its retail customers), and for benchmark measures (those measurements for which there is no comparable level of service between the service Embarq provides to CLECs and the service Embarq provides to its retail customers).
- 1.3 Embarq will calculate compliance on a submeasure basis under the provisions of this methodology. A submeasure is the individual, disaggregated reported result for each measurement defined in Embarq's PMP.
- 1.4 For parity measurements, Embarq will use statistical testing to determine whether any submeasure differences between Embarq's retail results and Embarq's results for the individual CLEC, are statistically significant. Various statistical testing methodologies will be used for measures reported as means (averages), proportions (percentages) and rates.
 - 1.4.1 For parity measurements, where a submeasurement difference between Embarq's retail results and the results for the individual CLEC is found to be statistically significant, a measure of severity (see Attachment B) will be calculated.
- 1.5 For benchmark measurements, Embarq's performance results for each CLEC will be compared to the benchmark defined in the PMP, without the use of statistical testing for significance. If Embarq's performance results for the CLEC are observed to be at a level of service that does not meet the benchmark, the result will be considered noncompliant.
 - 1.5.1 For benchmark measurements, if the result is found to be noncompliant, a measure of severity (see Attachment B) will be calculated.
- 1.6 The determination of compliance is further subject to certain Compliance Accuracy Provisions as described in this document.
- 1.7 Compliance will not be calculated for specific (sub)measurements per the PMP:
 - 1.7.1 For any measurement or submeasurement classified in the PMP as "Diagnostic Only", "Parity by Design" or with benchmark level "TBD".
 - 1.7.2 For any result that contains 4 or fewer Embarq or CLEC transactions. These results will be reported but no compliance will be assessed.

2. Compliance Methodology for Benchmark Measurements

- 2.1 Embarq service performance levels that do not achieve the benchmarks will be considered noncompliant. No statistical evaluation is performed for benchmark submeasures to determine compliance.
- 2.2 A measure of severity, D_B (called "D sub B", see Attachment B), will be calculated for each noncompliant benchmark submeasure, based upon the difference between the service performance levels Embarq provides to each individual CLEC, and the benchmark standard.
 - 2.2.1 The following table sets forth the severity level for benchmark *proportion* measures, per affected CLEC per submeasure, when service does not meet the benchmark:

BENCHMARK PROPORTION MEASURES		
Performance Level	Severity Level	
$0 < D_B < 5$	Minor	
$5 \le D_B < 15$	Moderate	
$D_{\rm B} >= 15$	Severe	

2.2.2 A different performance level is appropriate for benchmark *mean* measures. The following table sets forth the severity level for benchmark *mean* measures, per affected CLEC per submeasure, when service does not meet the benchmark:

BENCHMARK MEAN MEASURES		
Performance Level	Severity Level	
$0 < D_B < 25$	Minor	
$25 \le D_B < 50$	Moderate	
$D_{\rm B} >= 50$	Severe	

3. Statistical Testing Methodology for Parity Measurements

- 3.1 Statistical testing will be conducted when the CLEC result is "worse" than the Embarq result and there are at least 5 transactions each for Embarq retail and individual CLEC. Results for 4 or fewer transactions will be reported for diagnostic purposes.
- 3.2 The general statistical testing methodology is to conduct a hypothesis test with

H₀: CLEC performance is "better than or equal to" Embarq performance.

H₁: CLEC performance is "worse than" Embarq performance.

3.2.1 Calculations are made under the assumption that larger performance measurement values indicate worse service. For measures where this assumption does not hold

true (i.e. larger values indicate better service), the calculation of a test statistic will be reversed. In other words, a difference between Embarq and CLEC service will always be shown as a numerically negative difference when CLEC service is worse.

- 3.3 Any statistical test yielding a p-value will be converted to a z-score for purposes of reporting consistency, and to enable calculation of the severity value.
- 3.4 A significance level, or Type I error rate, of 10% will be used for testing purposes.
 - 3.4.1 This results in a critical value of -1.2817 for z-scores. Any z-score less than or equal to -1.2817 will result in a rejection of H_0 .
 - 3.4.2 Modifications are made to the traditional t-statistic typically used for testing the difference between two means (due to sensitivity to testing assumptions). The "adjusted, asymmetric two-sample t-test" is designed to test the difference between means, without sensitivity to a larger CLEC variance, while adjusting for bias caused by population skewness. Instead of pooling the variances from both Embarq retail and CLEC observations, only using Embarq variance increases the ability of the test statistic to identify a difference in means should the CLEC have a greater variation. A modified z-score is calculated at the cell level by converting the adjusted, asymmetric t-test statistic via the respective probability density function.
- 3.5 All statistical tests will be performed at the submeasure level, per CLEC.
 - 3.5.1 Statistical comparisons made at the cell-level, when applicable, will be aggregated into a single test statistic at the submeasure level.
 - 3.5.2 Attachment A outlines all statistical techniques utilized for any cell-level comparisons, as well as all test statistics.
- 3.6 When approved by the Commission on a measurement/submeasurement basis, Embarq's retail data and CLEC data will be compared at levels that provide the most accurate parity comparisons (i.e., wire center, etc...).
 - 3.6.1 For statistical validity, the parity comparison between CLEC and Embarq retail data will be made with data generated from similar processes and conditions. Since the performance data are collected from daily operations, they are "observed" results. These observed results, or observational data, may not be produced under similar procedures and conditions.
 - 3.6.1.1 This level of comparison is to ensure a "like-to-like" comparison, and is referred to as the "cell level". The like-to-like comparison is a necessary condition for achieving correct statistical testing results for both Embarq retail and CLEC data.

