## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Review of tariff filing (T-080233) by	DOCKET NO. 080230-TL
Verizon Florida LLC to establish bill credit	ORDER NO. PSC-08-0342-PAA-TL
trial.	ISSUED: May 28, 2008

#### The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

# MATTHEW M. CARTER II, Chairman LISA POLAK EDGAR KATRINA J. McMURRIAN NANCY ARGENZIANO NATHAN A. SKOP

# NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER APPROVING TARIFF FILING PURSUANT TO SECTION 364.057(1), FLORIDA STATUTES

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

### I. Case Background

On April 16, 2008, Verizon Florida LLC (Verizon or the Company) filed a tariff (T-080233) to offer a five-month trial wherein a portion of the residential customers who experience two or more repair problems within 30 days of a new, change, or move order would receive a bill credit of \$25.00. The trial period is April 17, 2008 through September 17, 2008.

This bill credit trial does share some similarities with Verizon's Service Performance Guarantee (SPG), which is described in a different section of the same tariff, although the trial is incremental to this existing program and differs in some important respects. Under Verizon's SPG, if a residential customer's requested installation or repair of Company-owned facilities is not completed as agreed, the customer may request a \$25.00 credit. A comparison of Verizon's existing SPG to the instant trial reveals that the latter is limited to repair issues related to recent order activity and provides a Company-initiated bill credit, rather than a credit upon customer request.

Verizon's existing SPG program was <u>not</u> established by petition this Commission under Rule 25-4.085 - Service Guarantee Program, Florida Administrative Code. When a program is DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE

04498 MAY 28 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

# ORDER NO. PSC-08-0342-PAA-TL DOCKET NO. 080230-TL PAGE 2

established pursuant to this rule, the Company is relieved from the otherwise applicable rule requirement of each Commission service standard; in essence, the program acts as an alternative regulatory tool. Verizon's existing SPG program does not constitute a Service Guarantee Program pursuant to Rule 25-4.085, Florida Administrative Code.

In this instance, as with its existing SPG program, the Company is not seeking relief from this Commission's service standards. The bill credit trial is designed to evaluate whether a monetary benefit assists in retaining residential customers who are experiencing service problems. Verizon believes that customers who are having repair issues are more likely to change carriers. To gauge whether or not the monetary benefit does assist with residential customer retention, the company plans to establish test and control groups, whereby the former group would receive the bill credit and the latter would not. This trial discriminates among similarly situated residential customers by design, which appears to be at odds with Section 364.051(5)(a), Florida Statutes, which states in part that the "local exchange telecommunications company shall not engage in any anticompetitive act or practice, nor <u>unreasonably discriminate</u> <u>among similarly situated customers</u>." (emphasis added) By its experimental nature, this tariff may qualify for approval pursuant to Section 364.057(1), Florida Statutes, which authorizes this Commission to approve rates on an experimental or transitional basis to test marketing strategies.

## II. <u>Analysis</u>

As discussed in the Case Background, Verizon's tariff filing to offer a five-month trial will treat similarly situated residential customers differently by providing bill credits to only some qualifying customers, thereby discriminating by design. Marketing experiments often utilize a test group and a control group in order to gauge the effectiveness of the initiative being considered for general application. In this respect, there is a rational basis for the discrimination, yet the discrimination raises an issue of statutory compliance.

Section 364.051(5)(a), Florida Statutes, addresses nonbasic service offerings of price regulated LECs. This statute imposes certain pricing controls by limiting rate increases for each category of nonbasic services and establishing a cost standard for determining cross-subsidization. In addition, the statute provides several permissible vehicles for a price regulated LEC to meet competitive offers. Finally, of particular relevance is the passage that the "local exchange telecommunications company shall not engage in any anticompetitive act or practice, nor <u>unreasonably discriminate among similarly situated customers</u>." (emphasis added) Since qualifying customers apparently will be assigned randomly to the test and control groups, the trial creates unreasonable discrimination of the sort precluded by Section 364.051(5)(a), Florida Statutes. Nonetheless, the filing may qualify for approval under Section 364.057(1), Florida Statutes.

Section 364.057(1), Florida Statutes, authorizes this Commission to approve rates on an experimental or transitional basis to test marketing strategies:

The commission is authorized to approve rates it determines to be in the public interest on an experimental or transitional basis for any telecommunications company to test marketing strategies. The application of such rates may be for limited geographic areas and shall be for a limited period of time specified at the time of approval of such rates.

This section is applicable to price regulated LECs by virtue of not being listed among the sections not applicable (see Section 364.051(1)(c) for list of exemptions). Since a bill credit reduces the effective rate paid by the residential customer, the statute can be applied in this instance.

In addition, there is another statute applicable to price regulated LECs, which we believe is relevant in the instant case. Section 364.09, Florida Statutes, prohibits rebates and special rates, yet has the caveat "except as authorized in this chapter." The complete text of Section 364.09, Florida Statutes, is provided below.

A telecommunication company may not, directly or indirectly, or by any special rate, rebate, drawback, or other device or method, charge, demand, collect, or receive from any person a greater or less compensation for any service rendered or to be rendered with respect to communication by telephone or in connection therewith, <u>except as authorized in this chapter</u>, than it charges, demands, collects, or receives from any other person for doing a like and contemporaneous service with respect to communications by telephone under the same or substantially the same circumstances and conditions. (emphasis added)

The language "except as authorized in this chapter" enables an otherwise precluded action, such as the differential treatment proposed in Verizon's filing wherein only some qualifying customers will receive a bill credit, to be permitted under another statute, in this instance Section 364.057(1).

The company has a need to test various strategies for retention marketing. Further, the company plans to run the trial for five months, which meets the criterion in Section 364.057(1), Florida Statutes, that the experiment be for a "limited period of time." The test and control groups could be defined differently (e.g., perhaps geographically by exchange/zone) such that the residential customers in the test and control groups might not be similarly situated to the same degree, but the practical result would be much the same. In order to truly gauge the effectiveness of this retention strategy, only some customers in the target group can receive the bill credit. While it is possible to provide the bill credit to all qualifying customers and compare customer retention with the initiative to that observed previously, the comparison would not isolate the effect of the bill credit. To the extent other important factors (e.g., monthly rates charged by Verizon and its competitors) have changed over the same time period, the comparison would provide the combined effect of all changes, not just the effect of the bill credit.

If the trial is successful, this or a similar program likely will be extended to all customers with the same repair issues in the future. This potential outcome, wherein all similarly situated customers eventually would benefit, leads us to conclude that the trial is in the public interest.

ORDER NO. PSC-08-0342-PAA-TL DOCKET NO. 080230-TL PAGE 4

Therefore, we hereby find that Verizon's Tariff Filing (T-080233) to establish a bill credit trial is approved pursuant to Section 364.057(1), Florida Statutes, for the period April 17, 2008 through September 17, 2008.

This Order will become final and effective upon issuance of the Consummating Order if no person whose substantial interests are affected timely files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of this Order. In the event of a timely protest, the tariff should remain in effect pending the outcome of further proceedings.

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Verizon's Tariff Filing (T-080233) to establish a bill credit trial is approved pursuant to Section 364.057(1), Florida Statutes, for the period April 17, 2008 through September 17, 2008.

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings" attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed upon issuance of the Consummating Order.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 28th day of May, 2008.

ANN COLE Commission Clerk

(SEAL)

AJT

## NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on June 18, 2008.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this/these docket(s) before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.