

RECEIVED-FPSC

08 AUG 25 PM 3: 00

COMMISSION CLERK

August 25, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Petition for approval of revised underground residential distribution tariffs, by Re: Progress Energy Florida, Inc.; Docket No. 080186-EI

Dear Ms. Cole:

Please find enclosed for filing on behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") the responses to Staff's data request dated July 23, 2008 in the above referenced docket.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please call me at (727) 820-5184 should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

-Burnettuns

John T. Burnett

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 07701 AUG 25 g

FPSC-COMMISSION CLEEP

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S RESPONSES TO STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST DOCKET NO. 080186-EI

General questions:

Q1. Please provide a general discussion as to why non-storm operational costs are higher for underground than overhead facilities.

<u>Answer</u>: The materials for underground repairs are more expensive than their overhead counterparts. The repair of underground equipment is a more lengthy process than overhead. In repairing underground equipment, PEF must first find and isolate the fault, and this requires opening several pieces of equipment. Once this is completed, fault finding equipment is used to actually locate the bad area, holes are dug, and splices installed. Once completed, the underground cable needs to be switched back into service, and this process is a reverse of the isolating process. Underground failures are mostly permanent faults that require repairs, and a larger percentage of overhead faults are temporary in nature and clear by themselves or require little extra work. When overhead equipment requires work, the fault is generally of the type where the line isolates itself, locating the fault is more easily indentified visually, and the repairs are generally less time consuming.

Q2. The Phase 3 PURC Report which was presented to the Commission at the June 16, 2008, Internal Affairs, states on page 56 that an underground feasibility study shows that the O&M costs for overhead and direct buried underground systems are comparable. Please comment on this conclusion and discuss why PEF analysis shows a different result, i.e., operational costs are higher for underground than overhead. The report can be read at: http://www.cba.ufl.edu/purc/docs/initiatives UndergroundingAssessment3.pdf

<u>Answer</u>: The reference made by Quanta Technology on page 56 of the Phase 3 PURC Report is to a study conducted by the North Carolina Disaster Preparedness Task Force in 2003. This report concludes that in more urban environments (as is Florida), the costs to maintain underground lines can be significantly higher. The report also concludes that underground systems have an expected life of 30 years while their overhead counterparts have an expected life of 50 years. The net present value of the maintenance of these assets was not taken into consideration in the North Carolina report when calculating the per mile maintenance costs cited in the Phase 3 PURC Report.

Q3. Rule 25-6.078 (4), Florida Administrative Code, requires each utility to establish sufficient record keeping and accounting measures to separately identify operational costs for underground and overhead facilities, including storm related costs. Please provide a discussion on where PEF stands with respect to this rule requirement.

<u>Answer</u>: The Company's accounting systems for overhead and underground costs for capital and O&M excluding storm restoration costs are as follows. Both the Company's management accounting system and the FERC accounts general ledger system include distinctions for overhead vs. underground facilities. The Company's current management accounting system is a project (or job) oriented system which includes accounting for separate work streams (detailed work requests) associated with each project. These work requests distinguish overhead and underground work. After being aggregated to a project level, each project is driven to specific FERC accounts based on the work included in each project.

As for the accounting requirements associated with storm restoration – the Company has developed accounting practices to distinguish overhead and underground storm restoration. For each major storm, the Company establishes a separate project within its project accounting system. For each project, there is a series of tasks assigned to designate the major work streams and support activities taking place during storm restoration. These tasks distinguish costs first by functional areas; for the transmission and distribution functions, overhead and underground line work is separately accounted for by unique task.

Q4. Please provide a detailed explanation as to how the \$21.4 million annual storm damage costs were calculated. Is that number based on actual historical costs? For which years? Does this number include assumptions as to how often a storm even will occur?

<u>Answer</u>: The \$21.4 million annual storm damage costs were calculated using a model simulation that contains many data points for hurricane hazards over the last 100 years. The model also contains historical data from losses to PEF service territory. The frequency and intensity of the storms are included in the model because the data points include such information. The value of the assets on PEF's system is also input into the model. A simulation is then run which predicts the annual storm damage costs. More details about the model's assumptions can be found in the attached Hurricane Risk Profile, which was originally an exhibit in PEF's last rate case. This model, the USWIND model, has also been approved by the Florida Public Service Commission for Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology. The submission and more information on the model can be found at <u>http://www.sbafla.com/methodology/</u> under the submission from EQECAT, Inc.

- Q5. Order No. PSC-06-0947-PAA-EI, issued on November 13, 2006 in Docket No. 060198-EI, states that PEF estimated an incremental annual cost of \$5 million for its vegetation management plan compared to the 2005 base year costs.
 - a. Is the \$5 million still an accurate reflection of PEF's incremental annual vegetation management plan costs?

Answer: Yes, the \$5 million is an accurate reflection.

b. Does PEF agree that since PEF used 2002-2006 data in this petition, any incremental vegetation management plans costs would not be captured in the URD differential?

<u>Answer</u>: No, the \$5 million incremental costs began in 2006, therefore, they are reflected in the submitted URD differential.

c. Which account in the workpapers provided in Attachment D of PEF's responses to staff's first data request includes vegetation management?

Answer: D7108.

d. Does PEF agree that any incremental vegetation management costs are strictly overhead costs, and would therefore decrease the differential between underground and overhead? If not, please explain why.

<u>Answer</u>: No. There is some vegetation management maintenance work required at UG substation exits and at overhead to underground transition points.

Q6. Please explain PEF's basis for allocating 80% of the storm damage costs to distribution. Is it based on actual experience?

<u>Answer</u>: The allocation of 80% of storm damage to distribution was based on the Company's actual experience of prior storm damage and, most recently from the 2004 and 2005 storm seasons.

For the following questions, please refer to Attachment D of PEF's responses to staff's first data request.

Q7. The historical operational costs were based on the period 2002 through 2006. Why did PEF not include 2007?

Answer: The Company used the period of 2002 through 2006 in its development of the life cycle operating costs based on the timing of the reporting requirements for which this data was developed. In accordance with Rule 25-6.078 (3), the Company was required to review its underground cost differentials for residential subdivisions (URD) and report those differentials (in relation to its currently approved differentials) to the Commission by October 15, 2007. Given this requirement, the Company evaluated its operating costs using the 5 year period of 2002-2006. This October 15, 2007 filing requirement gave rise to the further requirement to file the complete cost support for the Company's URD schedule of charges due April 1, 2008. It was an extensive effort to develop this 5 year average NPV of operational costs including storm restoration costs from the Company's detailed accounting records. Based on this fact, and the fact that these differentials are reviewed and potentially revised on an annual basis, the Company determined that it would not be necessary to update this exercise to include calendar year 2007 accounting data.

Q8. Overhead operational cost appear to be significantly higher in 2003 (\$135,915,758) compared to 2002 and 2004-2006. Please explain why.

<u>Answer</u>: From 2002 through 2004, Progress Energy Florida was involved in its Commitment to Excellence (CTE) program geared towards significantly improving our system reliability. There were significant additional dollars spent during these years in the maintenance of capital investment items and operational costs such as vegetation management and outage response. As a result, Progress Energy Florida improved their System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) by almost 13 minutes between these years.

Q9. The following summarizes the total operational underground costs:

2002 - \$83,506,066, 2003 - \$111,094,609, 2004 - \$50,594,200, 2005 - \$47,381,048, 2006 - \$61,732,840.

Please explain the higher totals in 2002 and 2003.

Answer: Please see response to question 8 above.

