AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

October 3, 2008

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Ann Cole, Director Division of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

> Re: Environmental Cost Recovery Clause FPSC Docket No. 080007-EI

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of Tampa Electric Company's Prehearing Statement.

Also enclosed is a CD containing the above-referenced Prehearing Statement generated on a Windows 98 operating system and using Word 2000 as the word processing software.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

COThank you for your assistance in connection with this matter. CI A CO Forwarded to GC Lincerely, GCL OPC RCP SSC SGA James D. Beasley ADM CLK JDB/pp Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record (w/enc.)

DOCLHENT NUMBER-DATE 09362 OCT-3 & FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK RECEIVED-FPSC

OCT - 3 PM 1:

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

In re: Environmental Cost Recovery Clause.

•

DOCKET NO. 080007-EI FILED: October 3, 2008

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S <u>PREHEARING STATEMENT</u>

A. APPEARANCES:

LEE L. WILLIS JAMES D. BEASLEY Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

On behalf of Tampa Electric Company

B. WITNESSES:

Witness	Subject Matter	Issues
(Direct)		
1. Howard T. Bryant (TECO)	Final true-up for period ending December 31, 2007, estimated true-up for period January 2008 through December 2008; projections for period January 2009 through December 2009	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
2. Paul L. Carpinone (TECO)	Qualification of environmental activities for ECRC recovery	3, Tampa Electric Specific Issue 1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 09362 OCT-38 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

C. EXHIBITS:

<u>Exhibit</u>	Witness	Description
(HTB-1)	Bryant	Final Environmental Cost Recovery Commission Forms 42-1A through 42-8A for the period January 2007 through December 2007
(HTB-2)	Bryant	Environmental Cost Recovery Commission Forms 42-1E through 42-8E for the Period January 2008 through December 2008
(HTB-3)	Bryant	Forms 42-1P through 42-7P Forms for the January 2009 through December 2009

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Tampa Electric Company's Statement of Basic Position:

The Commission should approve for environmental cost recovery the compliance programs described in the testimony and exhibits of Tampa Electric Witnesses Bryant and Carpinone. The Commission should also approve Tampa Electric's calculation of its environmental cost recovery final true-up for the period January 2007 through December 2007, the actual/estimated environmental cost recovery true-up for the current period January 2008 through December 2008, and the company's projected ECRC revenue requirement and the company's proposed ECRC factors for the period January 2009 through December 2009.

E. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Generic Environmental Cost Recovery Issues

- **<u>ISSUE 1</u>**: What is the final environmental cost recovery true-up amount for the period ending December 31, 2007?
- **TECO:** The appropriate final environmental cost recovery true-up amount for this period is an over-recovery of \$12,464,395. (Witness: Bryant)
- **<u>ISSUE 2</u>**: What is the estimated environmental cost recovery true-up amount for the period January 2008 through December 2008?

- **TECO:** The estimated environmental cost recovery true-up amount for the period is an under-recovery of \$7,753,224. (Witness: Bryant)
- **ISSUE 3:** What is the projected environmental cost recovery amount for the period January 2009 through December 2009?
- **TECO:** The appropriate amount of environmental costs projected to be recovered for the period January 2009 through December 2009 is \$50,107,327. (Witnesses: Bryant; Carpinone)
- **<u>ISSUE 4</u>**: What is the environmental cost recovery amount, including true-up amounts, for the period January 2009 through December 2009?
- **TECO:** The total environmental cost recovery amount, including true-up amounts, for the period January 2009 through December 2009 is \$45,428,841 after the adjustment for taxes. (Witness: Bryant)
- **ISSUE 5:** What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total environmental cost recovery amounts for the period January 2009 through December 2009?
- **TECO:** The depreciation rates used to calculate the depreciation expense shall be the rates that are in effect during the period the allowed capital investment is in service. (Witness: Bryant)
- **ISSUE 6:** What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2009 through December 2009?
- **TECO:** The demand jurisdictional separation factor is 95.87232%. The energy jurisdictional separation factors are calculated for each month based on projected retail kWh sales as a percentage of projected total system kWh sales. These are shown on the schedules sponsored by witness Bryant. (Witness: Bryant)
- **ISSUE 7:** What are the appropriate environmental cost recovery factors for the period January 2009 through December 2009, for each rate group?
- **TECO:** Two separate sets of factors should be approved to give effect to Tampa Electric's rate design modifications proposed in its base rate proceeding in Docket No. 080317-EI. For the January 2009 April 2009 period the proposed ECRC billing factors are as follow:

