State of Florida



COMMISSION CLERK

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

n	A	7	ľ	r	
	-			١.	-

November 19, 2008

TO:

Bart Fletcher, Public Utilities Supervisor, Division of Economic Regulation

FROM:

Denise N. Vandiver, Chief of Auditing, Division of Regulatory Compliance

RE:

Docket No: 080247-SU; Company Name: Utilities, Inc. of Eagle Ridge;

Audit Purpose: Allocations; Company Code: SU749;

Audit Control No: 08-261-4-1;

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send a response to the Office of the Commission Clerk. There are confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNV/tbm

Attachment: Audit Report

CC:

Division of Regulatory Compliance (Salak, Mailhot, Harvey,

District Offices, File Folder)

Office of Commission Clerk (2)

General Counsel

Office of Public Counsel

Mr. Patrick C. Flynn Utilities, Inc. of Eagle Ridge 200 Weathersfield Avenue Altamonte Springs, FL 32714-4027

Christian W. Marcelli Rose Law Firm 2180 West State Road 434, Suite 2118 Longwood, FL 32779

COM ECR CCL OPC

RCP SSC

SGA **ADM**

CLK The Lear

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10852 NOV 21 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE BUREAU OF AUDITING

Miami District Office

Utilities, Inc.
Audit of Headquarters Accounts

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

DOCKETS #080247-SU, 080248-SU, 080259-WS, and 080250-SU AUDIT CONTROL NO. 08-231-4-1

Kathy L. Welch
Audit Manager

Iliana Piedra
Accounting Specialist

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10852 NOV 21 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

AUD	OITOR'S REPORT	PAGE
1.	PURPOSE	1
11.	OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES	2
III.	FINDINGS	3
	ONE- FORECASTED PLANT TWO- SHORT TERM DEBT COST RATE THREE- DUPLICATION OF BENEFIT ADJUSTMENT FOUR-MID-COUNTY VEHICLE ERROR FIVE-TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE	4 5 6 7
	FIVE-IKANSPUKTATIUN EXPENSE	δ

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

November 13, 2008

TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have performed the procedures enumerated later in this report to meet the agreed upon objectives set forth by the Division of Economic Regulation in its audit service request. We have applied these procedures to the Utilities, Inc. parent company, Water Service Corporation (WSC) and the Utilities, Inc. of Florida (UIF) headquarters office. The procedures also relate to the allocations to Utilities, Inc. of Eagle Ridge, Tierra Verde Utilities, Inc., Labrador Utilities, Inc., and Mid-County Services, Inc., Docket Numbers 080247-SU, 080248-SU, 080259-WS, and 080250-SU, respectively.

This audit is performed following general standards and field work standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. This report is based on agreed upon procedures and is intended for internal Commission use.

OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES

Objective – To determine if Utilities, Inc. has complied with the Commission's directive in Commission order PSC-03-1440-FOF-WS to allocate costs based on ERCs.

Procedure – We reviewed the allocation manuals from WSC, the Northbrook office headquarters in Illinois. We reviewed the worksheets used to adjust the headquarters' allocations for rate base and expense. We reviewed the worksheet used to allocate the Utilities Inc. of Florida (UIF) Altamonte Springs headquarters. We reviewed the worksheets used to allocate transportation and salaries. We recalculated the allocation factors.

Objective –To audit the allocated plant additions for the test year ended December 31, 2007.

Procedure – We reviewed the ledgers for the two companies, WSC and UIF. We selected additions since our last audit at June 30, 2007 to December 31, 2007 and obtained invoices to support the additions. We determined which employees were using the vehicles. Audit Finding Four discusses an error in recording vehicle costs.

Objective – To audit the projections for allocated plant additions included in the proforma plant adjustments.

Procedures – We reviewed the forecast worksheets and determined the only increase related to the new accounting and billing systems. We obtained the actual costs of the projects and the dates they were put in service. We calculated the forecast balance using the correct ERC allocation factors. We recalculated the depreciation on the revised amounts. Audit Finding One discusses a problem with depreciation on the forecasted plant.

Objective –To determine and audit costs allocated from the parent, Hydro Star, LLC.

Procedures –We reviewed the support for allocated costs and specifically requested any allocations from Hydro Star. No charges were allocated.

Objective –To audit the capital structure, excluding deferred taxes and customer deposits, for December 31, 2007.

Procedures –We determined the balances of debt and equity using the audited financial statements. We read the note included in long term debt and traced the rates used to the note. We obtained statements for the short term debt and recalculated the interest rate. Audit Finding Two discusses the short term debt rate.

Objective –To audit allocated expenses for 2007 and ensure that insurance adjustments from the last audit were made during this audit.