Q10. Please explain why in 2002-2004, a small percentage of account D7105, *Replace Poles Id'd by inspection*, was allocated to underground. Why are pole-related costs not 100 percent overhead?

<u>Answer</u>: The materials charged to a job (when available) were used as a proxy for determining the percentage splits between overhead and underground maintenance

investments. The majority of the equipment contained in overhead to underground transition poles is classified as underground equipment which leads to some allocation of dollars for primarily overhead activities as underground.

Q11. Please explain what costs are included in account D7101, Maintain Overhead Lines – PM. Attachment D shows that in 2002, 31% were allocated to underground, and 69% to overhead. For 2003-2006, all the costs were allocated to underground. Why would costs from a Maintain <u>Overhead</u> Lines account be allocated to underground, and why is 2002 treated differently?

<u>Answer</u>: This code was used in 2002 to capture costs relating to overhead and underground equipment failures. Since 2003 however, this code has been used primarily for overhead maintenance activities. This has been reflected in the current revisions to PEF's calculations of the NPV of life cycle costs attached.

Q12. PEF's petition shows that the NPV of life cycle operational costs, including storm restoration, for the low density subdivision is \$268 per lot.

a. Please confirm that this number is derived in the following manner: \$16,566 x 3.4 circuit miles / 210 lots.

<u>Answer</u>: Correct - 16,566 * 3.4 miles / 210 lots = 268 per lot. In the current revisions attached the calculations has been provided and are revised to show the breakdown between overhead and underground as the miles are slightly different depending on construction design.

b. Please calculate the impact on the URD charge of the non-storm operational cost.

<u>Answer</u>: See attached revised summary of NPV of life cycle costs per mile and per lot broken down between total, non-storm and storm components and overhead and underground.

c. Please calculate the impact on the URD charge of the storm restorations cost.

<u>Answer</u>: See attached revised summary of NPV of life cycle costs per mile and per lot broken down between total, non-storm and storm components and overhead and underground.

Q13. PEF's petition shows that the NPV of life cycle operational costs, including storm restoration, for the low density subdivision is \$158 per lot.

a. Please confirm that this number is derived in the following manner: \$16,566 x 1.7 circuit miles / 176 lots.

<u>Answer</u>: Correct - 16,566 + 1.7 miles / 176 lots = 158 per lot. In the current revisions attached the calculations has been provided and are revised to show the breakdown between overhead and underground as the miles are slightly different depending on construction design.

b. Please calculate the impact on the URD charge of the non-storm operational cost.

<u>Answer</u>: See attached revised summary of NPV of life cycle costs per mile and per lot broken down between total, non-storm and storm components and overhead and underground.

c. Please calculate the impact on the URD charge of the storm restorations cost.

<u>Answer</u>: See attached revised summary of NPV of life cycle costs per mile and per lot broken down between total, non-storm and storm components and overhead and underground.

Q14. The following questions refer to the discount factor used to calculate the NPV of the operational costs:

a. Please state the formula used to derive the discount factor for year 1 (0.961805271).

<u>Answer</u>: The formula is $1/(1+.0810)^{((2007-2007)+.05)}$ where .0810 is the discount rate (WACC) and 2007 is the current year – 2007 as the base year +.05 for $\frac{1}{2}$ year convention. This formula is adjusted each year for the variable raised to the power of: the current year less the base year +.05. E.g. for 2010 the formula would be: $1/(1-.0810)^{((2010-2007)+.05)}$.

b. Please explain PEF's basis for using a "mid-year" discount factor as opposed to an "end of each period" discount factor (i.e., stand Excel NPV formula).

<u>Answer</u>: The Company's standard method of evaluating the NPV of projects with annual expenditure assumptions (as opposed to monthly) is to assume that the expenditures would be spent ratably during the year. Using a mid-year convention in the NPV calculations simulates expenditures made ratably throughout the year as opposed to beginning of the year or end of the year conventions.

c. Please re-calculate the underground vs. overhead NPV (currently \$16,566.33) using the standard Excel NPV formula.

<u>Answer</u>: Using the NPV Excel function, which assumes all costs would be outlayed at the year-end as opposed to ratably throughout the year would result in a differential of (\$15,933.59) compared to the (\$16,566.13) calculated by PEF's mid-year convention NPV method. The revised calculations show the NPV of the life cycle cost differentials

per mile to be (\$5,968) (underground more expensive than overhead). This would be (\$5,740) using the excel NPV function.

Q15. Do both the overhead and underground low density subdivisions have 3.4 circuit miles of distribution lines, or is that number specific to an underground design (with an overhead low density subdivision having a different number of circuit miles)? If that number is specific to an underground low density subdivision only, please state what the circuit miles would be for the same overhead division. Provide the same response for the high density subdivision.

<u>Answer</u>: The miles used in the Company's original differential calculations were specific to underground construction -3.4 miles for low density and 1.7 miles for high density.

The overhead designs have the following circuit miles:

Low Density 3.65 miles, High Density Individual services 1.57 miles, High Density Gang Services 1.51 miles. In the current revisions attached the calculations has been provided and are revised to show the breakdown between overhead and underground since the miles are slightly different depending on construction design.

Progress Energy Florida Actuals for 5 Year Period of 2002-2006 Summary of NPV Life Cycle Costs per mile for Overhead and Underground Distribution *Revised* 8/22/08

		Including Storm	Excludi	ng Storm	Ś	Storm
5 year average OH Unit Costs in 2007 Dollars - Annual	I \$	4,692	2 \$	4,030	\$	662
5 year average UG Unit Costs in 2007 Dollars - Annual	I \$	5,07	2 \$	4,902	\$	170
Differential in 2007 Dollars - OH more (less) than UG	9	(380))\$	(872)	\$	492
NPV of 38 Year Life Cycle Overhead Underground Differential - OH more (less) than UG	\$ \$ \$ ck	79,610	5	\$63,258 \$76,946 (13,688)		\$10,390 \$2,670 7,720

NPV Life Cycle Costs - Per Lot Differentials

-		OHD	UG				
Low Density				•			
Fe	et of Line	19,272	17,920				
Mi	les of Line	3.65	3.4				
Nu	umber of Lots	210	210				
	Per Lot - (OHD		\$	1,280	\$ 1,099	\$ 181
	Per Lot - l	JG		\$	1,287	\$ 1,244	\$ 43
	Per Lot - [Differential		\$	7	\$ 144	\$ (137)
High Density	-IND						
	et of Line	8,290	8,850				
Mi	les of Line	1.57	1.7				
Νι	umber of Lots	176	176				
	Per Lot - (OHD		\$	657	\$ 564	\$ 93
	Per Lot - l	JG		\$	758	\$ 733	\$ 25
	Per Lot - I	Differential		\$	101	\$ 169	\$ (67)
High Density	-GNG						
	eet of Line	7,973	8,850				
Mi	les of Line	1.51	1.7				
Νι	umber of Lots	176	176				
	Per Lot - (OHD		\$	632	\$ 543	\$ 89
	Per Lot - l	JG		\$	758	\$ 733	\$ 25
	Per Lot - [Differential		\$	126	\$ 190	\$ (64)

Progress Energy Florida Calculation of NPV for Life Cycle - including Storm UG vs. OH based 5 yr Avg Unit Cost of Circuit Miles

.