- 3.6.1.1.1 For example, suppose a new CLEC starts operations around a single wire center. For some period of time, a large percentage of the CLEC's service orders are 'N' (New) orders. When compared to Embarq's retail service orders that included 'N', 'C' and 'T' (New, Change, and Transfer) orders, Embarq may be called out of parity erroneously because 'N' orders typically take longer than 'C' or 'T' orders. By comparing only the Embarq 'N' orders to CLEC 'N' orders, a true result can be obtained.
- 3.6.1.1.2 Cell-level comparisons are for statistical accuracy, and do not necessitate additional detail in the reported submeasure level as defined in the PMP.
- 3.6.2 Cell level comparisons will be proposed by Embarq and submitted for approval by the Commission on a per-submeasure or per-measure basis.
 - 3.6.2.1 Measurement/submeasurements with Commission-approved cell-level comparisons are listed in Attachment C.
 - 3.6.2.2 When like-to-like comparisons are approved for a specific measure or submeasure, results will be calculated using various statistical techniques appropriate for cell level comparisons (see Attachment A for detailed methodology).
 - 3.6.2.3 When there is more than one cell for a submeasure, the z-scores at the cell level will be aggregated into one overall test statistic, called the "truncated z-score" (see Attachment A), which is used to determine whether a statistically significant difference exists at the submeasure level. A submeasure with a single cell will not be aggregated into the truncated z-score, but will simply use the z-score as calculated for the cell.
 - 3.6.2.4 If entries in comparison cells are exactly proportional over a covariate, the aggregated index should be very nearly the same as if comparisons on the covariate had not been done. In other words, if relative performance between Embarq retail and CLEC service at the cell level is equivalent (for all cells) to relative performance at the reporting level, then the aggregated z-score should be roughly the same as a modified z-score applied at the reporting level.
 - 3.6.2.5 The contribution of each comparison cell should depend on the number of observations in the cell.
 - 3.6.2.6 Cancellation between comparison cells will be limited. In other words, positive outcomes should not be allowed to cancel negative ones.

- 3.7 A measure of severity, D_P (called "D sub P", see Attachment B) will be associated with a difference between the service performance levels Embarq provides to each individual CLEC and the service performance levels Embarq provides to its retail customers when service is determined to be out of parity.
 - 3.7.1 The following table sets forth the parity severity levels, per affected CLEC per submeasure, when the result is found to be noncompliant:

PARITY MEASUREMENTS		
Measure of severity	Severity Level	
$0 < D_P < .5$	Minor	
$.5 \le D_P \le 2$	Moderate	
$ D_P >= 2$	Severe	

4. Compliance Accuracy Provisions

- 4.1 The use of statistical testing for parity measures helps to mitigate the risk of noncompliance due simply to random variation in processes. However, due to the nature of the statistical tests, the expectation is that noncompliance will periodically be assessed even when a state of consistent parity exists (called a Type I error). To compensate for the impact of Type I errors, Embarq will utilize the following forgiveness plan to improve the accuracy of compliance assessment. This forgiveness plan is applied separately for each submeasure and each CLEC as follows:
- 4.2 Embarq's noncompliance will be forgiven on a submeasure basis only when certain criteria are met. These criteria are:
 - 4.2.1 For every submeasure, per CLEC, the first accrued forgiveness will occur upon the first month of activity, and again every six (6) months of activity thereafter.
 - 4.2.2 Each forgiveness must be used within six (6) months upon accrual. In other words, an accrued forgiveness is lost if not used within six (6) months.
 - 4.2.3 If there is no activity for a particular submeasure, per CLEC, for twenty-four (24) consecutive months, the process of accruing forgivenesses will begin again upon the next month of activity. In other words, Embarq will not track inactivity beyond twenty-four (24) months for the purpose of accruing forgivenesses.
 - 4.2.4 A forgiveness can only be used to offset noncompliance for the same submeasure, and CLEC, for which the forgiveness was originally accrued.
 - 4.2.5 If a forgiveness is available to be used, it must be used at the first opportunity, with the following exception:

- 4.2.6 A forgiveness may never be used, for a particular submeasure and CLEC, in consecutive months.
- 4.2.7 Available forgivenesses may not offset a severe non-compliance.
- 4.3 Embarq will implement materiality thresholds:
 - 4.3.1 Materiality thresholds mitigate situations where benchmark results or parity comparisons misidentify differences as significant. This is due to the fact that small-sample benchmark results, or parity statistical significance, is not necessarily synonymous with business significance. Situations that produce misidentification of differences as significant include but are not limited to the following:
 - 4.3.1.1 Small samples for parity measures. For measures typically associated with small samples, the measure itself can be highly sensitive to small differences in service. Similar to the small sample adjustment used for benchmark proportion measures, small samples for parity measures (especially proportion and rate measures) can result in the need for perfect or near-perfect service in order to be deemed compliant. For example, the measure *Trouble Report Rate* is defined as the number of trouble tickets per month divided by the number of access lines the customer has. Due to small CLEC transaction sizes, a single trouble report for a CLEC with few access lines can produce non-compliance. Since one trouble report for a month does not have a significant impact on the CLEC's ability to compete, this is a statistically significant difference that is not synonymous with business significance.

Measurement 19

The following adjustment table applies to all submeasures in Measurement 19, and will be applied when a statistically significant difference is identified:

Number of CLEC Access Lines (CLEC Denominator)	Permitted Troubles
1 to 4	n/a (no compliance assessment)
5 to 24	1
25 to 74	2
75 or more	3

For example: For a CLEC with 100 access lines and 1 trouble, accompanied by a statistically significant difference, this table indicates that more than 3 troubles would be required before a significant business impact would occur. As a note for how *not* to use this table, consider a CLEC with 4 troubles and better than parity service (i.e. the CLEC is receiving better service than the retail results). This table does not indicate that no more than 3 troubles are ever allowable. It is used only when there is a statistically significant difference identified.

