# Dorothy Menasco

From:	Tibbetts, Arlene [Arlene.Tibbetts@pgnmail.com]	
Sent:	Monday, March 19, 2007 4:33 PM	RIGINAL
To:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us	
Cc:	miketwomey@talstar.com; lwillis@ausley.com; jbeasley@ausley.com; x2badder@southernco.com; karen.white@tyndall.af.mil; jmcwhirter@mac-law.com; bill_walker@fpl.com; wade_litchfield@fpl.com; john_butler@fpl.com; sdriteno@southernco.com; nhorton@lawfla.com; mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us; jbrew@bbrslaw.com; regdept@tecoenergy.com; swright@yvlaw.net; jlavia@yvlaw.net; cecilia_bradley@oag.state.fl.us; Lisa Bennett; Lorena Holley; mwalls@carltonfields.com; Triplett, Dianne	
<b>•</b> • • •	Design 000050 El. Objectione to Staff Interrogetarias	

Subject: Docket 060658-EI - Objections to Staff Interrogatories

Attachments: Objections to Staff's 5th ROGs.pdf

This electronic filing is made by:

John T. Burnett P.O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733 727-820-5184 John.burnett@pgnmail.com

Docket: 060658-EI In re: Petition on behalf of Citizens of the State of Florida to require Progress Energy Florida, Inc. to refund to customers \$143 million

On behalf of Progress Energy Florida

Consisting of 4 pages.

The attached document for filing is Progress Energy Florida's Objections to Staff's 5th Set of Interrogatories (93-97) (a PDF document) <<Objections to Staff's 5th ROGs.pdf>>

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02447 MAR 195 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition on behalf of Citizens of the State of Florida to require Progress Energy Florida, Inc. to refund to customers \$143 million

Docket No. 060658-EI

Submitted for Filing: March 19, 2007

# PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO STAFF'S FIFTH SET OF **INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 93-97)**

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.340 of the Fla. R. Civ. P., Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to the Office of General Counsel's ("Staff's") Fifth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 93-97).

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

PEF generally objects to Staff's interrogatories to the extent that they call for data or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law.

PEF objects to Staff interrogatories to the extent that they attempt to evade the numerical limitations set forth in the Order Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-07-0048-PCO-EI by using subparts.

PEF also objects to any Interrogatory or Request for Production that purports to require PEF or its experts to prepare studies, analyses, or to do work for Staff that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost.

Finally, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to Staff's Interrogatories if PEF cannot locate the answers immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive information in the course of this proceeding.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02447 MAR 195 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to Staff's discovery at the time PEF's response is due.

## SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

Interrogatory 93(a): PEF objects to this interrogatory as it calls for speculation because Mr. Kennedy did not draft the letter. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will answer this question as to Mr. Kennedy's interpretation.

Interrogatory 93(b): PEF objects to this interrogatory as it is vague, confusing, and PEF is not sure what this question is asking. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF interprets the question to mean: were any CR4 and CR5 environmental control devices specifically designed to accommodate coal mined from Boone County, West Virginia.

Interrogatory 93(c): PEF incorporates its objection to question 93(b) above.

Interrogatory 93(g): PEF objects to this interrogatory, in part, as overbroad, and calling for information that is irrelevant and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Specifically, PEF objects to the information requested for the past 20 years, since not all such information has relevance to or bearing on this proceeding. Subject to and without waiving these objections or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will produce any such information for 1996 through 2005.

Interrogatory 93(h): PEF objects to this interrogatory, in part, as overbroad, and calling for information that is irrelevant and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Specifically, PEF objects to the information requested for the past 20 years, since not all such information has relevance to or bearing on this proceeding. PEF also objects to this question to the extent that it calls for a legal opinion or legal conclusion. Subject to and without waiving these objections or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will produce any such information for 1996 through 2005.

Interrogatory 94(c): PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague and because PEF does not know what Staff means by flexibility. PEF further objects to this question to the extent that it calls for a legal opinion or legal conclusion.

Interrogatory 94(d): PEF objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it calls for a legal opinion or legal conclusion.

Interrogatory 94(f): PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague because PEF does not know what Staff means by capability. Subject to and without waiving these objections or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will provide ratings in the Ten Year Site Plan at that time to the extent such information is available.

Interrogatory 95: PEF objects to this interrogatory as irrelevant to the extent that it is asking for derates not due to the type of fuel being burned.

**Interrogatory 95(b)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory as irrelevant to the extent that it is asking for derates not due to the type of fuel being burned.

<u>Interrogatory 96</u>: PEF objects to this interrogatory as irrelevant to the extent that it is asking for derates not due to the type of fuel being burned.

**Interrogatory 96(b)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory as irrelevant to the extent that it is asking for derates not due to the type of fuel being burned.

Respectfully submitted,

unett let

R. Alexander Glenn Deputy General Counsel – Florida John T. Burnett Associate General Counsel PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042 Telephone: (727) 820-5587 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

NIA

Gary L. Sasso Florida Bar No. 0622575 James Michael Walls Florida Bar No. 0706242 Dianne M. Triplett Florida Bar No. 0872431 CARLTON FIELDS, P.A. 4421 W. Boy Scout Blvd. Ste. 1000 (33607) Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239 Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Objections to Staff's Fifth Set of Interrogatories (93-97), in Docket No. 060658-EI have been furnished by regular U.S. mail to the following this <u>14</u> day of March, 2007.

Attorney

Lisa Bennett, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Lee L. Willis, Esq. James D. Beasley, Esq. Ausley & McMullen P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Jeffrey A. Stone Russell A. Badders Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591

Norman Horton, Jr. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. P.O. Box 15579 Tallahassee, FL 32317

Florida Industrial Power Users Group c/o John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter Reeves 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450 Tampa, FL 33602

Young van Assenderp, P.A. Robert Scheffel Wright/John LaVia, III 225 South Adams St., Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Michael B. Twomey P.O. Box 5256 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Karen S. White, Lt. Col., USAF Damund E. Williams, Capt., USAF AFLSA/JACL-ULT 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1 Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5319

Ms. Paula K. Brown Regulatory Affairs Tampa Electric Company P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Ms. Cheryl Martin Florida Public Utilities Company P.O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Florida Power & Light Co. R. Wade Litchfield, Esq. John T. Butler Natalie Smith 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 Florida Power & Light Co. Bill Walker 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Susan D. Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

James W. Brew Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson St. NW 8<sup>th</sup> Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201 Florida Retail Federation 100 E. Jefferson St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

Cecilia Bradley Office of the Attorney General The Capitol – PL01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050