

BEFORE THE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 080007-EI

IN RE:

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY FACTORS

PROJECTIONS

JANUARY 2009 THROUGH DECEMBER 2009

TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

OF

HOWARD T. BRYANT

07886 AUG 29 8
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 1 PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY 2 OF 3 HOWARD T. BRYANT 4 5 Please state your name, address, occupation and employer. 6 Q. 7 8 My name is Howard T. Bryant. My business address is 702 North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. 9 employed by Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or 10 11 "company") as Manager, Rates in the Regulatory Affairs Department. 12 13 Please provide a brief outline of your educational 14 Q. background and business experience. 15 16 I graduated from the University of Florida in June 1973 17 with a Bachelor of Science degree in 18 Business Administration. I have been employed at Tampa Electric 19 since 1981. My work has included various positions in 20 21 Customer Service, Energy Conservation Services, Demand 22 Side Management ("DSM") Planning, Energy Management and Forecasting, and Regulatory Affairs. 23 In my current position I am responsible for the company's Energy 24 Conservation Cost Recovery ("ECCR") clause, the 25

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause ("ECRC"), and retail rate design.

Q. Have you previously testified before the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission")?

A. Yes. I have testified before this Commission on conservation and load management activities, DSM goals setting and DSM plan approval dockets, and other ECCR dockets since 1993, and ECRC activities since 2001.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present, for Commission review and approval, both the calculation of the revenue requirements and the projected ECRC factors for the period of January 2009 through December 2009. In support of the projected ECRC factors, my testimony identifies the capital and operating and maintenance ("O&M") costs associated with environmental compliance activities for the year 2009. Finally, my testimony addresses the projected ECRC factors that would become effective in May 2009 based on the company's rate design modification proposed in Docket No. 080317-EI.

Q. Have you prepared an exhibit that shows the determination of recoverable environmental costs for the period of January 2009 through December 2009?

4

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

3

Α. Yes. Exhibit (HTB-3), containing No. eight documents, was prepared under my direction and supervision. Document Nos. 1 through 7 contain Forms 42-1P through 42-7P, which show the calculation and summary of M&O and capital expenditures that support the development of the environmental cost recovery factors for 2009. Document No. 8, consisting of two pages, supports the proposed ECRC factors allocated on a 12 Coincident Peak ("CP") and 25 percent Average Demand ("AD") basis. The proposed methodology is described in the direct testimony of William R. Ashburn submitted in Docket No. 080317-EI.

17

18

19

20

Q. Are you requesting Commission approval of the projected environmental cost recovery factors for the company's various rate schedules?

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes. The ECRC factors, prepared under my direction and supervision, are provided in Exhibit No. ____ (HTB-3), Document No. 7, on Form 42-7P. These annualized factors are expected to apply for the period January through

The revised factors provided in Document No. April 2009. 1 2 9 are based on Tampa Electric's proposed rate design modifications found in Docket No 080317-EI. 3 is requesting an effective date of May 2009 for these revised factors, coincident with the effective date of 5 base rate modifications proposed in the above referenced 6 docket. 7

8

9

10

11

Q. How will the proposed ECRC factors be impacted if the implementation date of the base rate adjustment is different from May 1, 2009?

The company

12

13

14

15

16

17

The proposed ECRC factors starting January 1, 2009 are Α. those factors would annualized factors. Therefore, effect until the Commission remain in approves proposed changes submitted as part of Docket No. 080317-EI.

18

19

20

21

What has Tampa Electric calculated as the net true-up to Q. be applied in the period January 2009 through December 2009?

22

23

24

25

A. The net true-up applicable for this period is an overrecovery of \$4,718,560. This consists of the final trueup over-recovery of \$12,465,653 for the period of January

Q. What is the major contributing factor that has created the net over-recovery to be applied to the company's ECRC rates for the period January 2009 through December 2009?

A. The major contributing factor that has created the net over-recovery was the sale of surplus SO_2 emission allowances that were originally projected to occur in 2008 but instead occurred during 2007.

Q. Does Tampa Electric anticipate the sale of surplus SO₂ allowances during 2009?

A. Yes. The company anticipates the sale of approximately \$13 million of surplus SO_2 allowances during 2009. The revenues from the allowance sales have an immediate, direct benefit to Tampa Electric customers since they offset environmental expenses. Additional details associated with the 2009 sales are provided by Tampa

Electric Witness, Paul L. Carpinone.

2

3

4

5

1

Q. Will Tampa Electric propose any new environmental compliance projects for ECRC cost recovery for the period from January 2009 through December 2009?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Tampa Electric anticipates proposing a Greenhouse Α. ("GHG") Reduction program to initiate data collection and reporting of GHG emissions as part of The Climate Registry as required by House Bill 7135. Presently, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection is reviewing the bill. Once the review is completed, it is anticipated rulemaking will begin and an eventual start date determined. At that time, Tampa Electric will file recovery of the GHG program and outline definitive program details and costs to comply with the new rule.

18 19

Q. What are the existing capital projects included in the calculation of the ECRC factors for 2009?

21

22

23

24

25

20

A. Tampa Electric proposes to include for ECRC recovery the
25 previously approved capital projects and their
projected costs in the calculation of the ECRC factors
for 2009. These projects are:

1	1) Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization ("FGD")
2	Integration
3	2) Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning
4	3) Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors
5	4) Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank 1 Upgrade
6	5) Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank 2 Upgrade
7	6) Phillips Tank No. 1 Upgrade
8	7) Phillips Tank No. 4 Upgrade
9	8) Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement
10	9) Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement
11	10) Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform
12	11) Big Bend Units 1 and 2 FGD
13	12) Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization
14	13) Big Bend NO_{x} Emissions Reduction
15	14) Big Bend Particulate Matter ("PM") Minimization and
16	Monitoring
17	15) Polk NO _x Emissions Reduction
18	16) Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA
19	17) Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR
20	18) Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR
21	19) Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR
22	20) Big Bend Unit 2 SCR
23	21) Big Bend Unit 3 SCR
24	22) Big Bend Unit 4 SCR
25	23) Big Bend FGD Reliability

1		24) Clean Air Mercury Rule
2		25) SO ₂ Emission Allowances
3		
4		Some of these projects will be described in more detail
5		by Tampa Electric Witness, Paul L. Carpinone.
6		
7	Q.	Have you prepared schedules showing the calculation of
8		the recoverable capital project costs for 2009?
9		
10	A.	Yes. Form 42-3P contained in Exhibit No (HTB-3)
11		summarizes the cost estimates projected for these
12		projects. Form 42-4P, pages 1 through 26, provides the
13		calculations of the costs, which result in recoverable
14		jurisdictional capital costs of \$44,275,332.
15		
16	Q.	What are the existing O&M projects included in the
17		calculation of the ECRC factors for 2009?
18		
19	A.	Tampa Electric proposes to include for ECRC recovery the
20		19 previously approved O&M projects and their projected
21		costs in the calculation of the ECRC factors for 2009.
22		These projects are:
23		
24		1) Big Bend Unit 3 FGD Integration
25		2) Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning

1		3) SO ₂ Emissions Allowances
2		4) Big Bend Units 1 and 2 FGD
3		5) Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring
4		6) Big Bend NO _x Emissions Reduction
5		7) NPDES Annual Surveillance Fees
6		8) Gannon Thermal Discharge Study
7		9) Polk NO_x Emissions Reduction
8		10) Bayside SCR and Ammonia
9		11) Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA
10	i	12) Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR
11		13) Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR
12		14) Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR
13		15) Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study
14		16) Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program
15		17) Big Bend Unit 4 SCR
16		18) Big Bend Unit 3 SCR
17		19) Big Bend Unit 2 SCR
18		
19		Some of these projects will be described in more detail
20		by Tampa Electric Witness, Paul L. Carpinone.
21		
22	Q٠	Have you prepared schedules showing the calculation of
23		the recoverable O&M project costs for 2009?
24		
25	A.	Yes. Form 42-2P contained in Exhibit No. (HTB-3)

summarizes the recoverable jurisdictional O&M costs for these projects which total \$5,593,806 for 2009. Do you have a schedule providing the description and Q. progress reports for all environmental compliance activities and projects?

A. Yes. Project descriptions and progress reports, as well as the projected recoverable cost estimates, are provided in Form 42-5P, pages 1 through 31.

Q. What are the total projected jurisdictional costs for environmental compliance in the year 2009?

A. The total jurisdictional O&M and capital expenditures to be recovered through the ECRC are calculated on Form 42-1P. These expenditures total \$49,869,138.

Q. How were environmental cost recovery factors calculated?

A. The environmental cost recovery factors were calculated as shown on Schedules 42-6P and 42-7P. The demand allocation factors were calculated by determining the percentage each rate class contributes to the monthly system peaks and then adjusted for losses for each rate

class. The energy allocation factors were determined by										
calculating the percentage that each rate class										
contributes to total MWh sales and then adjusted for										
losses for each rate class. This information was based										
on applying historical rate class load research to the										
2009 projected forecast of system demand and energy.										
Form 42-7P presents the calculation of the proposed ECRC										
factors by rate class.										

Q. What are the ECRC billing factors by rate class for the period of January through December 2009 which Tampa Electric is seeking approval?

A. The computation of the billing factors by metering voltage level is shown in Exhibit No. ____ (HTB-3)

Document No. 7, Form 42-7P. In summary, the January through April 2009 proposed ECRC billing factors are as follows:

20	Rate Class	Factor at Secondary
21		Voltage (¢/kWh)
22	RS, RST Secondary	0.227
23	GS, GST, TS Secondary	0.227
24	GSD, GSDT	
25	Secondary	0.226

1		Primary 0.224
2		Transmission 0.222
3		GSLD, GSLDT, SBF
4		Secondary 0.225
5		Primary 0.222
6		Transmission 0.220
7		IS1, IST1, SBI1, IS3, IST3, SBI3
8		Secondary 0.222
9		Primary 0.219
10		Transmission 0.217
11		SL, OL Secondary 0.224
12		Average Factor 0.226
13		
14	Q.	Please describe the changes to the 2009 ECRC factors
15		related to Tampa Electric's proposed rate design
16		submitted in Docket No. 080317-EI.
17		
18	Α.	As described in the direct testimony of William R.
19		Ashburn filed in Docket No. 080317-EI on August 11, 2008,
20		Tampa Electric proposes to combine all present demand
21		rate schedules, which consist of General Service - Demand
22		("GSD"), General Service - Large Demand ("GSLD"), and
23		Interruptible Service ("IS") into one new proposed GSD
24		rate schedule. Additionally, the allocation of
25		production demand costs according to the 12 CP and $1/13^{\rm th}$

AD methodology, where 1/13th or approximately eight percent of the demand costs is allocated on an energy basis, has been modified to 12 CP and 25 percent AD to better reflect cost causation, as shown in the company's 2009 Cost of Service Study. The proposed rate class allocations and ECRC factors for these changes are shown in Document No. 8 of Exhibit No. ____ (HTB-3). In summary, the May through December 2009 proposed ECRC billing factors are as follows:

11	Rate Class	Factor at Secondary
12	• .	Voltage (¢/kWh)
.13	RS	0.223
14	GS, TS	0.225
15	GSD, SBF	
16	Secondary	0.229
17	Primary	. 0.227
18	Transmission	0.224
19	LS1	0.238
20	Average Factor	0.226

Q. When does Tampa Electric propose to begin applying these environmental cost recovery credits?

A. The environmental cost recovery credits will be effective

concurrent with the first billing cycle for January 2009.

Q. Are the costs Tampa Electric is requesting for recovery through the ECRC for the period January 2009 through December 2009 consistent with criteria established for ECRC recovery in Order No. PSC-94-0044-FOF-EI?

- A. Yes. The costs for which ECRC treatment is requested meet the following criteria:
 - Such costs were prudently incurred after April 13, 1993;
 - 2. The activities are legally required to comply with a governmentally imposed environmental regulation enacted, became effective or whose effect was triggered after the company's last test year upon which rates are based; and
 - 3. Such costs are not recovered through some other cost recovery mechanism or through base rates.

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

A. My testimony supports the approval of a final average environmental billing factor credit of 0.226 cents per kWh which includes projected capital and O&M revenue requirements of \$49,869,138 associated with a total of

31 environmental projects and a true-up over-recovery provision of \$4,718,560 primarily driven by the timing of SO_2 allowance sales. My testimony also explains that the projected environmental expenditures for 2009 are appropriate for recovery through the ECRC.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

INDEX

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY COMMISSION FORMS

JANUARY 2009 THROUGH DECEMBER 2009

DOCUMENT NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	Form 42-1P	17
2	Form 42-2P	18
3	Form 42-3P	19
4	Form 42-4P	20
5	Form 42-5P	46
6	Form 42-6P	77
7	Form 42-7P	78
8	Proposed Allocations & Factors	79

DOCKET NO. 080007-EI ECRC 2009 PROJECTION FILING EXHIBIT NO. HTB-3 DOCUMENT NO. 1

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered

For the Projected Period January 2009 to December 2009

<u>Line</u>	Energy (\$)	Demand (\$)	Total (\$)
Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirements for the projected period			
a. Projected O&M Activities (Form 42-2P, Lines 7, 8 & 9)	\$5,259,690	\$334,116	\$5,593,806
b. Projected Capital Projects (Form 42-3P, Lines 7, 8 & 9)	44,122,542	152,790	44,275,332
c. Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirements for the projected period (Lines 1a + 1b)	49,382,232	486,906	49,869,138
True-up for Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the current period January 2008 to December 2008			
(Form 42-2E, Line 5 + 6 + 10)	(7,776,704)	29,611	(7,747,093)
3. Final True-up for the period January 2007 to December 2007 (Form 42-1A, Line 3)			
	12,598,033	(132,380)	12,465,653
Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered/(Refunded) in the projection period January 2009 to December 2009			
(Line 1 - Line 2- Line 3)	44,560,903	589,675	45,150,578
Total Projected Jurisdictional Amount Adjusted for Taxes			
(Line 4 x Revenue Tax Multiplier)	\$44,592,987	\$590,100	\$45,183,087

Notes: Allocation to energy and demand in each period is in proportion to the respective period split of costs indicated on Lines 7 and 8 of Forms 42-5 and 42-7 of the actuals and estimates.