- 4.3.1.2 Large samples for parity measures. Submeasures with a high volume of CLEC transactions produce statistical comparisons that are overly sensitive to small differences between Embarq and CLEC results. This can produce non-compliance when the actual difference in Embarq and CLEC results is very small. For example, if a CLEC has thousands of submeasure transactions in a month, there may be a statistically significant difference, but only a slight difference in results (i.e., a difference of 0.4% on *Usage Completeness*). Since this type of difference does not significantly impact the CLEC's ability to compete, this is a statistically significant difference that is not synonymous with business significance.
- 4.4 For benchmark proportion measures, small samples can result in the need for service beyond the benchmark in order to achieve compliance. For instance, the only way to achieve a 95% benchmark with 19 orders would be to fail on none. One failure would result in performance of 94.7%. The small sample adjustments to benchmark proportion measures would, for example, allow for 1 failure in the 19 orders to achieve compliant performance.
 - 4.4.1 Embarq will implement the following table for Small Sample Adjustments to all Benchmark Proportion Measures:

Small Sample Adjustments to Benchmark Proportion Measures							
90% Ben	% Benchmark 95% Benchmark 98% Benchmark		95% Benchmark		99% Benchmark		
Sample Size	Maximum	Sample Size	Maximum	Sample Size	Maximum	Sample Size	Maximum
(CLEC	Permitted	(CLEC	Permitted	(CLEC	Permitted	(CLEC	Permitted
Denominator)	Misses	Denominator)	Misses	Denominator)	Misses	Denominator)	Misses
1 to 4	n/a	1 to 4	n/a	1 to 4	n/a	1 to 4	n/a
5 to 9	1	5 to 19	1	5 to 49	1	5 to 97	1
10 to 20	2	20 to 40	2	50 to 99	2	98 to 202	2
21 to 31	3	41 to 63	3	100 to 149	3	203 to 319	3
32 to 44	4	64 to 88	4	150 to 199	4	320 to 445	4
45 to 50	5	89 to 100	5	200 to 250	5	446 to 500	5

- 4.5 Embarq may perform a limited root-cause analysis process within 45 days of the issuance of the monthly performance reports to provide a reasonable opportunity to explain exceptional conditions. When a root-cause analysis is invoked, Embarq will have the burden of proving that but for the occurrence of an "exceptional condition" Embarq would have succeeded on the submeasure.
 - 4.5.1 Examples of these exceptional conditions include, but are not limited to the following:
 - 4.5.1.1 Significant activity by a third party external to and not controlled by Embarq (e.g., damaged facilities, third party systems, bomb threats)
 - 4.5.1.2 Failure of a CLEC process or system (e.g., CLEC switch failure, CLEC backlog of orders)

- 4.5.1.3 Environmental events not considered force majeure (e.g., fire or other hazardous condition)
- 4.5.1.4 Force majeure events
- 4.5.2 Embarq will not be required to utilize a forgiveness if it is determined that noncompliance is not warranted due to an exceptional condition under this section.
- 4.5.3 If Embarq finds that an exceptional condition had a significant impact on Embarq's ability to provide compliant service, Embarq will exclude the affected data from results and publish a notification and full justification on the reporting website.
 - 4.5.3.1 If the exceptional condition was identified after the affected results were reported, Embarq will exclude the affected data from results, publish a notification and full justification on the reporting website, and repost the results in accordance with the Reporting Obligations section of this Methodology.
- 4.5.4 Commission Staff or a CLEC may initiate a request for a review of differences associated with the assessment of exceptional conditions. If modification of reports is found to be appropriate, Embarq will repost the results in accordance with the Reporting Obligations section of this Methodology.
 - 4.5.4.1 If the review process does not yield a mutually acceptable outcome, Commission Staff or a CLEC may initiate a request for an expedited hearing process in accordance with the Commission's rules to resolve differences. If modification of reports is requested by the Commission, Embarq will repost the recommended results in accordance with the Reporting Obligations section of this Methodology.

5. Reporting Obligations

- 5.1 The due date for reporting performance measurements will be no later than the 20th calendar day of the month, unless otherwise approved by the Commission.
- 5.2 Embarq must publish results for all "reportable" CLECs. Reportable CLECs meet one or more of the following criteria:
 - 5.2.1 The CLEC must have placed one (1) or more CLEC product orders in the reporting month.
 - 5.2.2 The CLEC must have one (1) or more CLEC access lines.

- 5.2.3 The CLEC must utilize an electronic ordering interface (i.e., IRES, FTP) to submit orders.
- 5.3 If stated in the Performance Measurement Plan, additional reporting obligations will apply.

6. Uniform Business Rules

- 6.1 To ensure a unified plan across Embarq LTD states, Embarq will propose to the Florida Commission changes to measurement business rules ordered in other Embarq LTD states if applicable to the Florida PMP.
 - 6.1.1 When other Embarq LTD states issue an order approving changes to the Embarq PMP measurement business rules, and those changes are applicable to the Florida PMP, Embarq will notify the Commission of performance measurement changes by other states, and file such changes in the appropriate docket. Such changes will be filed within 15 days of the order being issued in other states. Interested CLECs and Commission Staff shall be allowed an opportunity to review such changes before a recommendation is brought before the FPSC.

Attachment A

Statistical Calculations for Parity Submeasurements

Statistical methods:

SAMPLE SIZE	TYPE OF MEASURE	STATISTICAL METHOD (WITHOUT CELL LEVEL COMPARISONS)	STATISTICAL METHOD (WITH CELL LEVEL COMPARISIONS)	
	mean	Permutation Testing	Permutation Testing (p-value converted to a z-score)	
"small"	proportion	Fisher's Exact Test (i.e. Hypergeometric)	Standard Z, with finite population correction	
	rate	Binomial Test	Standard Z, with finite population correction	
	mean	Modified Z, with skewness correction (Embarq variance used, rather than pooled variance)	Modified Z, with skewness correction (Embarq variance used, rather than pooled variance)	
"large"	proportion	Standard Z, with finite population correction	Standard Z, with finite population correction	
	rate	Standard Z, with finite population correction	Standard Z, with finite population correction	

Statistical functions definitions:

 $\Phi^{-1}(x)$ Inverse cumulative standard normal distribution function.

pt(t,df) Cumulative distribution function of a t-statistic with df degrees of freedom.

