# **Ruth Nettles**

From:	Woods, Vickie [vf1979@att.com]
Sent:	Thursday, July 26, 2007 4:06 PM
То:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Subject:	070249-TP AT&T's Opposition to Request for Oral Argument
Importance:	High
Attachments:	070249.pdf

A. Vickie Woods

Assistant to James Meza III, Manuel A. Gurdian, and Tracy W. Hatch AT&T Florida 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5560 vf1979@att.com

ORIGINAL

B. Docket No. 070249-TP

Petition of Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint PCS for arbitration of rates, terms and conditions of interconnection with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida d/b/a AT&T Southeast

- C. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. on behalf of James Meza III
- D. 5 pages total (includes letter, certificate of service and pleading)
- E. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida's Opposition to Request for Oral Argument

.pdf

<<070249.pdf>>

\*\*\*\*

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from all computers. GA623

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

ORIGINAL

JAMES MEZA III General Counsel - Florida

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5558

July 26, 2007

Ms. Ann Cole Office of the Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

#### Docket No. 070249-TP (Sprint Arbitration) Re:

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed is BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T Florida's Opposition to Request for Oral Argument, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

-pomes Megatt / V.w.

James Meza III

cc: All Parties of Record Jerry Hendrix E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 06415 JUL 26 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 070249-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy was served via Electronic Mail

and First Class U. S. Mail this 26<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2007 to the following:

Florida Public Service Commission Adam Teitzman, Staff Counsel Rick Mann, Staff Counsel 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850) 413-6212 <u>ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us</u> <u>rmann@psc.state.fl.us</u>

Marsha E. Rule Rutledge Law Firm 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 420 (32301) P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551 Tel. No. (850) 681-6788 Fax. No. (850) 681-6515 marsha@reuphlaw.com

Douglas C. Nelson William R. Atkinson Sprint Communications/Sprint Nextel 233 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 2200 Atlanta, GA 30303-1504 Tel. No. (404) 649-0003 Fax. No. (404) 649-0009 douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com william.r.atkinson@sprint.com

Joseph M. Chiarelli Sprint Nextel 6450 Sprint Parkway Overland Park, KS 66251 Tel. No. (913) 315-9223 Fax. No. (913) 523-9623 joe.m.chiarelli@sprint.com Sprint Spectrum L.P. Attn: Legal External Affairs 4900 Main Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Kansas City, MO 64112 Tel. No. (816) 559-1912 Fax. No. (816) 559-2591 ffinne01@sprintspectrum.com

a II-/V·w.

## **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of:

Petition of Sprint Communications Company L.P. and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS for Arbitration of Rates, Terms, and Conditions of Interconnection With BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T Florida, d/b/a AT&T Southeast DOCKET NO. 070249-TP Filed: July 26, 2007

#### AT&T FLORIDA'S OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida ("AT&T Florida") respectfully submits this Opposition to Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership's and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership's (collectively, "Sprint") Motion for Leave to Present Oral Argument ("Motion"). For the foregoing reasons, the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") should deny the Motion.

1. Sprint filed its Petition for Arbitration on April 6, 2007. AT&T Florida filed a Motion to Dismiss and Answer on May 1, 2007, and Sprint filed a Response to the Motion to Dismiss ("Response") on May 15, 2007.

2. Sprint effectively concedes that it did not timely request oral argument under recently adopted Rule 25-24.0022, F.A.C. *See* Motion at 2. This Rule expressly states that "[o]ral argument must be sought by separate written request filed concurrently with the motion on which argument is requested, or no later than 10 days after exceptions to a recommended order are filed. Failure to timely file a request for oral argument *shall* constitute a waiver thereof." Rule 25-24.0022, F.A.C. (emphasis added).

3. Pursuant to this Rule, Sprint had to submit its request for oral argument at the time it filed its Opposition to AT&T Florida's Motion to Dismiss – May 15, 2007. Sprint did

not comply with this requirement. Instead, Sprint waited until after the Staff issued its recommendation on the Motion to Dismiss and a few days prior to the July 31, 2007 agenda conference to request oral argument. There is no dispute that Sprint has failed to comply with Rule 25-24.0022, F.A.C. Accordingly, under the express wording of the Rule, Sprint has no right to request oral argument.

4. Assuming *arguendo* that Sprint's request was timely (which it is not), the Motion still does not comply with Rule 25-24.0022, F.A.C., because Sprint has failed to establish why oral argument is necessary. Specifically, other than the generic statement that the issue is a "matter of first impression" (Motion at 3), Sprint fails to explain why its 23-page detailed Response does not adequately present the Commission with the ability to understand and evaluate the issues to be decided or why oral argument is necessary. *See* Order No. PSC-05-0549-PCO-WU (finding under previous oral argument rule, which also required that a request for oral argument be filed with the pleading that it relates to, that the request for oral argument was untimely because it was filed 13 days after the underlying pleading was filed and because the movant's argument were adequately contained in the pleading).

5. Finally, AT&T Florida responds to Sprint's new argument that, in no event should its Petition be dismissed with prejudice. AT&T Florida concedes that Section 120.569(2)(c) provides for the right to amend, "unless it conclusively appears from the face of the petition that the defect cannot be cured." *See* Section 120.569(2)(c). Here, it is clear, based on the face of Sprint's Petition that Sprint cannot cure the defect, because in no event can the purported enforcement of a merger condition contained in an FCC Order become an "open issue" to be arbitrated under Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act.

2

Respectfully submitted this 26<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2007.

AT&T Florida

na III V.W. JAMES MEZA III AUTHORIZED HOUSE COUNSEL NO. 464260 TRACY W. HATCH MANUEL GURDIAN

c/o Nancy Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 james.meza@bellsouth.com nancy.sims@bellsouth.com (305) 347-5558 (850) 222-8640

E. Earl Edge liel Y.W. E. EARL EDENFIELD, JR.

JOHN T. TYLER AT&T Midtown Center - Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30375 (404) 335-0757 ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T

685597