	1 i	
1	_	BEFORE THE
2	F	LORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		DOCKET NO. 080162-TI
4	In the Matter of	i.
5	ACKNOWLEDGMENT C	F REGISTRATION AS
6	INTRASTATE INTER COMPANY, EFFECTI	EXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS VE MARCH 18, 2008, BY NCOM
7	NETWORKS, LLC.	South and Control
8		
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS:	AGENDA CONFERENCE ITEM NO. 4
11	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II
12	BEI OKE.	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. McMURRIAN
13		COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP
14	DATE:	Tuesday, April 22, 2008
15	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
16		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
17		Tallahassee, Florida
18	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR Official FPSC Reporter
19		(850) 413-6734
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		TO DATE
		man Matt

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

florida public service commission APR 29 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1	PARTICIPATING:
2	KEN JACOBY, representing NCOM Networks, LLC,
3	appearing via telephone.
4	CHARLENE POBLETE, ESQUIRE, and KIWANIS CURRY,
5	representing the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

PROCEEDINGS

2	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, we are now on
3	Item 4. Let's give staff a moment to get organized here. So
4	let's kind of turn and we'll have staff to introduce Item 4.
5	Staff, you're recognized.
6	MS. CURRY: Kiwanis Curry on behalf of Commission
7	staff.
8	Item Number 4 is staff's recommendation that the
9	Commission accept NCOM Network's proposed settlement offer to
10	make a voluntary contribution in the amount of \$7,500 to
11	resolve the company's apparent violation of Rule 25-24.470. A
12	representative for the company is available by phone, and staff
13	is available for questions.
14	CHAIRMAN CARTER: By the way, Commissioners, a slight
15	oversight. I do believe we have someone appearing by phone.
16	Is that Mr. Jacoby, are you there, sir?
17	MR. JACOBY: Yes, sir, I am here.
18	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. All right then.
19	MR. JACOBY: Can you hear me okay?
20	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Can you hear us?
21	MR. JACOBY: I can hear you fine. Can you hear me?
22	CHAIRMAN CARTER: We can, we can make it out.
23	MR. JACOBY: Okay. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Your phone must have been made in

China. Just kidding. Just kidding. No.

1 Commissioners, you're recognized for questions.

MR. JACOBY: Actually that's Beijing, China, by the way (inaudible.)

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: It sounds like -CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. You sound like you're in

6 Beijing.

MR. JACOBY: Well, actually I'm kind of driving and kind of stuck in traffic, so.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: You probably should pull over. It would be a good idea if you probably pulled over someplace and parked.

Commissioners, we're now -- you're recognized for any questions for staff or any of the parties. Commissioner Skop, you're recognized, sir.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I guess in reviewing staff recommendation I do not support the staff recommendation in terms of the settlement, proposed settlement for \$7,500 with the associated payment plan. I am open to discuss proposed settlement resulting from this. But, again, the -- I find that having taken a default, what is equivalent to a default settlement in the amount of \$25,000, that the settlement in the amount of \$700, I mean, \$7,500 is patently insufficient, and coupling that with the payment plan, that just does not make good sense to me. But I'm open for discussion. You know, I think a more appropriate penalty would

be basically half the amount for \$12,500 payable at, in one 1 2 lump sum. And I stand ready to --3 MR. JACOBY: Well --COMMISSIONER SKOP: Hold on, sir. 4 5 MR. JACOBY: Sorry. Sorry. COMMISSIONER SKOP: This is between the Commissioners 6 7 at the present point. Like I say, I can justify that if we need to get into detail with a lot of backup data, but that's 8 my position. 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, would you like to 10 hear from Mr. Jacoby before we go further with questions on the 11 issue that Commissioner Skop has raised? 12 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes, please. 13 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Jacoby, you're recognized, sir. 14 MR. JACOBY: Thank you. Sorry for the -- would you 15 16 accept a proposal of \$12,500 in two payments? CHAIRMAN CARTER: I'm sorry. We're having trouble 17 18 understanding you. Could you say that again, please, sir? 1.9 MR. JACOBY: Would you accept the proposal of \$12,500 in two payments? 2.0 21 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop, you're recognized. 22 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 Actually I would probably not be inclined to do so. 24 I'd certainly consider the view of my colleagues. 25

But essentially let me, let me dive into this a little bit more because it may be more effective. Essentially what happened here in the instant case is that staff received a consumer complaint and associated with that complaint, unlike the case cited as precedent which can be readily distinguished from the instant case, basically what was analogous to a default order or judgment was, was entered because our order was not protested. So basically what's on the books now is a penalty for the amount of \$25,000 owed by the company.

The company basically entered into, you know, a settlement proposal with staff to which staff accepted -- or in principle in terms of the staff recommendation that's before us today.

The problem I have with that is couple-fold. First and foremost, the staff proposed settlement amount is about one-third of what the current judgment against the company is. On top of that, with payment plans it, it gets -- you know, it's very generous to begin with. With payment plans it gets even more generous.

Some of the problem associated with that is, again, the instant case is readily distinguishable from the case that staff cited. And, furthermore, on top of that based on some financial data that staff has provided, and I think everyone has a copy of that, it seems to me that if the financials are accurate, they certainly have sufficient resources to make

payment in full. But moreover what really does this for me is that not only did they not register with the Commission, they did not properly register with the state. So essentially they were transacting business in the state in a manner not consistent with Florida Statute. They, at the time the cause of action occurred with the consumer complaint they were not properly registered with the state to do business as a limited liability company. They had previously been registered in Delaware as of 2004. So they were sophisticated enough to know to register in Delaware; however, they did not register as a foreign limited liability company in Florida until March 13th of 2008.