BN(x, n, p) Binomial distribution density function. The probability of observing x of n successes with a probability p of success.

CBN(x,n,p) Cumulative binomial distribution function.

$$CBN(x, n, p) = P(B \le x) = \begin{cases} 0(x < 0) \\ \sum_{k=0}^{x} BN(k)(0 \le x \le n) \\ 1(x > n) \end{cases}$$

HG(q, m, n, k) Hypergeometric distribution density function where q represents the number of red balls out of a sample of size k drawn from an urn containing m red balls and n black ones.

CHG(q,m,n,k) Cumulative hypergeometric distribution.

$$CHG(q, m, n, k) = P(H \le q) = \begin{cases} 0(q < \max(0, k - m)) \\ \sum_{h = \max(0, k - m)}^{q} HG(h)(\max(0, k - m) \le q \le \min(k, m)) \\ 1(q > \min(k, m)) \end{cases}$$

rank(x) Ranks the input variables. In case of ties, the average rank is calculated.

choose(n,k) Calculates the binomial coefficients.

Global variable definitions:

L = The total number of occupied cells.¹

j = An index counter indicating cell number.

 n_{1j} = The number of Embarq transactions in cell j.

 n_{2j} = The number of CLEC transactions in cell j.

 n_i = The total number of transactions in cell j.

 $X_{1/k}$ = Individual Embarq transactions in cell j.

 X_{2ik} = Individual CLEC transactions in cell j.

 Φ^{-1} = Inverse cumulative standard normal distribution function.

Mean Performance Measures²

At this time, the following calculations will apply to parity submeasures contained in measures 6, 7, 13, 14, 21, and 44. Any subsequent change to measure classification (mean, proportion, rate) to a measure or submeasure in the PMP will take precedence over this list.

Variable definitions:

STATISTIC	DEFINITION	EXPLANATION
$\overline{X}_{1j} = \frac{1}{n_{1j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{1j}} X_{1jk}$	Embarq sample mean of cell j.	Add observations and divide by the number of observations.
$\overline{X}_{2j} = \frac{1}{n_{2j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{2j}} X_{2jk}$	CLEC sample mean of cell j.	Add observations and divide by the number of observations.

 $^{^{1}}$ If comparisons are performed at the submeasure level, L=1 and only one cell (the submeasure) exists. If comparisons are performed at the cell level, L may exceed 1 and more than one cell may exist (see Attachment C for the list of (sub)measurements approved for comparison at the cell level).

Only perform STEP 4 and STEP 5 if L > 1 (e.g., if this is a cell-level comparison, and there is more than one cell with CLEC activity, then perform STEP 4 and STEP 5).

$$s_{1j}^2 = \frac{1}{n_{1j} - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{1j}} (X_{1jk} - \overline{X}_{1j})^2$$

Embarq sample variance in cell j. May be NA for very small sample sizes.

Subtract each observation by its mean, square the difference, add them all up, and divide by the number of observations minus 1. Subtract each observation

$$s_{2j}^2 = \frac{1}{n_{2j} - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{2j}} (X_{2jk} - \overline{X}_{2j})^2$$

CLEC sample variance in cell j. May be NA for very small sample sizes.

Subtract each observation by its mean, square the difference, add them all up, and divide by the number of observations minus 1.

$$\gamma_{1j} = \frac{\frac{1}{n_{1j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{1j}} (X_{1jk} - \overline{X}_{1j})^3}{\left[\frac{1}{n_{1j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{1j}} (X_{1jk} - \overline{X}_{1j})^2\right]^{3/2}}$$

The Embarq sample skewness in cell j. May be NA for very small sample sizes.

difference, add them all up, and divide by the number of observations minus 1. Subtract each observation by its mean, cube the difference, add them all up, and divide by the number of observations. Then divide that number by the cubed square root of the population variance. Subtract each observation

$$\gamma_{2j} = \frac{\frac{1}{n_{2j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{2j}} \left(X_{2jk} - \overline{X}_{2j} \right)^3}{\left[\frac{1}{n_{2j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{2j}} \left(X_{2jk} - \overline{X}_{2j} \right)^2 \right]^{3/2}}$$

The CLEC sample skewness in cell j. May be NA for very small sample sizes.

by its mean, cube the difference, add them all up, and divide by the number of observations. Then divide that number by the cubed square root of the

 XY_i

Combined Embarq and CLEC samples.

population variance. Concatenate the Embarq and CLEC samples into a single variable.

STEP 1: Calculate Cell Weights

$$W_j = \sqrt{\frac{n_{1j}n_{2j}}{n_j}}$$

For each cell, multiply the Embarq sample size and the CLEC sample size, divide by their sum, and take a square root.

If all Embarq and CLEC transactions within a cell have identical performance measures (e.g. service durations), set $W_i = 0$.

STEP 2: Calculate a Z-statistic for each cell

a. If
$$W_i = 0$$
, then set $Z_i = 0$.

b. If
$$\min(n_{1j}, n_{2j}) > 6$$
 and $s_{1j}^2 > 0$

$$T_{j} = \begin{cases} t_{j} + \frac{g}{6} \left(\frac{n_{1j} + 2n_{2j}}{\sqrt{n_{1j} n_{2j}(n_{1j} + n_{2j})}} \right) \left(t_{j}^{2} + \frac{n_{2j} - n_{1j}}{n_{1j} + 2n_{2j}} \right) & t_{j} \ge t_{\min j} \end{cases}$$

$$t_{j} \ge t_{\min j}$$

$$t_{j} + \frac{g}{6} \left(\frac{n_{1j} + 2n_{2j}}{\sqrt{n_{1j} n_{2j}(n_{1j} + n_{2j})}} \right) \left(t_{\min j}^{2} + \frac{n_{2j} - n_{1j}}{n_{1j} + 2n_{2j}} \right) & \text{otherwise}$$

where

$$t_{j} = \frac{\overline{X}_{1j} - \overline{X}_{2j}}{s_{1j}\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1j}} + \frac{1}{n_{2j}}}},$$

$$t_{\min j} = \frac{-3\sqrt{n_{1j}n_{2j}n_{j}}}{g(n_{1j} + 2n_{2j})}$$

and g is the median value of all values of γ_{ij} over all cells within the submeasure (reporting level) such that

- i) $\gamma_{1i} > 0$
- ii) $n_{1j} > 6$, and
- iii) $n_{1j} > n_{3q}$, where n_{3q} is the 3 quartile of all n_{1j} in cells where (i) and (ii) are true.

