BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for increase in wastewater || DOCKET NO. 080250-SU rates in Pinellas County by Mid-County Services. Inc.

ORDER NO, PSC-08-0763-PCO-SU ISSUED: November 17, 2008

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

MATTHEW M. CARTER II, Chairman LISA POLAK EDGAR KATRINA J. MCMURRIAN NANCY ARGENZIANO NATHAN A. SKOP

ORDER SUSPENDING PROPOSED FINAL RATES AND GRANTING INTERIM RATES SUBJECT TO REFUND

BY THE COMMISSION:

BACKGROUND

Mid-County Services, Inc. (Mid-County or the Utility) is a Class A wastewater utility providing service to approximately 1,170 customers in the City of Dunedin in Pinellas County. Mid-County is located in a region which has been designated by the Southwest Florida Water Management District as a critical use area. Water service and billing are provided by Pinellas County. The Utility's wastewater rates were last established in its 2006 rate proceeding.¹

On August 22, 2008, Mid-County filed its application for approval of final and interim rate increases in the instant docket. The Utility had a few deficiencies in the Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs). As of the filing of this Order, those deficiencies remain outstanding. The Utility requested that the application be processed using the Proposed Agency Action (PAA) procedure. The test year established for interim and final rates is the historical twelve-month period ended December 31, 2007.

Mid-County requested interim rates designed to generate annual wastewater revenues of \$1,907,277, an increase of \$175,711 or 10.15 percent. The Utility requested final wastewater rates designed to generate annual revenues of \$2,098,901, an increase of \$386,288, or 22.56 percent.

The sixty-day statutory deadline for us to suspend the Utility's requested final rates is October 21, 2008. However, by letter dated September 8, 2008, Mid-County agreed to extend the statutory time frame through October 28, 2008. This Order addresses the suspension of Mid-

10682 NOV 178

See Order No. PSC-07-0134-PAA-SU, issued February 16, 2007, in Docket No. 060254-SU, In re: Application for rate increase in Pinellas County by Mid-County Services, Inc. Consummating Order No BSC 07-0227 ECO-SDATE issued March 13, 2007, made Order No. PSC-07-0134-PAA-SU final and effective.

County's final rates and our approval of an interim rate increase. We have jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 367.081 and 367.082, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

SUSPENSION OF RATES

Section 367.081(6), F.S., provides that we may, for good cause, withhold consent to the implementation of the requested rates within 60 days after the date the rate request is filed. Further, Section 367.081(8), F.S., permits the proposed rates to go into effect (secured and subject to refund) at the expiration of five months if: (1) we have not acted upon the requested rate increase; or (2) our PAA action is protested by a party other than the Utility.

We have reviewed the filing and have considered the information filed in support of the rate application and the proposed final rates. We find it is necessary to further investigate this information, including on-site investigations by commission staff accountants and engineers. Based on the foregoing, we find it appropriate to suspend the Utility's proposed rate increase.

INTERIM RATES

On an interim basis, the Utility shall be authorized to collect annual wastewater revenues as indicated below:

	Adjusted Test Year Revenues	<u>\$ Increase</u>	Revenue <u>Requirement</u>	% Increase	
Wastewater	\$1,731,567	\$62,872	\$1,794,439	3.63%	

In its MFRs, Mid-County requested interim rates designed to generate annual revenues of \$1,907,277. This represents a wastewater revenue increase of \$175,711 (10.15 percent). The Utility has filed rate base, cost of capital, and operating statements to support its requested revenue increase.

Pursuant to Section 367.082(5)(b)1., F.S., the achieved rate of return for interim purposes must be calculated by applying appropriate adjustments consistent with those made in a utility's most recent rate proceeding. We have reviewed the Utility's interim request, as well as prior orders concerning the Utility's rate base. Our approved adjustments are discussed below. We have attached accounting schedules to illustrate the approved rate base, capital structure, and test year operating income amounts. The rate base schedule is shown on Schedule No. 1-A, with adjustments shown on Schedule No. 1-B; the capital structure schedule is shown on Schedule No. 2, and the operating income schedule is shown on Schedule No. 3-A, with the adjustments shown on Schedule No. 3-B.

