



070467EI

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE  
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 07 \_\_\_\_\_-EI  
IN RE: TAMPA ELECTRIC'S  
PETITION TO DETERMINE NEED FOR  
POLK POWER PLANT UNIT 6

TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT  
OF  
LORRAINE L. CIFUENTES

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06172 JUL 20 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

**ORIGINAL**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

LORRAINE L. CIFUENTES

Q. Please state your name, business address, occupation and employer.

A. My name is Lorraine L. Cifuentes. My business address is 702 North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am employed by Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "company") as Manager, Load Research and Forecasting in the Regulatory Affairs Department.

Q. Please provide a brief outline of your educational background and business experience.

A. In 1986, I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Management Information Systems from the University of South Florida. In 1992, I received a Masters of Business Administration degree from the University of Tampa. In October 1987, I joined Tampa Electric as a Generation Planning Technician, and I have held various positions within the areas of Generation Planning, Load Forecasting and Load Research. In October 2002, I was promoted to

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

06172 JUL 20 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1           Manager, Load Research and Forecasting. My present  
2           responsibilities include the management of Tampa  
3           Electric's customer, peak demand and energy sales  
4           forecasts as well as management of Tampa Electric's load  
5           research program and other related activities.

6  
7   **Q.**    What is the purpose of your testimony?

8  
9   **A.**    The purpose of my testimony is to describe Tampa  
10          Electric's load forecasting process, describe the  
11          methodologies and assumptions, and present the load  
12          forecasts used in Tampa Electric's Determination of Need  
13          Study for Electrical Power: Polk Unit 6 ("Need Study").  
14          Additionally, I will demonstrate how these forecasts are  
15          appropriate and reasonable based on the assumptions  
16          provided.

17  
18   **Q.**    Have you prepared an exhibit to support your testimony?

19  
20   **A.**    Yes, I am sponsoring Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1) consisting  
21          of 11 documents, prepared under my direction and  
22          supervision. These consist of:

23          Document No. 1        Customer Forecast  
24          Document No. 2        Economic Assumptions  
25          Document No. 3        Billing Cycle Degree Days

1	Document No. 4	Real Price of Electricity
2	Document No. 5	Per-Customer Energy Consumption
3	Document No. 6	Retail Energy Sales
4	Document No. 7	Per-Customer Peak Demand
5	Document No. 8	Peak Demand
6	Document No. 9	Firm Peak Demand
7	Document No. 10	Load Factor
8	Document No. 11	2007 Updated Firm Peak Demand

9

10 **Q.** Are you sponsoring any sections of Tampa Electric's Need  
11 Study?

12

13 **A.** Yes. I sponsor section III.B. "Demand and Energy  
14 Forecasts" of the Need Study.

15

16 **Q.** What is Tampa Electric's existing and forecasted customer  
17 base?

18

19 **A.** Tampa Electric's current customer base and forecasted  
20 growth is shown in Document No. 1 of my Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_  
21 (LLC-1). As of December 2006, Tampa Electric's customer  
22 base was 653,706 and is projected to grow at an average  
23 annual rate of 2.2 percent over the next ten years.

24

25 **TAMPA ELECTRIC'S FORECASTING PROCESS**

1 Q. Please describe Tampa Electric's load forecasting  
2 process.

3  
4 A. Tampa Electric uses econometric models and statistically  
5 adjusted engineering ("SAE") models, which are integrated  
6 to develop projections of customer growth, energy  
7 consumption and peak demands. The econometric models  
8 measure past relationships between economic variables,  
9 such as population, employment and customer growth. The  
10 SAE models, which incorporate end-use structure into an  
11 econometric model, are used for projecting average per-  
12 customer consumption. These models have consistently  
13 been used by Tampa Electric for generation planning  
14 purposes and the modeling results have been submitted to  
15 the Commission for review and approval in past regulatory  
16 proceedings.

17  
18 Q. Which assumptions were used in the base case analysis of  
19 customer growth?