O&M Activities (in Dollars)

	ine		Projected	Projected	Projected March	Projected	End of Period	Method of	Classification									
-	116	-	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	Demand	Energy	
	1.	Description of O&M Activities																
		a. Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration	\$252,500	\$251,400	\$258,000	\$283,400	\$293,700	\$295,400	\$296,200	\$300,900	\$291,000	\$291,300	\$434,700	\$409,500	\$3,658,000		\$3,658,000	
		 Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning 	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0		000,000,00	
		c. SO₂ Emissions Allowances	(1,009,614)	(1,018,366)	(1,009,949)	(1,010,621)	(1,007,549)	(1,011,028)	(1,007,613)	(1,007,613)	(1,011,030)	(1,007,570)	(1,012,678)	(1,009,911)	(12,123,542)		(12,123,542)	
		 Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD (Less Gypsum Revenue) 	645,900	639,500	503,600	547,600	565,200	568,100	568,800	597,700	584,900	589,600	678,700	993,200	7,482,800		7,482,800	
		 Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring 	55,000	50,300	55,000	32,600	24,400	23,700	24,400	24,400	23,700	44,200	41,500	55,800	455,000		455,000	
		f. Big Bend NO, Emissions Reduction	41,700	37,700	41,700	26,200	20,900	20,200	20,900	20,900	20,200	34,300	32,200	41,100	358,000		358,000	
		g. NPDES Annual Surveillance Fees	34,500	a	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	34,500	34,500	000,000	
		h. Gannon Thermal Discharge Study	12,500	12,500	12,500	12,500	0	Q	0	0	0	Ö	ō	ō	50,000	50.000		
		i. Polk NO _s Reduction	5,000	5,000	7,000	8,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6.000	8.000	6.000	75.000	50,000	75.000	
		j. Bayside SCR and Ammonía	6,833	6,833	6,833	6,833	6,833	6,833	6,833	6,833	6,833	6.833	6.833	6.837	82,000		82,000	
		k. Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14,300	35,700	-,001	50,000		50,000	
		I. Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR	0	0	0	G	0	0	0	٥	0	o	6.900	70,100	77.000		77,000	
		m. Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	24,100	21,700	24,100	7,100	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77,000		77,000	
		n. Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	O	Ó	0		77,000	
		Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study	12,500	112,500	12,500	12,500	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	Ō	150,000	150,000	Ū	
		p. Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program	28,500	0	0	28,500	0	O	28,500	0	0	28,500	0	0	114,000	114,000		
		q. Big Bend 4 SCR	94,300	91,700	99,300	96,900	100,800	98,500	101,500	101,200	98,200	132,500	154,800	83,100	1,252,800	.,	1,252,800	
		r. Big Bend 3 SCR	188,200	183,700	199,300	185,400	188,400	184,300	190,100	189,100	183,900	185,100	175,500	151,900	2,204,900		2,204,900	
		s. Big Bend 2 SCR	0		0	147,100	213,700	208,900	215,400	214,500	208,500	209,100	197,400	193,100	1,807,700		1,807,700	
)	2.	Total of O&M Activities	391,919	394,467	209,684	384,012	412,384	400,905	451,020	453,920	412,203	534,163	759,555	1,000,726	5,805,158	\$348,500	\$5,456,658	
	3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	303,919	269,467	184.884	330,512	412,384	400,905	422,520	453,920	412,203	505,663	759,555	1,000,726	5,456,658			
	4.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	88,000	125,000	25,000	53,500	0	0	28,500	0	0	28,500	0	0	348,500			
	5.	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253				
	6.	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232				
	7.	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	292,267	258,953	177,432	316,861	396,408	387,570	407,372	436,100	398,206	485,944	731,948	970,629	5,259,690			
	8.	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	84,368	119,840	23,968	51,292	0	0	27,324	400,100	0	27.324	0-6,157	970,629 N	334,116			
	9.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	\$376,635	\$378,793	\$201,400	\$368,153	\$396,408	\$387,570	\$434,696	\$436,100	\$398,206	\$513,268	\$731,948	\$970,629	\$5,593,806			

Notes: (A) Line 3 x Line 5 (B) Line 4 x Line 6

Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs

(in Dollars)

Lie	пе	Description (A)	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Period Total	ethod of o
	1. a.	Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desutfurization Integration	\$56,346	\$66,193	\$66,040	\$65.887	\$65,733	\$65,580	\$65,427	\$65,274	\$65,121	\$64,967	\$64,814	\$64,660	\$786,042	
	b.	Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	37,440	37,310	37,180	37,050	36,920	36,790	36,659	36,529	36.399	36,269	36,139	36,008	440,693	
	c.	Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	6,796	6,781	6,767	6,752	6,738	6,723	6,708	6,693	6,678	6,664	6,649	6,635	80,584	
	d.	Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank # 1 Upgrade	4,604	4,593	4,583	4,572	4,563	4,552	4,542	4,531	4,521	4,510	4,500	4.489	54,560	\$ 54,560
	θ.	Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank # 2 Upgrade	7,573	7,555	7,538	7,521	7,504	7,487	7,470	7,452	7,435	7,418	7,401	7,384	89,738	89,738
	f.	Phillips Upgrade Tank # 1 for FDEP	497	495	493	491	490	489	487	486	485	483	482	481	5,659	5,859
	g.	Phillips Upgrade Tank # 4 for FDEP	780	778	775	773	771	768	767	764	762	760	758	755	9,211	9,211
	h.	Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement	11,759	11,725	11,689	11,654	11,619	11,584	11,549	11,514	11,478	11,444	11,408	11,373	138,796	
	i.	Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement	8,510	8,485	8,461	8,436	8,411	8,386	8,362	8,337	8,312	8,288	8,263	8,238	100,489	
	j.	Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform	1,142	1,140	1,138	1,137	1,134	1,133	1,130	1,129	1,126	1,125	1,122	1,121	13,577	
	k.	Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD (Less Gypsum Revenue)	751,770	750,628	749,491	748,232	747,204	746,098	744,713	743,343	742,007	741,272	743,852	748,617	8,957,227	
	1,	Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization	213,260	212,856	212,452	212,048	211,644	211,240	210,836	210,432	210,028	209,623	209,219	208,816	2,532,454	
	m.	Big Bend NO, Emissions Reduction	67,316	65,794	65,707	65,633	65,571	65,509	65,520	65,605	65,931	66,559	67,188	67,632	793,965	
	n.	Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring	92,952	92,784	92,616	92,642	92,862	93,081	93,301	93,604	94,023	94,754	95,730	96,280	1,124,629	
	Ο.	Polk NO _x Emissions Reduction	17,045	17,001	16,959	16,915	16,873	16,830	16,787	16,744	16,701	16,658	16 616	16,572	201,701	
	ρ.	Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA	27,352	27,302	27,253	27,203	27,154	27,104	27,054	27.005	26,955	26,905	26,856	26,806	324,949	
	q.	Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR	23,049	23,005	22,961	22,917	22,873	22,829	22,785	22,854	23,156	23,722	24,417	24,891	279,459	
	r,	Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR	18,485	18,445	18,406	18,365	18,326	18,286	18,246	18,207	18,167	18,127	18,088	18,048	219 196	
	S.	Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR	31,182	31,126	31,070	31,014	30,959	30,904	30,848	30,793	30,735	30,681	30,625	30,570	370,508	
	t.	Big Bend Unit 1 SCR	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	u.	Big Bend Unit 2 SCR	0	0	. 0	0	911,854	1,064,158	1,076,646	1,086,295	1,096,366	1,107,280	1,118,169	1,129,178	8,589,946	
	V.	Big Bend Unit 3 SCR	936,926	935,287	933,647	932,008	930,368	928,728	927,089	925,448	923,809	922,169	920,529	918,890	11,134,698	
	W.	Big Bend Unit 4 SCR	692,538	691,351	690,163	688,975	687,788	686,600	685,412	684,225	683,037	681,849	680,662	679,474	8,232,074	
	X.	Big Bend FGO System Reliability	100,902	68,088	67,869	98,788	130,002	130,209	130,342	130,634	130,877	131,021	131,066	131,002	1,380,800	
	y.	Clean Air Mercury Rule	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	110,652	
_	Z.	SO ₂ Emissions Allowances (B)	(185)	(177)	(169)	(161)	(153)	(144)	(136)	(127)	(117)	(108)	(100)	(92)	(1,669)	
)	2.	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	3,127,260	3,087,766	3,082,310	3,108,073	4,046,429	4,194,145	4,201,765	4,206,992	4,213,214	4,221,661	4,233,674	4,247,049	45,970,338	\$ 159,368
	3.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	3,113,806	3,074,345	3,068,921	3,094,716	4,033,101	4,180,849	4,188,499	4,193,759	4,200,011	4,208,490	4,220,533	4,233,940	45,810,970	
	4.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	13,454	13,421	13,389	13,357	13,328	13,296	13,266	13,233	13,203	13,171	13,141	13,109	159,368	
	5.	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0,9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253		
	6.	Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232		
					******	***************************************						******	-,	V		
	7.	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (C)	2,994,427	2,954,392	2,945,231	2,966,897	3,876,861	4,041,781	4,038,331	4,029,120	4,057,394	4,044,371	4,067,131	4,106,606	44,122,542	
	8.	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (D)	12,899	12,867	12,836	12,806	12,778	12,747	12,718	12,687	12,658	12,627	12,599	12,568	152,790	
	_							.,		-,,	_,					
	9.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for	_	_												
		Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	\$3,007,326	\$2,967,259	\$2,958,067	\$2,979,703	\$3,889,639	\$4,054,528	\$4,051,049	\$4,041,807	\$4,070,052	\$4,056,998	\$4,079,730	\$4,119,174	\$44,275,332	

Notes:

(A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-8P, Line 9

(B) Project's Total Return Component on Form 42-8P, Line 6

(C) Line 3 x Line 5 (D) Line 4 x Line 6

DOCKET NO. 080007-EI
ECRC 2009 PROJECTION FILING
EXHIBIT NO. HTB-3
DOCUMENT NO. 3

End of

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	40
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(3,021,777)	(3,037,570)	(3,053,363)	(3,069,156)	(3,084,949)	(3.100,742)	(3,116,535)	(3,132,328)	(3,148,121)	(3,163,914)		(3,195,500)	(3,211,293)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	. 0	O.	0	0	0	0	ì Ó	o	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$5,217,881	5,202,088	5,186,295	5,170,502	5,154,709	5,138,916	5,123,123	5,107,330	5,091,537	5,075,744	5,059,951	5,044,158	5,028,365	
6.	Average Net Investment		5,209,985	5,194,192	5,178,399	5,162,606	5,146,813	5,131,020	5,115,227	5,099,434	5,083,641	5,067,848	5,052,055	5,036,262	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta		38,310	38,194	38,078	37,962	37,845	37,729	37,613	37,497	37.381	37.265	37,149	37.032	\$452,055
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/	12)	12,243	12,206	12,169	12,132	12,095	12,058	12,021	11,984	11,947	11,909	11,872	11,835	144,471
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		15,793	15,793	15,793	15,793	15,793	15,793	15,793	15.793	15,793	15,793	15,793	15,793	189,516
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	ă
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin	es 7 + 8)	66,346	66,193	66,040	65,887	65.733	65,580	65,427	65.274	65,121	64,967	64,814	64,660	786.042
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ	у	66,346	66,193	66,040	65,887	65,733	65,580	65,427	65,274	65.121	64,967	64,814	64,660	786,042
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema 	nd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	(D)	63,802	63,610	63,378	63,166	63.187	63,399	63,081	62,711	62,910	62,433	62,458	62,715	756,850
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cos		0	00,010	0	0.,.00	05,107	00,033	03,001	02,711	02,510	02,433	02,436	02,715	/30,83U n
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Li		\$63,802	\$63,610	\$63,378	\$63,166	\$63,187	\$63,399	\$63,081	\$62,711	\$62,910	\$62,433	\$62,458	\$62,715	\$756,850
	,		···-		,						, 3E(0.10	4-1,100	4441444	Anti In	4.00,000

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.45
- (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002). (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.3%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1,	Investments														
	 a. Expenditures/Additions 		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$ 5,017,734	\$ 5,017,734	\$5.017.734	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(2,534,402)	(2,547,811)	(2,561,220)	(2,574,629)	(2,588,038)	(2,601,447)	(2,614,856)	(2,628,265)	(2,641,674)	(2,655,083)	(2,668,492)	(2.681,901)	(2,695,310)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	o o	o o	0	o´	oʻ	oʻ	`` oʻ	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$2,483,332	2,469,923	2,456,514	2,443,105	2,429,696	2,416,287	2,402,878	2,389,469	2,376,060	2,362,651	2,349,242	2,335,833	2,322,424	
6.	Average Net Investment		2,476,628	2,463,219	2,449,810	2,436,401	2,422,992	2,409,583	2,396,174	2,382,765	2,369,356	2,355,947	2,342,538	2,329,129	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	 a. Equity Component Grossed Up For 1 	axes (B)	18,211	18,112	18,014	17,915	17,817	17.718	17,619	17,521	17,422	17,324	17,225	17,126	\$212.024
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1	/12)	5,820	5,789	5,757	5,726	5,694	5,663	5,631	5,599	5,568	5,536	5,505	5,473	67,761
8.	Investment Expenses		•												
	a. Depreciation (C)		13,409	13,409	13,409	13,409	13,409	13,409	13,409	13,409	13,409	13,409	13,409	13,409	160.908
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O.
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Li	nes 7 + 8)	37,440	37,310	37,180	37,050	36,920	36,790	36,659	36,529	36,399	36,269	36,139	36,008	440,693
	 a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Ener 		37,440	37,310	37,180	37,050	36,920	36,790	36,659	36,529	36,399	36,269	36,139	36,008	440,693
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dem 	and	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232		0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cos	ts (D)	36,005	35,854	35,681	35,520	35,490	35,566	35,345	35,095	35,163	34,855	34,825	34,925	424,324
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Co		0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$36,005	\$35,854	\$35,681	\$35,520	\$35,490	\$35,566	\$35,345	\$35,095	\$35,163	\$34,855	\$34,825	\$34,925	\$424,324

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for 8ig Bend; accounts 312.41 (\$2,676,217) and 312.42 (\$2,341,517)
 (8) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rates are 3.3% and 3.1% (D) Line 9a x Line 10 (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	 a. Expenditures/Additions 		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		O	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	o	0	ō	**
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ò	a	ō	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$866,211	\$866.211	\$866.211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(321,269)	(322,785)	(324,301)	(325,817)	(327,333)		(330,365)	(331,881)	(333,397)	(334,913)	(336,429)	(337,945)	(339,461)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	o´	o	o o	` oʻ	` oʻ	, O	0	0	0	0	(000,120,	(00.,0.0,	0	
5.	Net investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$544,942	543,426	541,910	540,394	538,878	537,362	535,846	534,330	532,814	531,298	529,782	528,266	526,750	
6.	Average Net Investment		544,184	542,668	541,152	539,636	538,120	536,604	535,088	533,572	532,056	530,540	529,024	527,508	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Tax	kes (B)	4,001	3,990	3,979	3,968	3,957	3,946	3,935	3,923	3,912	3,901	3,890	3,879	\$47,281
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/1)	2) `	1,279	1,275	1,272	1,268	1,265	1,261	1,257	1,254	1,250	1,247	1,243	1,240	15,111
8.	Investment Expenses														
)	a. Depreciation (C)		1.516	1,516	1,516	1,516	1,516	1,516	1,516	1,516	1,516	1,516	1.516	1,516	18,192
	b. Amortization		. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,0,0	0,5.5	.,0.0	0,010	1,510	10,132
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	Ö	ō	ō	0	ŏ	0	ŏ	Ô
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	O	0	0	0	ō	ō	Ô	ō	ŏ	ñ
	e. Other		0	0	. 0		0	0	0	0	. 0	0	Ō	0	<u> </u>
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Line	es 7 + 8)	6,796	6.781	6.767	6,752	6,738	6.723	6,708	6.693	6,678	6.664	6,649	6.635	80,584
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	, ,	6,796	6,781	6,767	6,752	6,738	6,723	6,708	6,693	6,678	6,664	6.649	6,635	80,584
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Deman	ıd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	(D)	6,535	6,516	6,494	6,473	6,477	6,499	6,468	6,430	6,451	6,404	6,407	6,435	77,589
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs	s (E)	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,.00	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lir	nes 12 + 13)	\$6,535	\$6,516	\$6,494	\$6,473	\$6,477	\$6,499	\$6,468	\$6,430	\$6,451	\$6,404	\$6,407	\$6,435	\$77,589

Notes:

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Berid; account 315.44
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.1%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

DOCKET NO. 080007-EI
ECRC 2009 PROJECTION FILING
EXHIBIT NO. HTB-3, PAGES 1 - 26
DOCUMENT NO. 4

N

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2009 to December 2009

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank # 1 Upgrade (in Dollars)