RATE BASE

Mid-County filed a 13-month average wastewater rate base for the calendar year ended December 31, 2007. The Utility made adjustments to allocate plant, construction work in progress (CWIP), accumulated depreciation, accumulated amortization of contributions in aid of construction (CIAC), and working capital. We have reviewed Mid-County's MFRs for

consistency with the last rate proceeding. As a result of this review, we find that several adjustments should be made.

Utility Plant in Service

In its 2003 rate proceeding,² we reduced the land account by \$2,603. This action reduced the monthly account balance from \$21,006 to \$18,403. In the last rate case, we reduced the land account because the Utility indvertently included the disallowed \$2,603 for one month which increased the 13-month average by \$200. See Order No. PSC-07-0134-PAA-SU, p. 6. In this rate case, Mid-County again inadvertently included the disallowed \$2,603 for five of the thirteen months which had the effect of increasing the 13-month average by \$1,001. As required by Section 367.082(5), F.S., the same adjustment made in the prior rate case shall be applied in this rate case for interim purposes. Accordingly, we find that the 13-month average balance in the land account be reduced by \$1,001.

Non-Used and Useful Plant

We have reviewed the Utility's used and useful (U&U) calculation for interim. Mid-County's calculation is consistent with the methodology used in the last rate case. The Utility calculated the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) to be 85 percent U&U. On Schedule F-6 of its MFRs, Mid-County stated that the WWTP should be considered 100 percent U&U for both interim and final for the following reasons:

The treated flows in 2005 at Mid-County have decreased continually from 279.9MG in 2002 to 241.8MG in 2005 to 238.9 MG in 2007. This continual reduction has occurred in spite of modest increases in ERCs. This downward trend in treated flows is indicative of the redevelopment of mobile home parks in the service area with less dense housing and commercial developments and the results of (A) the capital investment made by Mid-County in numerous manhole repairs and replacing or relining of mains to reduce infiltration, (B) the dismantling of the poorly maintained mobile home park collection systems and (C) the replacement of those mains in new developments with materials meeting Mid-County's requirements. In the last case setting U&U, Docket No. 060254-SU, the PSC found the WWTP to be 92% U&U. In this case, the PSC should recognize that the U&U would be approaching 100% were not for the actions of the utility to reduce infiltration as much as practicable.

The Utility calculated its U&U percentage for the wastewater treatment plant by taking the sum of the annual average daily flows (AADF) of 654,413 gallon per day (gpd) and a growth allowance of 10,247 gpd. It then divided that total by the plant's Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) permitted capacity of 900,000 gpd AADF. Mid-County did not make any adjustments for inflow and infiltration (I&I) in its calculations. This resulted in an 85 percent U&U percentage for the wastewater treatment plant.

² See Order No. PSC-04-0819-PAA-SU, issued August 23, 2004, in Docket No. 030446-SU, <u>In re: Application for</u> rate increase in Pinellas County by Mid-County Services, Inc. Consummating Order No. PSC-04-0904-CO-SU, issued September 17, 2004, made Order No. PSC-04-0819-PAA-SU final and effective.

Using linear regression, the Utility calculated annual customer growth to be 63 equivalent residential connections (ERCs). Mid-County also calculated the average growth through the 5-year period to be 3.57 percent. The growth rate (.0357) multiplied by the test year number of ERCs (1,882) results in an annual growth of 67 ERCs. We agree with the Utility's calculations of the average annual growth for interim.

In its MFR Schedule F-8, in calculating its 110,247 gpd growth allowance, Mid-County multiplied the annual growth of 63 ERCs by the five-year statutory growth period and the test year average consumption of 348 gpd/ERC. We agree with the Utility's calculation for its growth allowance.

