Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc. Exhibit No. 1.9

AQUA UTILITIES FLORIDA, INC.

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DANIEL T. FRANCESKI

(Docket No. 080121-WS)



04345 MAY 22 8

1		AQUA UTILITIES FLORIDA, INC.		
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY		
3		OF		
4		DANIEL T. FRANCESKI		
5		(Docket No. 080121)		
б				
7	Q.	What is your name and business address?		
8	А.	Daniel T. Franceski. My business address is 30 Glenn Circle, Erdenheim,		
9		Pennsylvania 19038.		
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
11	А.	I am an independent consultant.		
12	Q.	Please describe your education and business experience.		
13	A.	I graduated from Lehigh University and worked for 37 years for a regulated utility, Bell		
14		of Pennsylvania / Bell Atlantic / Verizon.		
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?		
16	А.	The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the calculation of AUF's proposed		
17		consolidated rate structure, including repression adjustments and proposed interim	288 288	LERK
18		rates.	acr-f	10N C
19	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in this case?	L L	AMISS
20	А.	Yes, I am sponsoring Exhibit DTF-1, which is attached to my testimony.	ы: 4 .31	100-0
21	Q.	Were these exhibits prepared by you or under your direction and supervision?	0 0	FPS(
22	А.	Yes, they were.		
23	AUF	'S PROPOSED RATE STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS		
24	Q.	What is AUF proposing in this current rate case?		
		1		

~

2

A. As stated by AUF witness Rendell, AUF is proposing a statewide uniform rate structure for its multi-system utility. AUF's proposed rate calculations take into consideration the guidelines on subsidies and affordability discussed in staff witness Stallcup's testimony in Docket No. 060358-WS, on August 21, 2007.

5 Q.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

~

~

 $\overline{}$

-

 $\overline{}$

-

-

Could you explain the proposed rate structure calculation method?

A. AUF's proposed rate structure began with calculating the stand alone rates for each system based on the individual revenue requirement per system. Then the billing determinants, rate bases, expenses, and debt structures of all systems were combined to calculate a single consolidated rate structure. For each system, that consolidated rate structure was then adjusted to limit any subsidies to the level identified by Staff Witness Stallcup, and any revenue shortfalls were made up by raising the rates of the remaining systems. Then, if necessary, the rates were capped at the affordability levels identified by Staff Witness Stallcup.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The water rates were then adjusted for repression, as described below, and again for subsidy caps, resulting in 50 different proposed rates for the 57 water systems. Using the rates resulting from the capping and repression adjustments, a comparison of proposed water monthly bills at 5,000 gallon usage for all the systems showed that the vast majority (uncapped) were within one standard deviation of approximately a dollar, with only a few capped systems falling below. A single rate for all 57 systems was then calculated by applying repression adjustments to the originally calculated consolidated statewide rate (without capping), resulting in a rate lower than the average of the majority mentioned above.

For wastewater, the various systems' resulting rates after applying subsidy and

2

3

1

3

affordability caps would not allow recovery of the revenue requirement.

2 **<u>REPRESSION</u>**

Q. Is AUF proposing a repression adjustment in this rate filing?

Yes. AUF is proposing a repression adjustment applied to the residential customers' 4 A. water usage above 5,000 gallons. The proposed adjustment methodology is consistent 5 6 with the methodology addressed by Commission staff witness Paul Stallcup filed in 7 Docket No. 060368-WS. As presented, the net adjustment applied to residential discretionary usage is negative 2% per 10% increase in rates. Since the statewide 8 9 average monthly usage of the AUF's residential customers is approximately 5,000 10 gallons, usage above 5,000 gallons was considered discretionary. Expenses for 11 Purchased Water, Power, and Chemicals were reduced in proportion to the reduction in 12 usage due to repression.

