BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for increase in rates By Progress Energy Florida

DOCKET NO. 090079-EI

Submitted for filing: March 27, 2009

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S NOTICE OF FILING

Progress Energy Florida, Inc., by and through its undersigned counsel, gives notice of

filing supplemental MFR schedules for 2009 as follows:

- 1. B-5;
- 2. B-13;
- 3. B-21; and
- F-8.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Alexander Glenn alex.glenn@pgnmail.com John T. Burnett john.burnett@pgnmail.com Progress Energy Service Company, LLC 299 First Avenue North P.O.Box 14042 (33733) St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 (727) 820-5184

mwalls@carltonfields.com Florida Bar No. 0706242 Dianne M. Triplett dtriplett@carltonfields.com Florida Bar No. 0872431 Matthew Bernier mbernier@carltonfields.com Florida Bar No. 059886 Carlton Fields 4221 W. Boy Scout Boulevard

P.O. Box 3239 Tampa, Florida 33607-5736

(813) 223-7000 / (813) 229-4133 (fax)

Richard Melson rick@rmelsonlaw.com Florida Bar No. 0201243 705 Piedmont Drive Tallahassee, FL 32312 (850) 894-1351

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02758 MAR 27 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

COM Paul lewisjr@pgnmail.com R Progress Energy Service Company, LLC CL3106 East College Avenue GPC Suite 800 RCP Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(727) 820-5249(fax)

Paul Lewis, Jr.

(850) 222-8738 / (850) 222-9768 (fax) SSC I

SGA

ADM

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served via U.S. Mail to the following counsel of record as indicated below on this <u>27th</u> day of March, 2009.

ane M. Tiplettens

Katherine Fleming Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32399

Bill McCollum/Cecilia Bradley Office of the Attorney General The Capitol – PL01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

James W. Brew/Alvin Taylor Brickfield Law Firm 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, 8th Fl Washington, D.C. 20007 J.R. Kelly/Charles Rehwinkle Office of the Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street – Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Vicki G. Kaufman/Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Keefe Law Firm, The Perkins House 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

| 000 | | | |
|---------|-----|-------|-----|
| - C - H | - 1 | 11 14 | B-5 |
| | | | |

DETAIL OF CHANGES IN RATE BASE

Page 1 of 1

| FLOR | IDA PUBLIC | SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | | | all changes in rate base primar | | , |
|----------|-------------|--|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|---|---|------------------|
| C | DDOOF | SECO ENERGY ELOPIDA INC | | | | rcent (.0005) of total rate base to the prior year. Quantify each | | 1/2010 |
| Comp | any: Phour | RESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | reason for the chang | - | to the prior year. Quantity each | ········· | 1/2009 1/2008 |
| Docke | t No: 09007 | 9-EI | | reason for the entiry | (Thousands) | | | oomey |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | |
| | | | Test Year | Prior Year | Increase/(D | Percent | | |
| Line | Account | Account | Ended | Ended | (C)-(D) | (E)/((D) | Reason(s) for Change | |
| No. | Number | Name | 12/31/2009 | 12/31/2008 | (000) | (%) | ricusorias for Charigo | |
| 1 1 | 110111001 | 1.743) (19 | 10011200 | | (3-27 | | | |
| 2 | 101 | Electric Plant in Service | 12,692,831 | 10,425,673 | 2,267,158 | 21.75% | Increase is due to the following projects going in service in 2009: Cry | stal |
| 3 | | | | | | | River 5 Clean Air assets, Crystal River 3 Steam Generator Replacem | ent, |
| 4 | | | | | | | Bartow Repower, and various Transmission and Distribution projects. | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 107 | Total Constr Work in Progress | 852,637 | 2,075,357 | (1,222,720) | -58.92% | Increase is due to EPIS projects coming in service in 2009 for Crysta | |
| 8 | | | | | | | 5 Clean Air assets, Crystal River 3 Steam Generator Replacement, B Repower, and various Transmission and Distribution projects, noted in | |
| 9 | | | | | | | explanation of 101 account. These reductions to CWIP are offset by | • |
| 10 11 | | | | | | | additional expenditures in 2009 related to Crystal River 3 Uprate (201 | 10 in |
| 12 | | | | | | | service date), and 2010 portion of CAIR assets at CR 4. | 4 117 |
| 13 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 411 | Accum Prov for Amortization | (184,565) | (122,980) | (61,585) | 50.08% | Increase is due to reflecting Nuclear Decommissioning provision in ad | count |
| 16 | | | | | | | 111 rather than 108 beginning Jan 2009. | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 120 | Net Nuclear Fuel | 159,832 | 106,080 | 53,752 | 50.67% | Increase is to provide working stock and protection against | |
| 19 | | | | | | | supply interruption. | |
| 20 | | | 040.000 | 007.045 | 64 640 | 19.00% | thereach is due to reach while colleted to make through alouer versus. | -1 |
| 21 | 142 | Customer Accounts Receivable | 342,293 | 287,645 | 54,648 | 19.00% | Increase is due to receivables related to pass-through clause recover | 162. |
| 22 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 143 | Other Accounts Receivable | 29,129 | 22,774 | 6,355 | 27.90% | Increase is due to customer advances on construction of Levy | |
| 25 | 170 | Ogior Proposition (Coortage) | | , | -, | | Nuclear Plants. | |
| 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | 174 | Miscellaneous Current & Accrued Assets | 100,100 | 335,100 | (235,000) | -70.13% | Decrease is due to a reduction in the derivative collateral | |
| 28 | | | | | | | receivable, which is based on an expectation that less cash will | |
| 29 | | | | | | | be needed to cover margin differences related to hedging activities | |
| 30 | | | | | | | in 2009 than in 2008. | |
| 31 | | - | 00.404 | /OT 406\ | en non | 105 210 | Ingrange in due to debit (nagotive) belones in 2000 for increase | |
| 32 | 236 | Taxes Accrued | 23,124 | (27,105) | 50,230 | 185.31% | Increase is due to debit (negative) balance in 2008 for Income tax payments in excess of current year accrual for 2008. Estimated tax | |
| 33 | | | | | | | payments are made based on prescribed income tax rules. The excess | ss tay |
| 34 35 | | | | | | | payments are trued up in the following year to actual liability. | or lun |
| 36 | | | | | | | , | |
| - | | | | | | | DAT: | |