Investments	Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
b. Clearings to Plant c. Reliements	1	Investments														
b. Clearings to Pfant		 Expenditures/Additions 		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
G. Other G. Oth				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	*-
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)				D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (133,624) (134,702) (135,780) (136,858) (137,936) (139,014) (140,092) (141,170) (142,248) (143,328) (144,404) (145,482) (146,560) (146,660) (1		d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (133,824) (134,702) (135,780) (135,780) (135,780) (135,780) (135,780) (140,092) (141,170) (142,248) (143,328) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (146,580) (147,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (141,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (141,170) (142,248) (143,328) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (141,170) (142,248) (142,481) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,170) (142,248) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (144,404) (145,482) (146,580) (147,092) (141,092) (1	2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$497,578	\$497.578	\$497,578	\$497.578	\$497.578	\$497.578	\$497.578	\$497.578	\$497.578	\$497 578	\$497 578	\$497.578	\$497 578	
4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(133,624)	(134,702)	(135,780)	(136,858)	(137,936)	(139,014)	(140,092)							
6. Average Net Investment 363,415 362,337 361,259 360,181 359,103 358,025 356,947 355,869 354,791 353,713 352,635 351,557 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 854 851 849 846 844 841 839 836 834 831 829 826 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 1,078 1,0	4	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	. 0	O	0	Ò	0) o			0			0	
7. Ratum on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 854 851 849 848 848 844 841 839 836 834 831 829 826 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 1,078 1,07	5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$363,954	362,876	361,798	360,720	359,642	358,564	357,486	356,408	355,330	354,252	353,174	352,096	351,018	
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 854 851 849 846 844 841 839 836 834 831 829 826 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 1,078 1	6	Average Net Investment		363,415	362,337	361,259	360,181	359,103	358,025	356,947	355,869	354,791	353,713	352,635	351,557	
B. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 1,078	7	Return on Average Net Investment														
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 854 851 849 846 844 841 839 836 834 831 829 826 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 1,078 1,0		a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Tax	es (B)	2,672	2,664	2,656	2,648	2,641	2.633	2.625	2.617	2.609	2.601	2.593	2.585	\$31,544
a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Depreciation (C) c. Depreciation (C) c. Depreciation (C) c. Depreciation (C) c. Depreci		b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12	2)	854	851	849	846	844								10,080
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8	Investment Expenses														
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		a. Depreciation (C)		1.078	1.078	1.078	1.078	1.078	1.078	1 078	1.078	1.078	1.078	1.078	1.078	12,936
d. Property Taxes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		b. Amortization		0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0.0,7	.,		1,010	0.2,30
e. Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	ō	ō	ō	Ô	Ô	ň
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	ō	ŏ	ō	Ö	ő
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Line:	s7+8)	4.604	4.593	4.583	4.572	4.563	4.552	4 542	4.531	4 521	4.510	4 500	4 489	54,560
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 4,604 4,593 4,583 4,572 4,563 4,552 4,542 4,531 4,521 4,510 4,500 4,489 10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.9616613 0.9609826 0.9596958 0.9586978 0.9612606 0.9667369 0.9641476 0.9607418 0.9660436 0.9610028 0.9636533 0.9699253 11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9587232 0.958																0-,000
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9587232 0.9		 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 	d	4,604	4,593	4,583	4,572	4,563	4,552	4,542	4,531		4,510	4,500	4,489	54,560
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9587232 0.9	10	. Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0 9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.0636633	0.0600253	
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) <u>4,414</u> 4,403 4,394 4,383 4,375 4,364 4,355 4,344 4,334 4,324 4,314 4,304																
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) <u>4,414</u> 4,403 4,394 4,383 4,375 4,364 4,355 4,344 4,334 4,324 4,314 4,304	12	. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs ((D)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	O	0
	13	. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs	(E)	4,414	4,403	4,394	4,383	4,375	4,364	4,355	_	4,334	4.324	-	-	52,308
14. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$4,414 \$4,403 \$4,394 \$4,383 \$4,375 \$4,364 \$4,355 \$4,344 \$4,334 \$4,324 \$4,314 \$4,304	14			\$4,414	\$4,403	\$4,394			\$4,364							\$52,308

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.40
- (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.6%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank # 2 Upgrade (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1,	Investments														
	 a. Expenditures/Additions 		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•-
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d, Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818,401	\$818.401	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(219,796)	(221,569)	(223,342)	(225,115)	(226,888)	(228,661)	(230,434)	(232,207)	(233,980)	(235,753)	(237,526)	(239,299)	(241,072)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0		0	0	o o	0	o	` oʻ	o o	O	o	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$598,605	596,832	595,059	593,286	591,513	589,740	587,967	586,194	584,421	582,648	580,875	579,102	577,329	
6.	Average Net Investment		597,719	595,946	594,173	592,400	590,627	588,854	587,081	585,308	583,535	581,762	579,989	578,216	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	xes (B)	4,395	4,382	4,369	4,356	4,343	4,330	4,317	4,304	4,291	4,278	4,265	4,252	\$51,882
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/1		1,405	1,400	1,396	1,392	1,388	1,384	1,380	1,375	1,371	1,367	1,363	1,359	16,580
8.	Investment Expenses														
•	a. Depreciation (C)		1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	1.773	1.773	1,773	21,276
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.,0	0	0	0	1,770	21,210
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	o	ō	0	ō	ō	ō	ŏ	ō	n	ň	ů
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	ŏ	ō	ō	ō	ő
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0		Ŏ.	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin-	es 7 + 8)	7.573	7.555	7,538	7,521	7,504	7.487	7,470	7,452	7,435	7,418	7,401	7,384	89,738
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	7,.50	0	0	0	00,700
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demail		7,573	7,555	7,538	7,521	7,504	7,487	7,470	7,452	7,435	7,418	7,401	7,384	89,738
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	(D)	0	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cos	ts (E)	7,260	7,243	7,227	7,211	7,194	7,178	7,162	7,144	7,128	7,112	7,096	7,079	86,034
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Li	nes 12 + 13)	\$7,260	\$7,243	\$7,227	\$7,211	\$7,194	\$7,178	\$7,162	\$7,144	\$7,128	\$7,112	\$7,096	\$7,079	\$86,034

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.40
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.6%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Phillips Upgrade Tank # 1 for FDEP (in Dollars)

<u>L</u>	ine	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November_	Projected December	End of Period Total
	1.	Investments									•					
		a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
		b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	G	0	Ô	0	ō	0	Ō	**
		c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	
		d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$57,277	\$ 57,277	\$57,277	
	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(20,820)	(20,963)	(21,106)	(21,249)	(21,392)		(21,678)		(21,964)	(22,107)	(22,250)	(22,393)	(22,536)	
	4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	` oʻ	0	Ò	` ó	0	` o	o o	0	` o´	(,_,	O O	(,,	0	
	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$36,457	36,314	36,171	36,028	35,885	35,742	35,599	35,456	35,313	35,170	35,027	34,884	34,741	
	6.	Average Net Investment		36,386	36,243	36,100	35,957	35,814	35,671	35,528	35,385	35,242	35,099	34,956	34,813	
	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
		a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	xes (B)	268	2 6 7	265	264	263	262	261	260	259	258	257	256	\$3 ,140
		b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/1	2)	86	85	85	84	84	84	83	83	83	82	82	82	1,003
)	8.	Investment Expenses														
ľ		a. Depreciation (C)		143	143	143	143	143	143	143	143	143	143	143	143	1,716
•		b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō
		d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	ø	0	0	G	0	0	0	0	0	0
		e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Line	es 7 + 8)	497	495	493	491	490	489	487	486	485	483	482	481	5,859
		a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demai	nd	497	495	493	491	490	489	487	486	485	483	482	481	5,859
	10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
	11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
	12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	(D)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cos	s (E)	476	475	473	471	470	469	467	466	465	463	462	461	5,618
	14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Li	nes 12 + 13}	\$476	\$475	\$473	\$471	\$470	\$469	\$467	\$466	\$465	\$463	\$462	\$461	\$5,618

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Phillips; account 342.28
- (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.0%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2009 to December 2009

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Phillips Upgrade Tank # 4 for FDEP (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	ű	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
	c. Retirements		0	0	Ô	O	ō	Ö	ō	Ô	ñ	ő	ŏ	ñ	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	ō	0	Ö	. 0	ō	Ö	ŏ	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	\$90,472	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(33,299)	(33,525)	(33,751)							(35,333)		(35,785)	(36,011)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	· o	` oʻ	` o´	o´	(,,	0	(0.,000,	0	0	(00,000,	(00,000,	(50,750,	(00,011)	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$57,173	56,947	56,721	56,495	56,269	56,043	55,817	55,591	55,365	55,139	54,913	54,687	54,461	
6	Average Net Investment		57,060	56,834	56,608	56,382	56,156	55,930	55,704	55,478	55,252	55,026	54,800	54,574	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment											•			
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	xes (B)	420	418	416	415	413	411	410	408	406	405	403	401	\$4,926
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/		134	134	133	132	132	131	131	130	130	129	129	128	1,573
١.														,	.,
8.															
1	Depreciation (C)		226	226	226	226	226	226	226	226	226	226	226	226	2,712
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin	es 7 + 8)	780	778	775	773	771	768	767	764	762	760	758	755	9,211
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	, 50	, 55	0,211
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema	nd	780	778	775	773	771	768	767	764	762	760	758	755	9,211
															-,,
10			0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11	. Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	: (D)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	5 ,		748	746	743	741	739	736	735	732	731	729	727	724	8,831
14		- 1-7	\$748	\$746	\$743	\$741	\$739	\$736	\$735	\$732	\$731	\$729	\$727	\$724	\$8,831
		·· · · · · ·	7. 10	4. 10	4.70	₩, T !	₩1.03	ψ. JU	4100	⊕, JZ	A131.	4120	⊕1∠1	9124	30,00

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Phillips; account 342.28
- (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.0%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of eriod Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	ō	ō	õ	Õ	ő	ő	0	ů	0	30
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	Ó	Ō	ō	ō	ō	ŏ	õ	õ	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ō	õ	ō	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	\$1.316.257	\$1,316,257	\$1,316,257	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(475,592)	(479,212)	(482,832)							(508,172)		(515,412)	(519,032)	
4.	Other	0	0	0	` oʻ	` ' o'	, o	O	,,	0	(010,112,	(= 1.1,1.12,	(0.10,1.12,	(0.0,002)	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$840,665	837,045	833,425	829,805	826,185	822,565	818,945	815,325	811,705	808,085	804,465	800,845	797,225	
6.	Average Net investment		838,855	835,235	831,615	827,995	824,375	820,755	817,135	813,515	809,895	806,275	802,655	799,035	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Tax		6,168	6,142	6,115	6,088	6,062	6,035	6.009	5.982	5,955	5,929	5,902	5.875	\$72,262
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/1)	2)	1,971	1,963	1,954	1,946	1,937	1,929	1,920	1,912	1,903	1,895	1,886	1,878	23,094
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		3,620	3,620	3,620	3,620	3,620	3,620	3,620	3.620	3.620	3,620	3.620	3,620	43,440
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantiement		0	0	0	0	0	O	0	C	0	0	0	0	ŏ
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Line		11,759	11,725	11,689	11,654	11,619	11,584	11,549	11,514	11,478	11,444	11,408	11,373	138,796
	 a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 		11,759	11,725	11,689	11,654	11,619	11,584	11,549	11,514	11,478	11,444	11,408	11,373	138,796
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Deman	d	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs		11,308	11,268	11,218	11,173	11,169	11,199	11,135	11,062	11,088	10,998	10,993	11,031	133,642
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs		0	O	0	. 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lin	es 12 + 13)	\$11,308	\$11,268	\$11,218	\$11,173	\$11,169	\$11,199	\$11,135	\$11,062	\$11,088	\$10,998	\$10,993	\$11,031	\$133,642

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.41
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.3% (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2009 to December 2009

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement (in Dollars)

Lin	ıe	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
	1.	Investments														
		a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	o o	0	0	ő	•••
		c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	ō	Ō	Ō	Ŏ	ŏ	ō	ō	ō	
		d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	Ö	Ō	Ō	
	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984.794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	\$984,794	
	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(368,694)	(371,238)	(373,782)	(376,326)	(378,870)	(381,414)	(383,958)	(386,502)	(389,046)	(391,590)		(396,678)	(399,222)	
	4.	Other		0	o o	o o	` ó	` ′ ′ ′ ′ ′	0	O	O	,,, 0	0	0	0	
	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$616,100	613,556	611,012	608,468	605,924	603,380	600,836	598,292	595,748	593,204	590,660	588,116	585,572	
	6.	Average Net investment		614,828	612,284	609,740	607,196	604,652	602,108	599,564	597,020	594,476	591,932	589,388	586,844	
	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
		a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	xes (B)	4,521	4,502	4,484	4,465	4,446	4,427	4,409	4,390	4.371	4.353	4,334	4,315	\$53,017
		b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/1	2)	1,445	1,439	1,433	1,427	1,421	1,415	1,409	1,403	1,397	1,391	1,385	1,379	16,944
•	8.	Investment Expenses														
)		a. Depreciation (C)		2.544	2,544	2,544	2.544	2.544	2,544	2.544	2,544	2,544	2,544	2,544	2,544	30,528
		b. Amortization		0	0	0	-,-,-	0	0	2,571	2,5	_,_,,		2,017	2,511	00,020
		c. Dismantlement		0	0	Ō	0	0	ō	ă	ō	ő	ő	ō	ň	ñ
		d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	ō	Ō	ō	ō	. 0	ō	ő	ő
		e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	ō	0	<u>o</u>
	9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Line	es 7 + 8)	8.510	8,485	8.461	8.436	8.411	8,386	8,362	8,337	8,312	8,288	8,263	8.238	100,489
		a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		8,510	8,485	8,461	8,436	8,411	8.386	8,362	8,337	8,312	8,288	8,263	8,238	100,489
		b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demar	nd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
1	11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
1	12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	(D)	8,184	8,154	8,120	8,088	8.085	8,107	8.062	8,010	8,030	7.965	7.963	7.990	96,758
1	13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cost	s (E)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Li	nes 12 + 13)	\$8,184	\$8,154	\$8,120	\$8,088	\$8,085	\$8,107	\$8,062	\$8,010	\$8,030	\$7.965	\$7.963	\$7,990	\$96,758

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.42
- (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.1%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform (in Dollars)

b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$0 \$0			September	August	July	June	Projected May	Projected April	Projected March	February	January	Period Amount	Description	Line
b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements	\$0 \$0													Investments	1.
b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
d. Other d. Oth	, U	0		0	0	0	0	0	_	0	-	0			
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A) \$120,737 \$120,7	0	0	0	-	0	•	0	-	•	0	•	0			
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (23,647) (23,848) (24,049) (24,250) (24,451) (24,652) (24,652) (25,653) (25,555) (25,456) (25,657) (25,854) (25,057) (25,854) (25,057) (25,854) (25,057) (25,855) (25,456) (25,857) (25,855) (25,456) (25,857) (25,8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		d. Other	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (23,647) (23,848) (24,049) (24,250) (24,451) (24,652) (24,653) (25,054) (25,255) (25,456) (25,657) (25,6	\$120.737	\$120.737	\$120.737	\$120.737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737	\$120,737		2.
4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(26,059)	(25,858)						(24,652)	(24,451)	(24,250)	(24,049)	(23,848)	(23,647)		3.
6. Average Net Investment 96,990 96,789 96,588 96,387 96,186 95,985 95,784 95,583 95,382 95,181 94,98 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 713 712 710 709 707 706 704 703 701 700 69 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 228 227 227 227 226 226 225 225 225 224 224 222 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	0	O O	,			. 0	0	0					0		4.
7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 228 227 227 227 226 226 226 225 225 224 224 22 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	94,678	94,879	95,080	95,281	95,482	95,683	95,884	96,085	96,286	96,487	96,688	96,889	\$97,090	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	5.
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 713 712 710 709 707 706 704 703 701 700 68 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 228 227 227 227 226 226 226 225 225 224 224 224 22 28 Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	94,779	94,980	95,181	95,382	95,583	95,784	95,985	96,186	96,387	96,588	96,789	96,990		Average Net Investment	6.
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 228 227 227 227 226 226 225 225 224 224 22 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C)														Return on Average Net Investment	7.
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 228 227 227 227 226 226 226 225 225	697 \$8,460	698	700	701	703	704	706	707	709	710	712	713	Γaxes (Β)	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For 1	
a. Depreciation (C) 201<	223 2,705	223				225		226	227	227	227	228	/12)	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1	
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														Investment Expenses	8.
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	201 2,412	201	201	201	201	201	201	201	201	201	201	201		a. Depreciation (C)	
c. Dismantlement 0	0 0	201				0	0				0	0		b. Amortization	
e. Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	Õ	ō	-	Ŏ	Ö	Ō	0	0	0	0	0			
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 1,142 1,140 1,138 1,137 1,134 1,133 1,130 1,129 1,126 1,125 1,12 a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 1,142 1,140 1,138 1,137 1,134 1,133 1,130 1,129 1,126 1,125 1,12	0 0	ō	ō	Ō	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 1,142 1,140 1,138 1,137 1,134 1,133 1,130 1,129 1,126 1,125 1,12	0 0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	e. Other	
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 1,142 1,140 1,138 1,137 1,134 1,133 1,130 1,129 1,126 1,125 1,12	1,121 13,577	1.122	1 125	1 126	1.129	1.130	1.133	1,134	1.137	1,138	1,140	1,142	ines 7 + 8)	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Li	9.
h Description Contract (Contract)	1,121 13,577	1,122								1,138	1,140	1,142			
	0 0	0				0		0	.0	0	0	0	and	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dem	
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.9616613 0.9609826 0.9596958 0.9586978 0.9612606 0.9667369 0.9641476 0.9607418 0.9660436 0.9610028 0.963653	0.9699253	0.9636533	0.9610028	0.0660436	0.9607418	0 9641476	0.9667369	0.9612606	0.9586978	0.9596958	0.9609826	0.9616613		Energy Jurisdictional Factor	10.
14 5 1 1-1-1-1-1 2.0000700 2.0010020 0.000000	0.9587232														11.
12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (D) 1,098 1,096 1,092 1,090 1,090 1,095 1,089 1,085 1,088 1,081 1,08	1,087 13,072	1,081	1.081	1.088	1.085	1,089	1,095	1,090	1,090	1,092	1,096	1,098			
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	0									0	•			
14. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$1,098 \$1,096 \$1,092 \$1,090 \$1,090 \$1,095 \$1,089 \$1,085 \$1,088 \$1,081 \$1,081	\$1,087 \$13,072	\$1,081	\$1,081	\$1,088	\$1,085	\$1,089	\$1,095	\$1,090	\$1,090	\$1,092	\$1,096	\$1,098	Lines 12 + 13) 🚆	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (14.

