### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for rate increase by Peoples Gas System.

DOCKET NO. 080318-GU ORDER NO. PSC-08-0583-PCO-GU ISSUED: September 10, 2008

#### ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION

On August 11, 2008, Peoples Gas System (Peoples) filed a petition for rate increase pursuant to Section 366.06, Florida Statutes (F.S.). By Order No. PSC-08-0555-PCO-GU, issued August 21, 2008, the matter has been scheduled for a formal administrative hearing on March 4-6, 2009.

## Petition for Intervention

By petition, dated August 19, 2008, the Florida Industrial Gas Users (FIGU) requested permission to intervene in this proceeding. FIGU states that it is an association of industrial users of natural gas within Peoples' service territory. FIGU further states that its participants require an adequate, reasonably priced, and reliable supply of natural gas in order to compete in their respective markets. According to FIGU, the Commission will decide in this proceeding whether to grant a rate increase, the costs of providing service, and the need for cost recovery for certain future investments in utility infrastructure. Consequently, FIGU contends that its interests are of the type this proceeding is designed to protect. No party has filed an objection to FIGU's petition, and the time for doing so has expired.

## Standards for Intervention

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.039, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), persons, other than the original parties to a pending proceeding, who have a substantial interest in the proceeding, and who desire to become parties may petition for leave to intervene. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed at least five (5) days before the final hearing, must conform with Rule 28-106.201(2), F.A.C., and must include allegations sufficient to demonstrate that the intervenor is entitled to participate in the proceeding as a matter of constitutional or statutory right or pursuant to Commission rule, or that the substantial interests of the intervenor are subject to determination or will be affected through the proceeding. Intervenors take the case as they find it.

To have standing, the intervenor must meet the two prong standing test set forth in Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So. 2d 478, 482 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1981). The intervenor must show (1) that he will suffer injury in fact which is of sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a Section 120.57 hearing, and (2) that this substantial injury is of a type or nature which the proceeding is designed to protect. The first aspect of the test deals with the degree of injury. The second deals with the nature of the injury. The "injury in fact" must be both real and immediate and not speculative or conjectural. International Jai-Alai Players Assn. v. Florida Pari-Mutuel Commission, 561 So. 2d 1224, 1225-26 (Fla. 3rd DCA)

DOCUMENT AUMBER-DATE

08394 SEP 10 8

ORDER NO. PSC-08-0583-PCO-GU DOCKET NO. 080318-GU PAGE 2

1990). See also, Village Park Mobile Home Assn., Inc. v. State Dept. of Business Regulation, 506 So. 2d 426, 434 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987), rev. den., 513 So. 2d 1063 (Fla. 1987) (speculation on the possible occurrence of injurious events is too remote).

Further, the test for associational standing was established in Florida Home Builders v. Dept. of Labor and Employment Security, 412 So. 2d 351 (Fla. 1982), and Farmworker Rights Organization, Inc. v. Dept. of Health and Rehabilitative Services, 417 So. 2d 753 (Fla. 1st DCA 1982), which is also based on the basic standing principles established in Agrico. Associational standing may be found where: (1) the association demonstrates that a substantial number of an association's members may be substantially affected by the Commission's decision in a docket; (2) the subject matter of the proceeding is within the association's general scope of interest and activity; and (3) the relief requested is of a type appropriate for the association to receive on behalf of its members.

# **Analysis & Ruling**

It appears that FIGU meets the two-prong standing test in <u>Agrico</u> as well as the three prong associational standing test established in <u>Florida Home Builders</u>. FIGU asserts that it is an ad hoc association consisting of industrial users of natural gas in Peoples' territory and that the cost of gas constitutes a significant portion of these customers' overall costs of production. FIGU further states that this is the type of proceeding designed to protect its members' interests. Therefore, FIGU's members meet the two-prong standing test of <u>Agrico</u>.

With respect to the first prong of the associational standing test, FIGU asserts that its members are natural gas customers of Peoples and that its members' substantial interests will be directly affected by the Commission's decision on whether to grant a rate increase. With respect to the second prong of the associational standing test, the subject matter of the proceeding appears to be within FIGU's general scope of interest and activity. FIGU is an ad hoc association whose members are industrial consumers of natural gas. FIGU contends that its members will be directly affected by the proposed rates. As for the third prong of the associational standing test, FIGU is seeking intervention in this docket in order to represent the interests of its members in this rate proceeding. Based on the foregoing analysis, FIGU's standing in this docket has been established.

Because FIGU meets the two-prong standing test established in <u>Agrico</u> as well as the three-prong associational standing test established in <u>Florida Home Builders</u>, FIGU's petition for intervention shall be granted. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.039, F.A.C., FIGU takes the case as it finds it.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Nathan A. Skop, as Prehearing Officer, that the Petition to Intervene filed by the Florida Industrial Gas Users is hereby granted as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDER NO. PSC-08-0583-PC0-GU DOCKET NO. 080318-GU PAGE 3

ORDERED that all parties to this proceeding shall furnish copies of all testimony, exhibits, pleadings and other documents which may hereinafter be filed in this proceeding to:

John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter & Davidson, P.A. PO Box 3350 Tampa, Florida 33601 Telephone: (813) 224-0866

Facsimile: (813) 221-1854

E-mail: jmcwhirter@mac-law.com

Annette Follmer US Gypsum Company Energy Department PO Box 806278 Chicago, IL 60680-4124

By ORDER of Commissioner Nathan A. Skop, as Prehearing Officer, this 10th day of September, 2008.

NATHAN A. SKOP

Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

**KEF** 

### NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in

ORDER NO. PSC-08-0583-PCO-GU DOCKET NO. 080318-GU PAGE 4

the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.