		1
1	BEFORE THE	
2	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 080554-GU
4		AL OF TRANSPORTATION
5	OF CHESAPEAKE UTILI	TIES CORPORATION.
6		
7		
8		
9	PROCEEDINGS:	AGENDA CONFERENCE
10	PROCEEDINGS.	ITEM NO. 10
11	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR
12		COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. MCMURRIAN COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO
13		COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP
14	DATE :	Tuesday, October 14, 2008
15	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
16		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
17	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
18		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE
	FLOR	IDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 962 OCT 20 8
		FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

	2
1	PARTICIPATING:
2	BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, and THOMAS GEOFFROY, appearing
3	on behalf of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.
4	ELIZABETH DRAPER, appearing on behalf of Commission
5	staff.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	٢.
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff, if you're ready, you may
3	proceed.
4	MS. DRAPER: Commissioners, Elizabeth Draper with the
5	staff. Item 10 is Chesapeake's petition for approval of
6	transportation cost recovery factors. Staff is here to answer
7	your questions and so is the company.
8	CHAIRMAN CARTER: We have representatives from the
9	company here, Commissioners, so why don't we hear from them.
10	And obviously at any point if you have any questions, you can
11	interrupt and ask whatever you may deem necessary.
12	Good morning. Beth, you're recognized.
13	MS. KEATING: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
14	Commissioners. There we go. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good
15	morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. Beth Keating, Akerman,
16	Senterfitt, here today on behalf of Chesapeake. If I may take
17	just a moment, I'd like to introduce the gentleman to my right
18	here. This is Tom Geoffroy. He is the Vice President of the
19	Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities.
20	With regard to staff's recommendation, as you can
21	imagine, we are very appreciative and we agree with the staff
22	recommendation that is before you today, and we stand ready to
23	address any questions that you may have.
24	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop, you're
25	recognized.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good
morning, Ms. Keating.

I guess the question and concern I had, and I know we 3 have a busy agenda, so I'll try and keep this brief, is I was 4 5 looking through the staff recommendation, which I'm generally 6 in support of. But it occurred to me on Page 4 that the impact 7 on customers, which is not a direct impact but which more 8 likely than not will be passed through by the TTS shipper, is 9 approximately \$1.10 per month during the 12-month recovery 10 period. So that works out to \$13.20 per year that the 11 customers are going to incur.

12 And in looking at the project costs, the actual costs 13 incurred from May 2007 through June 2008 and then the projected 14 costs through May 2009, it would seem that the vast majority of 15 that cost is driven by the open enrollment. And I guess my 16 concern is providing consumers with choices is always a good 17 thing. But in the instant case in Phase I there was only one 18 TTS shipper and in Phase II I think there's two, so you're 19 having a new market entrant being available to consumers and 20 they're doing three mailings and to about I think just over, 21 just under 14,000 customers. So it's about \$100,000, you know, 22 of the expected costs. And I'm just wondering if -- has staff 23 taken a look at the cost of the mailings and/or is there maybe 24 perhaps a more efficient way to do this to reach consumers to 25 the extent that, you know, they're not having to pay \$13 a

month just to, I mean, per year to, to go through an open 1 enrollment process where you either pick Provider A or you pick 2 Provider B or you basically switch to a nonstandard type of 3 pricing option other than the standard price option? It just 4 seems to me there are a lot of pass-through costs there. And 5 in the interest of trying to help consumers, I'm wondering if 6 there's perhaps a more efficient way to, to do this on a 7 forward-going basis. 8

MS. DRAPER: Commissioners, when the Commission 9 approved Phase II, Chesapeake's proposal for Phase II, the 10 Commission approved Chesapeake's proposal to administer the 11 billing for the shippers which would require Chesapeake to 12 incur costs and modify its billing system, which are part of 13 the costs Chesapeake is asking to recover, education of 14 customers, which is obviously very important, and the 15 administration of the open enrollment periods. So that's 16 Chesapeake, something, that's something Chesapeake asked for in 17 Phase II and the Commission approved that process. 18

At the time staff -- Chesapeake had informed staff that there will be costs associated with those functions, but we decided Chesapeake would come in later and ask for recovery. As to if there's a better way to educate the customers, I'm not sure. I mean, we can think about it. But an annual open enrollment period, that's what was decided. And it gives the customers options as to which shipper and all the different

payment options, and hopefully when the customer shops around,
looks at its usage pattern, that they'll choose a payment
option that provides them with savings on their bills.
COMMISSIONER SKOP: And, Mr. Chair, as a follow-up, I

6

mean, just quickly on the mailings themselves or postage, it 5 would about \$17,000 to mail out three mailings. The all-in 6 cost is \$50,000 per year. And, again, I appreciate the, the 7 open enrollment in providing choices, but it would just seem to 8 me that if, part of competition, if a new participant comes 9 into the market, perhaps that new participant should bear the 10 cost of informing the consumers of its product rather than the 11 consumers themselves. I mean, that's competition. Sometimes 12 you've got to make that up-front marketing investment, as we've 13 seen in the past, to participate. But I'm just wondering 14 who's, who's best to, to incur or bear that cost, because it's 15 a substantial one. I mean, that's \$13 a year that consumers 16 are effectively for the most part having to pay for open 17 enrollment. It's a small but valid point in today's economy. 18

MS. DRAPER: And if I may follow up, the shippers, that is a direct charge to the shippers not to the customer at this point, and the shippers have to stay competitive because the customers can choose between either shipper. So I think they would consider if they have the option of maybe passing only half the cost along to stay competitive.

25

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And I'll accept that. I

	7		
1	mean, just on a forward-going basis I'd ask staff to look at		
2	the overall cost of the open enrollment on a forward-going		
3	basis and see if there's any operational efficiencies that		
4	could be brought into play to reduce the real cost to		
5	consumers.		
6	MS. DRAPER: We'll be happy to work with the company		
7	on that.		
8	COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you.		
9	And with that, Mr. Chairman, I'd move staff		
10	recommendation as to Issues 1 and 2.		
11	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, anything further?		
12	We have a motion here. Are there any questions or discussion		
13	before we go forward? We have a motion. Can we get a second?		
14	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Second.		
15	CHAIRMAN CARTER: It's been moved and properly		
16	seconded that we accept staff's recommendations on the issues		
17	as presented. Any further questions, discussions or debate?		
18	Hearing none, all those in favor, let it be known by		
19	the sign of aye.		
20	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)		
21	All those opposed, like sign. Show it done. Thank		
22	you.		
23	(Agenda Item 10 concluded.)		
24	* * * *		
25			
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		

	8		
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
2	COUNTY OF LEON)		
3			
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was		
5	heard at the time and place herein stated.		
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been		
7	transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said		
8	proceedings.		
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative		
10	or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in		
11	the action.		
12	DATED THIS 20th day of October		
13	2008.		
14	As de la cue		
15	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR		
16	FPSC Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6734		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		