Discount Rate Tax Rate	Florida WACC	8.10% 0.00%															
Discount Factor		0.961805271	0.889736606	0.823068091	0.761395089	0.704343283	0.651566404	0.60274413	0.557580138	0.515800313	0.477151076	0.44139785	0.408323636	0.377727692	0.349424322	0.323241741	0.299021037
Total Cost w/Storm Costs	NPV	2007 1 -380.18	2008 2 -389.69	2009 3 -399.43	2010 4 -408.42	2011 5 -417.61	2012 6 -427.00	2013 7 -436.61	2014 8 -446.43	2015 9 -456.48	2016 10 -466.75	2017 11 -477.25	2018 12 -487.99	2019 13 ~498.97	2020 14 -510.20	2021 15 -521.68	2022 16 -533.41
NPV using Discount Factor(mid-yr conventio NPV using NPV Function (EOY convention)		-380.18	-389.69	-399.43	-408.42	-417.61	-427.00	-436.61	-446.43	-456.48	-466.75	-477.25	-487.99	-498.97	-510.20	-521.68	-533.41
5 year average OH Unit Costs in 2007 Dollar 5 year average UG Unit Costs in 2007 Dollar Delta in 2007 Dollars		\$ 4,692 \$ 5,072 \$ (380)															

•

0.276615206	0.255888257	0.236714391	0.218977235	0.202569135	0.187390504	0.173349218	0.160360053	0.148344175	0.137228654	0.126946026	0.117433882	0.108634488	0.100494439 0	.092964328 0.	085998453 0.	079554536 0.	073593465 0.0	068079061 0.	062977855 0.0	058258885 0.	053893511
2023 17	2024	2025	2026 20	2027 21	2028 22	202 9 23	2030 24	2031 25	2032 26	2033 27	2034 28	2035 29	2036 30	2037 31	2038 32	2039 33	2040 34	2041 35	2042 36	2043 37	2044 38
-545.42	-557.69	-570.24	-583.07	-596.19	-609.60	-623.32	-637.34	-651.68	-666.34	-681.34	-696.67	-712.34	-728.37	-744.76	-761.51	-778.65	-796.17	-814.08	-832.40	-851.13	-870.28
-545.42	-557.69	-570.24	-583.07	-596.19	-609.60	-623.32	-637.34	-651.68	-666.34	-681.34	-696.67	-712.34	-728.37	-744.76	-761.51	-778.65	-796.17	-814.08	-832.40	-851.13	-870.28

Progress Energy Florida Calculation of NPV for Life Cycle Overhead based 5 yr Avg Unit Cost of Circuit Miles

Discount Rate Tax Rate	Florida WACC	8.10% 0.00%			·													
Discount Factor		0.961805271	0.889736606	0.823068091	0.761395089	0.704343283	0.651566404	0.60274413	0.557580138	0.515800313	0.477151076	0.44139785	0.408323636	0.377727692	0.349424322	0.323241741	0.299021037	
	NPV	2007 1	· 2008 2	2009 3	2010 4	2011 5	2012 6	2013 7	2014 8	2015 9	2016 10	2017 11	2018 12	2019 13	2020 14	2021 15	2022 16	
Total Cost w/Storm Costs		4,691.99	4,809.29	4,929.52	5,040.43	5,153.84	5,269.80	5,388.37	5,509.61	5,633.58	5,760.33	5,889.94	6,022.47	6,157.97	6,296.53	6,438.20	6,583.06	
NPV using Discount Factor(mid-yr convention) NPV using NPV Function (EOY convention)) \$73,648 \$70,835	4,691.99	4,809.29	4,929.52	5,040.43	5,153.84	5,269.80	5,388.37	5,509.61	5,633.58	5,760.33	5,889.94	6,022.47	6,157.97	6,296.53	6,438.20	6,583.06	
				Overhead														
Circuit Miles fr FRAME		2002 24,377	2003 24,605	2004 24,828	2005 24,930	2006 25,238												
Grand Totals with Entire (All Depts) including Major Ston	m Costs	92,689,132	159,310,060	82,050,290	78,531,509	89,637,131												
Costs in 2007 Dollars		114,965,680	193,214,173	94,762,306	85,670,737	91,814,299												

.

Costs in 2007 Dollars Unit Costs (in Circuit Miles) in 2007 Dollars 5 year average Costs in 2007 Dollars 5 year average Unit Costs in 2007 Dollars 114,965,680 193,214,173 94,762,306 85,670,737 91,814,299 \$ 4,716 \$ 7,853 \$ 3,817 \$ 3,436 \$ 3,638 116,085,439 4,692 \$

. .

0.276615206	0.255888257	0.236714391	0.218977235	0.202569135	0.187390504	0.173349218	0.160360053	0.148344175	0.137228654	0.126946026	0.117433682	0.108634488	0.100494439	0.092964328	0.085998453	0.079554536	0.073593465	0.068079061	0.062977855	0.058258885	0.053893511
2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
6,731.18	6,882.63	7,037.49	7,195.83	7,357.74	7,523.29	7,692.56	7,865.64	8,042.62	8,223.58	8,408.61	8,597.80	8,791.25	8,989.06	9,191.31	9,398.11	9,609.57	9,825.79	10,046.87	10,272.92	10,504.06	10,740.40
6,731.18	6,882.63	7,037.49	7,195.83	7,357.74	7,523.29	7,692.56	7,865.64	8,042.62	8,223.58	8,408.61	8,597.80	8,791.25	8,989.06	9,191.31	9,398.11	9,609.57	9,825.79	10,046.87	10,272.92	10,504.06	10,740.40

.

Progress Energy Florida Calculation of NPV for Life Cycle Overhead based 5 yr Avg Unit Cost of Circuit Miles

Overnead based biyr Avg Unit Cost of Circ	uit miles																	
Discount Rate Tax Rate	Florida WACC	8.10% 0.00%																
Discount Factor		0.961805271	0.889736606	0.823068091	0.761395089	0.704343283	0.651566404	0.60274413	0.557580138	0.515800313	0.477151076	0.44139785	0.408323636	0.377727692	0.349424322	0.323241741	0.299021037	
	NPV	2007 1	2008 2	2009 3	2010 4	2011 5	2012 6	2013 7	2014 8	2015 9	2016 10	2017 11	2018 12	2019 13	2020 14	2021 15		
Total Cost w/Storm Costs		4,030.04	4,130.79	4,234.06	4,329.33	4,426.74	4,526.34	4,628.18	4,732.32	4,838.79	4,947.67	5,058.99	5,172.82	5,289.20	5,408.21	5,529.90	5,654.32	
NPV using Discount Factor(mid-yr convention NPV using NPV Function (EOY convention)	1) \$63,258 \$60,842	4,030.04	4,130.79	4,234.06	4,329.33	4,426.74	4,526.34	4,628.18	4,732.32	4,838.79	4,947.67	5,058.99	5,172.82	5,289.20	5,408.21	5,529.90	5,654.32	
				Overhead														
		2002	2003	2004	2005	2006												
Circuit Miles fr FRAME		24,377	24,605	24,828	24,930	25,238												
Grand Totals with Entire (All Depts) Excluding Major SI	orm Costs	79,457,971	145,778,696	67,840,690	63,488,013	73,615,195												
Costs in 2007 Dollars		98,554,593	176,803,086	78,351,219	69,259,650	75,403,212												

98,554,593 176,803,086 78,351,219 69,259,650 75,403,212 \$ 4,043 \$ 7,186 \$ 3,156 \$ 2,778 \$ 2,988 Unit Costs (in Circuit Miles) in 2007 Dollars 5 year average Costs in 2007 Dollars 5 year average Unit Costs in 2007 Dollars 99.674.352 4,030 \$