Supporting Schedules: B-3

Recap Schedules:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

| | ULE B-13 | ERVICE COMMISSION | | Cualanaifan | CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS | | | | | | Page 1 of 2 Type of Data Shown: | | | |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|
| rlonio: | A PUBLIC SI | EUAICE COMMISSION | | Explanation: | For each major construction project whose cost of completion exceeds 0.2 percent (.002) of gross plant, and for smaller projects | | | | | | Type of Data S | hown: | | |
| Compani | v PROGRE | SS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | | - | ory shown taken as a | - | | | | Decimated Tool | V C | 40/m+10040 | |
| Company | y. 1 110011L | SO ESTERIOT FEORIDATINO. | | | - · · · · · - | ncerning projects for | | | | | Projected Test Prior Year End | | 12/31/2010 12/31/2009 | |
| Docket N | cket No. 090079-El | | | | requestos cara se | ricaring projects res | ing toot your. | | | | Historical Test | | 12/31/2008 | |
| | | | | | 6 | | | | | | Witness: | | | |
| | / AX | | <i>(</i> 0) | (0) | (Thousands) | , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | (0) | A B | | | | k/Young/Joyner/(| | |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) Year End | (D) | (E) Total | (F) | (G) | (H) | (I) Daniera | (J) | (K) | (L) | (M) | |
| Line | Designet | Decinat | CWIP | Estimated Additional | Cost of | Initial Project Budget Per | Date Construction | Expected Completion | Percent | Amount of AFUDC | 13 Month | fi. a F. a f a f | 1 | |
| No. | Project No. | Project Description | Balance | Project Costs | Completion | Construction Bid | Started | Date | Complete (C)/(E) | | Average | Jurisdictional | | |
| 1 | 140. | Description | Davarico | Project Costs | Compensi | CONSTRUCTION DIG | Started | Date | (O)(E) | Charged | Balance | Factor | Amount | |
| 2 | | STEAM PRODUCTION | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | Major Projects: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | PEF CR4 Electrostatic Precipitator | 42,560 | 19,896 | 62,455 | 32,101 | July 2007 | June 2010 | 68.1% | 1,233 | 24,691 | | | |
| 5 | | PEF CR5 Electrostatic Precipitator | 0 | | 51,398 | | July 2007 | May 2009 | 100.0% | 1,015 | 11,019 | | | |
| 6 | | | _ | _ | -,,,, | | , | · | | ., | , | | | |
| 7 | | Major Projects - Clause-ECRC | 263,863 | | | | | | | 72,335 | 847,224 | | | |
| 8 | | • | | | | | | | | , | ŕ | | | |
| 9 | | Minor Projects: | 25,293 | | | | | | | | 29,745 | | | |
| 10 | | Total Steam Projects | 331,716 | 19,896 | 113,853 | 73,558 | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | 74,584 | 912,680 | • | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | NUCLEAR PRODUCTION | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | Major Projects: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | CR3 Steam Generator Replacement | 0 | 0 | 298,931 | 282,000 | Jan 2006 | Dec 2009 | 100.0% | 16,258 | 173,417 | | | |
| 15 | | CR3 Spent Fuel Dry Storage | 20,131 | 67,205 | 87,336 | 91,960 | Oct 2008 | June 2012 | 23.0% | 654 | 10,525 | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | Major Projects - Clause-Nuclear | 274,612 | | | | | | | 2,521 | 165,458 | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | Minor Projects: | 17,434 | | | | | | | | 60,033 | | | |
| 20 | | Total Nuclear Projects | 312,178 | 67,205 | 386,268 | 373,960 | | | | 19,433 | 409,432 | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | HYDRAULIC PRODUCTION | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | None | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | OTHER PROPILETION | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | OTHER PRODUCTION | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | Major Projects: | | | 64E 004 | E20 000 | April 2006 | June 2009 | 100.0% | 00.000 | nze ici | | | |
| 27 | | Bartow Repower | 0 | 0 | 645,981 | 539,900 | April 2006 | JUNE 2009 | 100.0% | 26,968 | 276,461 | | | |
| 28 29 | | Minor Projects: | 4,028 | | | | | | | | 4,348 | | | |
| 30 | | Total Other Projects | 4,028 | | 645,981 | 539,900 | ************************************** | | | 26,968 | 280,809 | | | |
| 31 | | rotal Stiller Frejeste | 7,000 | | 010,001 | 363,300 | | | | 20,500 | 200,003 | | | |
| | ng Schedule | | | | | | | | | | | Recap Schedu | last | |