Applying this adjustment to the U&U formula and assuming the I&I is zero for interim, the WWTP shall be considered to be 85 percent U&U. However, in Mid-County's last rate case, we found the Utility's WWTP to be 92 percent U&U. See Order No. PSC-07-0134-PAA-SU, p. 10. We also found that Mid-County transferred a large portion of the balance in Account 380 to Account 354, and consequently, applied an adjustment to both accounts to reduce the balances by 8 percent to account for the 92 percent U&U. Therefore, as required by Section 367.082(5), F.S., the same adjustments for a U&U of 92 percent that was applied to Account Nos. 354 and 380 in the last case should be applied in this case for interim purposes. Accordingly, we find that net non-used and useful plant shall be reduced by \$125,359.

Wastewater Collection Systems

In its filing, the Utility stated that the collection system should be considered 100 percent U&U because it was built by various developers who then contributed the assets to Mid-County. The master feeders and lift stations that serve the system were built by the Utility. Mid-County also stated that this Commission recognized that the collection system was 100 percent U&U in the Utility's last rate case. See Order No. PSC-07-0134-PAA-SU, p. 11. Mid-County stated that while there is some limited undeveloped land in the service territory, additional collection mains would have to be constructed before new customers could be added.

A review of the Utility's analysis shows that there has been some growth in customers, but no changes in its service territory since the last rate case. Therefore, the collection system shall be considered 100 percent U&U for interim.

As a result of the above adjustments, the Utility's rate base shall be reduced by a total of \$126,360. Corresponding adjustments shall be made to reduce depreciation expense by \$96,188, and property tax expense by \$8,100. Based on the above, we find that Mid-County's interim rate base shall be \$2,879,963.

COST OF CAPITAL

In its MFRs, Mid-County used a 13-month average capital structure consisting of allocated investor sources of capital from Utilities, Inc. (UI). The Utility included a zero balance in customer deposits. We have Mid-County's requested capital structure and believes that the Utility erred in calculating its cost rate for common equity. Section 367.082(5)(b)3., F.S., requires that, in calculating the cost of capital for an interim rate increase, the minimum of the

range of the last authorized rate of return on equity (ROE) shall be used. In Mid-County's last rate case, the midpoint of the authorized ROE was established as 11.46 percent, with a range of 10.46 percent to 12.46 percent. See Order No. PSC-07-0134-PAA-SU, p. 13. Therefore, for interim purposes, we find that a cost of equity of 10.46 percent. Accordingly, our approved of capital for interim purposes is 7.94 percent. Schedule No. 2 details our approved capital structure.

NET OPERATING INCOME

Operating Revenues

Section 367.082(5)(b)1., F.S, requires that the achieved rate of return be calculated by applying adjustments consistent with a utility's last rate proceeding and annualizing any rate changes occurring during the interim test year. For interim purposes, Mid-County chose the historical twelve-month period ended December 31, 2007. The Utility implemented the rate increase approved in its previous rate case on April 7, 2007, and implemented a price index rate increase on September 19, 2007. The Utility then annualized its revenues using the rates in effect at the end of the test year. In its MFRs, Mid-County's adjusted test year revenues are \$1,731,567. We have reviewed the Utility's annualized revenues and find that no adjustments are necessary.

Operating Expenses

Mid-County made several adjustments to operating expenses for interim purposes. We have reviewed the adjustments and find that they are appropriate. However, we have made adjustments to operating expenses related to the 8 percent non-used and useful adjustment to the WWTP. Depreciation expense was reduced by \$96,188 and property tax expense was reduced by \$8,100.

Net Operating Income

Based on these adjustments, we find that that the appropriate test year operating income, before any revenue increase, is \$191,294. Schedule No. 3-A details our approved net operating income, with adjustments reflected on Schedule No. 3-B.

