	1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
	3		DALE WILTERDINK SS TO
	4		ON BEHALF OF COME 29 TH
	5		ON BEHALF OF  PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA  DOCKET NO. 080007-EI  COMMISSION  CHRISTIAN  CH
	6		DALE WILTERDINK  ON BEHALF OF  PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA  DOCKET NO. 080007-EI
	7		AUGUST 29, 2008
	8		
	9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
	10	A.	My name is Dale W. Wilterdink. My business address is 15760 West Power Line
	11		Street, Crystal River, Florida, 34428.
	12		
	13	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
	14	A.	I am employed by Progress Energy Florida ("PEF") as Manager of Plant
	15		Construction Projects.
	16		
	17	Q.	Have you previously submitted testimony in this proceeding?
COM	18	A.	Yes. I previously submitted pre-filed direct testimony in this proceeding on
GCL	19		August 4, 2008.
OPC	20		
SSC	21	Q.	Have your responsibilities changed since you previously submitted testimony
ADM	22 -		in this proceeding?
	23	A.	No. They have not.
			DOCUMENT NUMBER-BATE

> PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

07897 AUG 29 8

1	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
2	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to update the Commission on the Crystal River
3		Units 4 and 5 air quality control system project ("Crystal River Project") included
4		in PEF's Integrated Clean Air Compliance Plan. I also will present PEF's current
5		estimates of the costs that will be incurred in the 2009 for the Crystal River
6		Project.
7		
8	Q.	Have PEF's plans for the Crystal River Project changed in light of the recent
9		federal court decision vacating the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR)?
10	A.	Not at this time. As discussed in the pre-filed testimony of PEF witness Michael
11		Kennedy, the decision vacating CAIR is not final because U.S. Circuit Court of
12		Appeals for the District of Columbia ("D.C. Circuit") has not yet issued a mandate
13		Until that happens, CAIR is still in effect. For that reason, we are continuing
14		forward with the Crystal River Project to ensure that PEF can meet CAIR's
15		compliance deadlines in the event the Court revisits its vacatur. In addition, as
16		further discussed in Mr. Kennedy's testimony, PEF is continuing to work with the
17		Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("FDEP") in developing an
18		overall plan to ensure compliance with other existing and foreseeable
19		environmental requirements assuming the vacatur of CAIR becomes final.
20		
21	Q.	How far along is PEF in implementing the Crystal River Project?
22	A.	The Crystal River Project remains on schedule to meet the in-service dates set

forth in the Integrated Clean Air Compliance Plan approved by the Commission in

1		2007. Through August 2008, we have incurred or have committed to incur capital
2		costs of approximately \$838.8 million on the Project. This represents
3		approximately 66.7 percent of the total projected costs of the Project, as presented
4		in the Integrated Clean Air Compliance Plan approved by the Commission in
5		Docket No. 070007-EI.
6		
7	Q.	What project milestones to you expect to achieve in 2009?
8	A.	We currently expect to achieve several significant project milestones in 2009. In
9		we expect to place the Crystal River Unit 5 low NOx burners ("LNB")
10		and selective catalytic ("SCR") system and the urea to ammonia hydrolyzer into
11		service. Additionally, in the service was a service, we expect to place the Unit 5 SCR and
12		Flue Gas Desulfurization ("FGD" or "scrubber") system and chimney into service,
13		In her pre-filed testimony, Ms. Cross explains the impact of placing these controls
14		and associated equipment in-service on PEF's ECRC factors.
15		
16	Q.	What are PEF's projected 2009 expenditures for the Crystal River Project
17		(Project 7.4)?
18	A.	As shown in Form 42-4P in Exhibit No (LC-3) to the testimony of Lori Cross,
19		PEF currently is projecting to spend approximately \$215.9 million in capital
20		expenditures on the Crystal River Project in 2009. In addition to the finalization
21		of the Unit 5 LNB/SCR and FGD projects, the scope of work for 2009 includes
22		continued work on the Unit 4 FGD system, as well as associated equipment, such
23		as limestone handling dewatering gypsum removal coal nond liners settling

1		ponds, make-up water system, storage tanks, piping, and electrical and control
2		system.
3		
4	Q.	What measures is PEF implementing to ensure that the level of expenditures
5		for the Crystal River Project is reasonable and prudent?
6	A.	PEF will continue to implement the measures discussed in my prior testimony to
7		ensure that costs incurred are reasonable and prudent. Among other things, we
8		will continue to regularly track project expenditures against the detailed project
9		scopes to ensure that PEF receives what it contracted for and that any scope
10		changes are properly evaluated and documented. We also will continue to
11		conduct regularly scheduled meetings with the primary contractors and senior
12		management to maintain supervision of the project, to ensure that management
13		remains fully informed, and to ensure that management expectations are
14		communicated to the outside vendors and the project team.
15		
16	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
17	A.	Yes, it does.