| FLORIDA F | UBLIC SE | RVICE COMMISSION | | Explanation: | For each major co | nstruction project wh | ose cost of cor | npletion | | | Type of Data S | hown: | |
|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | | | | | exceeds 0.2 perce | nt (.002) of gross pla | nt, and for sma | aller projects | | | Projected Test | Year Ended | 12/31/2010 |
| Company: I | PROGRES | S ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | | within each catego | ry shown taken as a | group, provide | the | | XX | Prior Year End | ed | 12/31/2009 |
| | | | | | requested data co | ncerning projects for | the test year. | | | | Historical Test | Year Ended | 12/31/2008 |
| Docket No. | 090079-E | ii e | | | | | | | | | Witness: | | |
| | | | | | (Thousands) | | | | | | Toomey/Sorrici | vYoung/Joyner/0 | Oliver/Slusser |
| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | (E) | (F) | (G) | (H) | (1) | (J) | (K) | (L) | (M) |
| | | | Year End | Estimated | Total | Initial Project | Date | Expected | Percent | Amount of | 13 Month | | |
| Lin e | Project | Project | CWIP | Additional | Cost of | Budget Per | Construction | | Complete | AFUDC | Average | Jurisdictional | Jurisdictional |
| No. | No. | Description | Balance | Project Costs | Completion | Construction Bid | Started | Date | (C)(E) | Charged | Balance | Factor | Amount |
| 1 | | TO ANICH ICCION OF ANT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | TRANSMISSION PLANT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | Major Projects: | . ^ | | 154,202 | 140 100 | April 2007 | June 2009 | 100.0% | 2,136 | 06 707 | | |
| 4 | | Bartow Repowe | r 0 | 0 | 154,202 | 142,100 | April 2007 | Julie 2009 | 100.076 | 2,130 | 25,787 | | |
| 5 | | Major Projects - Clause Musicar | 53,198 | ı | | | | | | 346 | 31,261 | | |
| 6 7 | | Major Projects - Clause-Nuclear | 33,130 | l | | | | | | ,,40 | 31,201 | | |
| 8 | | Minor Projects: | 87,929 | 1 | | | | | | 1,593 | 84,176 | | |
| 9 | | WHIOI FIGGELS. | 41,01.0 | | | | | | | 1,000 | 51,110 | • | |
| 10 | | Total Transmission Projects | 141,126 | . 0 | 154,202 | 142,100 | | | | 4,075 | 141,224 | | |
| 11 | | rotal Hallstingston's rojova | 171,120 | • | 10.11.02 | , | | | | 7,4.4 | * * * / * | | |
| 12 | | DISTRIBUTION PLANT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | Major Projects: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | Non | 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | Minor Projects: | 26,740 |) | | | | | | | 35,703 | | |
| 17 | | Total Distribution Projects | 26,740 | | | | | | | | 35,703 | • | |
| 18 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | GENERAL PLANT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | Major Projects: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | Non | 9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | Minor Projects: | 20,501 | | | | | | | | 14,422 | | |
| 24 | | Total General Projects | 20,501 | | | | | | | | 14,422 | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | OTHER PLANT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | Major Projects: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | Non | 9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | | | | | | 40.00 | | |
| 30 | | Minor Projects: | 16,349 | | | | | | | | 12,801 | | |
| 31 | | Total Other Projects | 16,349 | } | | | | | | | 12,801 | | |
| 32 | | TOTAL SHART | 000 000 | 7 67 164 | 1 000 000 | 1 100 510 | w | | | 125,060 | 1,807,071 | | |
| 33 | | TOTAL PLANT | 852,637 | 7 87,101 | 1,300,303 | 1,129,518 | | | | 120,000 | 1,007,071 | : | |

| LOIMONI | UBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | | Explanation: | Provide a schedu amounts accrued | | | | | | Type of Data | a Shown: | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Company: F | PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | amounts accrued and charged to the provision account balances, for the last calendar year and test year. Indicate desired reserve balances and basis for determining desired balances. | | | | | XX | Projected Te | est Year Ended Inded | 12/31/2010 12/31/2009 | |
| Oocket No. | 090079-EI | | | (Thousands) | | | | | | | est Year Ended Toomey | |
| Line | | | (B) Reserve Balance Beg | | (D) Amount Charged to | (E) Net Fund Income | (F) Reserve Balance End | (G) Description | (H) | (I) Charged to Operating | | (K) |
| No. | | Year | of Period | Accrual | Reserve | After Taxes | of Period | of Charge | | Expenses | | |
| 1 2 3 | 228.1 Accumulated Provision Property Insurance 228.1 Retail Unfunded Storm Damage | 12/31/2009 | \$ 138,840 | \$ 12,805 | | | \$ 151,646 S | ee Account Description | i | \$ 12,805 | j. | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | | Pusuant to F to a storm da | PSC Order N mage reserve | o. PSC-94-0852-F e and may defer lo | OF-EI, Progr sses in exces | ess Energy Fl s of the reser | orida is accruing ve. The amoun | es against loss due to st \$6 million (system), \$5 f accrued in 2009 also in 0772-PAA-EI in Docket | .6 millio nclude | on (retail), and s accrued inte | nually | ers. |
| 9 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 13 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 | 228.2 Accumulated Provision for Injuries & Damages 228.22 Worker's Comp | 12/31/2009 | \$ 16,377 | | | | | ee Account Description | | \$ - | | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 228.22 Worker's Comp 228.26 Claim Reserve | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 | 3,271 | | \$ 0 | \$0 | 3,271 | ee Account Description | | \$ - - \$ - | _ | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 | 228.22 Worker's Comp | 12/31/2009 | 3,271 \$ 19,648 nce: The des | \$ 0 | \$ 0 Workman's C | \$ 0 ompensation | 3,271 \$ 19,648 | ee Account Description • sed on estimated liabilit | | \$ - \$ - | = ncurred claims. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 228.22 Worker's Comp 228.26 Claim Reserve | 12/31/2009 Desired Bala | 3,271 \$ 19,648 nce: The des | \$ 0 | | | 3,271 \$ 19,648 | • ' | | \$ - \$ - ecciated with in | — = ncurred claims. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | 228.22 Worker's Comp 228.26 Claim Reserve | 12/31/2009 Desired Bala | 3,271 \$ 19,648 nce: The des | \$ 0 | | | 3,271 \$ 19,648 | • ' | | \$ - \$ - sociated with in | — = ncurred claims. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | 228.22 Worker's Comp 228.26 Claim Reserve | 12/31/2009 Desired Bala | 3,271 \$ 19,648 nce: The des | \$ 0 | | | 3,271 \$ 19,648 | • ' | | \$ - \$ - sociated with in | = ncurred claims. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | 228.22 Worker's Comp 228.26 Claim Reserve | 12/31/2009 Desired Bala | 3,271 \$ 19,648 nce: The des | \$ 0 | | | 3,271 \$ 19,648 | • ' | | \$ - sociated with in | = ncurred claims. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | 228.22 Worker's Comp 228.26 Claim Reserve | 12/31/2009 Desired Bala | 3,271 \$ 19,648 nce: The des | \$ 0 | | | 3,271 \$ 19,648 | • ' | | \$ - \$ - sociated with in | – = ncurred claims. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | 228.22 Worker's Comp 228.26 Claim Reserve | 12/31/2009 Desired Bala | 3,271 \$ 19,648 nce: The des | \$ 0 | | | 3,271 \$ 19,648 | • ' | | \$ - \$ - sociated with in | = ncurred claims. | |