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

The Utility requested an interim revenue requirement of \$1,907,277. Based on the above, we find that an interim revenue requirement of \$1,794,439. This represents an interim revenue increase of \$62,872, or 3.63 percent. This shall allow Mid-County the opportunity to recover its operating expenses and earn a 7.94 percent return on its rate base.

INTERIM WASTEWATER RATES

We find that interim wastewater service rates for Mid-County be designed to allow the Utility the opportunity to generate annual operating revenues of \$1,794,439. This reflects an increase of \$62,872, or 3.63 percent, before removal of miscellaneous revenues. To determine the appropriate percentage increase to apply to the service rates, miscellaneous service revenues should be removed from the test year revenues. In its MFRs, Mid-County included miscellaneous revenues of \$1,350. The calculation is as follows:

1	Total Test Year Revenues	\$1,731,567
2	Less: Miscellaneous Revenues	<u>1,350</u>
3	Test Year Revenues from Service Rates	\$1,730,217
4	Revenue Increase	<u>\$62,872</u>
5	% Service Rate Increase (Line4/Line 3)	<u>3.63%</u>

The interim rate increase of 3.63 percent for wastewater shall be applied as an across-theboard increase to the service rates in effect as of December 31, 2007. The approved rates shall be effective for service rendered as of the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. The rates shall not be implemented until Commission staff verifies that the tariff sheets are consistent with the Commission decision, the proposed customer notice is adequate, and the required security discussed in Issue 4 has been filed. The Utility shall provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of notice.

The Utility's test year, proposed interim and final wastewater rates, and our approved interim wastewater rates are shown on Schedule No. 4.

SECURITY FOR REFUND

Pursuant to Section 367.082, F.S., revenues collected under interim rates shall be placed under bond, escrow, letter of credit, or corporate undertaking subject to refund with interest at a rate ordered by the Commission. As approved above, the total annual interim increase is \$62,872. In accordance with Rule 25-30.360, F.A.C., we calculated the potential refund of revenues and interest collected under interim conditions to be \$36,938. This amount is based on an estimated seven months of revenue being collected from our approved interim rates over the Utility's current authorized rates shown on Schedule No. 4.

Mid-County is a wholly-owned subsidiary of UI, which provides all investor capital to its subsidiaries. UI has requested a corporate undertaking to secure any interim increases granted in Docket Nos. 080247-SU, 080248-SU, and 080249-WS. Our approval of interim rates for those dockets was granted at the October 28, 2008, Agenda Conference. The approved cumulative corporate undertaking amount for those dockets is \$310,106. At present, UI has a corporate undertaking for Miles Grant Water & Sewer Company (Miles Grant) and Lake Utility Services,

Inc. (LUSI), for a combined corporate undertaking in the amount of \$528,209.³ As such, we have reviewed the financial statements of the parent company. As a result of our findings in all of the above dockets, and the previously approved corporate undertaking amount for Miles Grant and LUSI, the total requested cumulative corporate undertaking amount is \$875,253, which includes \$36,938, subject to refund for this docket

The criteria for a corporate undertaking includes sufficient liquidity, ownership equity, profitability, and interest coverage to guarantee any potential refund. We have reviewed UI's financial statements from 2005 to 2007 to determine the financial condition of the parent company. UI's relative level of liquidity has decreased compared to 2006. The Utility has also experienced a decline in its interest coverage ratio and relative level of net income over the three year review period. However, UI's equity ratio has increased to 44.48 percent in 2007 from 40 percent in 2006. In addition, net income has been on average three times greater than the requested interim undertaking amount. UI's financial performance has demonstrated adequate levels of both equity capitalization and profitability on an absolute basis to offset the decline in interest coverage and profitability on a relative basis. Based upon this analysis, we find that a cumulative corporate undertaking of \$875,253 is acceptable contingent upon the receipt of the written guarantee of UI and written confirmation that UI does not have any outstanding guarantees on behalf of UI-owned utilities in other states.

Pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), F.A.C., the Utility shall provide a report by the 20th day of each month indicating the monthly and total revenue collected subject to refund. Should a refund be required, the refund shall be with interest and undertaken in accordance with Rule 25-30.360, F.A.C.

In no instance shall maintenance and administrative costs associated with any refund be borne by the customers. The costs are the responsibility of, and shall be borne by, the Utility.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the final wastewater rates proposed by Mid-County Services, Inc. are hereby suspended. It is further

ORDERED that the request for an interim rate increase for wastewater rates by Mid-County Services, Inc. is hereby granted, subject to our modifications, as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that all matters contained in the schedules attached hereto are incorporated herein by reference. It is further

ORDERED that the approved interim rates shall be effective for service rendered as of the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1)(a), F.A.C. It is further

³ See Order Nos. PSC-08-0338-PCS-WS, issued May 27, 2008 in Docket No. 070695-WS, <u>In re: Application for rate increase in water and wastewater in Martin County, by Miles Grant Water & Sewer Company</u>; and PSC-08-0308-PCO-WS, issued May 12, 2008 in Docket No. 070693-WS, <u>In re: Application for rate increase in water and wastewater Lake County by Lake Utility Services, Inc.</u>

ORDERED that the interim rates shall not be implemented until Commission staff verifies that the tariff sheets are consistent with our decision, the proposed customer notice is adequate, and the required security has been filed. It is further

ORDERED that Mid-County Services, Inc. shall provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of notice. It is further

ORDERED that Utilities, Inc., shall file a corporate undertaking on behalf of Mid-County Services, Inc. to guarantee any potential refunds of revenues collected under interim conditions, as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further,

ORDERED that the approval of interim rates is contingent upon receipt of the written guarantee of Utilities, Inc. and written confirmation of Utilities, Inc. continued attestation that it does not have any outstanding guarantees on behalf of Utilities, Inc.-owned utilities in other states, and the guarantee be in the cumulative amount of \$875,253, which includes \$36,938, subject to refund in this docket. It is further,

ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), F.A.C., Mid-County Services, Inc. shall provide a report by the 20th of each month indicating the monthly and total revenue collected subject to refund. It is further

ORDERED that, should a refund be required, the refund shall be with interest and undertaken in accordance with Rule 25-30.360, F.A.C. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall remain open pending our final action on Mid-County Services, Inc.'s requested rate increase.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>17th</u> day of <u>November</u>, <u>2008</u>.

ANN COLE Commission Clerk

(SEAL)

JEH

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is non-final in nature, may request (1) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. <u>Citizens of the State of Florida v. Mayo</u>, 316 So.2d 262 (Fla. 1975), states that an order on interim rates is not final or reviewable until a final order is issued. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

	Mid-County Services, Inc. Schedule of Wastewater Rate Base Test Year Ended 12/31/07			chedule No. 1-A ocket No. 080250-SU		
	Description	Test Year Per Utility	Utility Adjust- ments	Adjusted Test Year Per Utility	Commission Adjust- ments	Commission Adjusted Test Year
1	Plant in Service	\$6,244,515	\$30,944	\$6,275,459	\$0	\$6,275,459
2	Land and Land Rights	20,148	0	20,148	(1,001)	19,147
3	Non-used and Useful Components	0	0	0	(125,359)	(125,359)
4	Accumulated Depreciation	(1,984,790)	(4,672)	(1,989,462)	0	(1,989,462)
5	CIAC	(3,025,365)	0	(3,025,365)	0	(3,025,365)
6	Amortization of CIAC	1,636,280	9,211	1,645,491	0	1,645,491
7	CWIP	46,438	(46,438)	0	0	0
8	Working Capital Allowance	<u>0</u>	<u>80,052</u>	<u>80,052</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>80,052</u>
9	Rate Base	<u>\$2,937,226</u>	<u>\$69,097</u>	<u>\$3,006,323</u>	<u>(\$126,360)</u>	<u>\$2,879,963</u>