20  
21 A. The primary economic drivers for the customer forecast  
22 are state population estimates, service area households  
23 and Hillsborough County employment. The state population  
24 forecast is the starting point for developing the  
25 customer and energy projections. Both the University of

1 Florida's Bureau of Economic and Business Research  
2 ("BEBR") and Moody's Economy.com provide population  
3 projections for Florida. The population forecast is  
4 based upon the projections of BEBR in the short-term and  
5 is a blend of BEBR and Economy.com for the long-term  
6 forecast. Service area households and Hillsborough  
7 County employment assumptions are utilized in estimating  
8 non-residential customer growth. For example, an  
9 increase in the number of households results in a need  
10 for additional services, restaurants, and retail  
11 establishments. In addition, projections of employment  
12 in the construction sector are a good indicator of  
13 expected increases and decreases in local construction  
14 activity. Similarly, commercial and industrial  
15 employment growth is a good indicator of the level of  
16 activity to expect in their respective sectors.  
17 Economy.com provides projections of Hillsborough County  
18 households and employment by major sectors. The ten-year  
19 historical and forecasted average annual growth rates for  
20 these economic indicators are shown in Document No. 2 of  
21 my Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1).

22  
23 Q. Which assumptions were used in the base case analysis of  
24 energy sales growth?  
25

1   **A.** Customer growth and per-customer consumption growth are  
2       the primary drivers for growth in energy sales. The  
3       average per-customer consumption for each revenue class  
4       is based on the SAE modeling approach. The SAE models  
5       have three components. The first component includes  
6       assumptions of the long-term saturation and efficiency  
7       trends in end-use equipment. The second component  
8       captures changes in economic conditions, such as  
9       increases in real household income, decreases in number  
10      of persons per household and the price of electricity and  
11      how these factors affect a residential customer's  
12      consumption level. A complete list of the critical  
13      economic assumptions used in developing these forecasts  
14      is shown in Document No. 2 of my Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-  
15      1). The third component captures the seasonality of  
16      energy consumption. Heating and cooling degree day  
17      assumptions allocate the appropriate monthly weather  
18      impacts and are based on weather patterns over the past  
19      20 years. Historical and projected degree days are shown  
20      in Document No. 3 of my Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1).

21  
22   **Q.** Which assumptions were used in the base case analysis of  
23       peak demand growth?

24  
25   **A.** Peak demand growth is affected by long-term appliance

1 trends, economic conditions and weather conditions. The  
2 end-use and economic conditions are integrated into the  
3 peak demand model from the energy sales forecast. The  
4 weather variables are heating and cooling degree days at  
5 the time of the peak and for the 24-hour period of the  
6 peak day. Weather variables provide the seasonality to  
7 the monthly peaks. By incorporating both temperature  
8 variables, the model accounts for cold or heat build up  
9 that contributes to determining the peak day. The  
10 temperature assumptions used are based on an analysis of  
11 20 years of peak day temperatures. For the peak demand  
12 forecast, the design temperature at the time of winter  
13 and summer peak is 31 and 92 degrees Fahrenheit,  
14 respectively.

15  
16 **Q.** Is 31 degrees Fahrenheit the 20-year average temperature  
17 at the time of the winter peak?

18  
19 **A.** No. The 20-year average temperature at the time of the  
20 winter peak is 36 degrees Fahrenheit. Although 31  
21 degrees is not the 20-year average, it is representative  
22 of the average temperature for the top ten coldest peaks  
23 in the past 20 years and also the top five coldest peaks  
24 in the past ten years. The 31 degrees Fahrenheit  
25 assumption has consistently been used by Tampa Electric

1 for generation planning purposes and in peak demand  
2 projections submitted to the Commission for review and  
3 approval in prior regulatory proceedings.

4

5 **Q.** Does Tampa Electric assess the reasonableness of these  
6 base assumptions?