13 OTHER CALCULATIONS

14 Q. Did you calculate the interim and final rates in this docket?

A. Yes. I programmed the calculation of AUF's interim and final rates in this docket. I
also prepared Exhibit DTF-1, which is a schedule showing the rates of each system,
comparing 1) rates before filing, 2) standalone system rates assuming no consolidation,
and 3) proposed consolidated rates. Also shown are interim rates with and without
AUF's proposed recovery mechanism as described in witness Rendell's testimony.

Q. Is there anything further you would like to add?

- A. Yes. I have also calculated the appropriate rates for customers having Wastewater
 Only service using the average consumption for the respective rate class.
- 23 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 24 A. Yes, it does.

20

3

FPSC-CANALISSICN CLERA

(19) (20) (21) Residential Rates & Monthly Bills Water Water Water

Franceski Exhibit DTF-1 (4 pages) xis

(1) Water-All Systems 5/16/2008 10:09

calc:

(2) (7)

Water

(17)

Water

04345 MAY 22 8

Page 1

(108) (109) (110) (111) Exhibit DTF-1

		Orig	#Wtr	Avg Gals	Present	Present Usage	Present 5ł	Stand Alone 5/8	Stand Alone Block1	Stand Alone 5k	StndAin- Prsnt 5k	Propsd	Prpsd Usage	Prpsd Usage	MFR Proposed Grad Bill	MFR Prpsd- Prent 5K	Interim StndAln	Interim StndAin	Propsd Interim	Propsd Interim	
- 1	Water System Name	Co	Custs	Usage	5/8 BFC	Rate	Mon BIII	BFC	Rate	Mon Bill	Bill % Incr	BFC	Block 1	Block 2	at 5k	Bill %Incr	BFC	Usage	BEC	Limited	Water System Name
-	Arredondo Estates/Farme	ASI	604	7,520	14.82	2.02	24.92	38.13	2.22	49.23	98%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	64%	23.60	3.22	23.60	3.22	48 Estates
3	Beecher's Point	FWS	52	3 629	16.38	2.35	25.60	27.45	3.25	43.70	71%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	60%	21.86	3.71	21.86	3.71	Arredondo Estates/Farn
4	Carlton Village	FWS	245	6 185	18.73	3.84	30.73	29.24	19.52	126.84	245%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	11%	24.60	6.11	18.23	4.53	Beecher's Point
5	Chuluota	FWS	1.420	9,308	10.25	3.89	29.70	36.41	2.55	37.04	-2%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	8%	28.12	5.77	20.19	4.14	Carlton Village
6	East Lake Harris Estates	FWS	177	2.541	8.53	4.86	32.83	42.90	7.91	82 45	1610/	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	38%	15.39	5.84	14.11	5.35	Chuluota
7	Fern Terrace	FWS	125	7,430	10.64	2.95	25.39	23.25	2.53	35 90		21.92	3,60	4.70	40.92	25%	12.81	7.30	10.62	6.05	East Lake Harris Estate:
8										00.00		21.32	3.00	4.70	40.92	61%	15.98	4.43	15.98	4.43	Fern Terrace
9	Friendly Center	FWS	31	4,609	15.84	4.09	36.29	30.62	4.73	54.27	50%	21.92	3.80	4 76	40.92	13%	23.79	6 14	17.04	1.04	
10	Gibsonia Estates	FWS	196	7,686	5.65	0.67	9.00	26.13	2.60	39.13	335%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	355%	848	1.01	9.49	4.61	Friendly Center
12	Grand Terrace	FWS		7,270	9.90	2.14	20.60	27.57	2.68	40.97	99%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	99%	14.87	3.21	14.87	3.21	Gibsonia Estates
12	Harmoov Homon	ASI	111	4,600	9.54	1.53	17.19	20.94	3.57	38.79	126%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	138%	16.26	2.61	16.26	2.61	Haines Creek
14	Hermite Cove	FWS	102	6,570	21.02	3.58	38.92	40.89	4.77	64.74	66%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	5%	31.56	5.38	22.08	3.76	Harmony Homes