86%

0.276615206	0.255888257	0.236714391	0.218977235	0.202569135	0.187390504	0.173349218	0.160360053	0.148344175	0.137228654	0.126946026	0.117433882	0.108634488	0.100494439	0.092964328	0.085998453	0.079554536	0.073593465	0.068079061	0.062977855	0.058258885	0.053893511
2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
5,781.54	5,911.62	6,044.64	6,180.64	6,319.71	6,461.90	6,607.29	6,755.96	6,907.96	7,063.39	7,222.32	7,384.82	7,550.98	7,720.88	7,894.60	8,072.23	8,253.85	8,439.56	8,629.45	8,823.62	9,022.15	9,225.15
5,781.54	5,911.62	6,044.64	6,180.64	6,319.71	6,461.90	6,607.29	6,755.96	6,907.96	7,063.39	7,222.32	7,384.82	7,550.98	7,720.88	7,894.60	8,072.23	8,253.85	8,439.56	8,629.45	8,823.62	9,022.15	9,225.15

Progress Energy Florida Calculation of NPV for Life Cycle Underground based 5 yr Avg Unit Cost of Circuit Miles

Discount Rate Tax Rate	Florida WACC	8.10% 0.00%															
Discount Factor		0.961805271	0.889736606	0.823068091	0.761395089	0.704343283	0.651566404	0.60274413	0.557580138	0.515800313	0.477151076	0.44139785	0.408323636	0.377727692	0.349424322	0.323241741	0.299021037
Total Cost w/Storm Costs	NPV	2007 1 5,072.17	2008 2 5,198.98	2009 3 5,328.95	2010 4 5,448.85	2011 5 5,571.45	2012 6 5,696.81	2013 7 5,824.99	2014 8 5,956.05	2015 9 6,090.06	2016 10 6,227.09	2017 11 6,367.19	2018 12 6,510.46	2019 13 6,656.94	2020 14 6,806.72	2021 15 6,959.87	2022 16 7,116.47
NPV using Discount Factor(mid-yr convention) NPV using NPV Function (EOY convention)	\$79,616 \$76,575	5,072.17	5,198.98	5,328.95	5,448.85	5,571.45	5,696.81	5,824.99	5,956.05	6,090.06	6,227.09	6,367.19	6,510.46	6,656 <i>.</i> 94	6,806.72	6,959.87	7,116.47

Circuit	Miles	fr FRAME	
---------	-------	----------	--

,

Grand Totals with Entire (All Depts) Including Major Storm Costs	
Costs in 2007 Dollars	
Unit Costs (in Circuit Miles) in 2007 Dollars	
5 year average Costs in 2007 Dollars	
5 year average Unit Costs in 2007 Dollars	

			ι	Jnderground		
	2002	2003		2004	2005	2006
-	14,186	14,949		15,993	17,199	18,488
	 77,354,149	103,964,336		52,924,485	48,316,086	59,135,323
	95,945,146	126,089,860		61,124,053	52,708,458	60,571,642
	\$ 6,763	\$ 8,435	\$	3,822	\$ 3,065	\$ 3,276
79,287,832						
\$ 5,072						

0.276615206	0.255888257	0.236714391	0.218977235	0.202569135	0.187390504	0.173349218	0.160360053	0.148344175	0.137228654	0.126946026	0.117433882	0.108634488 0	.100494439 (0.092964328 (0.085998453 (0.079554536 0	.073593465 (0.068079061	0.062977855 (0.058258885 (0.053893511
2023 17	2024 18	2025 19	2026 20	2027 21	2028 22	2029 23	2030 24	2031 25	2032 26	2033 27	2034 28	2035 29	2036 30	2037 31	2038 32	2039 33	2040 34	2041 35	2042 36	2043 37	2044 38
2023 17 7,276.59		2025 19 7,607.72		2027 21 7,953.92										2037 31 9,936.07							

•

Progress Energy Florida Calculation of NPV for Life Cycle Underground based 5 yr Avg Unit Cost of Circuit Miles

Discount Rate Tax Rate	Florida WACC	8.10% 0.00%															
Discount Factor		0.961805271	0.889736606	0.823068091	0.761395089	0.704343283	0.651566404	0.60274413	0.557580138	0.515800313	0.477151076	0.44139785	0.408323636	0.377727692	0.349424322	0.323241741	0.299021037
	NPV	2007 1	2008 2	2009 3	2010 4	2011 5	2012 6	2013 7	2014 8	2015 9	2016 10	2017 11	2018 12	2019 13	2020 14	2021 15	2022 16
Total Cost w/Storm Costs		4,902.04	5,024.60	5,150.21	5,266.09	5,384.58	5,505.73	5,629.61	5,756.28	5,885.79	6,018.22	6,153.63	6,292.09	6,433.66	6,578.42	6,726.43	6,877.78
NPV using Discount Factor(mid-yr convention NPV using NPV Function (EOY convention)) \$76,946 \$74,007	4,902.04	-5,024.60	5,150.21	5,266.09	5,384.58	5,505.73	5,629.61	5,756.28	5,885.79	6,018.22	6,153.63	6,292.09	6,433.66	6,578.42	6,726.43	6,877.78
		1		Lindemround													

Circuit Miles fr FRAME	Circuil	Miles	fr F	RAME	
------------------------	---------	-------	------	------	--

Grand Totals with Entire (All Depts) Excluding Major Storm Costs	
Costs in 2007 Dollars	L.
Unit Costs (in Circuit Miles) in 2007 Dollars	
5 year average Costs in 2007 Dollars	76,608,202
5 year average Unit Costs in 2007 Dollars	\$ 4,902

					unaergrouna			
		2002	2003	<u> </u>	2004	2005		2006
		14,186	14,949		15,993	17,199	Í -	18,488
							ĺ l	
		74,644,152	101,231,670		50,014,085	48,316,086		55,853,722
		92,583,839	122,775,633		57,762,746	52,708,458		57,210,335
		\$ 6,526	\$ 8,213	\$	3,612	\$ 3,065	\$	3,094
	76,608,202							
e	4 002							

0.276615206	0.255888257	0.236714391	0.218977235	0.202569135	0.187390504	0.173349218	0.160360053	0.148344175	0.137228654	0.126946026	0.117433882	0.108634488 0	.100494439 0	0.092964328 0	.085998453 (0.079554536	.073593465 (0.068079061	0.062977855	0.058258885).053893511
2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
7,032.53	7,190.76	7,352.55	7,517.98	7,687.14	7,860.10	8,036.95	8,217.78	8,402.68	8,591.74	8,785.06	8,982.72	9,184.83	9,391.49	9,602.80	9,818.86	10,039.79	10,265.68	10,496.66	10,732.83	10,974.32	11,221.25
7,032.53	7,190.76	7,352.55	7,517.98	7,687.14	7,860.10	8,036.95	8,217.78	8,402.68	8,591.74	8,785.06	8,982.72	9,184.83	9,391.49	9,602.80	9,818.86	10,039.79	10,265.68	10,496.66	10,732.83	10,974.32	11,221.25

~

.

,

Progress Energy

Historical Unit Cost Summary 2002 to 2006 Comparison Cost per Circuit Mile (OH vs. UG)

.

