

VOTE SHEET

November 20, 2007

Docket No. 060726-WS – Application for certificates to provide water and wastewater service in Glades County and water service in Highlands County by Silver Lake Utilities, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the utility’s proposed initial water, wastewater, and reuse rates and return on investment be approved?

Recommendation: Yes. The utility’s proposed water, wastewater, and reuse rates, as shown on Schedules 2 through 5 of staff’s memorandum filed on November 7, 2007, should be approved. Silver Lake should charge the approved rates until authorized to change them by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding. The rates should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, Florida Administrative Code. A return on equity of 12.01% with a range of plus or minus 100 basis points should be approved.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures of majority commissioners: Nell A. Igo, Katrina J. McMurrian, and Greg C. [unclear].

Four horizontal lines for dissenting signatures.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10425 NOV 20 07

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Vote Sheet

November 20, 2007

Docket No. 060726-WS – Application for certificates to provide water and wastewater service in Glades County and water service in Highlands County by Silver Lake Utilities, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

**Issue 2:** Should the utility's requested service availability policy and charges be approved?

**Recommendation:** Yes. The utility's proposed service availability policy and charges are consistent with the guidelines in Rule 25-30.580, F.A.C., and should be approved. Silver Lake should charge the approved charges until authorized to change them by this Commission in a subsequent proceeding. The charges should be effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C.

**APPROVED**

**Issue 3:** Should the utility's request for initial customer deposits, miscellaneous service charges, and a late fee be approved?

**Recommendation:** Yes. The utility's request for initial customer deposits, miscellaneous service charges, and a late fee should be approved. The deposits and charges should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C.

**APPROVED**

**Issue 4:** Should an Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) rate be approved for Silver Lake Utilities, Inc.?

**Recommendation:** Yes. An annual AFUDC rate of 10.35% and a discounted monthly rate of 0.862091 should be approved. The approved rate should be applicable for eligible construction projects beginning on or after September 4, 2007.

**APPROVED**

**Issue 5:** Should this docket be closed?

**Recommendation:** No. If no protest to the proposed agency action issues is filed by a substantially affected person within 21 days of the date of the order, a consummating order should be issued. However, the docket should remain open to allow the utility to file the executed and recorded copies of the long-term leases required by Order No. PSC-07-0717-FOF-WS. The docket should be closed administratively upon receipt of the executed and recorded copy of the long-term leases.

**APPROVED**