And pursuant to Florida Statute 608.51 or 501(1), "A foreign limited liability company may not transact business in this state until it obtains a certificate of authority from the Department of State." So that was done after the fact. So they were essentially conducting business illegally contrary to statute.

Now the consequences of transacting business without authority under Florida Statute 608.502(4), "A foreign limited liability company which transacts business in the state without authority to do so shall be liable to the state for the years or parts thereof during which it transacted business in the state without authority in an amount equal to all fees, penalties and taxes which would have been imposed by this

chapter upon such limited liability company had it duly applied for and received authority to transact business in the state as required by this chapter. In addition to the payments thus prescribed, such limited liability company shall be liable for a civil penalty of not less than \$500 or more than \$1,000 for each year or part thereof during which it transacts business in the state without a certificate of authority, and the Department of State may collect all penalties due under this subsection."

Again, to me it's a compounded problem and, again, they, unlike the case that staff cited which is not controlling upon this Commission, and, like I say, it can be readily distinguished from the facts of the instant case to the extent that in that case the company or entity involved in the settlement proposal actually submitted a settlement proposal prior to the end of the protest period. So that was not really brought, brought out or fleshed out within the staff recommendation. It was just basically used as a basis for why we should accept the settlement. And like I say, the facts are readily distinguishable.

But, again, my emphasis is not to penalize but to uphold the statutory laws of the State of Florida. We have our own jurisdiction, there's concurrent jurisdiction. But the bottom line is that Florida's corporation, corporate statutes or business organization statutes in part, notwithstanding the

PSC's jurisdictions, are designed to protect the consumer. 7 to me -- you know, and that's what we need to look out for 2 because -- again, I have some, some other, other rationale 3 provided. But like I say, I'll respect the will of the 4 Commission. But I'm pretty firm on, you know, I think that if 5 you have a judgment against you for \$25,000 and you're offering 6 to settle for half that amount -- I think that's pretty 8 generous to begin with. So that's where I am on the issue. 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: One moment, One moment, Mr. Jacoby. Hang on a second. 10 Commissioner Argenziano, you're recognized. 11 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, in listening to 12 Commissioner Skop, I certainly understand what he's saying. 13 I'd like to ask Mr. -- is it Jacoby? 14 15 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Jacoby. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I think he just offered up 16 more money as a penalty for not following the laws of the State 17 18 of Florida. And I just need to ask him why he needs to do that in two payments if -- what his, his response would be to that. 19 2.0 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Jacoby, did you hear the question? 21 MR. JACOBY: It was a little choppy but I kind of got 22 the drift. I assume that as managing, managing (phonetic) 23 member in May where I corrected most of the outstanding 24

problems -- I am willing to accept the \$12,500 in one payment.

25

1	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.
2	MR. JACOBY: I
3	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hang on one second, Mr. Jacoby.
4	Hang on one second. Okay. Could you hang on for a second?
5	Commissioner Argenziano.
6	MR. JACOBY: I'm contrite.
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Jacoby, hang on a
8	second.
9	CHAIRMAN CARTER: One second, Mr. Jacoby. One
10	second.
11	Commissioner Argenziano.
12	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, I agree with what
13	Commissioner Skop said. I think that if we get, in my opinion,
14	if the other fellow Commissioners think 12, is it \$12,500
15	CHAIRMAN CARTER: \$12,500.
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: in one payment, I think
17	that would help the concerns or aid in the concerns that
18	Commissioner Skop had, which are valid concerns, and would hope
19	that Mr. Jacoby just follows the laws of the state from then
20	on.
21	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner.
22	MR. JACOBY: We will, sir. We will, sir.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I know I have a deep voice,
24	but
25	MR. JACOBY: \$12,500 in one payment.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Commissioners. I think
2	that, I think, Commissioner Skop, a lot of what you said staff
3	had pretty much and I think staff had done a good job in
4	reviewing this case and I think that the issue centered upon
5	the amount, as Commissioner Argenziano has kind of centered on
6	here, and Mr. Jacoby has offered to pay \$12,500 in one payment.
7	Is that right, Mr. Jacoby? Is that what you said?
8	MR. JACOBY: Yes, sir. That's exactly what I said.
9	CHAIRMAN CARTER: And I think that with that,
10	Commissioners, that would, would put us in the posture
11	MR. JACOBY: For the company I humbly apologize for
12	any inconvenience we might have
13	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Let me just say, Commissioners, are
14	there any debate or discussion before we go further, because I
15	don't, I don't want to cut off if any Commissioner wants to
16	be heard, I want to be able to do that.
17	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Chairman, I just
18	appreciate the apology because it was
19	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you so much for your apology,
20	Mr. Jacoby, and your offer to resolve this matter. It's
21	your apology is accepted. And in a minute, Commissioners,
22	after discussion I'll be asking for a motion.
23	Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.
24	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And,
25	again, I'd like to thank Mr. Jacoby for calling in and offering

1	to resolve this matter in an amicable manner before us today.		
2	And with that, I'd like to move staff recommendation subject t		
3	the revision that the proposed settlement payment shall be in		
4	the amount of \$12,500 payable in one payment.		
5	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Second.		
6	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Moved and properly seconded.		
7	Commissioners, any further questions?		
8	All those in favor, let it be known by the sign of		
9	aye.		
10	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)		
11	All those opposed, like sign.		
12	Thank you, Commissioners. Thank you, Mr. Jacoby.		
13	(Agenda Item 4 concluded.)		
14	* * * *		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 2 STATE OF FLORIDA CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON 3 4 5 I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was 6 heard at the time and place herein stated. 7 IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this 8 transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said 9 proceedings. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel 11 connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in 12 the action. DATED THIS **28** day of April, 2008. 13 14 15 LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR FPSC Official Commission Reporter 16 (850) 413-6734 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25