7

8 **A.** Yes. The base case economic assumptions have been  
9 evaluated based on a comparison of the data series'  
10 historical average annual growth rates to the projected  
11 average annual growth rates for the forecast period. In  
12 addition, each economic data series is compared to an  
13 alternate source and evaluated for consistency.  
14 Economy.com's projections for Florida employment by major  
15 sectors and Florida real household income are compared to  
16 the projections of the Office of Economic and Demographic  
17 Research which is part of the Florida Legislature. The  
18 projections for Florida employment growth were very  
19 consistent between the two sources; therefore, it is  
20 reasonable to conclude that Economy.com's Hillsborough  
21 County employment growth was also reasonable.

22

23 **Q.** Were the forecasts for population growth also evaluated  
24 for reasonableness?

25

1 **A.** Yes. Economy.com and BEBR's population forecasts were  
2 also compared and evaluated for consistency. A blend of  
3 the two sources was used and provides a reasonable  
4 population projection for the state of Florida.

5  
6 **Q.** Why are population projections at the state level used  
7 rather than at the Hillsborough County or service area  
8 level?

9  
10 **A.** State level population projections are preferred over  
11 county level projections for several reasons. State  
12 level historical data is more consistent between data  
13 sources than county level data. Historical and projected  
14 population growth rates are similar for Florida and  
15 Hillsborough County, with Hillsborough County growing  
16 historically at a slightly faster pace. In addition,  
17 forecasting models show a very high correlation between  
18 Florida population and residential customer growth;  
19 therefore, Florida population is a reasonable explanatory  
20 variable to use in Tampa Electric's customer models.

21  
22 **Q.** Was the price of electricity included in your energy  
23 sales models?

24  
25 **A.** Yes. The price of electricity was included in each per-

1 customer consumption model. Document No. 4 of my Exhibit  
2 No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1) includes the real price of electricity  
3 by class. The price variable was primarily used to  
4 capture long-term impacts of the real price of  
5 electricity. The recent increases in the real price of  
6 electricity have resulted in reduced growth in  
7 residential and commercial sales in the short-term and  
8 increased growth as the price moderates. In order to  
9 eliminate recent abnormal swings in prices, a smoothed  
10 trend of the real price of electricity was used in the  
11 residential and commercial models. This change only  
12 impacted the sales growth for the first few years of the  
13 forecast; long-term results were not impacted. Energy  
14 sales for the remaining sectors were not as sensitive to  
15 the changes in the real price of electricity.

16  
17 **TAMPA ELECTRIC'S FORECASTED GROWTH**

18 **Q.** What is Tampa Electric's customer growth forecast?  
19

20 **A.** Tampa Electric is projecting an annual average increase  
21 of 16,393 new customers over the next ten years (2007-  
22 2016). This average annual increase of 2.2 percent is  
23 slightly lower than the average annual growth rate of 2.6  
24 percent during the past ten years (1997-2006). Despite  
25 the slightly lower customer growth rate, higher absolute

1 customer growth over the period is anticipated as  
2 reflected in Document No. 1 of my Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-  
3 1).

4  
5 **Q.** What is Tampa Electric's energy sales forecast?

6  
7 **A.** The primary driver behind the increase in the energy  
8 sales forecast is the average annual increase in  
9 customers of 2.2 percent. In addition, per-customer  
10 consumption is expected to increase at an average annual  
11 rate of 0.5 percent, as shown in Document No. 5 of my  
12 Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1). Combining the growth in  
13 customers and per-customer consumption, retail energy  
14 sales are expected to increase at an average annual rate  
15 of 2.8 percent. Excluding the phosphate sector which has  
16 recently been declining, retail energy sales are expected  
17 to increase at an average annual rate of 2.9 percent.  
18 Historical and forecasted energy sales are shown in  
19 Document No. 6 of my Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1).

20  
21 **Q.** Have higher energy prices adversely impacted consumption  
22 in recent years?

