BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

In re: Petition of Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint PCS for arbitration of rates, terms and conditions of interconnection with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida d/b/a AT&T Southeast Docket No. 070249-TP Filed: August 9, 2007

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AND SPRINT SPECTRUM LIMITED PARTNERSHIP'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED PETITION

Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint PCS¹ (collectively, "Sprint"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.0022, Florida Administrative Code, hereby requests, to the extent necessary, that the Prehearing Officer grant oral argument in connection with his consideration of Sprint's Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition. In support Sprint states as follows:

CTR ______ 2. On July 19, 2007, Commission Staff filed its Recommendation that the ECR _______ Commission dismiss Sprint's Petition for failure to state a claim for which relief may be granted OPC _______ by the Commission. The Recommendation did not, however, state that the issue for which Sprint RCA _______ sought arbitration was beyond the Commission's jurisdiction per se, but instead Staff SGA _______ Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership are also

- OTH ____

CMP

COM

07010 AUG-95

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

recommended that "as pled by Sprint," the petition did not properly invoke the Commission's jurisdiction. The Commission voted to adopt the Recommendation without amendment during its July 31, 2007 Agenda Conference. No order has yet been issued. Thereafter, Sprint filed the Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition upon which it seeks oral argument.

3. Denial of Sprint's Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition would, for all practical purposes, operate as a dismissal of Sprint's arbitration request. Oral argument will aid the Prehearing Officer in understanding and evaluating the factual and legal differences between Sprint's Petition and its Amended Petition, including but not limited to the factual background of the parties' negotiation and the legal basis for the Amended Petition; as well as the policy issues associated with denial of the Motion.

4. Sprint requests that each side (Sprint and AT&T) be granted 10 minutes for oral argument.

WHEREFORE, Sprint respectfully requests that the Prehearing Officer grant this Request for Oral Argument.

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of August, 2007.

Marsha E. Rule Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551 (850) 681-6788 Fax: (850) 681-6515 <u>marsha@reuphlaw.com</u>

Douglas C. Nelson William R. Atkinson Sprint Nextel 233 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2200 Atlanta, GA 30339-3166

(404) 649-0003 Fax: (404) 649-0009 Email: douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com bill.atkinson@sprint.com

Joseph M. Chiarelli 6450 Sprint Parkway Mailstop: KSOPHN0214-2A671 Overland Park, KS 66251 Voice: 913-315-9223 Fax: 913-523-9623 Email: joe.m.chiarelli@sprint.com

ATTORNEYS FOR SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, AND SPRINT SPECTRUM LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion has been

furnished by U.S. Mail and email to the following parties on this 9th day of August, 2007:

Patrick K. Wiggins, Esq. Adam Teitzman, Esq. H. Mann, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 <u>ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us</u> <u>rmann@psc.state.fl.us</u> 850.413.6212

•

E.Edenfield Jr. John T. Tyler AT&T Midtown Center – Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street, NE John.tyler@bellsouth.com Atlanta, GA 30375 404.335.0757 James Meza III Manuel Gurdian c/o Nancy Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 james.meza@bellsouth.com nancy.sims@bellsouth.com 305.347.5558 850.222.8640

Marle

Attorney