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August 25, 2008

Ms. Ann Cole, Director
Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0950
RE: Docket No. 080004-GU
Dear Ms. Cole:
By memorandum dated July 22, 2008, the Commission Staff filed an audit report (Audit Control No. 08-037-4-3 / Record \#5786) for Florida City Gas in the above referenced docket.

Enclosed for filing, please find the Company's response to the Staff's audit report.

If you need additional information, please contact me at (305) 835-3607

Very truly yours,


Rosie Abreu
Regulatory Analyst

Encl.


## Company Response to:

## FLORIDA CITY GAS <br> CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY CLAUSE <br> HISTORICAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 <br> DOCKET NO. 080004-GU <br> AUDIT CONTROL NO. 08-037-4-3

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT
July 15, 2008

## AUDIT FINDING NO. 1 <br> SUBJECT: PAYROLL ERRORS

AUDIT ANALYSIS: City Gas April 2007 payroll included in the conservation filing included the pensions and benefits. However, the company incorrectly added another $44.23 \%$ of pension and benefits, which caused the payroll to be overstated by $\$ 52,106.99$ ( $\$ 98,393.18-\$ 46,286.19$ ). Also, the general ledger did not include the payroll of some conservation programs in the month end calculation for the months of August through December 2007. As a result, the payroll for these months was overstated. Overall, the total payroll was overstated by $\$ 4,975.92$. See calculation below:

|  | Payroll 8 Bemulits per Fling | Aclual Paytot \& Eervelis | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apri | 98.393 .18 | 46.286 .19 | 52,106.89 |
| Augues | 31,708.81 | 37.191 .88 | (5,483.07) |
| Seplember | 38,950.93 | 50,365.68 | (11,414.75) |
| October | 41,818.46 | 50,536.45 | (9,717.99) |
| November | 36,513.14 | 47,533.30 | (11,020.16) |
| December | 45,259.04 | 55,754,14 | (10,495,10) |
| Total | 292,643.56 | 287,667.64 | 4,975.92 |

EFFECT ON GENERAL LEDGER: The general ledger should be decreased by
$\$ 4,975.92$
EFFECT ON FILING: The total expenses in the true-up schedule should be decreased by \$4,975.92

Company Response: Company agrees.

## AUDIT FINDING NO. 2 <br> SUBJECT: REVENUES

AUDIT ANALYSIS: We recalculated the conservation revenue for 2007 (the therms from the Margin Detail Report times the rate factors). The recalculated revenue was $\$ 33,699(\$ 1,459,428-\$ 1,425,729)$ more than the revenue reported in the conservation filing. The largest difference, $\$ 25,621$, occurred in January 2007. According to the company's explanation, the difference was due to the changing of the rate factors at the beginning of each year and the cancellations, re-bills, and adjustments from the prior periods. According to the company, the remaining difference of $\$ 8,078$ ( $\$ 33,699-\$ 25,621$ ) was the result of the rounding. The therms field is carried to one decimal place and the rate factor to five decimal places. These two are multiplied together to produce a field which is rounded to two decimal places. Due to time restraints, staff was unable to review the revenue file provided by the company, which contained more than 100,000 records. The company needs to provide a more detailed explanation of why there was a $\$ 33.699$ difference.

## EFFECT ON GENERAL LEDGER:

## EFFECT ON FILING:

This finding is for informational purposes only.
Company Response: Attached is the calculation provided during the audit showing the effect of rounding, see Attachment 1.

## ATTACHMENT 1

## FCG - ECCR Factor Analysis

## Rate Revenue 837-830