Procedures –We determined which costs were allocated from WSC and UIF's office. We recalculated the costs times the percent of ERCs for each division and compared the staff amount to the amounts in the ledgers for WSC and UIF allocations and the adjustments made in the filing for these expenses. We reviewed the payroll allocated and traced to W-2s. We reviewed the allocation of benefits and transportation amounts associated with these salaries. We selected some of the other material accounts of both WSC and UIF office and obtained samples of source documentation. We reviewed all insurance invoices and verified that the utility removed the costs of insurance for former employees and director's life insurance policies, fiduciary policies protecting directors and officers and pension funds. Audit Finding Three discusses the duplication of the benefit adjustment. Audit Finding Five discusses problems with transportation expense.

SUBJECT: FORECASTED PLANT

AUDIT ANALYSIS: WSC added a new computer system in 2008 that cost over twenty-one million dollars. Proforma adjustments were made to the rate base filings of each utility. The differences between the staff amount and the filing amount for the plant addition are immaterial as shown below. However, there were differences between the staff computed depreciation and accumulated depreciation as shown below. The eight years used by the utility were used in our analysis. Rule 25-30.140, F.A.C., uses 6 years for computer equipment, but this equipment is used in all states that have varying rates so we did not adjust the rate. Since phase one went into service the beginning of the year, we calculated a full year. A half year depreciation was used for phase two.

Project P	hoenix costs	for the new c	omputer system	added in 2008	\$21,076,941.00			
·				Allocation Factor		Per Staff (\$)	Per Filing (\$)	Difference (\$)
Allocation	i to:	Eagle Ridge		0.87%		183,369.39	183,902	(532.61)
		Tierra Vierde		0.83%		175,360.15	175,952	(591.85)
		Mid-County		1.16%		244,492.52	244,218	274.52
		Labrador		0.54%		113,815.48	114,122	(306.52)
	Cost	Years	Yearly Deprec.		Dep. Staff			
Phase i	13,424,262	8	1,678,032.75		1,678,032.75	full year		
Phase II	7,652,679	8	956,584.88		478,292.44	1/2 year		
	21,076,941				2,156,325.19	-		
Deprecia	tion Expense)					Expense	
•	•	Allocation		Phase 1	Phase 2	Total	Per	Difference
		Factor		(\$)	(\$)	Dep. Exp. (\$)	Filing (\$)	(\$)
				1,678,032.75	478,292.44	2,156,325.19		
Eagle Rid	lge	0.87%		14,598.88	4,161.14	18,760.03	22,988.00	(4,227.97)
Tierra Vie	erde	0.83%		13,961.23	3,979.39	17,940.63	24,388.00	(6,447.37)
Mid-Coun	ity	1.16%		19,465.18	5,548.19	25,013.37	30,527.00	(5,513.63)
Labrador	•	0.54%		9,061.38	2,582.78	11,644.16	19,020.00	(7,375.84)
Accumul	ated Depreci	ation					A/D	
	•	Allocation	Phase 1	Phase 2	Total Acc. Dep	Average With	Per	Difference
		Factor	(\$)	(\$)	at 12/07 (\$)	Zero Beg. Bal.(\$)	Filing (\$)	(\$)
						(Note 1)		
Eagle Rid	lge	0.87%	14,598.88	4,161.14	18,760.03	9,380.01	19,118.00	(9,737.99)
Tierra Vie		0.83%	13,961.23	3,979.39	17,940.63	8,970.31	24,388.00	(15,417.69)
Mid-Coun	ty	1.16%	19,465.18	5,548.19	25,013.37	11,226.33	25,388.00	(14,161.67)
Labrador		0.54%	9,061.38	2,582.78	11,644.16	5,822.08	19,020.00	(13,197.92)
Note 1-Mi	id-County is 1	3-Month Averaç	ge. Others are be	ginning and endi	ng average			

EFFECT ON THE GENERAL LEDGER: There is no effect on the ledger.

EFFECT ON THE FILING: Depreciation expense should be reduced by \$4,227.97 for Eagle Ridge, \$6,447.37 for Tierra Verde, \$5,513.63 for Mid-County, and \$7,375.84 for Labrador. Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$9,737.99 for Eagle Ridge, \$15,417.69 for Tierra Verde, \$12,881.31 for Mid-County and \$14,161.67 for Labrador.

SUBJECT: SHORT TERM DEBT COST RATE

AUDIT ANALYSIS: We traced the short-term debt balances to the invoices from Chase Bank. Dividing the annual interest expense by the monthly balances of the debt usually gives a reasonable average of the interest rate of the debt. However, in this case, the short-term debt balances were maintained for only a few days during a few months. Some months had no beginning or ending balance but still had interest.