23  
24 **A.** Yes. Tampa Electric has seen a correlation between  
25 recent increases in energy costs and a resulting

1 reduction in consumption levels. However, while the  
2 reduced consumption results in decreased energy sales,  
3 peak demand growth is still occurring due to the  
4 construction of larger homes and an increase in the size  
5 and number of appliances in the average household, which  
6 results in the need for additional generation resources.  
7

8 **Q.** Did you consider the housing slowdown in your growth  
9 analysis?  
10

11 **A.** Yes. The recent downturn in housing is reflected in the  
12 estimates used in the growth models. While it is evident  
13 that a slowdown in growth has occurred, it is expected  
14 that the downturn is merely a cyclical correction that  
15 occurs periodically. Tampa Electric expects that housing  
16 growth will revert back to normal levels by 2009 and  
17 beyond.  
18

19 **Q.** What is Tampa Electric's peak demand forecast?  
20

21 **A.** Summer and winter peak usage per-customer is projected to  
22 increase at an average annual rate of 0.6 percent, which  
23 is consistent with historical growth rates as well as  
24 per-customer energy consumption. Document No. 7 of my  
25 Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1) shows historical and forecasted

1 peak usage per-customer for summer and winter peaks. The  
2 increase in customers and the increase in per-customer  
3 demand results in an average annual growth rate of 2.8  
4 percent for the winter peak and a 2.9 percent growth rate  
5 for the summer peak. As shown in Document No. 8 of my  
6 Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1), peak demand for the summer of  
7 2007 is forecasted to be 4,113 MW, increasing to 5,300 MW  
8 in 2016, an average increase of 132 MW per year. The  
9 2008 winter peak is forecasted to be 4,488 MW, increasing  
10 to 5,602 MW in 2016, an average increase of 138 MW per  
11 year. Summer and winter firm peak demands, which have  
12 been reduced by curtailable load such as load management  
13 and interruptible loads, are shown in Document No. 9 of  
14 my Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1).

15  
16 **SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS**

17 **Q.** Please describe the various other assumptions used in the  
18 load forecasts.

19  
20 **A.** The base case scenario is tested for sensitivity to  
21 varying economic conditions and customer growth rates.  
22 The high and low peak demand and energy scenarios  
23 represent an alternative to the company's base case  
24 outlook. The high scenario represents more optimistic  
25 economic conditions in the areas of customers, employment

1 and income. The low band represents less optimistic  
2 scenarios in the same areas. Compared to the base case,  
3 the expected customer and economic growth rates are 0.5  
4 percent higher in the high scenario and 0.5 percent lower  
5 in the low scenario.

6

7 **Q.** Are conservation and demand side management ("DSM")  
8 impacts accounted for in the energy sales and peak demand  
9 forecasts?

10

11 **A.** Yes. Tampa Electric forecasts demand and energy  
12 reductions for each conservation and DSM program, which  
13 are aggregated to represent the total cumulative savings.  
14 The energy sales and peak demand forecasts are adjusted  
15 by the total incremental savings each year.

16

17 **Q.** Does Tampa Electric conclude that the forecasts of  
18 customers, energy sales and demand are appropriate and  
19 reasonable?

20

21 **A.** Yes. The results have been compared to trend analyses  
22 and annual multi-regression sales models. The average  
23 annual growth rates for per-customer demand and energy  
24 usage are compared with each other for consistency and  
25 compared to historical growth rates. Summer and winter

1 load factors are reviewed to ensure proper integration of  
2 the peak and energy models. The results show that the  
3 load factors are reasonable compared to historical years.  
4 Load factors have dropped slightly due to the loss of  
5 phosphate load. The load factors are shown in Document  
6 No. 10 of my Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1).  
7

8 **Q.** Since Tampa Electric's initial analysis was completed,  
9 have the customer, peak demand and energy forecasts been  
10 updated?  
11