If no cells within a submeasure exist that satisfy conditions (i) - (iii), then set g = 0.

Calculate the p-value from the T_j statistic with $n_{1j} - 1$ degrees of freedom using $P_j = pt(T_j, n_{1j} - 1)$.

Calculate the z-score Z_j from this p-value³ as $Z_j = \Phi^{-1}(P_j)$.

- c. If $[\min(n_{1j}, n_{2j}) \le 6 \text{ OR } s_{1j}^2 = 0] \text{ AND } W_j > 0 \text{ (from part 1):}$
 - 1) Calculate the number of possible permutations Nperms = $choose(n_j, n_{1j})$

2) If
$$n_{1j} = n_{2j} = 1$$
, then $Z_j = \begin{cases} 0.6744898 & X_{1j} > X_{2j} \\ 0 & X_{1j} = X_{2j} \\ -0.6744898 & X_{1j} < X_{2j} \end{cases}$

³ Set the z-score to T_j if the p-value is 0 or 1.

- 3) If only $n_{1j} = 1$ then let R_0 equal the rank of the Embarq observation in the combined sample XY_j . Calculate $Z_j = \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R_0 0.5}{n_j} \right)$.
- 4) If only $n_{2j} = 1$ then let R_0 equal the rank of the CLEC observation in the combined sample XY_j . Calculate $Z_j = -\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R_0 0.5}{n_j} \right)$.
- 5) If $\min(n_{1j}, n_{2j}) \ge 2$ and $Nperms \le 1000$ then
 - i) Generate all possible permutations of sizes n_{1j} and n_{2j} from the combined sample XY_j .
 - ii) For each permuted sample, calculate the sum of sample of size n_{1i} .
 - iii) Let R_0 equal the rank of the observed sum within all of the permuted sums. Calculate $Z_j = \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R_0 0.5}{Nperms} \right)$.
- 6) If $\min(n_{1i}, n_{2i}) \ge 2$ and Nperms > 1000 then
 - i) Generate 1,000 random permutations of sizes n_{1j} and n_{2j} from the combined sample XY_j .
 - ii) For each permuted sample, calculate the sum of the sample of size n_{1j} .
 - iii) Let R_0 equal the rank of the observed sum within the 1000 permuted sums and calculate $Z_j = \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{R_0 0.5}{1001} \right)$.
- STEP 3: Truncate Z-statistic for each cell

For each cell, $Z_j^* = \begin{cases} Z_j & L = 1\\ \min(0, Z_j) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

Note that there is no truncation step if there is only one cell in the submeasure calculation.

STEP 4: Calculate the theoretical mean and variance of the truncated statistic under parity.

- 1. If for cell j, $W_j = 0$, set $ExpectedMean_j^{parity}$, $ExpectedVariance_j^{parity}$, and $ExpectedSkew_j^{parity}$ all equal to 0.
- 2. If $\min(n_{1j}, n_{2j}) > 6$ and $s_{1j}^2 > 0$
 - a. $ExpectedMean_j^{parity} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$.
 - b. $ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi}$

c.
$$ExpectedSkew_j^{parity} = -\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$$

3. If $\min(n_{1i}, n_{2i}) \le 6$ OR $s_{1i}^2 = 0$

a. Let $N_i = \min(Nperms, 1000)$

b. For
$$i = 1, ..., N_j; z_{ji} = \min \left\{ 0, \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{i - 0.5}{N_j} \right) \right\}.$$

c.
$$\Theta_{ji} = \frac{1}{N_j}$$

d.
$$ExpectedMean_{j}^{parity} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \Theta_{ji} z_{ji}$$

e.
$$ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \Theta_{ji} z_{ji}^{2} - (ExpectedMean_{j}^{parity})^{2}$$

$$ExpectedSkew_{j}^{parity} =$$

f.
$$\sum_{i} \Theta_{ji} z_{ji}^{3} - 3Expected Mean_{j}^{parity} \times Expected Variance_{j}^{parity} - \left[Expected Mean_{j}^{parity}\right]^{3}$$

STEP 5: Calculate the initial aggregate test statistic.

$$Z_{0}^{T} = \begin{cases} Z_{1} & L = 1 \\ Z^{T} = \frac{\sum_{j} W_{j}(Z_{j}^{*} - ExpectedMean_{j}^{parity})}{\sqrt{\sum_{j} W_{j}^{2} \times ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity}}} & otherwise \end{cases}$$

STEP 6: Calculate the final aggregate test statistic.

- 1. If L = 1, we use the cell modified Z statistic. $Z^{T} = Z_0^{T} = Z_1$.
- 2. If L > 1, do the following.
 - a. Calculate the aggregate skewness coefficient.

$$g_{agg} = \frac{\sum_{j} W_{j}^{3} \times ExpectedSkew_{j}^{parity}}{6 \times \left(\sum_{j} W_{j}^{2} \times ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

b. If
$$Z_0^T > -\frac{1+4g_{agg}^2}{4g_{agg}}$$
 or $-10^{-6} < g_{agg} < 0$ then $Z^T = Z_0^T$.

c. Otherwise

$$Z^{T} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4g_{agg}^{2} + 4g_{agg}Z_{0}^{T}}}{2g_{agg}}$$

Proportion Performance Measures⁴

The following calculations will apply to parity submeasures contained in measures 5, 8, 11, 12, 15, 17a, 20, 22, 23, 26, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, and 39. Any subsequent change to measure classification (mean, proportion, rate) to a measure or submeasure in the PMP will take precedence over this list.

