12/3/20088:55:14 AM1age 1 of 1

Ruth Nettles

From:	Tibbetts, Arlene [Arlene.Tibbetts@pgnmail.com]
Sent:	Wednesday, December 03, 2008 8:05 AM
То:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc:	Kelly.JR@leg.state.fl.us; mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us; Lisa Bennett; Keino Young
Subject:	Docket 070703 Filing: PEF Objections to OPC's 3rd Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 9-23)
Attachments	: Objections to OPC's 3rd ROGs (Nos. 9-23).pdf

This electronic filing is made by:

John Burnett P.O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733 727-820-5184 John.Burnett@pgnmail.com

Docket: 070703-El

In re: Review of coal costs for Progress Energy Florida's Crystal River Units 4 and 5 for 2006 and 2007

On behalf of Progress Energy Florida

Consisting of 3 pages

The attached document for filing is PEF's Objections to OPC's Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 9-23)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Review of coal costs for Progress Energy Florida's Crystal River Units 4 and 5 for 2006 and 2007

Docket No. 070703-EI

December 3, 2008

PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO OPC'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 9-23)

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure in this matter, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to the Office of Public Counsel's ("OPC's") Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 9-23) and states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

With respect to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" in OPC's Third Set of Interrogatories, PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not with any of OPC's definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules. Furthermore, PEF objects to any interrogatory that calls for PEF to create data or information that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under the applicable rules and law.

PEF objects to any definition or interrogatory that seeks to encompass persons or entities who are not parties to this action or that are not subject to discovery under applicable rules.

1

PEF also objects to any Interrogatory or Request for Production that purports to require

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 1 1 1 7 DEC -3 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK PEF or its experts to prepare studies, analyses, or to do work for OPC that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to OPC's interrogatories to the extent that they call for data or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law.

PEF also objects to any attempt by OPC to evade the numerical limitations set on interrogatories in the Order Establishing Procedure by asking multiple independent questions within single individual questions and subparts.

Finally, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to OPC's Interrogatories if PEF cannot locate the answers immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive information in the course of this proceeding.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to OPC's discovery at the time PEF's response is due.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

Interrogatory 18: PEF objects to this interrogatory to the extent it attempts to elicit information regarding coal deliveries to Crystal River for units other than Crystal River Units 4 and 5. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will answer the question as it relates to coal deliveries to Crystal River Units 4 and 5 in 2006 and 2007.

Interrogatory 20: PEF objects to this interrogatory to the extent it attempts to elicit information regarding coal deliveries to PEF for units other than Crystal River Units 4 and 5.

Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will answer the question as it relates to coal deliveries to Crystal River Units 4 and 5 in 2006 and 2007.

John/Y. Burnett Associate General Counsel PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042 Telephone: (727) 820-5587 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Objections to Citizens Third Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 9-23), in Docket No. 070703-EI has been furnished by regular U.S. mail to the following this 344 day of December, 2008.

Attorney

Keino Young Lisa Bennett, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 J. R. Kelly, Esq. Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399