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 311.40
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.0%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Units 1 and 2 FGD (Less Gypsum Revenue) (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$69,307	\$98,958	\$70,377	\$73,685	\$51,921	\$54,921	\$57,121	\$57,421	\$64,701	\$127,271	\$750,151	\$635,411	\$2,111,245
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	335,963	17,887	20,087	20,387	18,187	296,035	9,487	5,687	723,720
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	. 0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	•
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$83,552,961	\$83,552,961	\$83,552,961	\$83,552,961	\$83,552,961	\$83,888,924	\$83,906,811	\$83,926,898	\$83,947,285	\$83.965.472	\$84.261.507	\$84,270,994	\$84,276,681	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(29,341,198)	(29,543,001)	(29,744,804)	(29,946,607)	(30,148,410)	(30,350,535)	(30,552,999)	(30,755,499)	(30,958,038)	(31,160,614)	(31,363,491)			
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	2,533,602	2,602,909	2,701,867	2,772,244	2,845,929	2,561,887	2,598,921	2,635,955	2,672,989	2,719,503	2,550,739	3,291,403	3,921,127	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$56,745,364	56,612,868	56,510,023	56,378,597	56,250,479	56,100,275	55,952,732	55,807,353	55,662,235	55,524,360	55,448,754	55,995,735	56,427,962	
6.	Average Net Investment		56,679,116	56,561,446	56,444,310	56,314,538	56,175,377	56,026,504	55,880,043	55,734,794	55,593,298	55,486,557	55,722,245	56,211,849	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	exes (B)	416,771	415,906	415,044	414,090	413,067	411.972	410.895	409.827	408,787	408,002	409,735	413.335	\$4,947,431
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/	12)	133,196	132,919	132,644	132,339	132,012	131,662	131,318	130,977	130,644	130,393	130,947	132,098	1,581,149
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		201,803	201,803	201,803	201,803	202,125	202,464	202,500	202,539	202,576	202.877	203,170	203,184	2,428,647
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin	es 7 + 8)	751,770	750,628	749,491	748,232	747,204	746,098	744,713	743,343	742.007	741.272	743.852	748,617	8.957,227
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ	У	751,770	750,628	749,491	748,232	747,204	746,098	744,713	743,343	742,007	741,272	743,852	748,617	8,957,227
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema 	nd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	s (D)	722,948	721,340	719,283	717,328	718,258	721,280	718,013	714,161	716,811	712,364	716.815	726,103	8,624,704
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cos		0	0	0	. 0	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	. 0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (L	ines 12 + 13)	\$722,948	\$721,340	\$719,283	\$717,328	\$718,258	\$721,280	\$718,013	\$714,161	\$716,811	\$712,364	\$716,815	\$726,103	\$8,624,704
															

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.46 (\$83,318,932) and 312.45 (\$957,749) (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rates are 2.9% and 2.3%.
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

End of

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2009 to December 2009

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	\$21,739,737	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(4,032,085)	(4,073,727)	(4,115,369)	(4,157,011)	(4,198,653)	(4,240,295)	(4,281,937)	(4,323,579)	(4,365,221)	(4,406,863)	(4,448,505)	(4,490,147)	(4,531,789)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o o	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$17,707,652	17,666,010	17,624,368	17,582,726	17,541,084	17,499,442	17,457,800	17,416,158	17,374,516	17,332,874	17,291,232	17,249,590	17,207,948	
6.	Average Net Investment		17,686,831	17,645,189	17,603,547	17,561,905	17,520,263	17,478,621	17,436,979	17,395,337	17,353,695	17,312,053	17,270,411	17,228,769	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	axes (B)	130,054	129,748	129,442	129.136	128,829	128,523	128,217	127,911	127,605	127,298	126,992	126,686	\$1,540,441
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/	12)	41,564	41,466	41,368	41,270	41,173	41,075	40,977	40,879	40,781	40,683	40,585	40,488	492,309
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		41,642	41,642	41,642	41,642	41.642	41.642	41,642	41.642	41.642	41.642	41.642	41.642	499.704
	b. Amortization		0	0	. 0	. 0	. 0	. 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin	nes 7 + 8)	213,260	212,856	212,452	212,048	211,644	211,240	210.836	210,432	210.028	209,623	209,219	208,816	2.532.454
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ		213,260	212,856	212,452	212,048	211,644	211,240	210,836	210,432	210,028	209,623	209,219	208,816	2,532,454
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema	end	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cost	s (D)	205,084	204,551	203,889	203,290	203.445	204,214	203,277	202,171	202,896	201,448	201,615	202,536	2,438,416
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cos		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (E	ines 12 + 13)	\$205,084	\$204,551	\$203,889	\$203,290	\$203,445	\$204,214	\$203,277	\$202,171	\$202,896	\$201,448	\$201,615	\$202,536	\$2,438,416

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 311.45 (\$39.818) and 312.45(\$21,699,919)
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rates are 1.5% and 2.3%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10 (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend NO, Emissions Reduction (in Dollars)

Investments	Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements	1.	Investments							. * *							
b. Clearings to Plant c. Relitiments		a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
A control of the co		b. Clearings to Plant		0	0				0	ō		• -		ō		
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)		c. Retirements		Ó	Ō	Ō	Ō	. 0	0	ō	ō	Ö	ō	Ō	ō	•
Less: Accoundated Depreciation 2,677,800 2,658,862 2,659,860 2,659,860 2,659,860 2,659,860 2,659,860 2,659,860 2,569,980 2,569,980 2,579,000 2,579,0		d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	
Less: Accoundated Depreciation 2,677,800 2,668,820 2,659,860 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$3,486,738	\$3,190,853	\$3,190,853	\$3,190,853	\$3,193,598	\$3,195,949	\$3,198,753	\$3,216,405	\$3,234,057	\$3.301.709	\$3,377,361	\$3,453,490	\$3,486,738	
CWP - Non-Interest Bearing O O O O O O O O O	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	2,677,800	2,668,820	2,659,840	2,650,860	2,641,880									
6. Average Net Investment 6.012,105 5,855,183 5,846,203 5,838,595 5,832,163 5,827,009 5,835,681 5,869,353 5,932,015 5,998,915 6,044,624 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2 82% x 1/12) 14,128 13,760 13,739 13,721 13,706 13,691 13,693 13,714 13,793 13,940 14,107 14,205 166,187 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
7. Retum on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 14.128 13,760 13,739 13,721 13,706 13,691 13,691 13,693 13,714 13,793 13,940 14,111 144,447 \$519,998 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 14.128 13,760 13,739 13,721 13,706 13,691 13,693 13,714 13,793 13,940 14,111 144,447 \$519,998 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 14.128 13,760 13,793 13,940 14,107 14,205 166,187 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C)	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$6,164,538	5,859,673	5,850,693	5,841,713	5,835,478	5,828,849	5,822,673	5,831,345	5,840,017	5,898,689	5,965,341	6,032,490	6,056,758	
a. Equity Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)	6.	Average Net Investment		6,012,105	5,855,183	5,846,203	5,838,595	5,832,163	5,825,761	5,827,009	5,835,681	5,869,353	5,932,015	5,998,915	6,044,624	
a. Equity Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 14,128 13,760 13,739 13,721 13,706 13,691 13,693 13,714 13,793 13,940 14,097 14,205 166,187 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 8,890 8,980 8,980 8,980 8,980 8,980 8,980 8,980 8,980 8,980 8,980 9,000 8,980 8,980 107,780 b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			axes (B)	44,208	43,054	42,988	42,932	42,885	42,838	42.847	42.911	43.158	43,619	44,111	44.447	\$519,998
a. Depreciation (C)		b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1.	/12)	14,128	13,760	13,739	13,721	13,706	13,691	13,693	13,714	13,793	13,940	14,097	14,205	166,187
a. Depreciation (C)	â	Investment Evgenses														
b. Arnortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.			8 980	8 980	8 980	8 080	8 980	8 080	8 080	e oan	8 080	9 000	9 080	2 020	107 780
C. Dismantlement C. Dismantle			•	0,300	· _	0,500				0,360	0,500	•	9,000	0,560	,	107,700
d. Property Taxes c) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				ő	ő	ű	0	Ö	0	ñ	ň	-	ň	ŏ	n	Ů
e. Other 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		d. Property Taxes		ő	ō	ã	o o	ō	ă	ā	ő	-	-	ő	ñ	ő
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 67,316 65,794 65,707 65,633 65,707 65,633 65,571 65,509 65,509 65,500 65,605 65,931 66,559 67,188 67,632 793,965 67,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Ō	0	Ō	0	ō	ō	ŏ	0		-	ŏ	ō	ŏ
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 67,316 65,794 65,707 65,633 65,707 65,633 65,571 65,509 65,509 65,500 65,605 65,931 66,559 67,188 67,632 793,965 67,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	Total System Bassyerphia Evenage (Li	aaa 7 + 9\	67 216	. CE 704	CE 707	65 633	ee 574	ee 500	CE 500	05.005	SE 004	CC 550	67.480	AT 000	700.005
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	J .															
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.9616613 0.9609826 0.9596958 0.9586978 0.9612606 0.9667369 0.9641476 0.9607418 0.9660436 0.9610028 0.9636533 0.9699253 0.9587232 0.9								-								
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9587232 0.9				ŭ	•	ū	ū	·	·	ŭ	·	Ū	·	•	·	U
12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (D) 64,735 63,227 63,059 62,922 63,031 63,330 63,171 63,029 63,692 63,963 64,746 65,598 764,503 13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cost	ts (D)	64 735	63 227	63.059	62 922	63 031	63 330	63 171	63 029	63 602	63.063	64.7AG	85 509	784 502
	13.											00,002	00,000	04,140		7.04,003
	14.			\$64,735	\$63,227	\$63,059	\$62,922	\$63,031	\$63,330	\$63,171	\$63,029	\$63,692	\$63,963	\$64,746	\$65,598	\$764,503

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.41 (\$1,675,171), 312.42 (\$1,075,718), and 312.43 (\$735,849) (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rates are 3.3%, 3.1%, and 2.6%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10 (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: PM Minimization and Monitoring (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	 a. Expenditures/Additions 		\$3,073	\$3,073	\$3,073	\$36,073	\$36,073	\$36,073	\$36,073	\$50,332	\$56,326	\$103,177	\$98,230	\$31,300	\$492,876
	b. Cleanings to Plant		3,073	3,073	3,073	36,073	36,073	36,073	36,073	50,332	56,326	103,177	98,230	31,300	492,876
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	Ō	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$8,391,052	\$8,394,125	\$8,397,198	\$8,400,271	\$8,436,344	\$8,472,417	\$8,508,490	\$8,544,563	\$8,594,895	\$8,651,221	\$8,754,398	\$8,852,628	\$8,883,928	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(970,029)	(991,061)	(1,012,099)	(1,033,143)	(1,054,228)	(1,075,388)	(1,096,623)	(1,117,934)	(1,139,335)	(1,160,847)	(1,182,525)	(1,204,413)	(1,226,436)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$7,421,023	7,403,064	7,385,099	7,367,128	7,382,116	7,397,029	7,411,867	7,426,629	7,455,560	7,490,374	7,571,873	7,648,215	7,657,492	
6.	Average Net Investment		7,412,044	7,394,082	7,376,114	7,374,622	7,389,573	7,404,448	7,419,248	7,441,095	7,472,967	7,531,124	7,610,044	7,652,854	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	 a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta 		54,502	54,370	54,238	54,227	54,337	54,446	54,555	54,716	54,950	55,378	55,958	56,273	\$657,950
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/	12)	17,418	17,376	17,334	17,330	17,365	17,400	17,435	17,487	17,561	17,698	17.884	17,984	210,272
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		21,032	21.038	21,044	21.085	21,160	21,235	21,311	21,401	21,512	21,678	21.888	22,023	256,407
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	-1,1	0	0	0	2.,555	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	Ó	ō	Ó	Ō	ō	Ŏ	ō	ŏ
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	, 0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin	ies 7 + 8)	92 952	92,784	92.616	92.642	92,862	93.081	93,301	93,604	94,023	94,754	95,730	96,280	1.124.629
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ	y .	92,952	92,784	92,616	92.642	92,862	93,081	93,301	93,604	94,023	94,754	95,730	96,280	1.124,629
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema	ind	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cost	s (D)	89,388	89,164	88.883	88.816	89,265	89,985	89.956	89,929	90,830	91,059	92,251	93,384	1,082,910
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cost		09,360	09,104	00,003 N	010,00	99,200 N	09,900	000,00	69,929 0	90,030	91,059	92,251	93,364	1,002,910
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (L		\$89,388	\$89.164	\$88.883	\$88.816	\$89.265	\$89,985	\$89.956	\$89,929	\$90.830	\$91,059	\$92,251	\$93,384	\$1,082,910
	(-			450,101	120,000	400,010		+00,000	400,000	400,000	430,000	451,000	40E,E01	+50,007	#1,00E,010

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; accounts 312.41 (\$1,513,263), 312.42 (\$5,153,072), 312.43 (\$955,619), 315.41 (\$17,504), 315.43 (\$892,876), and 315.44 (\$351,594) (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rates are 3.3%, 3.1%, 2.6%, 2.5%, 2.5%, and 2.1% (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Polk NO_x Emissions Reduction (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	O	0	0	0	ō	ō	ō	ō	ō	ō	Ô	•••
	c. Retirements		a	G	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ó	0	O	Ô	• 0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	\$1,561,473	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(258,618)	(263,042)	(267,466)	(271,890)	(276,314)	(280,738)	(285,162)	(289,586)	(294,010)	(298,434)	(302,858)	(307,282)	(311,706)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	o o	0	o o	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$1,302,855	1,298,431	1,294,007	1,289,583	1,285,159	1,280,735	1,276,311	1,271,887	1,267,463	1,263,039	1,258,615	1,254,191	1,249,767	
6.	Average Net Investment		1,300,643	1,296,219	1,291,795	1,287,371	1,282,947	1,278,523	1,274,099	1,269,675	1,265,251	1,260,827	1,256,403	1,251,979	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	axes (B)	9,564	9,531	9,499	9,466	9,434	9,401	9,369	9,336	9,304	9,271	9,239	9,206	\$112,620
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/	12)	3,057	3,046	3,036	3,025	3,015	3,005	2,994	2,984	2,973	2,963	2,953	2,942	35,993
8.	Investment Expenses														
	Depreciation (C)		4,424	4,424	4,424	4,424	4,424	4,424	4,424	4,424	4,424	4,424	4,424	4,424	53,088
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0
	e, Other	-	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lir		17,045	17,001	16,959	16,915	16,873	16,830	16,787	16,744	16,701	16,658	16,616	16,572	201,701
	 a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ 		17,045	17,001	16,959	16,915	16,873	16,830	16,787	16,744	16,701	16,658	16,616	16,572	201,701
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema	ind	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	s (D)	16,392	16,338	16,275	16,216	16,219	16,270	16,185	16,087	16,134	16,008	16,012	16,074	194,210
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cos		0	0	. 0	Ö	D	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (L	ines 12 + 13)	\$16,392	\$16,338	\$16,275	\$16,216	\$16,219	\$16,270	\$16,185	\$16,087	\$16,134	\$16,008	\$16,012	\$16,074	\$194,210

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Polk; account 342.81
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.4%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10 (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2009 to December 2009