Variable definitions:

 a_{1j} = Number of Embarq cases possessing an attribute of interest in cell j.

 a_{2j} = Number of CLEC cases possessing an attribute of interest in cell j.

 a_j = Number of cases possessing an attribute of interest in cell j.

NOTE: All measurements made using the number of *misses* (or negative measurement value).

STEP 1: Calculate Cell Weights.

$$W_j = \sqrt{\frac{n_{1j}n_{2j}}{n_j} \frac{a_j}{n_j} \left(1 - \frac{a_j}{n_j}\right)}$$

For each cell, multiply the Embarq sample size and the CLEC sample size, the proportion of affected transactions and the proportion of non-affected transactions, divide by the total number of transactions, and take a square root.

STEP 2⁵: Calculate a Z-statistic for each cell.

If
$$W_j = 0$$
 then set $Z_j = 0$.

Else, calculate the Z-statistic as
$$Z_j = \frac{n_j a_{1j} - n_{1j} a_j}{\sqrt{\frac{n_{1j} n_{2j} a_j (n_j - a_j)}{n_j - 1}}}$$

STEP 3: Truncate Z-statistic for each cell.

For each cell,
$$Z_j^* = \begin{cases} Z_j & L = 1\\ \min(0, Z_j) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

⁴ Only perform STEP 4 if L > 1 (e.g., if this is a cell-level comparison, and there is more than one cell with CLEC activity, then perform STEP 4).

⁵ If L = 1 and W_j = 0, then skip STEP 5, STEP 6 and STEP 7 and Z^{T} = 0. Z^{T} = 0 in the following cases: (1) P_{Embarq} = P_{CLEC} = 100% (when high values are "better"); (2) P_{Embarq} = P_{CLEC} = 0% (when low values are "better").

Note that there is no truncation step if there is only one cell in the submeasure calculation.

STEP 4: Calculate the theoretical mean and variance of the truncated statistic under parity.

- 1. If for cell j, $W_j = 0$, set $ExpectedMean_j^{parity}$, $ExpectedVariance_j^{parity}$, and $ExpectedSkew_j^{parity}$ all equal to 0.
- 2. If $\min \left\{ a_{1j} \left(1 \frac{a_{1j}}{n_{1j}} \right), a_{2j} \left(1 \frac{a_{2j}}{n_{2j}} \right) \right\} > 9$.
 - a. $ExpectedMean_j^{parity} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$.
 - b. $ExpectedVariance_j^{parity} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2\pi}$.
 - c. $ExpectedSkew_j^{parity} = -\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$
- 3. Else, if $\min \left\{ a_{1j} \left(1 \frac{a_{1j}}{n_{1j}} \right), a_{2j} \left(1 \frac{a_{2j}}{n_{2j}} \right) \right\} \le 9$.
 - a. Let $i = \max(0, a_j n_{2j}), ..., \min(a_j, n_{1j})$.
 - b. Calculate $z_{ji} = \min \left\{ 0, \frac{n_j i n_{1j} a_j}{\sqrt{\frac{n_{1j} n_{2j} a_j (n_j a_j)}{n_j 1}}} \right\}$ for each value of i.
 - c. For each value of i, calculate $\Theta_{ji} = HG(i, n_{1j}, n_{2j}, a_j)$.
 - d. $ExpectedMean_{j}^{parity} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \Theta_{ji} z_{ji}$.
 - e. $ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \Theta_{ji} z_{ji}^{2} (ExpectedMean_{j}^{parity})^{2}$. $ExpectedSkew_{i}^{parity} =$
 - f. $\sum_{i} \Theta_{ji} z_{ji}^{3} 3Expected Mean_{j}^{parity} \times Expected Variance_{j}^{parity} \left[Expected Mean_{j}^{parity}\right]^{3}$

STEP 5: Calculate the initial aggregate test statistic.

1. If L = 1 and min
$$\left\{ \left\{ a_{1j} \left(1 - \frac{a_{1j}}{n_{1j}} \right), a_{2j} \left(1 - \frac{a_{2j}}{n_{2j}} \right) \right\} \le 9,$$

$$Z_0^T = \Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$$

where $\alpha = CHG(a_{1j}, n_{1j}, n_{2j}, a_{j})$.

2. If
$$L \ge 1$$
 or $\min \left\{ a_{1j} \left(1 - \frac{a_{1j}}{n_{1j}} \right), a_{2j} \left(1 - \frac{a_{2j}}{n_{2j}} \right) \right\} \ge 9$,

$$Z_{0}^{T} = \begin{cases} Z_{1} & L = 1 \\ Z^{T} = \frac{\sum_{j} W_{j}(Z_{j}^{*} - ExpectedMean_{j}^{parity})}{\sqrt{\sum_{j} W_{j}^{2} \times ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity}}} & otherwise \end{cases}$$

STEP 6: Calculate the final aggregate test statistic.

- 1. If L = 1, we use the cell modified Z statistic. $Z^{T} = Z_{0}^{T}$.
- 2. If L > 1, do the following.
 - a. Calculate the aggregate skewness coefficient.

$$g_{agg} = \frac{\sum_{j} W_{j}^{3} \times ExpectedSkew_{j}^{parity}}{6 \times \left(\sum_{j} W_{j}^{2} \times ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

b. If
$$Z_0^T > -\frac{1+4g_{agg}^2}{4g_{agg}}$$
 or $-10^{-6} < g_{agg} < 0$ then $Z^T = Z_0^T$.

c. Otherwise

$$Z^{T} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4g_{agg}^{2} + 4g_{agg}Z_{0}^{T}}}{2g_{agg}}$$

Rate Performance Measures⁶

The following calculations will apply to parity submeasures contained in measure 19. Any subsequent change to measure classification (mean, proportion, rate) to a measure or submeasure in the PMP will take precedence over this list.