| ompany: | PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | Explanation: Provide a schedule of amounts charged to operating expenses, and the amounts accrued and charged to the provision account balances, for the last calendar year and test year. Indicate desired reserve balances and | | | | | | Type of Data Show Projected Test Yea | | 12/31/2010 | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|------------|-----|
| ocket No | o. 090079-EI | basis for determining desired balances. (Thousands) | | | | | XX Prior Year Ended Historical Test Year Ended Witness: Toomey | | 12/31/2009 12/31/2008 | | | |
| Line | | (A) | (B) Reserve Balance Beg | (C) Current Annual | (D) Amount Charged to | (E) Net Fund Income | (F) Reserve Balance End | (G) Description | (H) | (I) Charged to Operating | (J) | (K) |
| No. | | Year | of Period | Accrual | Reserve | After Taxes | of Period | of Charge | | Expenses | | |
| | 000 0 Assumption of Description for Description & Description | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 | 228.3 Accumulated Provision for Pension & Benefits 228.3141 Med/Life Res Postemp - Retail | 12/31/2009 | \$ 223,719 | \$ 6,257 | | | \$ 220 076 | See Account Des | crintian | \$ 6,257 | | |
| 3 | 228.314 Med/Life Res Postemp - Wholesale | 12/31/2009 | (8,983) | ψ O _I LO? | | | (8,983) | " | cipion | 4 0,257 | | |
| 4 | 228.314 Funded Med/Life Res Postemp - Wholesale | 12/31/2009 | 6,770 | | | | 6,770 | | | 0 | | |
| 5 | 228.315 Pension Liability | 12/31/2009 | 227,777 | 35,047 | 63,729 | | 199,094 | • | | 37,647 | | |
| 6 | 228.35 Employee Beneifts | 12/31/2009 | 8,226 | | | | 8,226 | • | | 0 | | |
| 7. | Total 228.3 | | \$ 457,509 | \$ 41,303 | \$ 63,729 | \$0 | \$ 435,084 | • | - | \$ 43,903 | • | |
| 8 | | , | | | | | | | - | | - | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | nd dental reserves | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | retiree medic | al and life reser | res are based o | on the FAS 106 | actuarial valua | ation which takes i | nto account numi | ber of indi | viduals eligible for | r the | |
| 11 12 | | | | | | | ation which takes in The accrued Pensi | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | ation which takes in The accrued Pensi | | | | | |
| 12 | 228.4 Accumulated Misc Operating Provision | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 13 | 228.4 Accumulated Misc Operating Provision 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel | | ie expectancy, p | | | | The accrued Pensi | | sed on the | | | |
| 12 13 14 | | plans, their lif | ie expectancy, p | er capita claim: | | | The accrued Pensi | on Liability is bas | sed on the | FAS 87 actuarial | | |
| 12 13 14 15 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel | plans, their lif 12/31/2009 | e expectancy, p \$8,800 | er capita claim: \$ 1,100 | | | The accrued Pensi \$ 9,900 | on Liability is bas | sed on the | FAS 87 actuarial | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S | plans, their lif 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 | e expectancy, p \$ 8,800 12,000 | er capita claim: \$ 1,100 1,500 | | | The accrued Pensi \$ 9,900 | on Liability is bas | sed on the | FAS 87 actuarial \$ 1,100 1,500 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage | plans, their lif 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 | er capita claim: \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) | | | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 | on Liability is bas | sed on the | FAS 87 actuarial \$ 1,100 1,500 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Delerred Compensation | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 | \$ 1,100 \$ 1,500 (14,076) 0 | s costs and cost | | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 - 34,036 | on Liability is bas | sed on the | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) - 15,142 1,102 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 | s costs and cost | | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 - 34,036 37,139 | on Liability is bas | sed on the | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 | s costs and cost | trend rates. | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 - 34,036 37,139 3,639 | on Liability is bas | sed on the | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) - 15,142 1,102 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 | s costs and cost | trend rates. | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 - 34,036 37,139 3,639 | on Liability is bas | sed on the | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) - 15,142 1,102 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 | s costs and cost | trend rates. | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 - 34,036 37,139 3,639 | on Liability is bas | sed on the | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) - 15,142 1,102 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 \$ 108,590 | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 | 15,142 \$ 0 | trend rates. T | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 - 34,036 37,139 3,639 | ion Liability is bas See Account Des | eed on the | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 Desired Bala | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 \$ 108,590 | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 | s costs and cost 15,142 \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 \$ 34,036 \$ 37,139 \$ 3,639 \$ 98,215 | ion Liability is bas Gee Account Des | eed on the | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 Desired Balar The total cost | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 \$ 108,590 | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 | 15,142 \$ 0 e nuclear outag of the refueling | \$ 0 | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 \$ 34,036 \$ 37,139 \$ 3,639 \$ 98,215 | ion Liability is based. Gee Account Des | eed on the cription | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) - 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 Desired Balar The total cost | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 \$ 108,590 nce: The desire t is accrued over | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 d balance in the | 15,142 \$ 0 e nuclear outag of the refueling | \$ 0 a reserve refleoutage, appronuctear materi | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 \$ 34,036 37,139 3,639 \$ 98,215 | ion Liability is based Gee Account Des | eed on the cription | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) - 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 Desired Balar The total cost | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 \$ 108,590 nce: The desire tis accrued over or the last core reich were approv | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 d balance in the rive cycle nuclear fuel and ed in Order # F | 15,142 \$ 0 e nuclear outag of the refueling | \$ 0 a reserve refleoutage, appronuctear materi | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 \$ 34,036 \$ 37,139 \$ 3,639 \$ 98,215 | ion Liability is based Gee Account Des | eed on the cription | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) - 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 Desired Balar The total cost | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 \$ 108,590 nce: The desire t is accrued over | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 d balance in the rive cycle nuclear fuel and ed in Order # F | 15,142 \$ 0 e nuclear outag of the refueling | \$ 0 a reserve refleoutage, appronuctear materi | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 \$ 34,036 37,139 3,639 \$ 98,215 | ion Liability is based Gee Account Des | eed on the cription | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) - 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | plans, their life 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 The total cost The accrual fexpenses who of the Crystal | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 \$ 108,590 The desire t is accrued over the last core r ich were approved River Nuclear fr | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 d balance in the rithe live cycle nuclear fuel and ed in Order # Facility. | 15,142 \$ 0 e nuclear outag of the refueling the end of life PSC-05-0945-S- | \$ 0 e reserve refleoutage, appronuctear materials. The total of | \$ 9,900 S 13,500 - 34,036 37,139 3,639 \$ 98,215 | ion Liability is based See Account Des | cription cription cription | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) - 15,142 1,102 \$ 4,768 d during the outag | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | plans, their life 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 Desired Balar The total cost The accrual fexpenses who of the Crystal The balance | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 \$ 108,590 The desire t is accrued over the last core in the last | \$1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 \$4,768 d balance in the rithe live cycle nuclear fuel and ed in Order # Facility. | 15,142 \$ 0 e nuclear outag of the refueling the end of life PSC-05-0945-S- | \$ 0 e reserve refleoutage, appronuctear material. The total of the control of the | \$ 9,900 \$ 13,500 \$ 34,036 \$ 37,139 \$ 3,639 \$ 98,215 \$ acts the incrementa ximately 24 month als and supplies ir and of life cost will the estimated liabilithe estimated liabilithe stimated liabilithes stimated li | ion Liability is based See Account Des al cost for the work is. niventory is based be accrued over | ed on the cription | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) | valuation. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 | 228.4021 Last Core Nuclear Fuel 228.4022 EOL Nuclear M&S 228.4024-5 Nuclear Refuel Outage 228.44 Deferred Compensation 228.48 Environmental 228.4 Miscellaneous Operating Reserves | plans, their life 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 12/31/2009 Desired Balar The total cost The accrual fexpenses who of the Crystal The balance | \$ 8,800 12,000 14,076 34,036 37,139 2,538 \$ 108,590 The desire t is accrued over the last core in the last | \$1,100 1,500 (14,076) 0 15,142 1,102 \$4,768 d balance in the rithe live cycle nuclear fuel and ed in Order # Facility. | 15,142 \$ 0 e nuclear outag of the refueling the end of life PSC-05-0945-S- | \$ 0 e reserve refleoutage, appronuctear material. The total of the control of the | \$ 9,900 S 13,500 - 34,036 37,139 3,639 \$ 98,215 | ion Liability is based See Account Des al cost for the work is. niventory is based be accrued over | ed on the cription | \$ 1,100 1,500 (14,076) | valuation. | |

