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# DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT 

July 3, 2008

## TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have performed the procedures enumerated later in this report to meet the agreed upon objectives set forth by the Division of Economic Regulation in its audit service request. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, Florida Division, (CUC) in support of its filing for Energy Conservation Cost Recovery (ECCR) in Docket No. 080004GU.

This audit is performed following general standards and field work standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards of Attestation Engagements. This report is based on agreed upon procedures which are only for internal Commission use.

## OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES:

## REVENUES

Objective: - To verify that CUC has applied the approved ECCR adjustment factors to energy (Therm) sales during the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.

Procedures: - We recalculated revenues, by month and rate class, using approved Florida Public Service Commission, (FPSC) rate factors and company provided Therm Recoverable sales. We reconciled the filing to the general ledger. We recalculated the energy charge for customer bills selected from various rate classes and determined that the company used the correct rates as approved by the Commission.

## EXPENSES

Objective: - To verify the accuracy of information filed by CUC regarding conservation costs for the period January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007 per Commission Rule 25-17.015, F.A.C.

Procedures: - We reconciled the ECCR filing to the general ledger and to supporting documentation provided by the company. We performed specific testing as described in the following procedures.

Objective: - Determine whether expenditures for which recovery is claimed through the ECCR are allowable expenses.

Procedures: - We compiled ECCR expenses and agreed same to the filing. We scheduled expenses by program and by expense categories. We tested the allocation of company salaries and benefits to ECCR expense to verify that only conservation activity was included. We prepared a schedule of salaries and benefits and traced them to conservation programs. We also tested judgmentally selected items in Materials and Supplies, Outside Services, and Vehicles.

Objective: - To verify that advertising costs charged to ECCR are consistent with Rule 25-17.015 (5), F.A.C.

Procedures: - We tested advertising costs to verify that they were properly recoverable through the ECCR and did not compare electric vs. gas technologies.

Objective: - For all conservation programs that differed from the budgeted amount, identify the program and total dollar difference between budgeted and actual expenses.

Procedures: - We identified those programs that exceeded budgeted amount by $10 \%$ or more. We determined which expenses were over budget in the selected programs and reviewed an explanation from the company.

## ECCR True-Up

Objective: - To determine if the True-up calculation and interest provision as filed was properly calculated using the FPSC approved interest rates.

Procedures: - We recomputed the 2007 ECCR true-up and interest using the FPSC approved recoverable true-up amount and interest rates.

## ECCR Other

Objective: To determine that all executed company builder agreements are in compliance with Commission rules and the company's approved ECCR programs.

Procedures: We reviewed all builders agreements executed in 2007 to ensure that the incentives offered to the builders agree to the company's approved conservation programs.


| SCHEDULECT-2 <br> Page 2 OF 3 |  | CAPITAL INVESTMENT | PAYROLL BENEFITS | ACTUAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM COSTS PER PROGRAM FOR MONTHS: JANUARY 2007 THROUGH DECEMBER 2007 |  |  |  | Chasapeake Ulilisies Corporation <br> Dockel No. 08003-GU <br> Exhiblit MD-1 <br> Page 3 of 17 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  | MATERIALS SUPPLIES |  | ADVERTISING | INCENTIVES | OUTSIDE SERVICES | VEHICLE | OTHER | TOTAL |
| PROGRAM 1: | RESIDENTUL NEW CONSTRUCTION |  | 0 | 200,305 | 28,278 | 75,883 | 283,300 | 585 | 16,878 | 0 | 605,249 |
| PRROGRAM 2: | RESIDENTML APPLLANCE REPPLCEMENT |  | 0 | 38,457 | 9,204 | 18,485 | 18,685 | 595 | 1,522 | 0 | 86,927 |
| PRROGPAM 3: | RESIDENTLAL PROPANE DISTRIEUTION | 0 | 309 | 717 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 17 | 0 | 1,218 |
| PROCRAM 4: | RESHDENTLIL APPLLANCE RETENTION | 0 | 84,511 | 15,642 | 16,423 | 42,800 | 595 | 8,431 | 0 | 146,202 |
| PROCRAM 5: | NG SPACE CONOITONING FOR RES HOMES | S 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PROGRAM $6:$ | gas Space conilionang | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| proceram 7: | CONSERVATION EDUCATION | 0 | 44,603 | 6,990 | 9,173 | 0 | 2,284 | 3,512 | 0 | 68,562 |
| PROGRAM 8: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRNM: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROCRAM 10: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRAM 11: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRAM 12: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRAM 13: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRAM 14: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRAM 15: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRAM 16: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRAM 17: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROORAM 18: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRAM 19: |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROGRAM 20: |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| total |  | 0 | 348,184 | 60,831 | 119,954 | 344,585 | 4,244 | 28,360 | 0 | 900,159 |