Variable definitions:

 b_{1j} = Number of Embarq base elements in cell j.

 b_{2j} = Number of CLEC base elements in cell j.

 b_i = Total number of base elements cell j.

 $r_{1j} = n_{1j} / b_{1j}$ = Embarq sample rate of cell j.

 $r_{2j} = n_{2j} / b_2 =$ CLEC sample rate of call j.

 $q_j = b_{1j} / b_j$ = Relative proportion of Embarq elements for cell j.

STEP 1: Calculate Cell Weights.

$$W_j = \sqrt{\frac{b_{1j}b_{2j}}{b_j} \frac{n_j}{b_j}}$$

For each cell, multiply the number of Embarq base elements, the number of CLEC base elements and the number of transactions, divide by the total number of base elements squared, and take a square root.

STEP 27: Calculate a Z-statistic for each cell.

If $W_i = 0$ then set $Z_i = 0$.

Else, calculate the Z-statistic as $Z_j = \frac{n_{1j} - n_j q_j}{\sqrt{n_j q_j (1 - q_j)}}$

STEP 3: Truncate Z-statistic for each cell.

For each cell,
$$Z_j^* = \begin{cases} Z_j & L = 1\\ \min(0, Z_j) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

⁶ Only perform STEP 4 if L > 1 (e.g., if this is a cell-level comparison, and there is more than one cell with CLEC activity, then perform STEP 4).

The following cases: (1) $P_{Embarq} = P_{CLEC} = 100\%$ (when high values are "better"); (2) $P_{Embarq} = P_{CLEC} = 0\%$ (when low values are "better").

Note that there is no truncation step if there is only one cell in the submeasure calculation.

STEP 4: Calculate the theoretical mean and variance of the truncated statistic under parity.

- 1. If for cell j, $W_j = 0$, set $ExpectedMean_j^{parity}$, $ExpectedVariance_j^{parity}$, and $ExpectedSkew_j^{parity}$ all equal to 0.
- 2. If $\min(n_{1j}, n_{2j}) > 15$ and $n_j q_j (1 q_j) > 9$

a.
$$ExpectedMean_j^{parity} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$
.

b.
$$ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

c.
$$ExpectedSkew_j^{parity} = -\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$$

3. If $\min(n_{1j}, n_{2j}) \le 15$ or $n_j q_j (1 - q_j) \le 9$

a. Let
$$i = 0, ..., n_i$$
.

b. Calculate
$$z_{ji} = \min \left\{ 0, \frac{i - n_j q_j}{\sqrt{n_j q_j (1 - q_j)}} \right\}$$
 for each value of *i*.

c. For each value of *i*, calculate
$$\Theta_{ji} = BN(i, n_j, q_j)$$
.

d.
$$ExpectedMean_{j}^{parity} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \Theta_{ji} z_{ji}$$
.

e.
$$ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{j}} \Theta_{ji} z_{ji}^{2} - (ExpectedMean_{j}^{parity})^{2}$$
.

f. $ExpectedSkew_i^{parity} =$

$$\sum_{i} \Theta_{ji} z_{ji}^{3} - 3 Expected Mean_{j}^{parity} \times Expected Variance_{j}^{parity} - \left[Expected Mean_{j}^{parity}\right]^{3}$$

STEP 5: Calculate the initial aggregate test statistic.

1. If L = 1 and
$$(\min(n_{1j}, n_{2j}) \le 15$$
 or $n_j q_j (1 - q_j) \le 9$,
 $Z_0^T = \Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$

where
$$\alpha = CBN(n_{1i}, n_i, q_i)$$
.

2. If L > 1 or $[\min(n_{1j}, n_{2j}) > 15$ and $n_j q_j (1 - q_j) > 9]$,

$$Z_{0}^{T} = \begin{cases} Z_{1} & L = 1 \\ Z^{T} = \frac{\sum_{j} W_{j}(Z_{j}^{*} - ExpectedMean_{j}^{parity})}{\sqrt{\sum_{j} W_{j}^{2} \times ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity}}} & otherwise \end{cases}$$

STEP 6: Calculate the final aggregate test statistic.

- 1. If L = 1, we use the cell modified Z statistic. $Z^{T} = Z_{0}^{T}$.
- 2. If L > 1, do the following.

a. Calculate the aggregate skewness coefficient.

$$g_{agg} = \frac{\sum_{j} W_{j}^{3} \times ExpectedSkew_{j}^{parity}}{6 \times \left(\sum_{j} W_{j}^{2} \times ExpectedVariance_{j}^{parity}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

b. If
$$Z_0^T > -\frac{1+4g_{agg}^2}{4g_{agg}}$$
 or $-10^{-6} < g_{agg} < 0$ then $Z^T = Z_0^T$.

c. Otherwise

$$Z^{T} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4g_{agg}^{2} + 4g_{agg}Z_{0}^{T}}}{2g_{agg}}$$

Attachment B

Measures of Severity (parity and benchmark)

Benchmark Measurements:

Definition:

$$D_{\rm B} = \frac{I - B}{B} \times 100\%$$

where **I** is Embarq performance (mean, proportion, or rate) in service to a CLEC, and *B* is the benchmark set as the performance tolerance limit. This calculation assumes that the larger the value of **I**, the worse the service. For measures where this assumption does not hold true, the subtraction in the numerator is reversed. In other words, the numerator should be positive when the service to the CLEC is worse than the benchmark.

Rationale:

Upon determining that Embarq performance (in service to a CLEC) is not meeting the benchmark, the measure of severity will be calculated to represent the percentage difference from the benchmark. For example, if the benchmark is 4 hours and Embarq performance is 5 hours, then $D_B = \frac{5.0 - 4.0}{4.0} \times 100\%$, or $D_B = 25\%$. For a benchmark mean measure, this result

would be considered a "moderate" deviation from the benchmark. Such a measure for compliance is only valid if the benchmark is set appropriately; set as a tolerance limit as opposed to a target.

