1	FLO	BEFORE THE	MMTCCTON	
2	F LOF	TIDA PUBLIC SERVICE CO		
3	In the Matter of:		DOCKET NO.	080561-GP
4	PETITION FOR APPROV			
5	GAS TRANSMISSION PI BY SEACOAST GAS TRA			
6		/		14
7				
8				3
9			· ·	
10		1	Z. 36	
11			7	Man.
12				•
13	PROCEEDINGS:	AGENDA CONFERENCE		
14	PROCEEDINGS:	ITEM NO. 8		
	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II		
15		COMMISSIONER LISA PO COMMISSIONER KATRINA	J. McMURRIA	/N
16		COMMISSIONER NANCY AS COMMISSIONER NATHAN		
17	DATE:	Tuesday, October 28, 2008		
18				
19	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Room 148	nce Center	
20		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida		
21				
22	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporte	er	
23		(850) 413-6732		
24				
25				·
			= ==	Ann. 4 700 50

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION NUMBER-DATE

1	PARTICIPATING:				
2	ANSLEY WATSON, JR., ESQUIRE, representing SeaCoast				
3	Gas Transmission, LLC.				
4	WRAYE GRIMARD, representing SeaCoast Gas				
5	Transmission, LLC.				
6	MARTHA CARTER BROWN, ESQUIRE, and ELIZABETH DRAPER,				
7	representing the Commission Staff.				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

PROCEEDINGS

2.0

CHAIRMAN CARTER: With that, Commissioners, we are now on Item 8.

Staff, you're recognized.

MS. DRAPER: Commissioners, on behalf of staff, Elizabeth Draper.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Is your mike on?

MS. DRAPER: Yes.

Item 8 is a petition for approval of natural gas transmission pipeline tariff by Seacoast Gas Transmission. Staff is here to answer your questions, and so is the company.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I guess I just had two brief questions. This seemed pretty straightforward, but with respect to, on Page 2 of the discussion, it talks about the Pipeline Siting Act for intrastate gas transmission pipelines, and pursuant to the statutory references, and then it talks in the staff recommendation on Issue 1 and Issue -- or specifically in Issue 1 about proposing the tariff. And at the end of the recommendation for Issue 1, on Page 5, I believe, it speaks to -- I need to find the reference here. It speaks to the fact that Seacoast will comply

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

with the Pipeline Siting Act when the proposed pipelines fall within its requirements.

2.0

2.2

I guess, and this is a dumb question, we have been traveling a lot, so I didn't really get to ask staff ahead of time. But is that, in effect, putting the cart before the horse, to the extent that typically when we do something we grant a determination of need first before we get into tariffs? I'm just struggling to understand where the Pipeline Siting Act will come into play here.

MS. BROWN: Well, I think it would come into play when a project is designed and developed. Having the tariff in effect isn't going to -- I don't think it puts the cart before the horse. It allows them to develop their projects going forward consistent with what the Commission has approved. My understanding is there is a project in the works for Seacoast that would be exempt from the Transmission Line Siting Act.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And that's why I said the initial would probably fall under the exemption to the siting act, and that would predicate the moving forward with the tariff, and at such time if there were something that fell outside of that exemption then they would seek the determination of need through the Commission and also through the DEP.

MS. BROWN: Yes, that's how I think it would go.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

cla
cla
whe
lit
sub

2.1

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you for that clarification. Just one other final question. On Page 5, when it speaks to lending service. And, again, you know, I have some understanding of what's being said, but when it allows a shipper to receive gas from Seacoast, and subsequently return the gas to Seacoast if it's not used, I guess I got a little disconnect there, and maybe Seacoast can explain that, where the shipper actually owns the legal title to the gas. So the statement there — and, again, this is just maybe semantics, but the shipper to receive gas from Seacoast. Seacoast isn't really providing the gas, it's just providing the transport services. So if somebody could just clarify that one point I would appreciate it.

MS. GRIMARD: Hi. I'm Wraye Grimard, Manager of Regulatory for Seacoast Pipeline.

To answer your question, Commissioner, the pipeline contemplated right now is a large diameter approximately 20-mile pipeline that is going to be located wholely within Clay County. One of the services we are planning to offer is called a parking and/or lending service where because we have such high line pack, high pressure and line pack, there may be days when a customer for economic reasons may want to park his gas or just leave his gas on our system for a day or two. We would

charge them a fee for that. And then a few days later he would go ahead and take that gas off when he actually needs it.

As far as a lending service is concerned, when conditions on the pipeline dictate, we may have operating pressure that may be reaching its maximum, and so we may offer up to a customer who could burn the extra gas to take gas off, and that's called lending. And at that point, a couple of days later, the customer would then replace that gas he has taken off the system or that we have loaned to him.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And I think that is just my confusion. Because with respect to the lending service, again, if Seacoast is actually providing the physical commodity, according to the staff recommendation it didn't have legal title to in terms of the gas in the pipeline and they are recovering it back, I mean, how can Seacoast do that if it doesn't have legal title to the natural gas?

MS. GRIMARD: Oh. The answer is that the gas itself, the supply itself will always stay under the customer's title. Seacoast will never take title to the gas, we will only store the gas for the customer.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay, thank you.

And, Mr. Chairman, if there is no further

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	questions, I would move staff recommendation on Issues
2	1 and 2.
3	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, any further
4	questions?
5	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN CARTER: It has been moved and properly
7	seconded. Any further discussion? Any debate?
8	Hearing none, all those in favor let it be known
9	by the sign of aye.
10	(Simultaneous aye.)
11	CHAIRMAN CARTER: All those opposed, like sign?
L2	Show it done.
L3	* * * * * *
l 4	
L5	
16	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	T. TANIE BANDOW, DDD, Chief Hermine December Courties
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically
8	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
9	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee,
11	attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel
L2	connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
L3	DATED THIS 10th day of November, 2008.
L 4	\bigcirc . \rightarrow $+$
L5	- Jane Jaurol
L 6	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official PPSC Hearings Reporter
L7	(850) 413-6732
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	