15	Hobby Hills	FWS	104	2,414	9.52	4.73	33.17	49.82	9.62	97.92	195%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	23%	14.29	7.10	11.73	5.83	Hermits Cove
16	Holiday Haven	EWS	125	3,027	9.90	2.14	20.60	22.69	3.53	40.34	96%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	99%	14.87	3.21	14.87	3.21	Hobby Hills
17	Imperial Mobile Terrace	FWS	248	2 684	10.58	4.02	33.48	18.01	9.58	65.91	97%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	22%	15.59	6.94	12.67	5.64	Holiday Haven
18	Interlachen Lake/Park Man	FWS	288	3 752	10.05	3.89	20.30	20.23	0.28	56.63	123%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	61%	15.96	4.43	15.96	4.43	Imperial Mobile Terrace
19	Jasmine Lakes	ASI	1.561	5.227	9.30	3.18	25.20	14.98	2.57	40.87	38%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	38%	15.39	5.84	14.11	5.35	Interlachen Lake/Park M
20	Jungle Den	FWS	115	1.237	6.51	5.02	31.61	14.77	18.65	108.02	2429/	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	62%	8.80	3.01	9.30	3.18	Jasmine Lakes
21	Kings Cove	ASI	209	13,190	8.12	1.31	14.67	16.87	1.17	22 72	55%	21.92	3.80	4.70	40.92	29%	9.78	7.54	8.42	6.49	Jungle Den
22	Kingswood	FWS	64	4,284	10.63	2.95	25.38	18.47	9.32	65.07	156%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	610/	9,25	1.49	9.25	1.49	Kings Cove
23	Lake Gibson Estates	FWS	843	7,364	7.05	1.02	12.15	21.02	2.10	31.52	159%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	237%	10.90	4.43	15.96	4.43	Kingswood
24	Lake Josephine	ASI	573	5,685	9.11	1.74	17.81	28.36	3.22	44.46	150%	21.92	3.80	4 76	40.92	130%	17.62	1.53	10.59	1.53	Lake Gibson Estates
25	Lake Osborne Estates	ASI	469	7,864	4.23	2.03	14.38	11.38	5.62	39.48	175%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	185%	11.54	5.50	11.54	5.30	Lake Josephine
20	Lake Suzy	ASI	565	4,397	10.01	6.42	42.11	8.88	13.10	74.38	77%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	-3%	14.42	9.25	10.01	6.42	Lake Osoome Estates
28		FWS	290	2,195	8.08	4.89	32.53	22.67	8.00	62.67	93%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	26%	12.13	7.34	10.01	6.15	Lake Suzy
20	Oskwood	FWS	38	5,743	18.31	3.88	37.71	51.37	7.47	88.72	135%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	9%	27.49	5.83	19.85	4.21	Mominoview
30	Ocala Oaks	ASI	1 818	4,329	10.63	2.95	25.38	14.65	11.25	70.90	179%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	61%	15.96	4.43	15.96	4.43	Oakwood
31	Orange Hill/Sugar Creek	EWS	243	9,020	9.40	1.25	20.93	26.04	1.89	35.49	70%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	96%	23.02	1.96	23.02	1.96	Ocala Oaks
32	Palm Port	FWS	108	3,719	12.57	1.39	24 72	25.94	2.40	37.94	131%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	149%	14.25	2.09	14.25	2.09	Orange Hill/Sugar Creek
33	Palm Terrace	FWS	1.186	4.887	10.63	2.95	25.38	12.00	0.3/	59.71	/2%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	18%	18.87	6.65	14.80	5.22	Palm Port
34	Palms MHP	FWS	62	1,794	7.56	4.96	32 36	40.14	14.70	47.49	2510/	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	61%	15.96	4.43	15.96	4.43	Palm Terrace
35	Picciola Island	FWS	150	7,147	10.63	2.95	25.38	21.99	2 23	33.14	201%	21.92	3,80	4.76	40.92	26%	11.35	7.45	9.55	6.27	Palms MHP
36	Piney Woods	FWS	179	8,116	16.33	2,72	29.93	32.83	2.54	45.53	52%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	01%	15.96	4.43	15.96	4.43	Picciola Island
