Ruth Nettles

From:

Smith, Debbie N. [ds3504@att.com]

Sent:

Thursday, August 16, 2007 10:03 AM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

ORIGINAL Meza, James; Woods, Vickie; Tyler, John; Tracy Hatch; Follensbee, Greg; Holland, Robyn P

Subject:

Florida Docket No. 070249-TP

Importance:

High

Attachments: pleading.pdf

A. Debbie Smith

> Legal Secretary for John T. Tyler AT&T Southeast c/o Gregory R. Follensbee 150 South Monroe, Rm. 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1558 (404) 335-0772 debbie.n.smith@att.com

B. Docket No. 070249-TP: In the Matter of: Petition of Sprint Communications Company L.P. and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS for Arbitration of Rates, Terms, and Conditions of Interconnection with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT&T Florida, d/b/a AT&T Southeast.

- C. AT&T Southeast on behalf of John T. Tyler
- D. 6 pages total in PDF format
- E. Cole letter, Certificate of Service, and AT&T Florida's Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition and Motion for Oral Argument

<<ple><<ple><<ple>pdf>></ple>

Debbie N. Smith AT&T Southeast 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. **Suite 4300** Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 335-0772

Please note my new email address is debbie.n.smith@att.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE 07214 AUG 165 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK JOHN T. TYLER Senior Regulatory Counsel

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0757

August 16, 2007

Ms. Ann Cole Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: <u>Docket No. 070249-TP (Sprint Arbitration)</u>

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed is AT&T Florida's Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition and Motion for Oral Argument, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely

John T. Tyler

cc: All Parties of Record Jerry Hendrix E. Earl Edenfield, Jr. James Meza

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 070249-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy was served via Electronic Mail

and First Class U. S. Mail this 16th day of August, 2007 to the following:

Florida Public Service Commission Adam Teitzman, Staff Counsel Rick Mann, Staff Counsel 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850) 413-6212 ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us rmann@psc.state.fl.us Sprint Spectrum L.P.
Attn: Legal External Affairs
4900 Main Street, 12th Floor
Kansas City, MO 64112
Tel. No. (816) 559-1912
Fax. No. (816) 559-2591
ffinne01@sprintspectrum.com

Marsha E. Rule
Rutledge Law Firm
215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 420 (32301)
P.O. Box 551
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551
Tel. No. (850) 681-6788
Fax. No. (850) 681-6515
marsha@reuphlaw.com

Douglas C. Nelson
William R. Atkinson
Sprint Communications/Sprint Nextel
233 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1504
Tel. No. (404) 649-0003
Fax. No. (404) 649-0009
douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com
bill.atkinson@sprint.com

Joseph M. Chiarelli Sprint Nextel 6450 Sprint Parkway Overland Park, KS 66251 Tel. No. (913) 315-9223 Fax. No. (913) 523-9623 joe.m.chiarelli@sprint.com John T. Tyler



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	
)	DOCKET NO. 070249-TP
Petition of Sprint Communications)	
Company L.P. and Sprint Spectrum L.P.,	j	Filed: August 16, 2007
d/b/a Sprint PCS for Arbitration of Rates,	Ś	
Terms, and Conditions of Interconnection	Ś	
With BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.,)	
d/b/a AT&T Florida, d/b/a AT&T Southeast)	

AT&T FLORIDA'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED PETITION AND MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida ("AT&T Florida") respectfully submits this Opposition to Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership's and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership's (collectively, "Sprint") Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition and Motion for Oral Argument ("Motion"). For the reasons set forth below, the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") should deny the Motion.

- Sprint filed its initial Petition for Arbitration in this matter on April 6, 2007.
 AT&T Florida filed a Motion to Dismiss and Answer on May 1, 2007, and Sprint filed a Response to the Motion to Dismiss on May 15, 2007.
- 2. On July 31, 2007, the Commission voted unanimously to approve its Staff's recommendation to grant AT&T Florida's Motion to Dismiss. In doing so, the Commission determined that Sprint's petition should be dismissed because it sought enforcement of an alleged right under the AT&T/BellSouth merger commitments under an FCC Order, as opposed to an open issue concerning Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act").

07214 AUG 165

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

- 3. On August 9, 2007, Sprint filed a Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition and what Sprint purports to be an *Amended Petition*. In its Motion, Sprint "seeks leave to file an Amended Petition in order to revise the statement of issue for which it seeks arbitration" and to purportedly make it clear that the enforcement of an FCC order, independent and unrelated to any 251 obligation, somehow became part of a Section 252 arbitration proceeding. Motion, p. 2.
- 4. In its initial Petition for Arbitration Sprint stated its issue as: "ISSUE 1:

 May AT&T Southeast effectively deny Sprint's request to extend its

 current Interconnection Agreement for three full years from March 20, 2007 pursuant
 to Interconnection Merger Commitment No. 4?" Petition, p. 8.
- 5. In its Amended Petition Sprint states its issue as: "ISSUE 1: When should the agreed-upon three year extension of Sprint's current Interconnection Agreement become effective?" Amended Petition, p. 13.
- 6. The issue Sprint raises in its Amended Petition is substantively identical to the issue the Commission unanimously dismissed on July 31, 2007. Indeed, the issue in the Amended Petition still erroneously attempts to arbitrate the exact same FCC merger commitment that AT&T Florida argued, and the Commission agreed, cannot be an open issue under Section 251.
- 7. AT&T Florida concedes that Section 120.569(2)(c) provides for the right to amend, "unless it conclusively appears from the face of the petition that the defect cannot be cured." See Section 120.569(2)(c). Here, it is clear, based on the face of Sprint's Amended Petition that Sprint cannot cure the defect, because, as previously determined by the

¹ It should be noted that the Act does not provide for the filing of an Amended Petition. Thus, a fundamental question exists as to whether Sprint's Motion is procedurally appropriate under the structures of Section 252 of the Act.

Commission, the purported enforcement of a merger condition contained in an FCC Order is not an "open issue" to be arbitrated under Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act.

- 8. For these reasons, AT&T Florida also submits that oral argument is not necessary to resolve the Motion.
- 9. Finally, in the event that the Commission grants Sprint's request for leave to file an Amended Petition, AT&T Florida intends to file a response to the Amended Petition. Although the Act does not address in any regard the right to file an amended petition for arbitration, the Act specifically allows a party 25 days to respond to a Petition for Arbitration under Section 252 of the Act. See 47 U.S.C. 252(a)(3). Accordingly, AT&T Florida requests that the Commission grant it time to file a response to the Amended Petition in the event the Commission grants Sprint's Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of August, 2007.

AT&T Florida

IAMES MEZA III

AUTHORIZED HOUSE COUNSEL NO. 464260

TRACY W. HATCH MANUEL GURDIAN

c/o Nancy Sims

150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400

Tallahassee, FL 32301

james.meza@bellsouth.com

nancy.sims@bellsouth.com

(305) 347-555&

(050) 222 940

E. EARLEDENFIELD, JR.

JOHN T. TYLER

AT&T Midtown Center - Suite 4300

675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.

Atlanta, GA 30375

(404) 335-0757 ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T

685597