-

-

Activities D5201 - CONSTRUCT OH SYS IMPROVEMENTS D5304 - INSTALL/REMOVE METERS D7105 - REPLACE POLES ID'D BY INSPECTN D7201 - PROV AL/SL LAMPS/PHOT CTLS-MTL D7211 - AREA&S CABLE REPLACE-CAP (Start 07) D7212 - AREA & STREET LIGHT OH/JG-CAP (Start 07) D7213 - OUTAGE RESTORE - 0/H REPLACE (Start 05) D7214 - OUTAGE RESTORE - 0/H REPLACE (Start 05)

B6102 - MODIFY IT D5401 - LOCATE UNDERGROUND LINES D6102 - PERFORM LINE OPERATIONS D6103 - PERFORM SUBSTATION OPERATIONS D6206 - PERF DISTRIBUTION DISPATCHING D6208 - SD-99 ORDERS (Start 07) D7108 - PERF ROW MAINT - DISTRIBUTION

D5202 - CONSTRUCT UG SYS IMPROVEMENTS D6101 - PROVIDE OPERATIONS ENGINEERING D7101 - MAINTAIN OVERHEAD LINES - PM D7102 - MAINTAIN UG LINES - PM D7103 - INSPECT DISTRIBUTH FACILITIES D7104 - REINFORCE POLES D7106 - TREAT POLES - GROUND LINE D7107 - MAINT METERS/METERNG EQPMT- PM D7203 - OUTAGE RESTORE - O/H REPAIR D7204 - OUTAGE RESTORE - U/G REPAIR D7205 - REPAIR TRANSFORMERS D7207 - REPAIR STREET LIGHTS D7208 - REPAIR METERS & METERING EQPMT D7209 - CLEAN UP OIL SPILLS D7210 - CORRECT MAINT - LIGHTING CABLE D7215 - CORRECT MAINT - O/H REPAIR (Start 05) D7216 - CORRECT MAINT - U/G REPAIR (Start 05) D7217 - CORRECT MAINT - O/H REPLACE (Start 05) D7218 - CORRECT MAINT - U/G REPLACE (Start 05)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								кер	placements	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				1.1			
%	2002	Capital	O&M	%	2003	Capital	0&M	%	2004	OH Capital	O&M	%	2005	Capital	O&M	%	2006	Capital	08M
/0	2002	Сарка	COUN	/6	2000 [çapıtal	Ogan	/9	2004	Capitas	Vum	/0	2000 [· · ·		~	2000 L	Cupitur	O dun
77%	13,883,871	13,883,871	-	78%	20,783,229	20,783,229	-	61%	8,187,532	8,187,532	-	77%	4,648,641	4,648,641	-	71%	7,694,105	7,694,105	
1%	67,971	-	67,971	40%	3,915,799		3,915,799	53%	2,647,627	-	2,647,627	100%	5,296,966	-	5,296,966	26%	1,509,094	-	1,509
97%	2,759,820	2,759,820	-	91%	3,343,180	3,343,180	-	96%	573,068	573,068	-	89%	506,214	506,214		97%	3,164,490	3,164,490	
84%	2,361,046	2,361,046	-	59%	3,256,249	3,256,249	-	43%	908,193	908,193	-	49%	1,353,292	1,353,292	-	51%	1,490,114	1,490,114	
		-	-			-	-			-	+			-	-			-	
		-	-			-	-			-	-				-			-	
		-	•			-	-			-	-	110%	2,402,529	2,402,529	-	92%	2,359,018	2,359,018	
		-	-			-	-			•	-	2%	28,103	28,103	-	2%	34,114	34,114	
,						· · ·				perations				· · · · · ·		· .			
									v	OH					· · · · ·				
%	2002	Capital	M.SO	%	2003	Capital	O&M	%	2004	Capital	O&M	%	2005	Capital	O&M	%	2006	Capital	Ö&M
50%	154,381	-	154,381	50%	46,133	-	46,133	50%	50,270	-	50,270	50%	61,830	+	61,830	50%	478,292	-	478
10%	179,668	17,967	161,701	10%	391,111	39,111	352,000	10%	250,030	25,003	225,027	10%	257,41 9	25,742	231,677	10%	274,776	27,478	241
0%	-	•	-	26%	937,153	-	937,153	72%	2,953,696	-	2,953,696	0%	-	-	-	100%	1,866,696	-	1,866
50%	2,089	1,045	1,045	50%	1,492	746	746	50%	1,009	505	505	50%	1,626	813	813	50%	-	-	
50%	2,412,333	-	2,412,333	50%	4,409,716	-	4,409,716	50%	2,413,763	-	2,413,763	50%	2,048,853	-	2,048,853	50%	1,905,791	-	1,90
		-	-			-	-			-	-			-	-			-	
90%	11,894,813	-	11,894,813	90%	24,426,017	-	24,426,017	90%	14,042,076	-	14,042,076	90%	12,922,147	· -	12,922,147	90%	15,992,640	-	15,993
	1			-	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · ·		Ma	intenance						<u> </u>			
								:		OH									
%	2002	Capital	M.8O	%	2003	Capital	O&M	%	2004	Capital	O&M	%	2005	Capital	M8O	%	2006	Capital	08M
2%	284,014	272,654	11,361	2%	313,481	300,941	12,539	2%	117,871	113,156	4,715		4,024,422	3,863,445	160,977	34%	6,367,646	6,112,940	254
30%	6,060,299	-	6,060,299	45%	9,554,042	-	9,554,042	37%	513,385	-	513,385		624,911		624,911	66%	1,069,110	-	1,069
54%	219,639	65,892	153,747	98%	269,943	80,983	188,960	100%	(1,908.00)	(572)	(1,336)		181,477	54,443	127,034	99%	238,827	71,648	167
2%	3,174	-	3,174	0%	-	-		0%	· _	-		0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	
54%	1,687,656	-	1,687,656	98%	3,243,292	-	3,243,292		30,197	• `	30,197	0%		-	-	35%	294,469	-	294
100%	194,864	-	194,864	100%	431,890	-		100%	112,074	-	112,074	100%	15,224	•	15,224	100%	6,853	· -	6
100%	549,946	-		100%	978,976	-		100%	351,085	-	351,085		155,156	-	155,156	100%	2,300,531	-	2,300
50%	8,526	-	8,526	50%	224,877	-	<u>22</u> 4,877	50%	351,835	-	351,835		311,648	-	311,648	50%	326,444	-	32
73%	15,211,382	· · · ·	15,211,382	79%	29,439,367	-	29,439,367	78%	15,537,801	-	15,537,801	82%	10,420,531	-	10,420,531	88%	8,454,824	-	8,45
4%	606,464	139,487	466,977	6%	1,475,069	339,266	1,135,803	2%	318,898	73,346	245,551	8%	632,443	145,462	486,981	5%	391,823	90,119	30
50%	16,256	-	16,256	50%	336,650	-	336,650	50%	151,320	-	151,320	50%	41,737	•	41,737	50%	273,467	-	27
66%	2,913,082	-	2,913,082	80%	6,953,429	-	6,953,429	84%	4,893,891	-	4,893,891	85%	3,956,305	-	3,956,305	86%	3,687,853	-	3,68
92%	904,742	-	904,742	82%	1,093,030	-	1,093,030	96%	246,389	-	246,389	93%	287,374	-	287,374	96%	367,121	-	36
75%	605,563	-	605,563	87%	1,430,074	-		114%	1,127,317	-	1,127,317	97%	651,676	. +	651,676	79%	695,101	-	69
8%	391	·	391	28%	103,511	•	103,511	2%	5,602	-	5,602	4%	19,449	-	19,449	4%	15,227	-	1
		• ·	· -			-	- '			-	-	50%	1,147,658	· -	1,147,658	59%	1,194,612	-	1,19
			-			-	-			-	-	2%	33,030	-	33,030	1%	40,915	-	4(
		-	-			-	-			-	-	82%	905,289	905,289	•	65%	1,254,111	1,254,111	
												0.0/	00.000	CD 000		4.07	40 749	40 74 2	