| Schedu | |
|--------|--|
| | |
| | |

ASSUMPTIONS

Page 1 of 24

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | r a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in veloping projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | Type of Data Shown: |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| OMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | veloping projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state sumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| | | ecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | Witness: Toomey |
| ine | | | |
| ło. 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| .8 | | Witness | Page |
| 9 | | | The Contract of the Contract o |
| 10 | I. GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS | Toomey | 2 |
| 11 | | , | - |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | II. OPERATING ASSUMPTIONS | Toomey | 7 |
| 14 | | · | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | III. CONSTRUCTION BUDGET ASSUM | IONS Toomey | 16 |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | IV. BALANCE SHEET ASSUMPTIONS | Toomey | 18 |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| upporting Schedules: | | | Recap Schedules: |

| Sched | ule F-8 | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 2 of 24 |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| FLORI | DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | Type of Data Shown: |
| COMP | ANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| | ET NO: 090079-EI | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey |
| Line No. | | | I. General Assumptions | |
| 1 <u>F</u> (| ORECAST ASSUMPTIONS - CUSTOMER, ENERGY & I | DEMAND FORECAST | | |
| 3 4 5 | Normal weather conditions are assumed over the fore peak demand projections are based on a thirty year h | ecast horizon. For kilowa istorical average of syste | tt-hour sales projections normal weather is based on a historical thirty year average of sem-weighted temperatures at time of seasonal peak. | service area weighted billing month degree days. Monthly coincident |
| 6 7 8 9 | The population projections produced by the Bureau of of the customer forecast. State and national economic | f Economic and Business ic assumptions produced | s Research (BEBR) at the University of Florida as published in "Florida Population Studi by Economy.Com in their national and Florida forecasts (September 2008) are also inc | es" Bulletin No. 150 (March 2008) provide the basis for development opporated. |
| 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | customers mine and process phosphate-based fertiliz national/international agricultural industry conditions, of which are heavily influenced by these global as well a better market conditions. In addition, a weaker U.S. or production at home. The demand for corn-based ethal more price competitive relative to foreign producers. | rer products for the globa exchange-rate fluctuation is the local conditions. Al urrency value on the forei anol has also increased fa Going forward, energy co roducing phosphoric fertil | tector in the industrial sales class. Four (4) major customers accounted for 32 percent of il marketplace. The supply and demand for their products are dictated by global conditions, and international trade pacts. Load and energy consumption at the PEF-served miniter years of excess mining capacity and weak product pricing power, the industry has cign exchange has helped the industry in two (2) ways. First, American farm commodities arm acreage. Both impacts will continue to inflate the demand for fertilizer products. Se presumption is expected to increase in the near term, as a new mine operation is expectizers. The energy projection for this industry assumes no major reductions or shutdown eration facilities. | ons that include, but are not limited to, foreign competition, ing or chemical processing sites depend heavily on plant operations, consolidated down to just a few players in time to take advantage of as have become more competitive overseas and lead to higher crop econd, a weak U.S. dollar results in U.S. fertilizer producers becoming and to open. A significant risk to this projection lies in the volatile price |
| 21 22 | This forecast incorporates demand and energy reduct | tions from PEF'S dispatcl | hable and non-dispatchable DSM programs required to meet the approved goals set by | the FPSC. |
| 23 24 | This forecast assumes that FPC will successfully rene | ew all future franchise agr | reements. | |
| 25 26 27 | Expected energy and demand reductions from self-se cogeneration customers, the forecast does not assume | | iso included in this forecast. FPC will supply the supplemental load of self-service coger standby power. | neration customers. While FPC offers "standby" service to all |
| Suppo | rting Schedules: | | | Recap Schedules: |