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		O	0	0	0	a	0	Ō	0	Ō	0	0	0	**
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2.558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	\$2,558,730	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(264,638)	(269,755)	(274,872)	(279,989)	(285,106)	(290,223)	(295,340)	(300,457)	(305,574)	(310,691)	(315,808)	(320,925)	(326,042)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	o´	o o	` o´	o o	` ` o´	` ` oʻ	` ' o'	` oʻ	0	` oʻ	` o´	` o′	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$2,294,092	2,288,975	2,283,858	2,278,741	2,273,624	2,268,507	2,263,390	2,258,273	2,253,156	2,248,039	2,242,922	2,237,805	2,232,688	
6.	Average Net Investment		2,291,534	2,286,417	2,281,300	2,276,183	2,271,066	2,265,949	2,260,832	2,255,715	2,250,598	2,245,481	2,240,364	2,235,247	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For T	axes (B)	16,850	16.812	16.775	16,737	16,700	16,662	16,624	16,587	16,549	16,511	16,474	16,436	\$199,717
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1.	(12)	5,385	5,373	5,361	5,349	5,337	5,325	5,313	5,301	5,289	5,277	5,265	5,253	63,828
8	Investment Expenses														
٠.	a. Depreciation (C)		5.117	5,117	5,117	5,117	5,117	5,117	5,117	5,117	5,117	5,117	5,117	5.117	61,404
	b. Amortization		0,117	0,111	0,111	0,111	5,117	5,117	0,117	5,117	3,117	5,117	3,117	0,117	01,404
	c. Dismantlement		ŏ	ă	ō	o o	ŏ	ň	ň	ñ	ő	ő	Ů	ň	0
	d. Property Taxes		Ō	Ō	Ō	Ö	ō	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ă	ŏ
	e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ŏ	. 0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Li	nes 7 + 8)	27,352	27,302	27.253	27,203	27,154	27,104	27,054	27,005	26,955	26,905	26,856	26,806	324,949
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ		27,352	27,302	27,253	27,203	27,154	27,104	27,054	27,005	26,955	26,905	26,856	26,806	324,949
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema		0	0	0	0	0	2,,.54	0	0	20,555	20,500	20,000	20,000	0
10	Faces Indediction (Control		0.0040040	0.000000	0.0500050	0.0500070	0.0040000	0.0007000	0.0044470						
10. 11.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613 0.9587232	0.9609826 0.9587232	0.9596958 0.9587232	0.9586978 0.9587232	0.9612606 0.9587232	0.9667369 0.9587232	0.9641476 0.9587232	0.9607418 0.9587232	0.9660436 0.9587232	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
	Demand Junstifthough Eactor		U.9001232	0.8367232	0.9067232	0.9067232	0.908/232	0.9567232	0.9567232	0.958/232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cost		26,303	26,237	26,155	26,079	26,102	26,202	26,084	25,945	26,040	25,856	25,880	26,000	312,883
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Co.		0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· o	0	
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (I	ines 12 + 13)	\$26,303	\$26,237	\$26,155	\$26,079	\$26,102	\$26,202	\$26,084	\$25,945	\$26,040	\$25,856	\$25,880	\$26,000	\$312,883

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.44
- (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.4%
 (D) Line 9a x Line 10
 (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR (in Dollars)

<u> Li</u>	ne	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
	1.	Investments														
		 a. Expenditures/Additions 		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,226	\$48,016	\$77,801	\$74,656	\$32,106	\$255,805
		b. Clearings to Pfant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		c. Retirements		0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	\$1,649,121	
	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(106,585)	(111,120)	(115,655)	(120,190)	(124,725)	(129,260)	(133,795)	(138,330)	(142,865)	(147,400)	(151,935)	(156,470)	(161,005)	
	4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	367,767	367,767	367,767	367,767	367,767	367,767	367,767	367,767	390,993	439,009	516,810	591,466	623,572	
	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$1,910,303	1,905,768	1,901,233	1,896,698	1,892,163	1,887,628	1,883,093	1,878,558	1,897,249	1,940,730	2,013,996	2,084,117	2,111,688	_
	6.	Average Net Investment		1,908,036	1,903,501	1,898,966	1,894,431	1,889,896	1,885,361	1,880,826	1,887,904	1,918,990	1,977,363	2,049,057	2,097,903	,
	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
		a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	axes (B)	14,030	13,997	13,963	13,930	13,897	13,863	13.830	13,882	14,111	14,540	15,067	15,426	\$170,536
		b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/	12)	4,484	4,473	4,463	4,452	4,441	4,431	4,420	4,437	4,510	4,647	4,815	4,930	54,503
	8.	Investment Expenses														
		a. Depreciation (C)		4,535	4,535	4,535	4,535	4,535	4,535	4,535	4,535	4,535	4,535	4,535	4,535	54,420
		b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0
		c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
	9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lir	nes 7 + 8)	23,049	23,005	22,961	22,917	22,873	22,829	22,785	22,854	23,156	23,722	24,417	24,891	279,459
		a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ	iy .	23,049	23,005	22,961	22,917	22,873	22,829	22,785	22,854	23,156	23,722	24,417	24,891	279,459
		b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema	เกด	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
	10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
	11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
	12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cost	s (D)	22,165	22,107	22,036	21,970	21,987	22,070	21,968	21,957	22,370	22,797	23,530	24,142	269.099
	13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cos		0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (L	ines 12 + 13)	\$22,165	\$22,107	\$22,036	\$21,970	\$21,987	\$22,070	\$21,968	\$21,957	\$22,370	\$22,797	\$23,530	\$24,142	\$269,099

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.41
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.3%
 (D) Line 9a x Line 10
 (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR (in Dollars)

b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 3,487 3,477 3,468 3,458 3,449 3,439 3,429 3,420 3,410 3,400 3,391 3,381 41 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 4,087 4	Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other	1.	Investments														
b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements				\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
A contract of the contract o				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	•
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A) \$1,581,887 \$1				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (96,044) (100,131) (104,218) (108,305) (112,392) (116,479) (120,565) (124,653) (128,740) (132,827) (138,914) (141,001) (145,088) (140,001) (145,001) (14		d. Other		0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (96,044) (100,131) (104,218) (108,305) (112,392) (116,479) (120,565) (124,553) (124,574) (132,827) (138,914) (141,001) (145,088) (147,087) (147,088) (14	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1,581,887	\$1.581.887	\$1.581.887	\$1.581.887	\$1.581.887	\$1.581.887	\$1.581.887	\$1 581 887	
4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3.		(96,044)	(100,131)	(104,218)	(108,305)	(112,392)	(116,479)	(120,566)							
6. Average Net Investment 1,483,800 1,479,713 1,475,626 1,471,539 1,467,452 1,463,365 1,459,278 1,455,191 1,451,104 1,447,017 1,442,930 1,438,843 7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 3,487 3,477 3,488 3,477 3,488 3,487 3,477 3,488 3,489 3,439 3,429 3,420 3,410 3,400 3,391 3,391 3,381 4.18 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 4,087	4.		0	0	0	0	0	o o	` ′ 0′		,				0	
7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 3,487 3,477 3,468 3,458 3,458 3,449 3,439 3,429 3,420 3,410 3,400 3,341 3,400 3,340 3,340 3,341 3,381 41 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 4,087 4,08	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$1,485,843	1,481,756	1,477,669	1,473,582	1,469,495	1,465,408	1,461,321	1,457,234	1,453,147	1,449,060	1,444,973	1,440,886	1,436,799	
a. Equity Component (Grossed Up For Taxes (B) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 3,487 3,477 3,488 3,458 3,449 3,439 3,429 3,420 3,410 3,400 3,400 3,391 3,381 41 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement c. Dismantlemen	6.	Average Net Investment		1,483,800	1,479,713	1,475,626	1,471,539	1,467,452	1,463,365	1,459,278	1,455,191	1,451,104	1,447,017	1,442,930	1,438,843	
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 3,487 3,477 3,468 3,458 3,449 3,439 3,429 3,420 3,410 3,400 3,391 3,381 41 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 4,087 4	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 3,487 3,477 3,468 3,458 3,449 3,439 3,429 3,420 3,410 3,400 3,300 3,391 3,381 41 8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) 4,087 4				10,911	10,881	10,851	10,820	10,790	10.760	10.730	10,700	10.670	10,640	10.610	10.580	\$128,943
a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/	12)	3,487	3,477	3,468	3,458	3,449	3,439	3,429	3,420	3,410	3,400			41,209
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8.	Investment Expenses														
b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		a. Depreciation (C)		4.087	4,087	4,087	4.087	4.087	4.087	4.087	4.087	4.087	4 087	4 087	4.087	49,044
d. Property Taxes c) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				0	0	0	. 0	0	•	•	0	0	•			0
e. Other O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	Ö	ō	ō	ō
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Fa				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ö
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 18,485 18,445 18,426 18,246 18,247 18,167 18,		e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 18,485 18,445 18,445 18,406 18,365 18,326 18,286 18,286 18,246 18,207 18,187 18,127 18,088 18,127 18,088 18,048 218 10. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 10. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 10. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 10. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 10. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 10. Setail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (D) 17,776 17,725 17,664 17,606 17,616 17,616 17,616 17,678 17,592 17,492 17,550 17,420 17,420 17,431 17,505 211 18,088 18,048 218 18,048 218 18,246 18,267 18,167	9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lir	nes 7 + 8)	18,485	18,445	18,406	18.365	18.326	18,286	18.246	18.207	18.167	18.127	18 088	18 048	219,196
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ	ay .	18,485	18,445	18,406	18.365									219,196
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9587232 0.9		 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema 	and	0	0	0	0	. 0								0
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9587232 0.9	10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	A 0636533	0.0600253	
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (É) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11.															
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12.			17,776	17,725	17,664	17,606	17,616	17,678	17,592	17,492	17,550	17,420	17.431	17.505	211,055
14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) \$17.776 \$17.775 \$17.664 \$17.606 \$17.606 \$17.606 \$17.600 \$17.600 \$17.600 \$17.600 \$17.600 \$17.600				0		0	0									0
\$17,000 \$17,420	14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (L	ines 12 + 13)	\$17,776	\$17,725	\$17,664	\$17,606	\$17,616	\$17,678	\$17,592	\$17,492	\$17,550	\$17,420	\$17,431	\$17,505	\$211,055

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.42
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.1%
 (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Retirements d. Other		Ü	0	U	0	0	Ü	0	0	0	υ 1	0	0	
	d. Other		. 0	U	U	O	U	U	u	U	U	U	U	U	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	\$2,674,426	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(49,053)	(54,788)	(60,523)	(66,258)	(71,993)	(77,728)	(83,463)	(89,198)	(94,933)	(100,668)	(106,403)	(112,138)	(117,873)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0		0	0_	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$2,625,373	2,619,638	2,613,903	2,608,168	2,602,433	2,596,698	2,590,963	2,585,228	2,579,493	2,573,758	2,568,023	2,562,288	2,556,553	
6.	Average Net Investment		2,622,506	2,616,771	2,611,036	2,605,301	2,599,566	2,593,831	2,588,096	2,582,361	2,576,626	2,570,891	2,565,156	2,559,421	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For T	axes (B)	19,284	19,242	19,199	19,157	19,115	19,073	19,031	18,989	18,946	18,904	18,862	18,820	\$228,622
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1	/12)	6,163	6,149	6,136	6,122	6,109	6,096	6,082	6,069	6,055	6,042	6,028	6,015	73,066
8	Investment Expenses														
٠.	a. Depreciation (C)		5.735	5,735	5,735	5.735	5,735	5,735	5,735	5,735	5,735	5,735	5,735	5,735	68,820
	b. Amortization		0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0_	0	0	0	0	0_	0	. 0	0	0	0	. 0
g	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Li	nes 7 + 8)	31,182	31,126	31,070	31,014	30.959	30,904	30,848	30,793	30,736	30.681	30.625	30,570	370.508
٠.	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Ener-		31.182	31,126	31,070	31,014	30,959	30,904	30,848	30,793	30,736	30,681	30,625	30,570	370,508
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dem		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	· o
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cos	ts (D)	29,987	29,912	29,818	29,733	29,760	29,876	29,742	29,584	29,692	29,485	29,512	29,651	356,752
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Co		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14,	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (I	ines 12 + 13)	\$29,987	\$29,912	\$29,818	\$29,733	\$29,760	\$29,876	\$29,742	\$29,584	\$29,692	\$29,485	\$29,512	\$29,651	\$356,752

- Notes:

 (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.43 (\$1,963,596) and 315.43 (\$710,830)

 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
 - (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.6% and 2.5%
 (D) Line 9a x Line 10
 (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 1 SCR (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$3,266,698	\$3,750,864	\$4,112,240	\$2,317,700	\$1,750,922	\$1,952,537	\$1,954,527	\$2,316,642	\$2,566,349	\$3,028,762	\$4,557,799	\$2,643,873	\$34,218,913
	 b. Clearings to Plant 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	,
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$41,921,923	\$45,188,621	\$48,939,485	\$53,051,725	\$55,369,425	\$57,120,347	\$59,072,884	\$61,027,411	\$63,344,053	\$65,910,402	\$68,939,164	\$73,496,963	\$76,140,836	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$41,921,923	45,188,621	48,939,485	53,051,725	55,369,425	57,120,347	59,072,884	61,027,411	63,344,053	65,910,402	68,939,164	73,496,963	76,140,836	•
6.	Average Net Investment		43,555,272	47,064,053	50,995,605	54,210,575	56,244,886	58,096,615	60,050,147	62,185,732	64,627,227	67,424,783	71,218,063	74,818,899	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	xes (B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	\$0
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/1	12)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	a	D	n	n	0
	b. Amortization -		Ō	ō	ō	0	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ő	ō	ō	ō	Õ	ō
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	Ó	Ō	0	0	Ö	ō	Ō	Ö	Ö	ō	Ō
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	Ō	0	Ō	0	Ō	Ō
	e. Other	,		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin-	es 7 + 8)	۵	a	0	Û	0	n	n	0	n	0	0	0	0
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	ō	Ō	ō	ō	Ď	ŏ	ő	ő	Ö	Ö	ő	. 0
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demai	nd	0	0	0	0	Ō	ō	Ö	Ö	ō	ō	ō	ō	ō
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.			0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	(D)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cost		0	0	0	0	Ö	. 0	ō	Ō	ō	0	ō	ō	ō
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Li	nes 12 + 13) (F)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 315.41. These dollars are for tracking purposes only; depreciation and return are not calculated until the project goes in to service.
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.8%.
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11
- (F) FPSC ruling in Docket No. 980693-El does not allow for recovery of dollars associated with this project until placed in-service.

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 2 SCR (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$3,511,197	\$3,681,092	\$1,864,529	\$1,638,711	\$1,423,678	\$1,398,014	\$997,974	\$928,784	\$1,070,503	\$1,070,503	\$1,070,503	\$1,094,720	\$19,750,208
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	86,400,079	1,398,014	997,974	928,784	1,070,503	1,070,503	1,070,503	1,094,720	\$94,031,080
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$68,350,501	\$71,861,698	\$75,542,790	\$77,407,319	\$79,046,030	\$86,400,079	\$87,798,093	\$88,796,067	\$89,724,851	\$90,795,354	\$91,865,857	\$92,936,360	\$94,031,080	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	. 0	0	0	(109,711)	(330,870)	(555,053)	(781,725)	(1,010,979)	(1,242,999)	(1,477,784)	(1,715,366)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	D	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$68,350,501	71,861,698	75,542,790	77,407,319	79,046,030	86,290,368	87,467,223	88,241,014	88,943,126	89,784,375	90,622,858	91,458,576	92,315,714	
6.	Average Net Investment		70,106,100	73,702,244	76,475,055	78,226,675	82,668,199	86,878,796	87,854,119	88,592,070	89,363,751	90,203,617	91,040,717	91,887,145	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Tax	xes (B)	0	0	0	0	607,873	638,834	646,006	651,432	657,107	663,282	669,438	675,661	\$5,209,633
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/1	2)	0	0	0	0	194,270	204,165	206,457	208,191	210,005	211,978	213,946	215,935	1,664,947
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		0	0	0	0	109,711	221,159	224,183	226,672	229,254	232,020	234,785	237,582	1,715,366
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	C	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Line	es 7 + 8)	0	. 0	0	0	911,854	1,064,158	1,076,646	1,086,295	1,096,366	1,107,280	1,118,169	1,129,178	8,589,946
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	,	G	0	0	0	911,854	1,064,158	1,076,646	1,086,295	1,096,366	1,107,280	1,118,169	1,129,178	8,589,946
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Deman	nd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	(D)	0	0	0	0	876,529	1,028,761	1,038,046	1,043,649	1,059,137	1,064,099	1,077,527	1,095,218	8,282,966
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cost		0	0	0	ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lin	nes 12 + 13) (F)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$876,529	\$1,028,761	\$1,038,046	\$1,043,649	\$1,059,137	\$1,064,099	\$1,077,527	\$1,095,218	\$8,282,966

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.42 (\$87,315,488) and 312.44 (\$6,715,592)

 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).