Since the interest rate in the filing was computed by taking the interest expense divided by these average balances, the interest rates used in the filing were not representative of the interest rate paid on the loans. The average interest rate computed for the beginning and ending year end balances in the filing is 2.44%. The 13-month average rate used by Mid-County is 12.34%. The actual interest rates paid were 7.25% to 8.25%. A rate closer to the actual needs to be determined to use in the weighted cost schedule.

EFFECT ON THE GENERAL LEDGER: There is no effect on the ledger.

EFFECT ON THE FILING: This finding is for informational purposes.

SUBJECT: DUPLICATION OF BENEFIT ADJUSTMENT

AUDIT ANALYSIS: In preparing the filing, the utility prepared an analysis of each employee that works for each division and which employees work on all utilities. Salaries for employees that work on more than one company were allocated based on different factors such as ERCs for all companies for Chicago employees, ERCs for Florida and Louisiana for UIF employees and time for plant employees. Benefits and the vehicles associated with each employee were allocated using the same factors as used for salary. They made a proforma adjustment in the filing for the difference between what was arrived at on this schedule and what was booked. The utility had also made an adjustment to the filing to pension and benefits to correct the original allocation made in the books to the ERC methodology required by the Commission. However, this was already taken into consideration in the recalculation of benefits done in the proforma adjustment. There was a difference in the final numbers as follows:

	Tierra Verde (\$)	Mid-County (\$)	Labrador (\$)	Eagle Ridge (\$)
Per Filing:				
Test Year per General ledger	5,591.00	47,900.00	21,663.00	51,267.00
Proforma for ERC's	458.00	136.00	22.00	(140.00)
Proforma for Salary Adjustment	14,525.00	14,330.00	180.00	(1,418.00)
	20,574.00	62,366.00	21,865.00	49,709.00
Revised pension and benefits per co adj. summary	19,881.00	61,221.00	21,916.00	48,976.00
Difference-needs reduction (increase)	693.00	1,145.00	(51.00)	733.00

EFFECT ON THE GENERAL LEDGER: There is no effect on the ledger.

EFFECT ON THE FILING: Pension and benefits should be reduced by \$693 for Tierra Verde, \$1,145 for Mid-County, and \$733 for Eagle Ridge. Labrador should be increased by \$51.

SUBJECT: MID-COUNTY VEHICLE ERROR

AUDIT ANALYSIS: In preparing an adjustment to the filing for vehicles, the utility left off a vehicle for an operator at the Mid-County plant. The operator works 100% of his time on Mid-County. The vehicle cost was \$18,392. The filing includes the depreciation and the accumulated depreciation but not the vehicle cost.

EFFECT ON THE GENERAL LEDGER: There is no effect on the ledger.

EFFECT ON THE FILING: Since the adjustment should be made in each month, 13-Month Average Rate Base for Mid-County should be increased by \$18,392 for this vehicle.

SUBJECT: TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE

AUDIT ANALYSIS: Transportation expense is supposed to be allocated from WSC and the Florida headquarters in Altamonte Springs to each utility. We determined that there were direct charges to some of the divisions but all costs should have been allocated according to the company methodology. In addition, we determined the company did not include the Florida transportation expenses in the UIF allocation made. Because of these errors, the company representative did an analysis to determine an average cost per vehicle and then allocated the cost per vehicle to the divisions based on the percent each employee's vehicle is allocated to the division. The analysis follows:

<u>Vehicle</u>	Description	Expense for 2007	Vehicles in Fl	2007 Expense per Vehicle
	FLEET TRANSPORTATION EX			2.076.42
6215	FUEL	294,851.32	142	2,076.42
6220	AUTO REPAIR/TIRES	120,626.82	142	849.48
6225	AUTO LICENSES	4,378.16	142	30.83
6230	OTHER TRANS EXPENSES	5,469.96	142	38.52
	FLEET TRANSPORTATION	425,326.26	142	2,995.26
	Number of Vehicles assigned to Sta	ate of Florida	142	
Tierra Verde U	tilities, Inc.			
Vehicles	Driver	% Used in Tierra Verde	Exp. Per Veh.	% Allocated to Tierra Verde
0461	Buono, Robert	41.88%	2,995	1,254
	Durham, Rick	2.33%	2,995	70
	Flynn, Patrick	2.88%		86
	Franklin, Kennedy	16.83%		504
	Haws, Scotty	2.33%		70
	Neal, William	12.02%		360
	Worrell, David	13.34%		400
	Yount, Darrin	0.83%	,	25
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		· •	2,769
1	Per filing		=	191
	Increase needed		-	2,578
Mid-County Se			-	
Vehicles	Driver	% Used in Mid-County	Exp. Per Veh.	% Allocated to Mid-County
0461	Buono, Robert	58.12%	2,995	1,741
0650	Durham, Rick	3.23%	2,995	97
0637	Finehirsh, Jeffrey	100.00%	2,995	2,995
	Flynn, Patrick	3.99%	2,995	120
	Franklin, Kennedy	23.36%	2,995	700
V020 1	Franklin, Kennedy Gunther, Matthew	23.36% 100.00%	2,995 2,995	700 2,995
	Gunther, Matthew			
0729		100.00%	2,995	2,995
0729 1 0825 1	Gunther, Matthew Haws, Scotty	100.00% 3.23%	2,995 2,995	2,995 97
0729 1 0825 1 0705 5	Gunther, Matthew Haws, Scotty Neal, William	100.00% 3.23% 16.69%	2,995 2,995 2,995	2,995 97 500
0729 1 0825 1 0705 5 0453 1	Gunther, Matthew Haws, Scotty Neal, William Szczepkowski, Stephen	100.00% 3.23% 16.69% 100.00%	2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995	2,995 97 500 2,995
0729 1 0825 1 0705 5 0453 1 0728 1	Gunther, Matthew Haws, Scotty Neal, William Szczepkowski, Stephen Wierzbicki, Anthony	100.00% 3.23% 16.69% 100.00% 17.10%	2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995	2,995 97 500 2,995 512
0729 1 0825 1 0705 5 0453 1 0728 1	Gunther, Matthew Haws, Scotty Neal, William Szczepkowski, Stephen Wierzbicki, Anthony Wilson, Michael	100.00% 3.23% 16.69% 100.00% 17.10% 11.53%	2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995	2,995 97 500 2,995 512 345
0729 1 0825 1 0705 5 0453 1 0728 1	Gunther, Matthew Haws, Scotty Neal, William Szczepkowski, Stephen Wierzbicki, Anthony Wilson, Michael Worrell, David	100.00% 3.23% 16.69% 100.00% 17.10% 11.53% 18.52%	2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995	2,995 97 500 2,995 512 345 555
0729 1 0825 1 0705 5 0453 7 0728 7 0436 7 0701 7	Gunther, Matthew Haws, Scotty Neal, William Szczepkowski, Stephen Wierzbicki, Anthony Wilson, Michael Worrell, David	100.00% 3.23% 16.69% 100.00% 17.10% 11.53% 18.52%	2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995	2,995 97 500 2,995 512 345 555 35
0729 1 0825 1 0705 5 0453 7 0728 7 0436 7 0701 7	Gunther, Matthew Haws, Scotty Neal, William Szczepkowski, Stephen Wierzbicki, Anthony Wilson, Michael Worrell, David Yount, Darrin	100.00% 3.23% 16.69% 100.00% 17.10% 11.53% 18.52%	2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995 2,995	2,995 97 500 2,995 512 345 555 35

Labrador Util	lities, Inc.			
Vehicles	Driver	% Used in Labrador	Expense per Vehicle	% Alloc. to Labrador
080	3 Chard, Ronald	8.21%	2,99:	5 246
065	0 Durham, Rick	1.51%	2,99:	5 45
051	2 Flynn, Patrick	1.87%	2,99	5 56
030-	4 Franklin, Kennedy	10.91%	2,99:	327
0729	9 Haws, Scotty	1.51%	2,99:	5 45
082.	5 Neal, William	7.80%	2,995	5 234
072	8 Wilson, Michael	5.39%	2,99:	5 161
043	6 Worrell, David	8.65%	2,995	5 259
042	7 Wright, Jason	100.00%	2,995	5 2,995
070	1 Yount, Darrin	0.54%	2,995	
				4,385
	Per filing			5,592
	Decrease needed			(1,207)
Utilities, Inc.	of Eagle Ridge			
				% Alloc, to
Vehicles	Driver	% Used in Eagle Ridge	Expense per Vehicle	Eagle Ridge
0310	0 Devers, Joe	100.00%	2,995	2,995
0303	3 Dodd, Donald	100.00%	2,995	5 2,995
0650	0 Durham, Rick	2.43%	2,995	73
0512	2 Flynn, Patrick	3.01%	2,995	5 90
042.	3 Garcia Jr, Ismael	100.00%	2,995	2,995
0729	9 Haws, Scotty	2.43%	2,995	73
0609	9 Stewart, Malcolm	26.39%	2,995	791
0453	3 Wierzbicki, Anthony	12.88%	2,995	386
0728	8 Wilson, Michael	8.68%	2,995	260
070	l Yount, Darrin	0.87%	2,995	
				10,684
	Per Filing			14,830
	Decrease needed			(4,146)

EFFECT ON THE GENERAL LEDGER: There is no effect on the ledger.

EFFECT ON THE FILING: Expenses should be reduced for Eagle Ridge by \$4,146 and for Labrador by \$1,207. Expenses should be increased for Mid-County \$3,305 and for Tierra Verde by \$2,578.