| Sche | edule F-8 | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 3 of 24 |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| FLO | RIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
| | IPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales forecast. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey |
| Line | | | I. General Assumptions (Cont'd) | |
| No. | FORECAST ASSUMPTIONS - CUSTOMER, ENERGY & | | | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | historical trend. Contracts for this service include the reflected by the nature of the stratified load they have forecast are with the Florida Municipal Power Agency contractual arrangement with SECI includes a "supple supplemental nature sale to a "stratified capacity" sali intermediate service, which includes both 450 MW (O be served in 2009 and a FR contract which will comminctuded in this projection. The economic outlook for this forecast was developed. | cities of Bartow, Chattahi contracted for, plus their (FMPA), Reedy Creek U emental' service contract exposisting of a base, pe tober 1995 contract) an nence in 2010 and last the | tial", and "supplemental" requirement basis. Full requirements (FR) customers' do oochee, Mt. Dora, Quincy, Williston, and Winter Park. Partial requirements (PR) of a bility to receive dispatched energy from power marketers any time it is more ex- litifies, TECO Energy, Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. (SECI) and the cities of (1983 contract) for service over and above stated levels they commit to supply the paking and system average pieces beginning in 2014 when the term of this contract an additional 150 MW in 2012, is contained in this projection. Another contract prough the forecast horizon, are also contained in this forecast. Finally, an agreen the building market continued to implode. PEF customer growth had ground to a had omic Research, a well-respected think-tank that declares the official start and end | customer load is assumed to reflect the current contractual obligations conomical for them to do so. Contracts for PR service included in this I New Smyrna Beach, Tallahassee, Gainesville and Homestead. PEF's nemselves. This contract has been renegotiated and will change from a act expires in December 2013. A firm contract with SECI for stratified with varying levels of stratified demands ranging from 300 MW to 75 MW with nent to provide interruptible service at a SECI metering site has also been alt. The general consensus was that the U.S. economy was in recession in |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | consumption slowing economic growth. Initial claims recognized the extent of the weakening economy and estate sectors appeared to be in depression, rising ho to hold down new construction for most of 2009. A significant | for unemployment insura f commenced a series of ome foreclosures and fall gnificant increase in elect | n had increased to record heights in both nominal and real terms, acted like a sign ince had reached recession levels and State and National employment levels had interest rate cuts in an effort to mute the downturn. The early stages of the serior ing home values trumped last year's worries of rising property taxes and homeow tric prices was assumed as utilities needed to pass-through higher fuel costs. This over-valued. This will set the stage for a return to more normal levels of in-migration. | I dropped each month since the year began. The Federal Reserve Board us credit crisis had just begun. In Florida, where the homebuilding and real mer's insurance premiums. A large inventory of unsold homes is expected is would have a negative effect on average customer usage. On the positive |
| 24 25 26 27 | CUSTOMER GROWTH RATE = 0.63% | SA | LES GROWTH RATE = 0.25% | |

Supporting Schedules:

| Schedule F-8 | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 4 of 24 |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | V Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORI | DA INC | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Brokented Test Very Ended 40/04/0040 |
| COM ATT. THOUSENESS ENERGY FEOR | DA INO. | forecast. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | Witness: Toomey |
| Line | | I. General Assumptions (Cont'd) | |
| No. 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 GENERAL INFLATION RATES - | | | |
| 3 <u> </u> | | was 3.13%. However, the 2006 Budget was based on specific cost estimate | es for 2009. When specific information for 2009 costs was not |
| 6- | available, the general inflation rate w | as used. | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | 0.00() | N | |
| 9 WAGE AND SALARY INCREASE - | 3.0% based upon assessment or: (1) beginning in April 2009 (for an effect) | competition; (2) impact of inflation (3) corporate bargaining unit agreement increase of 3.0%). | ; and (4) ment increases for all non-bargaining unit employees |
| 10 11 | Cognining in April 2009 (10) an eneon | ive armual inclease of 5.076]. | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 PROPERTY TAX PAYMENT - | 2009 Property Taxes to be paid in No | overmer 2000 | |
| 14 | 2005 Froperty Panes to be pare in re- | 0101111001, 2000. | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 FRANCHISE FEE PAYMENT- | Paid to cities on a monthly basis | | |
| 18 | • | | |
| 19. | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 FINANCINGS - | 2009 financing needs are assumed to | o be met with additional borrowings under the Company's commercial paper | program and through short-term debt. |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| Supporting Schedules: | | | Recap Schedules: |

| Schedule F-8 | 3 | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 5 of 24 |
|--------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| | JBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Type of Data Shown: Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO | D: 090079-EI | | forecast. | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey |
| Line | | | I. General Assumptions (Cont'd) | |
| No. | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | HAL CHELON ACCUMENTATION | | | |
| | UAL FUEL OIL ASSUMPTIONS | | | |
| 5 6 | | | | |
| 7 | Crude Oil supply will remain relatively stable | through the forecast i | neriod. | |
| 8 | or coppy the recent of the control o | | | |
| 9 | U.S. Government policy is not expected to in | npact the residual or li | ght oil market except that environmental restrictions will increase the relative d | emand and price of lower sulfur oils. |
| 10 | • • | | | |
| 11 | Weather is assumed to be normal throughout | ut the period. | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | Fuel oil demand will remain relatively stable | throughout the period | except that the demand for lower sulfur oil will gradually displace the demand | for higher sulfur oil. |
| 14 | manage of the second | | A de la colonida como de debena de mando | |
| 15 | Refineries over the long-term will make pro | duct changes to balan | ce residual fuel supply with current and future demand. | |
| 16 | Minus dahar habasan sha bug ahaya mantiar | and faatara assubinged i | vith uncertain weather will cause periodic mismatches | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | in supply/demand balances and wider short | term fluctuations in pri | ces than presented in this forecast. | |
| 19 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | • | |
| 25 26 | | | | |
| 26 27 | | | | |
| <i>-1</i> | | | | |

| Schedule F-8 | 3 | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 6 of 24 |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| FLORIDA PU | JBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | Type of Data Shown: |
| COMPANY: | PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales forecast. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO | D: 090079-EI | iorecasi. | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey |
| Line | | I. General Assumptions (Cont'd) | |
| No. | LATE FUEL OIL ASSUMPTIONS | | |
| 2 | Crude Oil supply will remain relatively stable through the fore | exast neriod | |
| 3 | Oraco on Supply will contain sociation states and supply will contain social | native portrod. | |
| 4 | U.S. Government policy is not expected to impact the residua | al or light oil market except that environmental restrictions will increase the relative den | nand and price of lower sulfur oil |
| 5 | such as distillate fuel oil. | and the state of t | national price of torior dentition |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | Weather is assumed to be normal throughout the period. | | |
| 8 | • | | |
| 9 | Low sulfur #2 oil demand will increase relative to high sulfur # | #2 oil as it is used as a back-up fuel for natural gas as well as on-road diesel fuel. | |
| 10 | • | • | |
| 11 NATUR | RAL GAS ASSUMPTIONS | | |
| 12 | Overall supply of natural gas will be adequate except during | extreme weather and during pipeline outages. | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | Interruptible supply from Florida Gas Transmission, Gulfstrea | am Natural Gas pipeline, and Southern Natural Gas will be available in limited quantitie | es as the pipeline capacity is |
| 15 | utilized for firm supply contracts for Progress Energy Florida. | The state of the s | ., , |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 COAL | <u>assumptions</u> | | |
| 20 | Coal Price Projections represent an estimate of the price Pro | gress Energy Florida expects to pay for coal delivered to the plant sites. It assumes e | nvironmental restrictions on coal quality remain in effect as per |
| 21 | | C.R. 1&2 and 1.2 lbs. per million BTU sulfur dioxide limit for C.R. 4&5 until C.R. 4&5 s | scrubbers are installed and operational in 2010. The |
| 22 | installations of scrubbers will allow for high sulfur coal produc | cts to be utilized. | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| Supporting S | Schedules: | | Recap Schedules: |