Rate Class	Factor at Secondary	
	Voltage (¢/kWh)	
RS, RST Secondary	0.229	

GS, GST, TS Secondary		0.229
GSD, GSDT		
	Secondary	0.228
	Primary	0.225
	Transmission	0.223
GSLD, GSLDT, SBF		
	Secondary	0.226
	Primary	0.224
	Transmission	0.222
IS1, IST1, SBI1, IS3, IST3, SBI3		
	Secondary	0.223
	Primary	0.221
	Transmission	0.219
SL, OL Secondary		0.225
Average Factor		0.228

a.

,

As explained in the Prepared Direct Testimony of Tampa Electric witness Howard T. Bryant, the factors would change for the period May 2009 – December 2009 as follows:

Rate Class	<u>Factor at Secondary</u> Voltage (\$/kWh)
RS	0.225
GS, TS	0.227
GSD, SBF	
Secondary	0.231

Pri	imary	0.228
Tra	ansmission	0.226
LS1		0.239
Average Factor		0.228
(Witness: Bryant))	

- **ISSUE 8:** What should be the effective date of the environmental cost recovery factors for billing purposes?
- **TECO:** The factors should be effective beginning with the specified environment cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period January 2009 through December 2009. Billing cycles may start before January 1, 2009, and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2009, so that each customer is billed for 12 months regardless of when the adjustment factors became effective. (Witness: Bryant)

Company Specific Environmental Cost Recovery Issues

Tampa Electric Company (TECO)

- **ISSUE 1**: Should TECO continue to recover Capital and O&M costs associated with its CAVR, CAIR and CAMR compliance projects in light of the vacatur of CAMR and potential vacatur of CAIR?
- **TECO:** Tampa Electric is governed by the clean air provisions of a Consent Decree which became effective February 29, 2000 and a Consent Final Judgment which became effective December 6, 1999, settling federal and state litigation with the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U. S. Department of Justice and the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation. Therefore, the company's projects were not initiated to accomplish provisions of CAIR and CAVR and must be continued. Concerning CAMR, the company has halted its capital purchases for the project and only seeks to recover the O&M costs associated with utilizing the previously purchased monitoring equipment to establish a mercury emissions baseline needed for eventual CAMR regulation. (Witness: Bryant, Carpinone)

F. STIPULATED ISSUES

TECO: None at this time.

G. MOTIONS

TECO: None at this time.

H. PENDING REQUEST OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

TECO: None at this time.

I. OTHER MATTERS

÷

TECO: None at this time.

DATED this <u>3</u> day of October 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

LEE L. WILLIS JAMES D. BEASLEY Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 (850) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing Prehearing Statement, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company has been furnished by hand delivery (*) or U. S. Mail on this 3^{n} day of October 2008 to the following:

Ms. Martha Carter Brown* Senior Attorney Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Room 301H – Gunter Building Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Ms. Patricia Christensen Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street – Suite 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mr. John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter Reeves & Davidson, P.A. Post Office Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Mr. John T. Butler Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. Wade Litchfield Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

Mr. Gary V. Perko Hopping Green & Sams, P.A. Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314 Mr. John T. Burnett Associate General Counsel - Florida Mr. R. Alexander Glenn Deputy General Counsel - Florida Progress Energy Service Co., LLC Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr. Progress Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740

Ms. Susan Ritenour Secretary and Treasurer Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520

Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone Mr. Russell A. Badders Mr. Steven R. Griffin Beggs and Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32591-2950

- aren L

h:\jdb\tec\080007 prehearing statement - hb edits.doc