12 **A.** Yes. The customer, peak demand and energy forecast models  
13 were updated as of June 2007. The new forecasts include  
14 updated economic assumptions, the company's new and  
15 modified DSM programs and more efficient appliance  
16 efficiency trend variables, as specified by the 2005  
17 Energy Policy Act. Retail energy sales and peak demand  
18 growth have moderated in these new forecasts due to the  
19 increased conservation levels. Summer firm peak demand  
20 growth from 2007 to 2013 is 698 MW, compared to 748 MW in  
21 the forecast used in the initial analysis. The decrease  
22 in firm peak demand is not enough to eliminate or delay  
23 the need for Polk Unit 6. The results of the firm peak  
24 demand forecasts are shown in Document No. 11 of my  
25 Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (LLC-1).

1 Q. Please summarize your testimony.

2

3 A. Tampa Electric's service area will continue to grow at a  
4 consistent pace in the long-term which is driven by  
5 demographic trends and strong net migration in the area,  
6 affordable costs of living and solid long-term employment  
7 growth in the services industry. The customer, demand  
8 and energy forecasts presented in my testimony, as well  
9 as the forecasts updated as part of the company's 2007  
10 annual business plan process, are based on appropriate  
11 and reasonable assumptions and support the need for Polk  
12 Unit 6 in 2013.

13

14 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

15

16 A. Yes, it does.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXHIBIT TO THE TESTIMONY OF  
LORRAINE L. CIFUENTES  
  
PETITION TO DETERMINE NEED FOR  
POLK UNIT 6

## Table of Contents

DOCUMENT	TITLE	PAGE
1	Customer Forecast - 1997 to 2016	19
2	Economic Assumptions - Average Annual Growth Rate - 1997 to 2016	20
3	Billing Cycle Degree Days	21
4	Real Price of Electricity - 1997 to 2016	22
5	Per-Customer Energy Consumption - 1997 to 2016	23
6	Retail Energy Sales - 1997 to 2016	24
7	Per-Customer Peak Demand - 1997 to 2016	25
8	Peak Demand - 1997 to 2016	26
9	Firm Peak Demand - 1997 to 2016	27
10	Load Factor - 1997 to 2016	28
11	2007 Update Firm Peak Demand - 1997 to 2016	29

**Customer Forecast**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Customer Base</b>
1997	518,367
1998	530,251
1999	543,660
2000	560,184
2001	575,780
2002	590,199
2003	604,901
2004	619,536
2005	635,621
2006	653,706
2007	669,650
2008	685,366
2009	701,178
2010	716,666
2011	731,859
2012	747,528
2013	764,104
2014	781,462
2015	799,264
2016	817,184

**Average Annual Customer Growth Rates**

1997-2006	2.6%
2007-2016	2.2%

**Average Annual Absolute Customer Growth**

1997-2006	15,038
2007-2016	16,393

**Economic Assumptions  
 Average Annual Growth Rate  
 (AAGR)**

	1997-2006	2007-2016
Florida Population	2.3%	2.0%
Persons Per Household	0.0%	-0.4%
Real Household Income	1.8%	1.6%
Construction Employment	4.1%	3.4%
Commercial Employment	3.1%	3.3%
Governmental Employment	1.2%	1.0%
Industrial Employment	-0.4%	-0.2%
Construction Output	2.0%	2.0%
Commercial Output	4.5%	5.3%
Governmental Output	2.5%	1.9%
Industrial Output	2.6%	2.1%
Industrial Production Index (Manuf.)	3.0%	2.4%

### Billing Cycle Degree Days

	Heating Degree Days	Cooling Degree Days
1986	566	3,705
1987	532	3,319
1988	648	3,346
1989	399	3,836
1990	374	3,982
1991	360	3,967
1992	540	3,302
1993	441	3,453
1994	430	3,762
1995	547	3,689
1996	792	3,479
1997	343	3,754
1998	406	4,011
1999	342	3,719
2000	417	3,689
2001	572	3,613
2002	447	3,982
2003	605	3,736
2004	547	3,490
2005	534	3,469
2006	492	3,665
2007	492	3,665
2008	492	3,665
2009	492	3,665
2010	492	3,665
2011	492	3,665
2012	492	3,665
2013	492	3,665
2014	492	3,665
2015	492	3,665
2016	492	3,665
<b>Average Annual Degree Days</b>		
1986-2005	492	3,665
2006-2016	492	3,665