2%

-

-

62,986

62,986

1%

-

40,713

40,713

-

Progress Energy

Historical Unit Cost Summary 2002 to 2006 Comparison Cost per Circuit Mile (OH vs. UG)																					
2002 to 2000 companiatin cost per circuit mile (on vs. co)		1									India	ectly impacts									
	% Impact											OH			. :	NA N					
		%	2002	Capital	M.SO	%	2003	Capital	M&O	%	2004	Capital	O&M	%	2005	Capital	M&O	%	2006	Capital	O&M
81302 - SUPPORT EMPLOYEE SAFETY	30%	26%	131,888	-	131,888	8%	101,875	-	101,875	25%	302,568	-	302,568	48%	494,314	-	494,314	61%	635,174	-	635,174
B1404 - ATTEND TRAINING	15%	50%	490,484	-	490,484	100%	1,292,124	-	1,292,124	81%	674,634	-	674,634	100%	817,212	-	817,212	81%	538,046	-	538,046
B1501 - PROVIDE MGMT/PROJECT SUPERVSN	25%	50%	1,544,768	417,087	1,127,681	50%	1,249,313	337,315	911,998	50%	1,719,665	464,310	1,255,356	50%	1,764,251	476,348	1,287,903	50%	2,487,449	671,611	1,815,837
B1504 - PROVIDE OFFICE SVCS SUPPORT	25%	51%	951,531	275,944	675,587	67%	1,674,189	485,515	1,188,674		1,162,014	336,984	825,030	50%	1,616,527	468,793	1,147,734	71%	2,403,732	697,082	1,706,650
B7206 - PRE-CHARGE MATERIALS	50%	57%	1,819,093	545,728	1,273,365	59%	3,633,452	1,090,036	2,543,417		1,512,203	453,661	1,058,542	63%	1,501,143	450,343	1,050,800	60%	1,106,275	331,882	774,392
C0200 - ANALYZE POWER QUALITY	80%	50%	129,413	93,178	36,236	50%	98,144	70,664	27,480	50%	584,403	420,770	163,633	50%	359,724	259,001	100,723	50%	294,477	212,023	82,453
D6205 - PROV GENL DISTRIBUTION SYS SPT	50%	75%	11,408,801	4,563,520	6,845,281	81%	20,371,889	8,148,756	12,223,133	57%	6,102,178	2,440,871	3,661,307	66%	3,935,907	1,574,363	2,361,544	86%	2,361,263	944,505	1,416,758
							· · · .	· .			Ma	ior Storms				<u> </u>				:	
				5					· · · · ·			OH									
		%	2002			%	2003			%	2004			%	2005		•	%	2006		
Major Storm Costs (Per the Rapid Update Study)]	83%	13,231,161	1,851,097	11,380,064	83%	13,531,364	1,893,097	11,638,267	83%	14,209,600	1,987,985	12,221,615	83%	15,043,497	2,104,651	12,938,846	83%	16,021,936	2,241,539	13,780,397
		ſ															<u></u>			· 1	·
											O	verhead									
			•	2002				2003				2004				2005			_	2006	
Totals				Capital	O&M			Capital	M&O	Ē		Capital	M&O			Capital	O&M	L		Capital	O&M
Replacements			19,072,708	19,004,737	67,971		31,298,457	27,382,658	3,915,799		12,316,421	9,668,794	2,647,627		14,235,745	8,938,779	5,296,966		16,250,936	14,741,841	1,509,094
Operations			14,643,285	19,012	14,624,273		30,211,622	39,857	30,171,765		19,710,845	25,508	19,685,337		15,291,876	26,555	15,265,321		20,518,195	27,478	20,490,717
Maintenance			29,266,000	478,032	28,787,967		55,847,631	721,190	55,126,441		23,755,758	185,930	23,569,828		23,471,315	5,031,625	18,439,690		27,019,649	7,569,532	19,450,117
Indirectly Impacts			16,475,979	5,895,457	10,580,522		28,420,987	10,132,285	18,288,702		12,057,666	4,116,596	7,941,070		10,489,077	3,228,847	7,260,230		9,826,415	2,857,104	6,969,311
Grand Totals			79,457,971	25,397,238	54,060,733		145,778,696	38,275,990	107,502,707		67,840,690	13,996,827	53,843,862		63,488,013	17,225,806	46,262,206		73,615,195	25,195,956	48,419,239
		·																			
											0	verhead									
				2002				2003				2004				2005				2006	
Circuit Miles fr FRAME		1	24,377				24,605				24,828				24,930				25,238		
		%		Capital	O&M	%		Capital	O&M		[Capital	M&Q			Capital	O&M			Capital	08M
Unit Cost (in Circuit Miles) Excluding Major Storm Costs		anal a	79,457,971	··· 25,397,228	54,060,733		145778,696 1 5,925	28 275,990	107,502,702	1701	267 840,690 5 2,732	13,996,827 \$ 564 \$	53,843,862	49% 1	63,488,013 2,547	17,226,806	46,262,206	ŝ.	73,615,195 2,917	25,195,956	48,419,289. 1918
Grand Totals with Rapid Study Estimated Major Storm Costs	1977 - 1 9 - 1997 - 1	38% \$	92,689,132	27,248.336	65,440,797	91703	159,310,060	40,169,087	119,140,973		82,050,290	15,984,813	66,065,477	Contraction of the Contraction of the	78,531,509	19,330,458	59,201,052	112 HM 70 2	89,637,131	27,437,495	62,199,636
Unit Costs (in Circuit Miles)		41% \$		\$ 1,118	\$ 2,685	48%	\$ 6,475	\$ 1,633	\$ 4,842	50%	\$ 3,305	\$ 644 \$	2,661	53% \$	3,150	\$ 775	\$ 2,375	53%	\$ 3,552	\$ 1,087	\$ 2,465

、



Historical Unit Cost Summary 2002 to 2006 Comparison Cost per Circuit Mile (OH vs. UG)

Activities D5201 - CONSTRUCT OH SYS IMPROVEMENTS D5304 - INSTALL/REMOVE METERS D7105 - REPLACE POLES ID'D BY INSPECTN D7201 - PROV AL/SL LAMPS/PHOT CTLS-MTL D7211 - AREA&SL CABLE REPLACE-CAP (Start 07) D7212 - AREA & STREET LIGHT OH/JG-CAP (Start 07) D7213 - OUTAGE RESTORE - 0/H REPLACE (Start 05) D7214 - OUTAGE RESTORE - 1/JG REPLACE (Start 05)

B6102 - MODIFY IT D5401 - LOCATE UNDERGROUND LINES D6102 - PERFORM LINE OPERATIONS D6103 - PERFORM SUBSTATION OPERATIONS D6206 - PERF DISTRIBUTION DISPATCHING D6208 - SD-99 ORDERS (Start 07) D7108 - PERF ROW MAINT - DISTRIBUTION