Parity Measurements:

Definition:

Given Z^T (as calculated in STEP 6, Attachment A, for mean, proportion, and rate measures), define the measure of severity D_P as:

$$D_{P} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_1} + \frac{1}{N_2}} Z^T$$

where N_1 and N_2 are the number of Embarq and CLEC transactions combined from all cells in a submeasure with $W_j > 0$ (where W_j is the cell weight for cell j, as defined in Attachment A). As described in section 9 of this document, Z^T is negative when the CLEC is receiving non-compliant service.

Rationale:

Upon determining that an out-of-parity situation exists for a particular submeasure, for a particular CLEC, a measure of severity will be calculated to reflect the magnitude of the performance difference between Embarq's retail and Embarq's CLEC service. The statistical

tests performed to determine whether service is in parity, provide the "yes" or "no" answer to the question of parity service. Further, the z-score itself provides a measure for the degree of certainty as to whether parity service exists. However, this degree of certainty does not indicate the severity of non-compliance, mainly due to the fact that the z-score is highly dependent on the sample size. If the submeasure has a considerably large sample size, yet a small difference between Embarq's retail and Embarq's CLEC service, the large sample size could cause the z-score to indicate a high confidence in lack of parity. This high confidence told by the z-score indicates that there is a *statistically* significant difference in service for the CLEC, but it does not indicate that there is a significant difference in service from a *business impact* point of view.


A reasonable measure of severity will provide an indication for how different the Embarq's CLEC service is from that of Embarq's service to its retail customers. Because parity service is defined as the CLEC receiving equivalent service to that provided to Embarq's retail customers, the measure of severity should indicate the difference between Embarq's retail and Embarq's CLEC service. In practice, there are important considerations for appropriately calculating such a measure of severity. First, the measure should be consistent with the results of the z-score, accounting for the differences in calculations that result from small samples, truncating, weighting of cells, and adjustments for skewness. Second, the measure of severity should be applicable to all types of measurements (mean, proportion, and rate). These considerations can be taken into account by utilizing the aggregate, truncated z-score, Z^T; simply adjusting the z-score so as to not include the sensitivity to sample size.

To visualize how this measure of severity works, consider the example of a mean submeasure having a single cell. In this case, it can be shown that D_P is simply the difference in mean performance between the Embarq's retail and Embarq's CLEC service, measured relative to the dispersion (or standard deviation) of Embarq's retail service. As an equation, this yields:

$$D_P = \frac{\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2}{s_1}$$
, where \overline{X}_1 is the mean Embarq retail service, \overline{X}_2 is the mean Embarq service to

CLECs, and s_1 is the standard deviation of Embarq's retail service. Under this example, consider the following graphs depicting a scenario in which a CLEC receives out-of-parity service on two different submeasurements ("Submeasurement A" and "Submeasurement B"):

Submeasurement A




If the service provided on submeasurement A to Embarq's retail customers has a standard deviation of 1.2 hours, then

$$D_P = \frac{4.0 - 5.0}{1.2}$$
, or $D_P = -0.83$.

So, for submeasurement A, the CLEC receives out-of-parity service that is a "moderate" severity.





If the service provided to Embarq's retail customers on submeasurement B has a standard deviation of 0.4 hours, then

$$D_P = \frac{4.0 - 5.0}{0.4}$$
, or $D_P = -2.50$.

So, for submeasurement B, the CLEC receives out-of-parity service that is a "severe" severity.

Notice that the difference in the mean service is the same for both submeasurements. However, because Embarq's service to its retail customers on submeasurement B has a lower dispersion (or standard deviation) than Embarq's service on submeasurement A, the severity of the mean difference is higher for submeasurement B.

Attachment C

Parity Measures and Submeasures with Cell-level Comparisons

Cell-level comparisons (using the statistical methodology described in Attachment A) will be

applied to the following measurements:

Measurement	Cell Level (i.e., wire center, etc)	
Number / Description		
5 - Percentage of Orders Jeopardized	Wine Contar Company Number	
	Wire Center, Company Number	
6 - Average Jeopardy Notice Interval	Wire Center, Company Number	
7 - Average Completed Interval	CLLI Code, Wire Center, Company Number	
8 - Percent Completed Within Standard Interval	CLLI Code, Wire Center, Company Number	
11 - Percent of Due Dates Missed	CLLI Code, Wire Center, Company Number	
12 - Percent Due Dates Missed Due to Lack of Facilities	CLLI Code, Wire Center, Company Number	
13 - Delay Order Interval to Completion Date (For Lack of Facilities)	CLLI Code, Wire Center, Company Number	
14 - Held Order Interval	Wire Center, Company Number	
15 - Provisioning Trouble Reports Prior to Service Order Completion	Company Number	
17a - Percentage Troubles in 5 Days for New Orders	CLLI Code, Wire Center, Company Number	
19 - Customer Trouble Report Rate	Wire Center, Company Number	
20 - Percentage of Customer Trouble Not Resolved Within Estimated Time	CLLI Code, Wire Center, Company Number	
21 - Average Time to Restore	CLLI Code, Wire Center, Company Number	
22 - POTS Out of Service Less Than 24 Hours	Wire Center, Company Number	
23 – Frequency of Repeat Troubles in 30 Day Period	CLLI Code, Wire Center, Company Number	
28 - Usage Timeliness	Company Number	
31 - Usage Completeness	Company Number	
32 - Recurring Charge Completeness	Company Number	
33 - Non-Recurring Charge Completeness	Company Number	
34 - Bill Accuracy	Company Number	
37 - Database Update Timeliness	Company Number	
38 - Percent Database Accuracy	Company Number	
39 - E911MS Database Update Interval	Company Number	

Definitions:

Company Number – Embarq LTD has two operating companies in FL. Therefore we calculate results at the company level to establish parity before aggregating the results into one FL result.

Wire Center – A building housing one or more end office and/or tandem switches.

CLLI Code – (Common Language Location Identifier) An 11-digit code that Embarq LTD assigns to a Carrier's location to designate the central office or area served by a central office.