| Schedu | e F-8 | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 7 of 24 |
|---------------|---|--|--|
| | A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation: | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | pe of Data Shown: |
| | NY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. T NO: 090079-EI | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales forecast. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey |
| Line | | II. Operating Assumptions | Wicress. Tooliney |
| No. | | Income Statement | |
| 1: <u>Ope</u> | erating Revenue | | |
| 2 | A. Base Revenue - KWH sales are determined by the | Load Forecasting Department (See general assumptions for customer, | energy, and demand forecast). |
| 3 4 | B. Fuel Revenue - No change in the methodology pre- | sently in place for the recovery of fuel expense. | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | presently in place for the recovery of capacity expense, but beginning in | 2009 the capacity clause includes recovery |
| 7 | of nuclear preconstruction and carrying costs. | | |
| 8 9 | D. Energy Consequation Dayonya. Ma should in the | mathedalam area with in alone for the second of account of | |
| 10 | b. Energy Conservation Revenue - No change in the i | methodology presently in place for the recovery of energy conservation e | xpenses. |
| 11 | E Environmental Revenue - No change in methodolor | gy presently in place for the recovery of environmental expenses. | |
| 12 | | gy prosently in place to the province of the control of the contro | |
| 13 | F. Franchise Fee and Gross Receipts Revenue - Assu | med that an average historic tax rate would be representative for 2009. | |
| 14 | · | · | |
| 15 | G. Other Operating Revenue - Pole attachments, rent | als, and miscellaneous other service revenues assumed that current reni | al agreements would remain in effect during |
| 16 | 2009 and that service revenues would be impart | cted by the forecasted customer growth rate, preconstruction and carryin | g costs. |
| 17 | | | |
| | Expense and Purchased Power | | |
| 19 | Fuel expense and purchased power is determined by the C | ompany's production cost simulation model. The model uses input from | fuel price forecasts, purchased power contracts, |
| 20 | generating unit operating characteristics, maintenance outain energy. | ge schedules and other pertinent data to determine the most economica | way to satisfy the corporate forecast of demand and |
| 21 | chorgy. | | |
| 22 | with the first of the second | | |
| | preciation Expense | monthly Classic Plant to Carden Daniel State and a state of the | about the state of the |
| 24 25 | | monthly Electric Plant In Service. Depreciation rates were obtained from were based on rates obtained from the dismantlement and decommission | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| Support | ng Schedules: | | Recap Schedules: |

| Schedule | 1F-8 | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 8 of 24 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| FLORIDA | PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales forecast. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET | NO: 090079-EI | Micean. | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey |
| Line No. | | II. Operating Assumptions (Cont'd) Income Statement (Cont'd) | |
| | ortization of Limited Plant | | |
| 2 | Amortization of intangible plant and ECCR plant assets | were based on current approved rates. | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 Taxe | es Other Than Income Taxes | | |
| 6 | | payroll related taxes, revenue related taxes. The property taxes re | |
| 7 | | on budgeted payroll and rates in current law. Revenue related tag | xes are based on current law and franchise agreement |
| 8 | and the budgeted revenues. | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | me Taxes | | |
| 12 | | and the state of t | Net |
| 13 | | w in effect at the time the forecast was prepared. Temporary timinent as required by the Internal Revenue Service under Section 46 of | |
| 14 | protected items are flowed back in accordance with Sec | | of the Ind Code. Excess deterred income taxes for |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| | er Income and Deduction (Net) | | |
| 18 | Donations and other deductions are based on the budge | et submission from the business units. Income on the Life Insuran | ce (Rabbi Trust) is based on assumptions of project cost |
| 19 | and market conditions. Non-operating income is based | on projections from the business units of the non-regulated activity | <i>إ</i> . |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| | ss Interest Expense | | |
| 23 | | eries interest rate applied to the amount outstanding. Interest expe | |
| 24 | | each month. Interest on Customer Deposits is calculated using a based on historical relationship between total deposits and number of | |
| 25 | customer deposit parance. Customer deposit balance is bas | ed on materical relationship between total deposits and number of | Custoniers. |
| 26 27 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| Supportin | g Schedules: | | Recap Schedules: |

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | Witness: Crisp |

| ne | | | ssumptions (Cont'd) | | | |
|-------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| 0. | Average Annual Net Unit Heat Rates for 2009 | | | | | |
| 1 2 3 | (A) Plant & Unit | | (B) Average Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH) * | (A) Plant & Unit | | (B) Average Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH) ► |
| 4 | Steam | | | Peakers | | |
| j | Anclote | 1 | 10,750 | Avon Park | 1 | 17,325 |
| | Anclote | 2 | 10,619 | Avon Park | 2 | 21,025 |
| | Bartow | 1 | 11,630 | Bartow | 1 | 18,939 |
| | Bartow | 2 | 12,160 | Bartow | 2 | 14,570 |
| • | Bartow | 3 | 10,596 | Bartow | 3 | 17,225 |
| • | Crystal | 1 | 10,245 | Bartow | 4 | 14,201 |
| | Crystal | 2 | 10,039 | Bartow CC | 4 | 7,195 |
| • | Crystal | 3 | 10,298 | Bayboro | 1 | 14,985 |
| 1 | Crystal | 4 | 9,767 | Bayboro | 2 | 15,092 |
| | Crystal | 5 | 10,030 | Bayboro | 3 | 15,144 |
| | Suwannee | 1 | 11,544 | Bayboro | 4 | 15,494 |
| | Suwannee | 2 | 12,987 | DeBary | 1 | 13,832 |
| | Suwannee | 3 | 11,915 | DeBary | 2 | 15,724 |
| | | | | DeBary | 3 | 13,895 |
|) | | | | DeBary | 4 | 13,947 |
|) | | | | DeBary | 5 | 14,040 |
| | | | | DeBary | 6 | 14,151 |
| ? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | Type or Data Grown |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | Witness: Crisp |