D5202 - CONSTRUCT UG SYS IMPROVEMENTS D6101 - PROVIDE OPERATIONS ENGINEERING D7101 - MAINTAIN OVERHEAD LINES - PM D7102 - MAINTAIN UG LINES - PM D7103 - INSPECT DISTRIBUTH FACILITIES D7104 - REINFORCE POLES D7106 - TREAT POLES - GROUND LINE D7107 - MAINT METERS/METERNG EQPMT- PM D7203 - OUTAGE RESTORE - O/H REPAIR D7204 - OUTAGE RESTORE - U/G REPAIR D7205 - REPAIR TRANSFORMERS D7207 - REPAIR STREET LIGHTS D7208 - REPAIR METERS & METERING EQPMT D7209 - CLEAN UP OIL SPILLS D7210 - CORRECT MAINT - LIGHTING CABLE D7215 - CORRECT MAINT - O/H REPAIR (Start 05) D7216 - CORRECT MAINT - U/G REPAIR (Start 05) D7217 - CORRECT MAINT - O/H REPLACE (Start 05) D7218 - CORRECT MAINT - U/G REPLACE (Start 05)

									F	eplacements									
									·······	UG									
%	2002	Capital	O&M	%	2003	Capital	O&M	%	2004	Capital	O&M	%	2005	Capital	08.M]%	2006	Capitai	O&M
23%	4,231,786	4,231,786	-	22%	5,896,743	5,896,743	•	39%	5,311,507	5,311,507	-	23%	1,402,001	1,402,001	-	29%	3,187,178	3,187,178	
99%	5,829,474	•	5,829,474	60%	5,964,216	-	5,964,216	47%	2,337,191	-	2,337,191	0%	•	-	-	74%	4,303,967	-	4,303,9
3%	92,995	92,995	-	9%	324,570	324,570	-	4%	21,075	21,075	•	11%	59,577	59,577	-	3%	104,710	104,710	
16%	463,908	463,908	-	41%	2,286,691	2,286,691	-	57%	1,205,014	1,205,014	-	51%	1,386,407	1,386,407	-	49%	1,450,221	1,450,221	
		•	-			-	-			-	-			-	-			-	
		-	-			-	-			-	•			-	-			-	
		-	-							-	-	-10%	(227,005)	(227,005)	-	8%	197,734	197,734	
		-	-			- 7	-			-	-	98%	1,379,917	1,379,917	-	98%	1,566,432	1,566,432	
									· · ·	Operations									
		,								UG									

										operations									
		,								UG									
%	2002	Capital	M.80	%	2003	Capital	O&M	%	2004	Capital	O&M	%	2005	Capital	M&O	%	2006	Capital	O&M
50%	154,381	-	154,381	50%	46,133	-	46,133	50%	50,270	-	50,270	50%	61,830	-	61,830	50%	478,292	-	478,292
90%	1,617,008	161,701	1,455,308	90%	3,520,000	352,000	3,168,000	90%	2,250,267	225,027	2,025,241	90%	2,316,772	231,677	2,085,095	90%	2,472,987	247,299	2,225,688
100%	1,625,776	· -	1,625,776	74%	2,630,309	· •	2,630,309	28%	1,161,949	-	1,161,949	100%	1,833,492	- 1	1,833,492	0%	-	-	-
50%	2,089	1,045	1,045	50%	1,492	746	746	50%	1,009	505	505	50%	1,626	813	813	50%	-		-
50%	2,412,333	-	2,412,333	50%	4,409,716	-	4,409,716	50%	2,413,763	-	2,413,763	50%	2,048,853	· · ·	2,048,853	50%	1,905,791	-	1,905,791
		-	· •			-				-	•			-	-			-	-
10%	1,321,646	-	1,321,646	10%	2,714,002	-	2,714,002	10%	1,560,231	-	1,560,231	10%	1,435,794	-	1,435,794	10%	1,776,960	-	1,776,960

										Maintenance									
						·		Set da		UG		and a							
%	2002	Capital	08.M	%	2003	Capital	O&M	%	2004	Capital	O&M	%	2005	Capital	O&M	%	2006	Capital	O&M
98%	12,185,488	11,698,068	487,420	98%	16,236,420	15,586,963	649,457	98%	5,168,447	4,961,709	206,738	66%	7,966,698	7,648,030	318,668	66%	12,487,147	11,987,661	499,486
70%	13,874,146	-	13,874,146	55%	11,453,965	- · ·	11,453,965	63%	857,121	-	857,121	60%	942,925	-	942,925	34%	552,137	-	552,137
46%	186,696	56,009	130,687	2%	6,643	1,993	4,650	0%	-	*	•	0%	646	194	452	1%	3,471	1,041	2,430
98%	193,494	-	193,494	100%	181,557	-	181,557	100%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	100%	-	•	· .
46%	1,423,323	-	1,423,323	2%	82,752	-	82,752	0%	-	-	- 1	100%	106,681	-	106,681	65%	546,872	-	546,872
0%	- -	-	· -	0%	-	-	-	0%	-			0%	-	-		0%	-	-	-
0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-
50%	8,526	•	8,526	50%	224,877	•	224,877	50%	351,835	-	351,835	50%	311,648	. .	311,648	50%	326,444	-	326,444
27%	5,754,647	-	5,754,647	21%	7,798,001	-	7,798,001	22%	4 262 274	-	4,262,274	18%	2,357,345	· . •	2,357,345	12%	1,189,163	-	1,189,163
96%	12,907,571	2,968,741	9,938,830	94%	24,066,988	5,535,407	18,531,580	98%	12,629,412	2,904,765	9,724,647	92%	7,525,707	1,730,913	5,794,794	95%	7 625,102	1,753,774	5,871,329
50%	16,256		16,256	50%	336,650	+	336,650	50%	151,320	-	151,320	50%	41,737	· · · ·	41,737	50%	273,467	-	273,467
34%	1,527,845	-	1,527,845	20%	1,750,500	-	1,750,500	16%	939,489	-	939,489	15%	694,522	<u>-</u> -	694,522	14%	609,567	-	609,567
8%	76,969	-	76,969	18%	232,286	-	232,286	4%	10,625	-	10,625	7%	20,609		20,609	4%	15,138	-	15,138
25%	205,312	•	205,312	13%	205,363	-	205,363	-14%	(142,658)	-	(142,658)	3%	20,468	- .	20,468	21%	190,321	•	190,321
92%	4,567	-	4,567	72%	265,448	-	265,448	98%	343,145	-	343,145	96%	486,133	<u>-</u> ·	486,133	96%	349,304	-	349,304
		-	-			-	-			-	-	50%	1,160,638		1,160,638	41%	819,225	· -	819,225
		-	-				-			-	-	98%	1,509,316	-	1,509,316	99%	3,231,952	-	3,231,952
		-	-			-	-			-	-	18%	200,975	200,975	-	35%	661,917	661,917	-
		-	-				-			-	-	98%	2,991,280	2,991,280	-	99%	4,110,832	4,110,832	-