37	Pomona Park	FWS	177	4,971	16.33	2.72	29.93	35.89	3.78	54.79	83%	21.92	3.80	4.70	40.92	3/%	24.52	4.08	22.30	3.72	Piney Woods
38	Quail Ridge	FWS	96	5,517	21.86	3.52	39.46	26.58	2.82	40.68	3%	21.92	3.80	4 76	40.92		32.82	4.08	22.30	3.72	Pomona Park
39	Ravenswood	ASI	45	7,774	13.30	1.88	22.70	26.57	3.25	42.82	89%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	80%	20.74	2.29	22.00	3.00	Quali Ridge
40	River Grove	FWS	107	4,842	10.25	3.89	29.70	27.44	3.39	44.39	49%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	38%	15.39	5.84	14 11	5 35	River Grove
42	Sebring Lakes	ASI	97	2,403	11.38	0.95	16.13	27.91	8.40	69.91	333%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	154%	33.09	2.76	28.84	2 41	Rosalie Oaks
43	Silver Lake Est/Western St	EWS	1.611	4,802	9.68	2.19	20.63	75.91	17.38	162.81	689%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	98%	54.97	12.44	19,18	4.34	Sebring Lakes
44	Silver Lake Oaks	FWS	44	4 913	15 70	1.31	14.19	18.74	0.98	23.64	67%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	188%	11.47	1.97	11.47	1.97	Silver Lake Est/Western
15	Skycrest	FWS	122	4 766	14 45	4.11	35.60	/2.88	10.11	123.43	240%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	13%	23.71	6.17	17.76	4.62	Silver Lake Oaks
16						4.20	55.00	20.25	3.05	46.40	30%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	15%	21.70	6.35	16.59	4.86	Skycrest
47	St. John's Highlands	FWS	99	2,755	9.05	4.76	32.85	14.66	3.66	32.96	0%	21.02	3 80	4.70	40.00		10 50				
18	Stone Mountain	FWS	10	4,733	27.59	2.97	42.44	63.36	9.79	112.31	165%	21.92	3.80	4.70	40.92	25%	13.59	7.15	11.26	5.92	St. John's Highlands
19	Summit Chase	ASI	220	2,916	9.24	2.64	22.44	19.70	5.86	49.00	118%	21 92	3.80	4.76	40.92	- 82%	17.45	4.46	27.59	2.97	Stone Mountain
0	Sunny Hills	FWS	580	6,757	11.70	4.51	34.25	26.31	2.84	40.51	18%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	19%	17.45	4.99	10.83	4.81	Summit Chase
21	Tangerine	FWS	285	9,585	8.92	1.53	16.57	26.85	2.09	37.30	125%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	147%	13.39	2 30	13.90	2.30	Sunny Hills
22	Tomoko/Twin Rivers	ASI	76	5,754	16.40	3.09	31.85	61.85	7.72	100.45	215%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	28%	45.92	8.65	21.05	3.97	The Woods
4	Valencia Terrace	EWS	2/1	7,018	4.78	1.40	11.78	29.56	3.74	48.26	310%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	247%	7.18	2.10	7.18	2.10	Tomoka/Twin River
5	Venetian Village	FWS	304	4,865	9.90	2.14	20.60	19.33	2.63	32.48	58%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	99%	14.87	3.21	14.87	3.21	/alencia Terrace
6	Village Water	ASI	184	7 307	12 08	3.89	29.73	25.84	3.45	43.09	45%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	38%	15.44	5.84	14.14	5.35	Venetian Village
7	Welaka/Saratoga Harbour	FWS	155	3.564	10.25	4.65	20.03	24.64	5.57	52.49	106%	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	60%	27.49	5.32	20.78	4.02	/illage Water
8	Wootens	FWS	29	2.421	9.32	4 76	33.10	63.04	12.07	59.15	0760	21.92	3.80	4.76	40.92	22%	15.39	6.98	12.51	5.67	Welaka/Saratoga Harbou
9	Zephyr Shores	FWS	521	1,670	6.30	5.03	31.45	21.95	9.79	70.90	125%	21.92	3.80	4./6	40.92	24%	13.99	7.15	11.50	5.88	Vootens
Ī	Totals		18,197	314 068			707.0			. 0.00	12.070	21.32	5.00	4.10	40.92	30%	9.46	7.55	8.19	6.54 2	Lephyr Shores
	Averages		319	5,570		-							• · · · · · ·		1						