Mid-County Services, In Adjustments to Rate Bas Test Year Ended 12/31/0	5 0	 le No. 1-B No. 080250-SU
Explanation		Wastewater
Land To reflect proper balance		<u>(\$1.001</u>

Mid-County Services, Inc. Capital Structure-Simple Average Test Year Ended 12/31/07								Schedule No. 2-A Docket No. 080250-SU		
• • :	Description	Total Capital	Specific Adjust- ments	Subtotal Adjusted Capital	Prorata Adjust- ments	Capital Reconciled to Rate Base	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost	
Per	Utility									
1	Long-term Debt	\$180,000,000	\$0	\$180,000,000	(\$178,404,556)	\$1,595,444	53.07%	6.65%	3.53%	
2	Short-term Debt	1,530,769	0	\$1,530,769	(1,517,201)	\$13,568	0.45%	12.34%	0.06%	
3	Preferred Stock	0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
4	Common Equity	141,856,780	0	\$1 41,856,780	(140,599,422)	\$1,257,358	41.82%	11.57%	4.84%	
5	Customer Deposits	0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%	
6	Deferred Income Taxes	<u>139,952</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>\$139,952</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>\$139,952</u>	<u>4.66%</u>	0.00%	<u>0.00%</u>	
10	Total Capital	<u>\$323,527,501</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$323,527,501</u>	<u>(\$320.521.179)</u>	<u>\$3,006,322</u>	<u>100.00%</u>		<u>8.43%</u>	
Per	Commission									
11	Long-term Debt	\$180,000,000	\$0	\$180,000,000	(\$178,474,889)	\$1,525,111	52.96%	6.65%	3.52%	
12	Short-term Debt	1,530,769	0	1,530,769	(1,517,799)	12,970	0.45%	12.34%	0.06%	
13	Preferred Stock	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
14	Common Equity	141,856,780	0	141,856,780	(140,654,850)	1,201,930	41.73%	10.46%	4.37%	
15	Customer Deposits	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	6.00%	0.00%	
16	Deferred Income Taxes	<u>139,952</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>139,952</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>139,952</u>	<u>4.86%</u>	0.00%	<u>0.00%</u>	
20	Total Capital	<u>\$323,527,501</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$323,527,501</u>	<u>(\$320.647.538)</u>	<u>\$2,879,963</u>	<u>100.00%</u>		<u>7.94%</u>	
							LOW	<u>HIGH</u>		
					RETURN ON EQ	QUITY	<u>10.46%</u>	<u>12.46%</u>		
					OVERALL RATE	OF RETURN	<u>7.53%</u>	<u>8.36%</u>		

	Mid-County Services, Inc. Statement of Wastewater Operations Test Year Ended 12/31/07							Schedule No. 3-A Docket No. 080250-SU		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Description	Test Year Per Utility	Utility Adjust- ments	Adjusted Test Year Per Utility	Commission Adjust- ments	Commission Adjusted Test Year	Revenue Increase	Revenue Requirement		
1	Operating Revenues:	<u>\$1,624,065</u>	<u>\$283,213</u>	<u>\$1,907,278</u>	<u>(\$175,711)</u>	<u>\$1,731,567</u>	<u>\$62,872</u> 3.63%	<u>\$1,794,439</u>		
2	Operating Expenses Operation & Maintenance	\$1,308,988	\$22,009	\$1,330,997	\$0	\$1,330,997		\$1,330,997		
3	Depreciation	141,732	1,621	143,353	(96,188)	47,165		47,165		
4	Amortization	0	0	0	0	0		0		
5	Taxes Other Than Income	104,113	20,747	124,860	(16,007)	108,853	2,829	111,682		
6	Income Taxes	<u>(5,751)</u>	<u>60.514</u>	<u>54,763</u>	<u>(1,505)</u>	<u>53,258</u>	<u>22,594</u>	<u>75,852</u>		
7	Total Operating Expense	<u>1,549,082</u>	<u>104,891</u>	<u>1,653,973</u>	(113,700)	<u>1,540,273</u>	<u>25,423</u>	<u>1,565,697</u>		
8	Operating Income	<u>\$74,983</u>	<u>\$178.322</u>	<u>\$253,305</u>	<u>(\$62,011)</u>	<u>\$191,294</u>	<u>\$37,449</u>	<u>\$228,742</u>		
9	Rate Base	<u>\$2,937,226</u>		<u>\$3,006,323</u>		<u>\$2,879,963</u>		<u>\$2,879,963</u>		
10	Rate of Return	<u>2.55%</u>		<u>8.43%</u>		<u>6.64%</u>		<u>7.94%</u>		