S Progress Energy

•••																					
Historical Unit Cost Summary 2002 to 2006 Comparison Cost per Circuit Mile (OH vs. UG)																					
2002 to 2000 Companison Cost per Circuit Mile (OH VS. OG)				• .							ind	irectly impacts							····· ?		
	% impact		:									UG		A CONTRACTOR					· · · · ·		••••
		%	2002	Capital	O&M	%	2003	Capital	O&M	%	2004	Capital	O&M	%	2005	Capital	M.SO	%	2006	Capital	O&M
B1302 - SUPPORT EMPLOYEE SAFETY	30%	74%	366,912	-	366,912	92%	1,251,961	•	1,251,961	75%	902,814		902,814	52%	544,041		544,041	39%	406,792		406,792
B1404 - ATTEND TRAINING	15%	50%	490,484	-	490,484	0%	-	-	-	19%	163,218		163,218	0%	-	-	-	19%	130,172	-	130,172
B1501 - PROVIDE MGMT/PROJECT SUPERVSN	25%	50%	1,544,768	417,087	1,127,681	50%	1,249,313	337,315	911,998	50%	1,719,665	464,310	1,255,356	50%	1,764,251	476,348	1,287,903	50%	2,487,449	671,611	1,815,837
B1504 - PROVIDE OFFICE SVCS SUPPORT	25%	49%	926,227	268,606	657,621	33%	808,936	234,592	574,345	26%	401,220	116,354	284,866	50%	1,616,527	468,793	1,147,734	29%	975,273	282,829	692,444
B7206 - PRE-CHARGE MATERIALS	50%	43%	1,360,960	408,288	952,672	41%	2,526,793	758,038	1,768,755	34%	786,594	235,978	550,616	37%	881,603	264,481	617,122	40%	731,251	219,375	511,876
C0200 - ANALYZE POWER QUALITY	80%	50%	129,413	93,178	36,236	50%	98,144	70,664	27,480	50%	584,403	420,770	163,633	50%	359,724	259,001	100,723	50%	294,477	212,023	82,453
D6205 - PROV GENL DISTRIBUTION SYS SPT	50%	25%	3,709,150	1,483,660	2,225,490	19%	4,661,200	1,864,480	2,796,720	43%	4,572,884	1,829,154	2,743,730	34%	2,032,150	812,860	1,219,290	14%	391,976	156,790	235,186
				i en el composition de la comp				· .			N	lajor Storms									
				1.1.1								UG					· · · · · ·	199		· · · · ·	
		%	2002			%	2003			%	2004 -	· · ·		%	2005	<u> </u>	· · ·	%	2006		
Major Storm Costs (Per the Rapid Update Study)]	17%	2,709,997	379,140	2,330,856	17%	2,732,666	382,312	2,350,354	17%	2,910,400	407,178	2,503,222	17%	3,081,198	431,073	2,650,125	17%	3,281,601	459,110	2,822,491
											Un	derground									1
		-		2002				2003				2004				2005				2006	
Totals		÷		Capital	O&M	. C.		Capital	O&M			Capital	08M			Capital	O&M	1 [Capital	O&M
Replacements			10,618,163	4,788,689	5,829,474		14,472,220	8,508,004	5,964,216		8,874,787	6,537,596	2,337,191		4,000,898	4,000,898	•		10,810,242	6,506,275	4,303,967
Operations			7,133,235	162,746	6,970,489		13,321,652	352,746	12,968,906		7,437,489	225,531	7,211,958		7,698,368	232,490	7,465,877		6,634,029	247,299	6,386,731
Maintenance			48,364,839	14,722,818	33,642,021		62,841,450	21,124,363	41,717,087		24,571,011	7,866,474	16,704,537		26,337,327	12,571,392	13,765,936		32,992,061	18,515,226	14,476,836
Indirectly Impacts			8,527,915	2,670,819	5,857,096		10,596,348	3,265,088	7,331,260		9,130,798	3,066,566	6,064,233		7,198,296	2,281,483	4,916,813		5,417,390	1,542,629	3,874,760
Grand Totals	=		74,644,152	22,345,072	52,299,080		101,231,670	33,250,201	67,981,470		50,014,085	17,696,167	32,317,918		45,234,888	19,086,262	26,148,626		55,853,722	26,811,429	29,042,293
																			·····		
											Un	derground									
				2002				2003		•		2004				2005				2006	I
Circuit Miles fr FRAME		1	14,186	Capital	O&M		14,949	Capital	O&M		15,993	Capital	O&M		17,199	Capital	O&M	-	18,488	Capital	O&M
Unit Cost (in Circuit Miles) Excluding Make Storm Costs		ACTOR	74 644 162 5 262	22,345,072	+152299,080	17	101,231,670	33,250,201 A	67,981,470		50,014,085	17,696,167	32,317,948	R. J. G.	45,234,888	49,086,262	26,148,626	AN NO.	55 853 722 1	26,811,429	-29.042.293
		v 62%		\$ 1,575	5 . 3,687	53%	\$ 6772	3 224		53%		1 107	\$ 2,021	51%	2,630	s:	\$. 1 570	751%		11 1,45G	\$ 57 1571
Grand Totals with Rapid Study Estimated Major Storm Costs Unit Costs (in Circuit Miles)	•	59%	77,354,149 \$ 5,453	22,724,212 \$ 1,602	54,629,937 \$3,851	52%	103,964,336 \$ 6,954	33,632,513 \$ 2,250	70,331,823 \$ 4,705	50%	52,924,485 \$ 3,309	18,103,344 1,132	34,821,141 \$ 2.177	47%	48,316,086 2,809	19,517,335 \$ 1,135	28,798,751 \$ 1.674	47%	59,135,323 \$ 3,199	27,270,539 \$ 1,475	31,864,784
		1 3370	• 0,400	• 1,002 ·	+ 3,031	J270	a 0,904	₹ <u>2,2</u> 30	4,700		a 3,309 :	a 1,132	₹ 2, 1//	4/70	2,609	a 1,135	ə 1,0/4	4/%	ə <u>3,199</u>	ə 1,4/5	\$ 1,724

.

Progress Energy Florida NPV Life Cycle Cost Analysis Data Inputs and Assumptions

Storm Costs used from 2005 Rapid Response Study Percentage of T&D storm costs allocated to Distributior	\$		Expected Annual Storm Costs in 2004 dollars per Steve Harris rebuttal before the FPSC Based on per 2004 / 2005 Actual Experience
Base Year Storm Costs Rate	\$		Distribution Expected Annual Storm Costs in 2004 dollars
Percentage of storm costs allocated to overhead		83%	Based on per 2004 / 2005 Actual Experience
Underground life used based on Depriciation Study	38 years		
Corporate Std Inflation Rate 2008-2009	1.025 Based on Corporate st	tandard for 2008	
Corporate Std Inflation Rate 2010-2044	1.0225 Based on Corporate st	tandard for 2010-2044	
2002 TREND Data	1.19 Used to calculate 2002	2 costs to 2007 dollars	based on JEDOMMS index
2003 TREND Data	1.217 Used to calculate 2003	3 costs to 2007 dollars	based on JEDOMMS index
2004 TREND Data	1.278 Used to calculate 2004	4 costs to 2007 dollars	based on JEDOMMS index
2005 TREND Data	1.353 Used to calculate 2005	5 costs to 2007 dollars	based on JEDOMMS index
2006 TREND Data	1.441 Used to calculate 2006	6 costs to 2007 dollars	based on JEDOMMS index
2007 TREND Data	1.476		based on JEDOMMS index
2003 TREND Data Inflation Rate	1.023 2003 TREND/2002 TR	END	
2004 TREND Data Inflation Rate	1.050 2004 TREND/2003 TR	END	
2005 TREND Data Inflation Rate	1.059 2005 TREND/2004 TR	END	
2006 TREND Data Inflation Rate	1.065 2006 TREND/2005 TR	END	
2007 TREND Data Inflation Rate	1.024 2007 TREND/2006 TR	END	

Material previously classified as underground that has been properly allocated to overhead in this Version

Reclosers

- Equipment used in overhead lines to minimize outages resulting from temporary faults

Regulators

- Equipment used in overhead lines to regulate the voltage at the end of a feeder within the regulatory requirements

Capacitors

- Materials used in overhead lines to increase efficiency

Material previously classified as overhead that has been properly allocated to underground in this version

Streetlights Poles & Equipment

- Various types of streetlights used only in conjunction with underground distribution facilities

Some other minor material (nuts & bolts) were also shifted from OH to UG or vice versa and additional minor material previously unclassified, was classified as underground