 (23)
 (24)
 (25)
 (27)
 (101)
 (102)
 (103)
 (104)
 (105)
 (108)
 (109)
 (110)
 (111)

 All Systems: Proposed Conservation Block 1 =
 5,000
 5,000
 (104)
 (105)
 (108)
 (109)
 (110)
 (111)

 Water
 Water

	(1)	(2)	(7)	(17)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(27)	(101)	(102)	(103)	(104)	(105)	(108)	(109)	(110)	(111)	Exhibit DTF-1
catc:	5/16/2008 10:09		Sewer	Sewer	Res Rates	& Monthly B	sewer	CP & VigW Sewer	all Cmrcl) Sewer	Sewer	Sewer	Cap=	6,000 Sewar	1	Sewer	Sewer	Sewer	Sewer	Sewer	Sewer	•
	-		ectron		SUNCI	UG WEI	051101	001101		VOW BI	OC WAI	09441	COMAI		CAMAI	004461		Gewei	Cower	<u> </u>	
	Sewer System Name	Orig Co	#Swr Custs	Avg Gals Usage	Present 5/8 BFC	Present Usage Rate	Present 5k Mon Bill	Stand Alone 5/8 BFC	Stand Alone Usg Rate	Stand Alone 5k Mon Bill	StndAin- Prsnt 5k Bill % Incr	Group 5/8 BFC	Group Usage Rate		MFR Proposed Grpd Bill at 5k	Grp- Prsnt 5k Bill % incr	Interim StndAln Unlimitd BFC	Interim StndAln Unlimitd Usage	Interim Limited BFC (or FR)	Propsd Interim Limited Usage	Sewer System Name
1 2 3	Arredondo Estates/Farms Beecher's Point	ASI FWS	360 18	6,506 2,658	15.30 21.43	3.56 7.04	33.10 56.63	27.66 81.49	8.29 71.96	69.11 441.29	109%	45.26	8.73 8.73		88.91 88.91	169% 57%	28.68 43.54	6.67 14.30	28.68 33.45	6.67 10.99	Arredondo Estates/Farms Beecher's Point
4	Chuluota	FWS	606	11,494	- 22.01	8.01	62.06	114.93	8.06	155.23	150%	45.26	8.73		88.91	43%	44.72	16.28	31.35	11.41	Chuluota
7 8 9	Fl Central Commerce Pa	FWS	65	22,620	38.72	5.22	64.82	112,94	12.05	173.19	167%	45.26	10.48		97.66	51%	78.68	10.61	57.97	7.82	FI Central Commerce Pa
10 11 12						1			·												
13 14 15	······································																e313				
16 17	Holiday Haven	FWS	111	3,695	16.43	8.79	60.38	66.91	18.59	159.86	165%	45.26	8.73		88.91	47%	33.38	<u>17</u> .86	24.05	12.87	Holiday Haven
18	Interlachen Lake/Park Mar	ASI	1 553	4,430	29.80	5.95	59.55	57.74	19.55	155.49	161%	45.26	8.73		88.91	49%	60.55	12.09	44.24	8.83	Interlachen Lake/Park Ma
20	Jungle Den	FWS		1,655	12.35	9.57	60.20	42.95	20.35	144.70	140%	45.26	8.73		88.91	48%	24.39	19.45	18.14	14.05	Jungle Den
21	Kings Cove	ASI	200	13,239	9.24	3.24	25.44	12.50	5.78	41.40	63%	45.26	8.73		88.91	249%	10.93	3.83	10.93	3.83	Kings Cove
23	Lake Gibson Estates	FWS	319		•		26.31	<u> </u>		184.14	587%	45.26	•	FlatRate	112.65	320%	54.43	-	54.48		Lake Gibson Estates