 (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.1% and 2.4%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10
- (E) Line 9b x Line 11
- (F) FPSC ruling in Docket No. 980693-E) does not allow for recovery of dollars associated with this project until placed in-service.

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 3 SCR (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
	Investments														.
١.	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant			J O	0	1	•0	30	30	*0			- O	70	n
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	ก	0	Ö	Ô	ő	0	ő	Ö	•
	d. Other		0	ő	o o	ŏ	ň	ō	ō	ő	Ď	ő	ō	ō	
			•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	_	_	_	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	\$80,138,218	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(910,617)	(1,079,605)	(1,248,593)	(1,417,581)	(1,586,569)	(1,755,557)	(1,924,545)	(2,093,533)	(2,262,521)	(2,431,509)	(2,600,497)	(2,769,485)	(2,938,473)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$79,227,601	79,058,613	78,889,625	78,720,637	78,551,649	78,382,661	78,213,673	78,044,685	77,875,697	77,706,709	77,537,721	77,368,733	77,199,745	
6.	Average Net Investment		79,143,107	78,974,119	78,805,131	78,636,143	78,467,155	78,298,167	78,129,179	77,960,191	77,791,203	77,622,215	77,453,227	77,284,239	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For T	axes (B)	581.952	580,710	579,467	578,225	576.982	575,739	574,497	573,254	572,012	570,769	569,526	568,284	\$6,901,417
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1		185,986	185,589	185,192	184,795	184,398	184,001	183,604	183,206	182,809	182,412	182,015	181,618	2,205,625
		,	,			,		,	,	,	,	,			- -
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		168,988	168,988	168,988	168,988	168,988	168,988	168,988	168,988	168,988	168,988	168,988	168,988	2,027,856
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	C	o o	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
٥	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Li	Dec 7 + 8)	936,926	935,287	933,647	932,008	930,368	928,728	927,089	925,448	923,809	922,169	920,529	918.890	11.134.898
٥.	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ		936,926	935,287	933,647	932,008	930,368	928,728	927,089	925,448	923,809	922,169	920,529	918,890	11,134,898
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demi		000,020	033,207	000,047	302,000	000,000	020,120	027,000	0.20,770	020,000	0,.00	0	0	0
		uu-	•	·	v	v	•	•	-	-	-				
10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cost		901,005	898,795	896,017	893,514	894,326	897,836	893,851	889,117	892,440	886,207	887,071	891,255	10,721,434
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Co		0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (I	Lines 12 + 13) (F)	\$901,005	\$898,795	\$896,017	\$893,514	\$894,326	\$897,836	\$893,851	\$889,117	\$892,440	\$886,207	\$887,071	\$891,255	\$10,721,434

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 311.43 (\$3,160,938), 312.43 (\$71,233,774), and 312.44 (\$5,743,506)
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
 (C) Applicable depreciation rates are 1.2%, 2.6%, and 2.4%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 4 SCR (in Dollars)

<u>r</u>	ine	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
	1	Investments														
	••	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0
		c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	\$61,202,090	
	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(2,383,327)	(2,505,731)	(2,628,135)	(2,750,539)	(2,872,943)	(2,995,347)	(3.117.751)	(3,240,155)	(3,362,559)	(3,484,963)	(3.607,367)	(3,729,771)	(3,852,175)	
	4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$58,818,763	58,696,359	58,573,955	58,451,551	58,329,147	58,206,743	58,084,339	57,961,935	57,839,531	57,717,127	57,594,723	57,472,319	57,349,915	
	6.	Average Net Investment		58,757,561	58,635,157	58,512,753	58,390,349	58,267,945	58,145,541	58,023,137	57,900,733	57,778,329	57,655,925	57,533,521	57,411,117	
	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
		a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	xes (B)	432,054	431,154	430,254	429,354	428,454	427,554	426,654	425,754	424,854	423,954	423,054	422,154	\$5,125,248
		b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/1	(2)	138,080	137,793	137,505	137,217	136,930	136,642	136,354	136,067	135,779	135,491	135,204	134,916	1,637,978
	8.	Investment Expenses														
		a. Depreciation (C)		122,404	122,404	122,404	122,404	122,404	122,404	122,404	122,404	122,404	122,404	122,404	122,404	1,468,848
		b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		e. Other	-	0	0_	0	00	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin-	es 7 + 8)	692,538	691,351	690,163	688,975	687,788	686,600	685,412	684,225	683,037	681,849	680,662	679,474	8,232,074
		a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ		692,538	691,351	690,163	688,975	687,788	686,600	685,412	684,225	683,037	681,849	680,662	679,474	8,232,074
		b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demai	nd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	10.	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
	11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
	12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs		665,987	664,376	662,347	660,519	661,144	663,762	660,838	657,364	659,844	655,259	655,922	659,039	7,926,401
	13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cos		0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Li	nes 12 + 13) (F)_	\$665,987	\$664,376	\$662,347	\$660,519	\$661,144	\$663,762	\$660,838	\$657,364	\$659,844	\$655,259	\$655,922	\$659,039	\$7,926,401

- Notes:

 (A) Applicable depreciable base for 8ig Bend; account 312.44

 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).

 (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.4%

 (D) Line 9a x Line 10

 (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend FGD System Reliability (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
1.	Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	G	0	0	
	d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$11,719,963	\$5,001,604	\$5,001,604	\$5,001,604	\$11,419,877	\$11,480,480	\$11,507,803	\$11,552,968	\$11,613,183	\$11,648,283	\$11,687,756	\$11,702,856	\$11,719,963	
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(294,511)	(317,097)	(339,683)	(362,269)	(384,855)	(407,441)	(430,027)	(452,613)	(475,199)	(497,785)	(520,371)	(542,957)	(565,543)	
4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	16,182	16,182	16,182	16,182	16,182	16,182	16,182	16,182	16,182	16,182	16,182_	16,182	16,182	
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$11,441,634	4,700,689	4,678,103	4,655,517	11,051,204	11,089,221	11,093,958	11,116,537	11,154,166	11,166,680	11,183,567	11,176,081	11,170,602	
6.	Average Net Investment		8,071,161	4,689,396	4,666,810	7,853,360	11,070,212	11,091,590	11,105,248	11,135,352	11,160,423	11,175,124	11,179,824	11,173,342	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Ta	axes (B)	59,349	34,482	34,316	57,747	81,401	81,558	81,659	81,880	82,064	82,173	82,207	82,159	\$840,995
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/	12)	18,967	11,020	10,967	18,455	26,015	26,065	26,097	26,168	26,227	26,262	26,273	26,257	268,773
8.	Investment Expenses														
	a. Depreciation (C)		22,586	22,586	22,586	22,586	22,586	22,586	22,586	22,586	22,586	22,586	22,586	22,586	271,032
	b. Amortization		O	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	G	0	0	0	0	0	Q
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other		0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin	les 7 + 8)	100.902	68,088	67.869	98,788	130.002	130,209	130,342	130,634	130,877	131,021	131,066	131,002	1,380,800
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energ		100,902	68,088	67,869	98.788	130,002	130,209	130,342	130,634	130,877	131,021	131,066	131,002	1,380,800
	b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Dema		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11.	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12.	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs	s (D)	97,034	65,431	65,134	94,708	124,966	125,878	125,669	125,506	126,433	125,912	126,302	127,062	1,330,035
13.	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Cos	ts (E)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0_
14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Li	ines 12 + 13)	\$97,034	\$65,431	\$65,134	\$94,708	\$124,966	\$125,878	\$125,669	\$125,506	\$126,433	\$125,912	\$126,302	\$127,062	\$1,330,035

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend; account 312.44 (\$1,477,493) and 312.45 (\$10,242,470)
 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002).
- (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 2.4% and 2.3%
- (D) Line 9a x Line 10 (E) Line 9b x Line 11

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Clean Air Mercury Rule (in Dollars)

a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	End of Period Total
b. Clearings to Plant c. Reliements	1.	Investments														
C. Relisements C. Rel		a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0				0	0	-	0	_	_	0	0	0	-	0	-	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing 950,356	2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4) \$950,356 \$95	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6. Average Net Investment 950,356 950,	4.	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing														
7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 6,988 6,984 6,9	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	
a. Equity Component (Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 8,85,856 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 2,233	6.	Average Net Investment		950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	950,356	
a. Equity Component (Grossed Up For Taxes (B) 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 6,988 8,85,856 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12) 2,233	7.	Return on Average Net Investment														
8. Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			axes (B)	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	6,988	\$83,856
a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1	/12)	2,233	2,233	2,233	2,233	2,233	2,233	2,233	2,233	2,233	2,233	2,233	2,233	26,796
a. Depreciation (C) b. Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8.	Investment Expenses														
c. Dismantlement C. Dismantle				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes		b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 9,221 110,652 b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	0
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		e. Other		0	0	0	0	. 0	0	.0	0	0	0	0	0	
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Li	ines 7 + 8)	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	110,652
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.9616613 0.9609826 0.9596958 0.9586978 0.9612606 0.9667369 0.9641476 0.9607418 0.9660436 0.9610028 0.9636533 0.9699253 11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9587232		a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energia	gy	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	9,221	110,652
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9587232 0.9		b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demi	and	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. Dermand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9587232 0.	10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Cost	ts (D)	8,867	8,861	8,849	8,840	8,864	8,914	8,890	8,859	8,908	8,861	8,886	8,944	106,543
				0	0	0						0	0			
	14.	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (I	Lines 12 + 13)	\$8,867	\$8,861	\$8,849	\$8,840	\$8,864	\$8,914	\$8,890	\$8,859	\$8,908	\$8,861	\$8,886	\$8,944	\$106,543

- (A) Applicable depreciable base for Big Bend and Polk; accounts 312.41, 312.43, 312.44, and 345.81 (B) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002) (C) Applicable depreciation rate is 3.3%, 2.6%, 2.4%, and 3.1% (D) Line 9a x Line 10

- (E) Line 9b x Line 11

45

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2009 to December 2009

For Project: SO₂ Emissions Allowances (in Doilars)

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected January 09	Projected February 09	Projected March 09	Projected April 09	Projected May 09	Projected June 09	Projected July 09	Projected August 09	Projected September 09	Projected October 09	Projected November 09	Projected December 09	End of Period Total
1. Investments														·-
a. Purchases/Transfers		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	. \$0	\$0	so	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Sales/Transfers		1,099,890	1.099.890	1,100,220	1,099,890	1,099,890	1,100,220	1.099,890	1,099,890	1,100,220	1.099.890	1,099,890	1.100,220	\$13,200,000
c. Auction Proceeds/Other		0	0,000,000	1,100,220	0.000,000	060,660,1	1,100,220	060,660,1	1,055,050	1,100,220	1,0,000,000 N	1,020,030	1,100,220	\$13,200,000
2. Working Capital Balance		•	•	•	•	•	•	·	·	v	U	v	U	v
a. FERC 158.1 Allowance Inventory	\$0	0	٥	0	0	0	0		0	Δ.	Δ.		•	
b. FERC 158.2 Allowances Withheld	ñ	ň	n	Õ	ň	n	0			0	0	0	0	
c. FERC 182.3 Other Regl. Assets - Losses	0	ŏ	o o	ŏ	0	0		ň	v	Ü	Ů	0	Ů,	
d. FERC 254.01 Regulatory Liabilities - Gains	(19,442)	(18,618)	(17,842)	(17.013)	(16.182)	(15.323)	(14,415)	(13.492)	(12.569)	(11,659)	(10,779)	(0.904)	(0.400)	
Total Working Capital Balance	(\$19,442)	(\$18,618)	(\$17,842)	(\$17,013)	(\$16,182)	(\$15,323)	(\$14,415)	(\$13,492)	(\$12,569)			(9,891)	(9,100)	
o. 10th 110 king Capital Delance	(413,442)	(910,010)	(\$11,042)	(\$17,013)	(\$10,102)	(\$15,323)	(\$14,415)	(\$13,492)	(\$12,569)	(\$11,659)	(\$10,779)	(\$9,891)	(\$9,100)	
4. Average Net Working Capital Balance		(\$19,030)	(\$18,230)	(\$17,428)	(\$16,598)	(\$15,753)	(\$14,869)	(\$13,954)	(\$13,031)	(\$12,114)	(\$11,219)	(\$10,335)	(\$9,496)	
5. Return on Average Net Working Capital Balance														
 a. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A) 		(\$140)	(\$134)	(\$128)	(\$122)	(\$116)	(\$109)	(\$103)	(\$96)	(\$89)	(\$82)	(\$76)	(\$70)	(\$1,265)
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)	_	(\$45)	(\$43)	(\$41)	(\$39)	(\$37)	(\$35)	(\$33)	(\$31)	(\$28)	(\$26)	(\$24)	(\$22)	(\$404)
Total Return Component (B)		(185)	(177)	(169)	(161)	(153)	(144)	(136)	(127)	(117)	(108)	(100)	(92)	(\$1,669)
7. Expenses:														
a. Gains		(1,100,457)	(1,100,457)	(1,100,787)	(1.100.457)	(1.100.457)	(1,100,787)	(1.100.457)	(1,100,457)	(1,100,787)	(1,100,457)	(1,100,457)	(1,100,787)	(13,206,804)
b. Losses		0	, o	oʻ	0	0	0	0	0	(1,100,101,	0	(1,100,101)	(1,100,101)	(10,200,004)
 c. SO₂ Allowance Expense 		90.843	82.091	90,838	89.836	92,908	89.759	92.844	92.844	89,757	92,887	87,779	90,876	1.083.262
8. Net Expenses (C)	-	(1,009,614)	(1,018,366)	(1,009,949)	(1,010,621)	(1,007,549)	(1,011,028)	(1,007,613)	(1,007,613)	(1,011,030)	(1,007,570)	(1,012,678)	(1,009,911)	(12,123,542)
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 6 + 7	7)	(\$1.009,799)	(\$1.018.543)	(\$1,010,118)	(\$1.010.782)	(\$1,007,702)	(\$1.011.172)	(\$1,007,749)	(\$1.007.740)	(\$1,011,147)	(\$1,007,678)	(\$1,012,778)	(\$1.010.003)	(\$12,125,211)
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	•	(1,009,799)	(1.018.543)	(1,010,118)	(1,010,782)	(1,007,702)	(1,011,172)	(1,007,749)		(1,011,147)	(1,007,678)	(1,012,778)	(1,010,003)	(12,125,211)
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(1,007,070)	(1,512,770)	(1,010,000)	(12,123,211)
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9616613	0.9609826	0.9596958	0.9586978	0.9612606	0.9667369	0.9641476	0.9607418	0.9660436	0.9610028	0.9636533	0.9699253	
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	0.9587232	
12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (D)		(971,085)	(978,802)	(969,406)	(969,034)	(968,664)	(977,537)	(971,619)	(968,178)	(976,812)	(968,381)	(975,967)	(979,627)	(11,675,113)
 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 		o o	0	0	o	` oʻ	` o	o o	Ò	0	0	o o	0	0
 Total Juris, Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) 	-	(\$971,085)	(\$978,802)	(\$969,406)	(\$969,034)	(\$968,664)	(\$977,537)	(\$971,619)	(\$968,178)	(\$976,812)	(\$968,381)	(\$975.967)	(\$979,627)	(\$11,675,113)

- Notes:

 (A) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

 (B) Line 6 id reported on Scgedule 3P.

 (C) Line 8 is reported on Schedule 2P

 - (D) Line 9a x Line 10
 - (E) Line 95 x Line 11

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration

Project Description:

This project involved the integration of Big Bend Unit 3 flue gases into the Big Bend Unit 4 Flue Gas Desulfurization ("FGD") system. The integration was accomplished by installing interconnecting ductwork between Unit 3 precipitator outlet ducts and the Unit 4 FGD inlet duct. The Unit 4 FGD outlet duct was interconnected with the Unit 3 chimney via new ductwork and a new stack breaching. New ductwork, linings, isolation dampers, support steel, and stack annulus pressurization fans were procured and installed. Modifications to the materials handling systems and controls were also necessary.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008, is \$808,109 compared to the original projection of \$808,109, representing no variance.

