VOTE SHEET

July 31, 2007

Docket No. 070345-WS – Ordinance by Board of County Commissioners of Columbia County to regulate private water, wastewater, and effluent reuse utilities in Columbia County.

Issue 1: Should the Commission acknowledge Columbia County's Ordinance No. 2007-15, which specifies its power and authority under Section 367.171, Florida Statutes, to regulate private water, wastewater and effluent reuse facilities within the County?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. Columbia County's Ordinance should be acknowledged as rescinding Commission jurisdiction in Columbia County effective May 11, 2007. Certificate No. 581-W held by C.S.M. Enterprises, Inc.; Certificate No. 392-W held by College Manor Water Company, Inc.; Certificate No. 393-W held by Consolidated Water Works, Inc.; Certificate No. 402-W held by Gator Utilities; Certificate No. 501-S held by Kirby D. Morgan, Inc.; and Certificate No. 391-W held by Lenvil H. Dicks should be cancelled effective May 11, 2007. The cancellation of these certificates does not affect the authority of the Commission to collect, nor the obligation of these utilities to pay, regulatory assessment fees, penalties, and interest accrued prior to the May 11, 2007, transfer of jurisdiction to Columbia County. These utilities will be responsible for final payment of RAFs for the period of January 1 through May 11, 2007, on or before the prescribed due date of March 31, 2008. These utilities will not be responsible for filing an annual report for 2007.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY
all D len
Katura A. McMursia
THE A
A Charles
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

DISSENTING

06565 AUG-15

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Vote Sheet July 31, 2007

Docket No. 070345-WS – Ordinance by Board of County Commissioners of Columbia County to regulate private water, wastewater, and effluent reuse utilities in Columbia County.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the Commission grant Gator Utilities' request for waiver of its 2005 annual report penalties? **Recommendation:** Yes. Because the utility has demonstrated good cause for noncompliance, the penalty set out in Rule 25-30.110(7), F.A.C., should not be assessed.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. Since there are no pending matters, the docket should be closed upon the issuance of the order.

APPROVED