Real Price of Electricity  
 (\$/kWh)

	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Governmental
1997	64.22	51.93	42.56	50.31
1998	62.70	50.74	41.95	49.28
1999	61.46	49.52	42.19	48.29
2000	61.12	49.72	42.47	48.50
2001	62.75	51.82	44.39	50.82
2002	66.31	55.64	48.02	54.58
2003	64.39	54.41	47.02	53.14
2004	66.91	56.98	49.54	55.75
2005	64.13	54.10	47.34	53.03
2006	63.62	53.67	50.17	56.42
2007	62.99	53.33	52.65	59.99
2008	62.35	53.00	49.86	56.71
2009	61.72	52.66	46.44	52.82
2010	61.09	52.33	45.73	52.02
2011	60.45	51.99	45.62	51.89
2012	59.82	51.66	45.31	51.54
2013	59.19	51.32	44.96	51.13
2014	58.55	50.99	44.56	50.68
2015	57.92	50.65	44.14	50.21
2016	57.29	50.32	43.73	49.74
1997-2005	0.0%	0.5%	1.3%	0.7%
2006-2016	-1.0%	-0.6%	-1.4%	-1.3%

**Per-Customer Energy Consumption  
(kWh/Customer)**

	<b>Total Retail</b>	<b>Total Excluding Phosphate</b>
1997	29,111	26,170
1998	30,226	27,358
1999	29,071	26,865
2000	29,701	27,366
2001	29,483	27,460
2002	30,371	28,039
2003	30,138	28,029
2004	29,759	27,777
2005	29,752	27,946
2006	29,103	27,673
2007	29,824	28,431
2008	29,963	28,601
2009	30,135	28,802
2010	30,309	29,006
2011	30,488	29,213
2012	30,662	29,413
2013	30,844	29,621
2014	31,012	29,817
2015	31,154	29,985
2016	31,319	30,176
<b>Average Annual Growth Rates</b>		
1997-2006	0.0% <sup>(1)</sup>	0.6%
2007-2016	0.5%	0.7%
<b>Average Annual Absolute Growth</b>		
1997-2006	(1)	167
2007-2016	166	194

(1) Total Retail includes phosphate energy, which can be very volatile, thereby distorting the actual customer usage trend. Therefore, removal of phosphate energy provides the actual customer usage trend.

**Retail Energy Sales  
 (GWH)**

	<b>Total Retail</b>	<b>Total Excluding Phosphate</b>
1997	15,090	13,564
1998	16,027	14,505
1999	15,805	14,604
2000	16,638	15,329
2001	16,976	15,810
2002	17,925	16,547
2003	18,230	16,954
2004	18,437	17,208
2005	18,911	17,762
2006	19,025	18,089
2007	19,972	19,037
2008	20,536	19,601
2009	21,130	20,194
2010	21,722	20,787
2011	22,313	21,379
2012	22,921	21,986
2013	23,568	22,633
2014	24,234	23,300
2015	24,900	23,965
2016	25,593	24,658

**Average Annual Growth Rates**

1997-2006	2.6%	3.3%
2007-2016	2.8%	2.9%

**Average Annual Absolute Growth**

1997-2006	437	503
2007-2016	625	625

**Per-Customer Peak Demand  
 (kW/Customer)**

	<b>Winter</b>	<b>Summer</b>
1997	6.02	5.79
1998	5.11	6.16
1999	6.27	6.20
2000	6.13	5.90
2001	6.60	5.99
2002	6.12	6.16
2003	6.42	5.99
2004	5.40	6.03
2005	5.80	6.24
2006	5.72	6.13
2007	6.52	6.14
2008	6.55	6.17
2009	6.58	6.20
2010	6.62	6.24
2011	6.66	6.28
2012	6.69	6.31
2013	6.73	6.35
2014	6.77	6.40
2015	6.81	6.44
2016	6.86	6.49
<b>Average Annual Growth Rates</b>		
1997-2006	-0.6%	0.6%
2007-2016	0.6%	0.6%
<b>Average Annual Absolute Growth</b>		
1997-2006	-0.03	0.04
2007-2016	0.04	0.04