The actual/estimated O&M expense for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$3,287,684 compared to the original projection of \$3,688,900 representing a variance of 10.9%. This variance is due to a lower cost of consumables for gypsum production as well as a decrease in maintenance costs.

Progress Summary:

The project is complete and in-service.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through December 2009, is expected to be \$786,042.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$3,658,000.

Project Title:

Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning

Project Description:

The existing electrostatic precipitators were not designed for the range of fuels needed for compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendments ("CAAA"). Flue gas conditioning was required to assure operation of the generating units in accordance with applicable permits and regulations. This equipment is still required to ensure compliance with the CAAA in the event the FGD system on Units 1 & 2 is not operating.

The project involved the addition of molten sulfur unloading, storage and conveying to sulfur burners and catalytic converters where SO₂ is converted to SO₃. The control and injection system then injects this into the ductwork ahead of the electrostatic precipitators.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$459,431 compared to the original projection of \$459,431 representing no variance.

The actual/estimated O&M expense for this project for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$0 and did not vary from the original projection.

Progress Summary:

The project is complete and in-service.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$440,693.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$0.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors

Project Description:

Continuous emissions monitors (CEMs) were installed on the flue gas inlet and outlet of Big Bend Unit 4 to monitor compliance with the CAAA requirements. The monitors are capable of measuring, recording and electronically reporting SO₂, NO_x and volumetric gas flow out of the stack. The project consisted of monitors, a CEM building, the CEMs control and power cables to supply a complete system.

40 CFR Part 75 includes the general requirements for the installation, certification, operation and maintenance of CEMs and specific requirements for the monitoring of pollutants, opacity and volumetric flow. These regulations are very comprehensive and specific as to the requirements for CEMs, and in essence, they define the components needed and their configuration.

Project Accomplishment:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$82,704 compared to the original projection of

\$82,704 representing no variance.

Progress Summary: The project is complete and in-service.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$80,584.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Big Bend Unit 1 are part of Tampa Electric's NO_X compliance strategy for Phase II of the CAAA. The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_X levels.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$143,853 compared to the original projection of

\$143,853 representing no variance.

Progress Summary: The project was placed in-service December 1998.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$138,796.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement

Project Description:

The boiler modifications at Big Bend Unit 2 are part of Tampa Electric's NO_X compliance strategy for Phase II of the CAAA. The classifier replacements will optimize coal fineness by providing a more uniform particle size. This finer classification, combined with the equalized distribution of coal to outlet pipes and furnaces, will enable a uniform, staged combustion. As a result, firing systems will operate at lower NO_X levels.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$104,046 compared to the original projection of

\$104,046 representing no variance.

Progress Summary: The project was placed in-service May 1998.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$100,489.

Project Title:

Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD

Project Description:

The Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD system consists of equipment capable of removing SO₂ from the flue gas generated by the combustion of coal. The FGD was installed in order to comply with Phase II of the CAAA. Compliance with Phase II is required by January 1, 2000. The CAAA impose SO₂ emission limits on existing steam electric units with an output capacity of greater than 25 megawatts and all new utility units. Tampa Electric conducted an exhaustive analysis of options to comply with Phase II of the CAAA that culminated in the selection of the FGD project to serve Big Bend Units 1 & 2.

In Docket No. 980693-EI, Order No. PSC-99-0075-FOF-EI, issued January 11, 1999, the Commission found that the FGD project was the most cost-effective alternative for compliance with the SO₂ requirements of Phase II of the CAAA.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$8,919,694 compared to the original projection of \$8,915,093 representing an insignificant variance.

The actual/estimated O&M expense for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$6,337,155 as compared to the original estimate of \$7,243,000 resulting in a variance of 12.5%. This variance is primarily due to the re-allocation of 2008 maintenance activities with the scheduled outages for 2009.

Progress Summary:

The project was placed in-service in December 1999.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through December 2009 is expected to be \$8,957,227.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$7,482,800.

Project Title:

Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform

Project Description:

The Mercury Emissions Information Collection Effort is mandated by the EPA. The EPA asserts that Section 114 of the CAAA grants to the EPA the authority to request the collection of information necessary for it to study whether it is appropriate and necessary to develop performance or emission standards for electric utility steam generating units.

In a letter dated November 25, 1998, Tampa Electric was notified by the EPA that, pursuant to Section 114 of the CAAA, the company was required to periodically sample and analyze coal shipments for mercury and chlorine content during the period January 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999.

In addition to coal sampling, stack testing and analyses are also required. Tampa Electric received a second letter from EPA, dated March 11, 1999, requiring Tampa Electric to perform specialized mercury testing of the inlet and outlet of the last emission control device installed for Big Bend Units 1, 2 or 3, and Polk Unit 1 as part of the mercury data collection. Part of the cost incurred to perform the stack testing is due to the need to construct special test facilities at the Big Bend stack testing location to meet EPA's testing requirements.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008, is \$13,858 compared to the original projection of

\$13,858 representing no variance.

Progress Summary: The project was placed in-service in December 1999 and was completed in

May 2000.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is expected to be \$13,577.

Project Title:

Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric was required to optimize the SO₂ removal efficiency and operations of the Big Bend Units 1, 2 and 3 FGD systems. Tampa Electric performed activities in three key areas to improve the performance and reliability of the Big Bend Units 1, 2 and 3 FGD systems. The majority of the improvements required on the Unit 3 tower module included the tower piping, nozzle and internal improvements, ductwork improvements, electrical system reliability improvements, tower control improvements, dibasic acid system improvements, booster fan reliability, absorber system improvements, quencher system improvements, and tower demister improvements. Big Bend Units 1 and 2 FGD system improvements included additional preventative maintenance, oxidation air control improvements, and tower water, air reagent and start-up piping upgrades. In order to ensure reliability of the FGD systems, improvements to the common limestone supply, gypsum de-watering stack reliability and wastewater treatment plant were also being performed.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$2,590,639 compared to the original projection of

\$2,590,639 representing no variance.

Progress Summary: The project was placed in-service in January 2002.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is expected to be \$2,532,454.

Project Title:

Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to develop a Best Operational Practices ("BOP") study to minimize emissions from each electrostatic precipitator ("ESP") at Big Bend, as well as perform a best available control technology ("BACT") analysis for the upgrade of each existing ESP. The company is also required to install and operate particulate matter continuous emission monitors on Big Bend Units 1, 2 and 3 FGD systems. Tampa Electric has identified improvements that are necessary to optimize ESP performance such as modifications to the turning vanes and precipitator distribution plates, and upgrades to the controls and software system of the precipitators. Tampa Electric has incurred costs associated with the recommendations of the BOP study and the BACT analysis in 2001 and will continue to experience O&M and capital expenditures during 2002 and beyond.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$1,084,033 as compared to the original projection of \$1,127,247 resulting in an insignificant variance.

The actual/estimated O&M expense the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$438,402 as compared to the original projection of \$450,000 resulting in a variance of 2.6%. This variance is due to the decrease in inspection work during the Unit 3 outage as well as the overall improved precipitator performance.

Progress Summary:

This project was placed in-service July 2005.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is expected to be \$1,124,629.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$455,000.

Project Title:

Big Bend NO_x Emissions Reduction

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to spend up to \$3 million with the goal to reduce NO_x emissions at Big Bend Station. The Consent Decree requires that by December 31, 2002, the company must achieve at least a 30 percent reduction beyond 1998 levels for Big Bend Units 1 and 2 and at least a 15 percent reduction in NO_x emissions from Big Bend Unit 3. Tampa Electric has identified projects that are the first steps to decrease NO_x emissions in these units such as burner and windbox modifications and the installation of a neural network system on each of the Big Bend units.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$798,805 as compared to the original projection of \$872,714 resulting in an insignificant variance.

The actual/estimated O&M expense the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$512,435 as compared to the original projection of \$350,000 resulting in a variance of 46.4%. This variance is due to unanticipated inspections on boiler tubes and burner modifications.

Progress Summary:

The project was placed in-service January 2008.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is expected to be \$793,965.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$358,000.

Project Title:

Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade

Project Description:

The Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade is a 500,000 gallon field-erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of FDEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field-erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule required various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

The scope of work for this project included cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications, coating the internal floor plus 30 inches up the tank wall, installing an AEI Segundo bottom to the tank as well as installing a leak detection system, installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank, installing a new truck unloading facility and spill containment for the truck unloading facility, installing level instrumentation for overfill protection, installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground, and conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$56,068 compared to the original projection of

\$56,068 representing no variance.

Progress Summary: The project was placed in-service October 1998.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$54,560.

Project Title:

Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade

Project Description:

The Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank No. 2 Upgrade is a 4,200,000 gallon field-erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of FDEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field-erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule required various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

The scope of work for this project included cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications, coating the internal floor plus 30 inches up the tank wall, installing an AEI Segundo bottom to the tank as well as installing a leak detection system, installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank, installing a new truck unloading facility and spill containment for the truck unloading facility, installing level instrumentation for overfill protection, installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground, and conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$92,212 compared to the original projection of

\$92,212 representing no variance.

Progress Summary: The project was placed in-service December 1998.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$89,738.

Project Title:

Phillips Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade

Project Description:

The Phillips Oil Tank No. 1 Upgrade is a 1,300,000 gallon field-erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of FDEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field-erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule required various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

The scope of work for this project included cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications, coating the internal floor plus 30 inches up the tank wall, installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank, installing level instrumentation for overfill protection, installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground, and conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008, is \$6,064 compared to the original projection of

\$6,064 representing no variance.

Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in-service October 1998.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$5,859.

Project Title:

Phillips Oil Tank No. 4 Upgrade

Project Description:

The Phillips Oil Tank No. 4 Upgrade is a 57,000 gallon field-erected fuel storage tank that is required to meet the requirements of FDEP Rule 62-762 as an existing field-erected above ground storage tank containing a regulated pollutant (diesel fuel). The rule required various modifications and a complete internal inspection by the end of 1999.

The scope of work for this project included cleaning and inspecting the tank in accordance with API 653 specifications, coating the internal floor plus 30 inches up the tank wall, installing a spill containment for piping fittings and valves surrounding the tank, installing level instrumentation for overfill protection, installing secondary containment for below ground piping or reroute to above ground, and conducting a tank closure assessment.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$9,528 compared to the original projection of

\$9,528 representing no variance.

Progress Summary: The project is complete and was placed in-service October 1998.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$9,211.

Project Title:

SO₂ Emission Allowances

Project Description:

The acid rain control title of the CAAA sets forth a comprehensive regulatory mechanism designed to control acid rain by limiting sulfur dioxide emissions by electric utilities. The CAAA requires reductions in SO₂ emissions in two phases. Phase I began on January 1, 1995 and applies to 110 mostly coal-fired utility plants containing about 260 generating units. These plants are owned by some 40 jurisdictional utility systems that are expected to reduce annual SO₂ emissions by as much as 4.5 million tons. Phase II began on January 1, 2000, and applies to virtually all existing steam-electric generating utility units with capacity exceeding 25 megawatts and to new generating utility units of any size. The EPA issues to the owners of generating units allowances (defined as an authorization to emit, during or after a specified calendar year, one ton of SO₂) equal to the number of tons of SO₂ emissions authorized by the CAAA. EPA does not assess a charge for the allowances it awards.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated return on average net working capital for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is (\$5,743) compared to the original projection of (\$9,165) representing a 37.3% variance. The variance is due to the sale of SO₂ allowances originally projected to occur in 2008 but transpired throughout 2007.

The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is (\$18,765,601) compared to the original projection of (\$29,413,430) representing a variance of 36.2%. The significant variance is due to the sale of SO₂ allowances originally projected to occur in 2008 that actually transpired in 2007.

Progress Summary:

SO₂ emission allowances are being used by Tampa Electric to meet

compliance standards for Phase I of the CAAA.

Project Projections:

Estimated return on average net working capital for the period January 2009

through December 2009 is projected to be (\$1,669).

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be (\$12,123,542).

Project Title:

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Annual Surveillance

Fees

Project Description:

Chapter 62-4.052, Florida Administrative Code ("F. A. C."), implements the annual regulatory program and surveillance fees for wastewater permits. These fees are in addition to the application fees described in Rule 62-4.050, F. A. C. Tampa Electric's Big Bend, Hookers Point, Polk Power and Gannon Stations are affected by this rule.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated O&M expense for the period January 2008 through

December 2008 is \$34,500 compared to the original projection of \$34,500

representing no variance.

Progress Summary: NPDES Surveillance fees are paid annually for the prior year.

Projections: Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$34,500.

Project Title:

Gannon Thermal Discharge Study

Project Description:

This project is a direct requirement from the FDEP in conjunction with the renewal of Tampa Electric's Industrial Wastewater Facility Permit under the provisions of Chapter 403, Florida Statutes, and applicable rules of the Florida Administrative Code, which constitute authorization for the company's Gannon Station facility to discharge to waters of the State under the NPDES. The FDEP permit is Permit No. FL0000809. Specifically, Tampa Electric is required to perform a 316(a) determination for Gannon Station to ensure the protection and propagation of a balanced, indigenous population of shellfish, fish and wildlife with in the primary area of study. The project will have two facets: 1) develop the plan of study and identify the thermal plume, and 2) implement the plan of study through appropriate sampling to make the determination if any adverse impacts are occurring.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated O&M expense for the period January 2008 through

December 2008 is \$76,005 compared to the original projection of \$50,000, which represents a variance of 52.0%. The variance is due to the need for

additional data collection than what was originally planned.

Progress Summary: This project was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 010593-El on

September 4, 2001. The project is expected to continue through at least 2009.

Projections: Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$50,000.

Project Title:

Polk NO_x Emissions Reduction

Project Description:

This project is designed to meet a lower NO_x emissions limit established by the FDEP for Polk Unit 1 by July 1, 2005. The lower limit of 15 parts per million by volume dry basis at 15 percent O_2 is specified in FDEP Permit No. PSD-FL-194F issued February 5, 2002. The project will consist of two phases: 1) the humidification of syngas through the installation of a syngas saturator; and 2) the modification of controls and the installation of additional guide vanes to the diluent nitrogen compressor.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$207,879 as compared to the original projection of

\$207,879 representing no variance.

The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$46,667 compared to the original projection of \$65,000, which represents a variance of 28.2 %. The variance is due to a unit outage during

the second quarter of 2008.

Progress Summary: The project was placed in-service January 2005.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$201,701.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$75,000.

Project Title:

Bayside SCR Consumables

Project Description:

This project is necessary to achieve the NO_x emissions limit of 3.5 parts per million established by the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree for the natural gas-fired Bayside Power Station. To achieve this NO_x limit, the installation of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) systems is required. An SCR system requires consumable goods – primarily anhydrous ammonia – to be injected into the catalyst bed in order to achieve the required NO_x emissions limit. Principally, the project is designed to capture the cost of consumable goods necessary to operate the SCR systems.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated O&M expense for the period January 2008 through

December 2008 is \$108,068 compared to the original projection of \$70,000 resulting in a variance of 54.4%. The variance is due to the increase in price

and consumption of ammonia.

Progress Summary: This project was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 021255-EI, Order

No. PSC-03-0469-PAA-EI, issued April 4, 2003. As an O&M project,

expenses are ongoing annually.

Projections: Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$82,000.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 4 Separated Overfire Air ("SOFA")

Project Description:

This project is necessary to assist in achieving the NO_x emissions limit established by the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree for Big Bend Unit 4. A SOFA system stages secondary combustion air to prevent NO_x formation that would otherwise require removal by post-combustion technology. In-furnace combustion control through a SOFA system is the most cost-effective means to reduce NO_x emissions prior to the application of these technologies. Costs associated with the SOFA system will entail capital expenditures for equipment installation and subsequent annual maintenance.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$332,096 compared to the original projection of \$332,096 representing no variance.

The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$32,976 compared to the original projection of \$50,000, which represents a variance of 34.0%. This variance is due to less maintenance activity than anticipated.

Progress Summary:

The project was placed in-service November 2004.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$324,949.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$50,000.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to make additional reductions of NO_x emissions at Big Bend Station on a per unit basis at prescribed times from 2009 through 2010. Based on a comprehensive study, Tampa Electric has declared the future fuel for Big Bend Station to be coal which will necessitate the installation of cost-effective SCR technology on the generating units to meet NO_x emissions requirements. Therefore, this project is a necessary precursor to an SCR system designed to reduce inlet NO_x concentrations to the SCR system thereby mitigating overall capital and O&M costs. The Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR technologies include a neural network system, secondary air controls and windbox modifications.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$280,044 compared to the original projection of \$279,624 resulting in an insignificant variance.