Adjustment to Operating	Mld-County Services, Inc. Adjustment to Operating Statement Test Year Ended 12/31/07	
Explanation		Wastewater
Operating Revenues Remove requested interim	revenue increase.	<u>(\$175,711)</u>
Depreciation Expense - Ne To remove net depreciation	=	<u>(\$96,188)</u>
Taxes Other Than Income1RAFs on revenue adjustme2To reflect reduction of propTotal	ents above erty taxes for non-U&U Plant	(\$7,907) <u>(8,100)</u> <u>(\$16,007)</u>
Income Taxes To reflect the appropriate in	ncome taxes	<u>(\$1,505)</u>

Mid-County Services, Inc. Wastewater Bi-Monthly Service Rat	es		Schedule 4 Docket No. (080250-SU		
Test Year Ended 12/31/07	2/31/07					
	Test Year Rates on 12/31/07	Utility Requested Interim	Utility Requested Final	Commission Approved Interim		
Residential						
Base Facility Charge All Meter		A - - - - -	* ***	.		
Sizes:	\$32.22	\$35.49	\$39.49	\$33.3		
Gallonage Charge Per 1,000						
Gallons (20,000 gal. bi-monthly cap)	\$3.23	\$3.56	\$3.96	\$3.3		
General Service						
Base Facility Charge by Meter Size:						
5/8" x 3/4"	\$32.22	\$35.49	\$39.49	\$33.3		
1"	\$82.68	\$91.07	\$101.34	\$85.0		
1-1/2"	\$186.04	\$204.93	\$228.02	\$192.8		
2"	\$330.74	\$364.31	\$405.37	\$342.3		
- 2" (UI)	\$330.74	\$364.31	\$405.37	\$342.1		
3"	\$744.37	\$819.93	\$912.33	\$771.4		
4"	\$1,322.95	\$1,457.25	\$1,621.46	\$1,371.0		
6"	\$2,977.06	\$3,279.27	\$3,648.80	\$3,085.		
Gallonage Charge, per 1,000						
Gallons	\$3.88	\$4.27	\$4.76	\$4.0		
Multi-Residential - Metered						
Base Facility Charge by Meter Size:						
Flat Rate	\$63.36	\$69.79	\$77.66	\$65.0		
5/8" x 3/4"	\$32.22	\$35.49	\$39.49	\$33.3		
1"	\$82.68	\$91.07	\$10 1 .34	\$85.0		
1-1/2"	\$186.04	\$204.93	\$228.02	\$192.8		
2"	\$330.74	\$364.31	\$405.37	\$342.		
3"	\$744.37	\$819.93	\$912.33	\$771.4		
4"	\$1,322.95	\$1,457.25	\$1,621.46	\$1,371.0		
6"	\$2,977.06	\$3,279.27	\$3,648.80	\$3,085.2		
Gallonage Charge Per 1,000 gallons	\$4.65	\$5.12	\$5.70	\$4.8		
	Турі	ical Residenti	al Bills 5/8" x 3	3/4" Meter		
3,000 Gallons	\$41.91	\$46.17	\$51.37	\$43.4		
5,000 Gallons	\$48.37	\$53.29	\$59.29	\$50.1		
10,000 Gallons	\$64.52	\$71.09	\$79.09	\$66.8		