26	Lake Suzy	ASI	270	4,310	29.68	8.78	73.58	29.76	6,58	62.66	-15%	45.26	8.73		88.91	21%	24.51	7.25	29.68	8.78	Lake Suzy
27 28 29	Leisure Lakes Morningview	FWS FWS	282 36	2,274 5,635	16.30 24.40	3.30 7.57	32.80 62.25	<u>14,45</u> 65,20	9.19 12.05	60.40 125.45	84 <u>%</u> 102%	45.26 45.26	8.73 8.73		88.91 88.91	171% 43%	33.12 49.58	6.71 15.38	33.12 34.65	6.71 10.75	Leisure Lakes Morningview
30 31						•	69 17		ļ		······						-				
32 33 34	Paim Port Paim Terrace	FWS FWS	107 1,025	3,786 5,075	20.28 19.05	8.26 4.18	61.58 39.95	35.13 22.68	11.53 6.28	92.78 54.08	51% 35%	45.26 45.26	8.73 8.73		88.91 88.91	44% 123%	41.21 38.71	16.78 8.49	29.11 38.71	11.86 8.49	Palm Port Palm Terrace
35 36													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
38 39														<u></u>	-			·			
40 41 42	Rosalie Oaks	ASI	97	1,796	15,51	6.67	48.86	61,80	29.09	207.25	324%	45.26	8.73		88.91	82%	53.95	23.20	28.06	12.07	Rosalie Oaks
43	Silver Lake Oaks	FWS	43	4,621	20.08	8.29	61.53	57.50	21.87	166.85	171%	45.26	8.73		88.91	44%	40.80	16.84	28.85	11.91	Silver Lake Oaks
46 47	South Seas	ASI	68	23,082	28.61	3.94	48.31	147.51	5.41	174.56	261%	45.26	8.73		88.91	84%	48.38	6.66	48.38	6.66	South Seas
48 49 50	Summit Chase Sunny Hills	ASI FWS	218 180	2,956 3,333	9.45 19.74	2.70 8.31	22.95 61.29	0.66 42.51	10.33 9.26	52.31 88.81	128% 45%	45.26 45.26	8.73 8.73		88.91 88.91		13.04	3.73 16.89	13.04 28.47	3.73	Summit Chase Sunny Hills
51 52	The Woods	ASI	72	5,023	15.74	4.10	36.24	57.22	12.92	121.82	236%	45.26	8.73		88.91	145%	49.49	12.89	38.39	10.00	The Woods
53 54	Valencia Terrace	FWS	349	4.935	16.30	3.30	32.80	21.86	5.18	47.76	46%	45.26	8.73		88.91	171%	33.12	6.71	33.12	671	Valencia Terrace
55 56	Venetian Village Village Water	FWS ASI	95 35	5,178 36,483	20.45 42.73	5.97 3.89	50.30 62.18	39,91 167,29	7.33	76.56 218.59	52% 252%	45.26	8.73 10.48		88.91 97.66	77% 57%	41.55	12.13 10.55	35.94	10.49	Venetian Village Village Water
57 58							an (1938) 1		l												
59	Zephyr Shores	FWS	517	1,668	11.39	9.54	59.09	15.63	12.14	76.33	29%	45.26	8.73	I	88.91	50%	23.14	19,38	17.04	14.27	Zephyr Shores
	Averages	i	6,794 272	7,276														-	-		

For a star in the start of the start start start start starts and starts and

Franceski Exhibit DTF-1 (4 pages).xls

printed 5/16/2008 1:56 PM

Exhibit DTF-1



Franceski Exhibit DTF-1 (4 pages).xis



printed 5/16/2008 1:53 PM



printed 5/16/2008 1:52 PM