The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$30,000 compared to the original projection of \$75,000, which represents a variance of 60.0%. This variance is due to the delay of the inservice date for the capital project.

Progress Summary:

This project was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 040750-EI, Order No. PSC-04-1080-CO-EI, issued November 4, 2004.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through December 2009 is projected to be \$279,459.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are projected to be \$77,000.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to make additional reductions of NO_x emissions at Big Bend Station on a per unit basis at prescribed times from 2009 through 2010. Based on a comprehensive study, Tampa Electric has declared the future fuel for Big Bend Station to be coal which will necessitate the installation of cost-effective SCR technology on the generating units to meet NO_x emissions requirements. Therefore, this project is a necessary precursor to an SCR system designed to reduce inlet NO_x concentrations to the SCR system thereby mitigating overall capital and O_x costs. The Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR technologies include secondary air controls and windbox modifications.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$224,909 compared to the original projection of \$224,909 resulting in no variance.

The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$11,188 compared to the original projection of \$75,000, which represents a variance of 85.1%. This variance is due to the delay of the inservice date for the capital project.

Progress Summary:

This project was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 040750-El, Order No. PSC-04-1080-CO-El, issued November 4, 2004.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through December 2009 is projected to be \$219,196.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are projected to be \$77,000.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to make additional reductions of NO $_{\rm x}$ emissions at Big Bend Station on a per unit basis at prescribed times from 2009 through 2010. Based on a comprehensive study, Tampa Electric has declared the future fuel for Big Bend Station to be coal, which will necessitate the installation of cost-effective SCR technology on the generating units to meet NO $_{\rm x}$ emissions requirements. Therefore, this project is a necessary precursor to an SCR system designed to reduce inlet NO $_{\rm x}$ concentrations to the SCR system thereby mitigating overall capital and O&M costs. The Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR technologies include a neutral network system, secondary air controls, windbox modifications and primary coal/air flow controls.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$356,032 compared to the original projection of \$437,512 resulting in a variance of 18.6%. This variance is due to the deferment of activities and associated costs to 2009 after the completion of the outage scheduled for the end of 2008.

The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$2 compared to the original projection of \$0 resulting in an insignificant variance.

Progress Summary:

This project was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 040750-EI, Order No. PSC-04-1080-CO-EI, issued November 4, 2004.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through December 2009 is projected to be \$370,508.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are projected to be \$0.

Project Title:

Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study

Project Description:

This project is a direct requirement from the EPA to reduce impingement and entrainment of aquatic organisms related to the withdrawal of waters for cooling purposes through cooling water intake structures. The Phase II Rule requires that power plants meeting certain criteria to comply with national performance standards for impingement and entrainment. Accordingly, Tampa Electric must develop its compliance strategies for its H. L. Culbreath Bayside Power and the Big Bend Power Stations and then submit these strategies for approval through a Comprehensive Demonstration Study to the FDEP.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December

2008 is \$124,395 compared to the original projection of \$150,000, which represents a variance of 17.1%. This variance is due to the decrease in

contractor costs to complete the impingement study reports.

Progress Summary: This project was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 041300-EI, Order

No. PSC-05-0164-PAA-EI, issued February 10, 2005.

Projections: Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$150,000.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 1 SCR

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to make additional reductions of NO_x emissions at Big Bend Station on a per unit basis at prescribed times from 2009 through 2010. Based on a comprehensive study, Tampa Electric has declared the future fuel for Big Bend Station to be coal, which will necessitate the installation of cost-effective SCR technology on the generating units to meet NO_x emissions requirements. This project is associated with the installation of an SCR system on Big Bend Unit 1 and is scheduled to go in-service May 2010.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: Based on the Commission's previous ruling in Docket No. 980693-EI, Tampa

Electric will not seek ECRC recovery of capital costs for this project until May 2010, the expected in-service date for the project. At that time, the associated depreciation expense and allowance for funds used during construction will be

requested for ECRC recovery.

Progress Summary: This project was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 041376-EI, Order

No. PSC-05-0616-CO-EI, issued June 3, 2005.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$0.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$0.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 2 SCR

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to make additional reductions of NO_x emissions at Big Bend Station on a per unit basis at prescribed times from 2009 through 2010. Based on a comprehensive study, Tampa Electric has declared the future fuel for Big Bend Station to be coal, which will necessitate the installation of cost-effective SCR technology on the generating units to meet NO_x emissions requirements. This project is associated with the installation of an SCR system on Big Bend Unit 2 and is scheduled to go in-service April 2009.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: Based on the Commission's previous ruling in Docket No. 980693-EI, Tampa

Electric will not seek ECRC recovery of capital costs for this project until April 2009, the expected in-service date for the project. At that time, the associated depreciation expense and allowance for funds used during construction will be

requested for ECRC recovery.

Progress Summary: This project was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 041376-EI, Order

No. PSC-05-0616-CO-EI, issued June 3, 2005.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$8,589,946.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$1,807,700.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 3 SCR

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to make additional reductions of NO_x emissions at Big Bend Station on a per unit basis at prescribed times from 2009 through 2010. Based on a comprehensive study, Tampa Electric has declared the future fuel for Big Bend Station to be coal which will necessitate the installation of cost-effective SCR technology on the generating units to meet NO_x emissions requirements. This project is associated with the installation of an SCR system on Big Bend Unit 3 and is scheduled to go in-service May 2009.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$5,432,066 compared to the original projection of \$8,778,536, which represents variance of 38.1%. This variance is due to turbine rotor repair that caused the delay in commercial operation.

The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$1,200,000 compared to the original projection of \$1,606,900 representing a variance of 25.3%. The variance is due to the delay in commercial operation.

Progress Summary:

This project was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 041376-EI, Order

No. PSC-05-0616-CO-EI, issued June 3, 2005.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$11,134,898.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$2,204,900.

Project Title:

Big Bend Unit 4 SCR

Project Description:

In order to meet the requirements of the FDEP Consent Final Judgment and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to make additional reductions of NO_x emissions at Big Bend Station on a per unit basis at prescribed times from 2009 through 2010. Based on a comprehensive study, Tampa Electric has declared the future fuel for Big Bend Station to be coal which will necessitate the installation of cost-effective SCR technology on the generating units to meet NO_x emissions requirements. This project is associated with the installation of an SCR system on Big Bend Unit 4 and is scheduled to go in-service June 2009.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$8,408,013 compared to the original projection of \$6,125,701, which represents variance 0f 37.1%. This variance is due to an inadvertent error found in the formula for the amount of average return on investment for the months of January through May 2008 of the 2008 Projection filina.

The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December 2008 is \$1,331,036 compared to the original projection of \$1,610,000 representing a variance of 17.3%. The variance is due to the decreased usage of ammonia.

Progress Summary:

This project went in to service in May 2008.

Projections:

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through December 2009 is projected to be \$8,232,074.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$1,252,800.

Project Title:

Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program

Project Description:

The Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program that is required by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Environmental Protection became effective January 1, 2005. It requires regulated entities of the State of Florida to monitor the drinking water and groundwater Maximum Contaminant Level ("MCL") for arsenic under the federal rule known as the Safe Drinking Water Act.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated O&M for the period January 2008 through December

2008 is \$98,651 compared to the original projection of \$57,000, which represents a variance of 73.1%. The FDEP requested to extend the data

collection period, therefore requiring additional testing.

Progress Summary: In Docket No. 050683-El, Order No. PSC-06-0138-PAA-El, issued February

23, 2008, the Commission granted Tampa Electric cost recovery approval for

prudent costs associated with this project.

Projections: Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$114,000.

Project Title:

Big Bend Flue Gas Desulfurization ("FGD") System Reliability

Project Description:

The Big Bend FGD Reliability project is necessary to maintain the FGD system operations that are required by the Consent Decree. Tampa Electric is required to operate the FGD systems at Big Bend Station whenever coal is combusted in the units with few exceptions. The compliance dates for the strictest operational characteristics are January 1, 2010 for Big Bend Unit 3 and January 1, 2013 for Big Bend Units 1 and 2.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$1,532,141 compared to the original projection of

\$1,549,199, resulting in an insignificant variance.

Progress Summary: In Docket No. 050598-El, Order No. PSC-06-0602-PAA-El, issued July 10,

2008, the Commission granted cost recovery approval for prudent costs

associated with this project.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$1,380,800.

Project Title:

Clean Air Mercury Rule ("CAMR")

Project Description:

The EPA established standards of performance for mercury for new and existing coal-fired electric utility steam generating units as defined in the federal CAA Section 111, effective January 2009. CAMR will permanently cap and reduce mercury emissions nation-wide in two phases: Phase I cap is 38 tons per year with a compliance date of 2010 and Phase II cap is 15 tons per year with a compliance date of 2018. Tampa Electric's Big Bend and Polk Power Stations will be affected by the nation-wide mercury emissions reduction rule. According to Rule, the company must install emission-monitoring systems that sample mercury found in flue gas on Big Bend Units 1 through 4 and Polk Unit 1.

Project Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2008

through December 2008 is \$70,383 compared to the original projection of \$119,317, which represents a variance of 41.0%. The variance is due to the decrease in the scope of the project as a result of the Circuit Court decision to

vacate the rule.

Progress Summary: A petition was filed on August 30, 2008 seeking Commission approval of cost

recovery through the ECRC for the new CAMR program.

Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2009 through

December 2009 is projected to be \$110,652.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 are

projected to be \$0.

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class January 2009 to December 2009

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Rate Class	Average 12 CP Load Factor at Meter (%)	Projected Sales at Meter (MWh)	Effective Sales at Secondary Level (MWh)	Projected Avg 12 CP at Secondary (MW)	Demand Loss Expansion Factor	Energy Loss Expansion Factor	Projected Sales at Generation (MWh)	Projected Avg 12 CP at Generation (MW)		Percentage of 12 CP Demand at Generation (%)	
RS, RST	54.27%	9,068,656	9,068,656	1,908	1.08536	1.054823	9,565,824	2,071	45.53%	54.82%	54.11%
GS, GST, TS	57.68%	1,090,649	1,090,649	216	1.08536	1.054823	1,150,441	234	5.48%	6.19%	6.14%
GSD, GSDT	74.86%	5,629,887	5,628,510	859	1.08430	1.054259	5,935,356	931	28.25%	24.64%	24.92%
GSLD, GSLDT, SBF	85.29%	2,583,910	2,571,851	346	1.07227	1.044076	2,697,798	371	12.84%	9.82%	10.05%
IS1, IST1, SBI1, SBIT1, IS3, IST3, SBI3	99.42%	1,393,108	1,371,631	160	1.03968	1.021235	1,422,691	166	6.77%	4.39%	4.57%
SL/OL	515.88%	225,470	225,470	5	1.08536	1.054823	237,831	5	1.13%	0.13%	0.21%
TOTAL *		19,991,680	19,956,767	3,494			21,009,941	3,778	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

77

Notes: (1) Average 12 CP load factor based on 2009 proposed load research data

(2) Projected MWh sales for the period January 2009 to December 2009

(3) Projected effective sales at secondary for the period January 2009 to December 2009

(4) Calculated: (Column 2) / (8,760 hours x Column 1)

(5) Based on 2009 proposed load research data

(6) Based on 2009 proposed load research data

(7) Column 2 x Column 6

(8) Column 4 x Column 5

(9) Column 6 / Total Column 6

(10) Column 7 / Total Column 7

(11) Column 8 x 1/13 + Column 9 x 12/13

* Totals on this schedule may not foot due to rounding

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class

January 2009 to December 2009

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Rate Class	Percentage of MWh Sales at Generation (%)	12 CP & 1/13 Allocation Factor (%)	Energy- Related Costs (\$)	Demand- Related Costs (\$)	Total Environmental Costs (\$)	Projected Sales at Meter (MWh)	Effective Sales at Secondary Level (MWh)	Environmental Cost Recovery Factors (¢/kWh)
RS, RST	45.53%	54.11%	20,303,187	31 9 ,303	20,622,490	9,068,656	9,068,656	0.227
GS, GST, TS	5.48%	6.14%	2,443,696	36,232	2,479,928	1,090,649	1,090,649	0.227
GSD, GSDT Secondary Primary Transmission	28.25%	24.92%	12,597,519	147,053	12,744,572	5,629,887	5,628,510	0.226 0.224 0.222
GSLD, GSLDT, SBF Secondary Primary Transmission	12.84%	10.05%	5,725,740	59,305	5,785,045	2,583,910	2,571,851	0.225 0.222 0.220
IS1, IST1, SBI1, SBIT1, IS3, IST3, SBI3 Secondary Primary Transmission	6.77%	4.57%	3,018,945	26,968	3,045,913	1,393,108	1,371,631	0.222 0.219 0.217
SL/OL	1.13%	0.21%	503,901	1,239	505,140	225,470	225,470	0.224
TOTAL *	100.00%	100.00%	44,592,987	590,100	45,183,087	19,991,680	19,956,767	0.226

- (1) From Form 42-6P, Column 8
- (2) From Form 42-6P, Column 10
- (3) Column 1 x Total Energy Jurisdictional Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5
- (4) Column 2 x Total Demand Jurisdictional Dollars from Form 42-1P, line 5
- (5) Column 3 + Column 4
- (6) From Form 42-6P, Column 2
- (7) From Form 42-6P, Column 3
- (8) Column 5 / Column 7 x 100

^{*} Totals on this schedule may not foot due to rounding

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class

May 2009 to December 2009 Projected

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Rate Class	Average 12 CP Load Factor at Meter (%)	Projected Sales at Meter (MWh)	Effective Sales at Secondary Level (MWh)	Projected Avg 12 CP at Meter (MW)	Demand Loss Expansion Factor	Energy Loss Expansion Factor	Projected Sales at Generation (MWh)	Projected Avg 12 CP at Generation (MW)	MWh Sales	12 CP Demand	12 CP & 25% Allocation Factor (%)
RS	54.27%	6,488,202	6,488,202	1,908	1.08536	1.054823	6,843,905	2,071	45.53%	54.82%	52.50%
GS, TS	57.68%	739,631	739,631	208	1.08536	1.054823	780,180	225	5.23%	5.96%	5.78%
GSD, SBF	80.38%	6,707,437	6,684,030	1,372	1.07602	1.046728	7,020,862	1,476	48.11%	39.09%	41.35%
LS1	515.88%	150,739	150,739	5	1.08536	1.054823	159,003	5	1.13%	0.13%	0.38%
TOTAL *		14,086,009	14,062,602	3,493		÷	21,824,812	3,778	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

h1-4-

- Notes: (1) Average 12 CP load factor based on 2009 projected calendar data
 - (2) Projected MWh sales for the period May 2009 to December 2009
 - (3) Effective sales at secondary level
 - (4) Based on 12 months average CP at meter
 - (5) Based on 2009 load research data
 - (6) Average 12 CP load factor based on 2009 load research data
 - (7) Projected MWh sales for the period May 2009 to December 2009
 - (8) Column 4 x Column 5
 - (9) Based on 2009 proposed load research data
 - (10) Column 8 / Total Column 8
 - (11) Column 9 x 0.25 + Column 10 x 0.75

^{*} Totals on this schedule may not foot due to rounding

Tampa Electric Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class May 2009 to December 2009 Projected

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
	Rate Class	Percentage of MWh Sales at Generation (%)	12 CP & 25% Allocation Factor (%)	Energy- Related Costs (\$)	Demand- Related Costs (\$)	Total Environmental Costs (\$)	Projected Sales at Meter (MWh)	Effective Sales at Secondary Level (MWh)	Environmental Cost Recovery Factors (¢/kWh)	
	RS	45.530%	52.50%	14,413,074	93,611	14,506,685	6,488,202	6,488,202	0.223	
80	GS, TS	5.230%	5.78%	1,655,620	10,306	1,665,926	739,631	739,631	0.225	
	GSD, SBF Secondary Primary Transmission	48.110%	41.35%	15,229,805	73,730	15,303,535	6,707,437	6,684,030	0.229 0.227 0.224	
	LS1	1.130%	0.38%	357,715	678	358,393	150,739	150,739	0.238	
	TOTAL *	100.00%	100.00%	31,656,214	178,307	31,834,521	14,086,009	14,062,602	0.226	

^{*} Totals on this schedule may not foot due to rounding