**Peak Demand  
(MW)**

	<b>Winter</b>	<b>Summer</b>
1997	3,118	3,001
1998	2,710	3,266
1999	3,409	3,372
2000	3,435	3,303
2001	3,801	3,448
2002	3,612	3,634
2003	3,881	3,623
2004	3,344	3,737
2005	3,686	3,968
2006	3,736	4,010
2007	4,364	4,113
2008	4,488	4,229
2009	4,615	4,350
2010	4,745	4,472
2011	4,872	4,593
2012	5,003	4,719
2013	5,141	4,855
2014	5,289	4,998
2015	5,444	5,148
2016	5,602	5,300
<b>Average Annual Growth Rates</b>		
1997-2006	2.0%	3.3%
2007-2016	2.8%	2.9%
<b>Average Annual Absolute Growth</b>		
1997-2006	69	112
2007-2016	138	132

**Firm Peak Demand  
 (MW)**

	<b>Winter</b>	<b>Summer</b>
1997	2,719	2,677
1998	2,332	2,945
1999	2,990	3,069
2000	3,009	3,028
2001	3,407	3,165
2002	3,259	3,318
2003	3,455	3,351
2004	2,936	3,445
2005	3,287	3,725
2006	3,523	3,769
2007	4,046	3,872
2008	4,178	3,991
2009	4,308	4,113
2010	4,440	4,235
2011	4,568	4,357
2012	4,700	4,484
2013	4,839	4,620
2014	4,988	4,765
2015	5,143	4,915
2016	5,304	5,068
<b>Average Annual Growth Rates</b>		
1997-2006	2.9%	3.9%
2007-2016	3.1%	3.0%
<b>Average Annual Absolute Growth</b>		
1997-2006	89	121
2007-2016	140	133

**Load Factor**  
(%)

	<b>Winter</b>	<b>Summer</b>
1997	55.2	57.4
1998	67.5	56.0
1999	52.9	53.5
2000	55.3	57.5
2001	51.0	56.2
2002	56.7	56.3
2003	53.6	57.4
2004	62.9	56.3
2005	58.6	54.4
2006	58.1	54.2
2007	52.2	55.6
2008	52.1	55.4
2009	52.3	55.6
2010	52.3	55.5
2011	52.3	55.6
2012	52.2	55.4
2013	52.3	55.5
2014	52.3	55.4
2015	52.2	55.3
2016	52.0	55.0
<b>Average Annual Growth Rates</b>		
1997-2006	0.6%	-0.6%
2007-2016	0.0%	-0.1%

**2007 Updated Firm Peak Demand  
 (MW)**

	<b>Winter</b>	<b>Summer</b>
1997	2,719	2,677
1998	2,332	2,945
1999	2,990	3,069
2000	3,009	3,028
2001	3,407	3,165
2002	3,259	3,318
2003	3,455	3,351
2004	2,936	3,445
2005	3,287	3,725
2006	3,523	3,769
2007	4,022	3,841
2008	4,130	3,963
2009	4,250	4,069
2010	4,370	4,179
2011	4,486	4,291
2012	4,610	4,415
2013	4,742	4,539
2014	4,876	4,670
2015	5,016	4,803
2016	5,159	4,942
<b>Average Annual Growth Rates</b>		
1997-2006	2.9%	3.9%
2007-2016	2.8%	2.8%
<b>Average Annual Absolute Growth</b>		
1997-2006	89	121
2007-2016	126	122