| Line | | II. Operating A | ssumptions (Cont'd) | | ·· | |
|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|----|-------------------------|
| No. | | Average Annua | al Net Unit Heat Rates for 2009 (Co | nt'd) | | |
| 1 | (A) | ···· | (B) | (A) | | (B) |
| 2 | Plant & Unit | | Average Net | Plant & Unit | | Average Net |
| 3 | | | Heat Rate (BTU/KWH) * | | | Heat Rate (BTU/KWH) * |
| 4 | Peakers | | | Peakers | | |
| 5 | DeBary | 7 | 13,037 | InterCity | 11 | 12,217 |
| 6 | DeBary | 8 | 13,060 | InterCity | 12 | 12,437 |
| 7 | DeBary | 9 | 13,128 | InterCity | 13 | 12,400 |
| 8 | DeBary | 10 | 15,265 | InterCity | 14 | 12,486 |
| .9: | Higgins | 1 | 16,948 | Rio Pinar | 1 | 18,066 |
| 10 | Higgins | 2 | 16,991 | Suwannee | 1 | 13,156 |
| 11 | Higgins | 3 | 17,106 | Suwannee | 2 | 1 5, 0 10 |
| 12. | Higgins | 4 | 17,142 | Suwannee | 3 | 13,062 |
| 13 | Hines | 1 | 7,408 | Tiger Bay | 1 | 7,297 |
| 14 | Hines | 2 | 7,196 | Turner | 1 | 18,902 |
| 15 | Hines | 3 | 7,216 | Turner | 2 | 20,307 |
| 16 | Hines | 4 | 7,022 | Turner | 3 | 16,968 |
| 17 | InterCity | 1 | 14,532 | Tumer | 4 | 16,564 |
| 18 | InterCity | 2 | 15,595 | Univ of Fla | 1 | 9,304 |
| 19 | InterCity | 3 | 15,182 | | | |
| 20 | InterCity | 4 | 14,682 | | | |
| 21 | InterCity | 5 | 15,171 | | | |
| 22 | InterCity | 6 | 14,887 | | | |
| 23 | InterCity | 7 | 12,843 | | | |
| 24 | InterCity | 8 | 12,702 | | | |
| 25 | InterCity | 9 | 12,544 | | | |
| 26 | InterCity | 10 | 13,010 | | | |
| 27 | Notes: * Includes start-up BTU's. | | | | | |

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | · | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | 7, |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | Witness: Crisp |

| Line | ine | | II. Operating Assump | II. Operating Assumptions (Cont'd) | | |
|------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| No. | | | Outage Rates for 200 | 9 | | |
| 1 | | | (A) | (B) | (C) | |
| 2 | Steam Plant | | Equivalent Forced | Maintenance | Total Unavailable | |
| 3 | | | Outage Rate | Outage Rate * | Outage Rate | |
| 4 ~ | Anclote | | 4.47 | 0.00 | 4.47 | |
| 5 | Anclote | 2 | 3.99 | 0.00 | 3.99 | |
| 6 | Bartow | 1 | 4.70 | 0.00 | n/a | |
| 7 | Bartow | 2 | 7.74 | 0.00 | n/a | |
| 8 | Bartow | 3 | 13.39 | 0.00 | n/a | |
| 9 | Crystal River | 1 | 7.44 | 0.00 | 7.44 | |
| 10 | Crystal River | 2 | 7.46 | 0.00 | 7.46 | |
| 11 | Crystal River | 3 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | |
| 12 | Crystal River | 4 | 5.64 | 0.00 | 5,64 | |
| 13 | Crystal River | 5 | 5.85 | 0.00 | 5.85 | |
| 14 | Suwannee | 1 | 5.90 | 0.00 | 5.90 | |
| 15 | Suwannee | 2 | 6.97 | 0.00 | 6.97 | |
| 16 | Suwannee | 3 | 4.89 | 0.00 | 4.89 | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | Notes: * Maintenance outag | es are incorporated in the forced or | utages. | | |

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | Witness: Crisp |

| Line | | V | II. Operating Assum | otions (Cont'd) | | |
|------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| No. | | | Outage Rates for 200 | 9 (Cont'd) | | |
| 1 | | | (A) | (8) | (C) | |
| 2 | Peaker Site and Units | | Equivalent Forced | Maintenance | Total Unavailable | |
| 3 | | | Outage Rate | Outage Rate * | Outage Rate | |
| 4 | Avon Park | 1,2 | 5.37 | 0.00 | 5.37 | |
| 5 | Bartow | 1,2,3,4 | 2.43 | 0.00 | 2.43 | |
| 6 | Bartow CC | 4 | 8.97 | 0.00 | 8.97 | |
| 7 | Bayboro | 1,2,3,4 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.52 | |
| 8 | DeBary | 1,2,3,4,5,6 | 1,72 | 0.00 | 1.72 | |
| 9 | DeBary | 7,8,9,10 | 1.72 | 0.00 | 1.72 | |
| 10 | Higgins | 1,2,3,4 | 1.62 | 0.00 | 1.62 | |
| 11 | Hines | 1 | 3.63 | 0.00 | 3.63 | |
| 12 | Hines | 2 | 4.19 | 0.00 | 4.19 | |
| 13 | Hines | 3 | 4.15 | 0.00 | 4.15 | |
| 14 | Hines | 4 | 4.08 | 0.00 | 4.08 | |
| 15 | InterCity | 1,2,3,4,5,6 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.45 | |
| 16 | InterCity | 7,8,9,10 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 1.33 | |
| 17 | InterCity | 11 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 1.18 | |
| 18 | InterCity | 12,13,14 | 1.84 | 0.00 | 1.84 | |
| 19 | Rio Pinar | 1 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.88 | |
| 20 | Suwannee | 1,2,3 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.38 | |
| 21 | Tiger Bay | 1 | 5.91 | 0.00 | 5.91 | |
| 22 | Turner | 1,2 | 1.26 | 0.00 | 1.26 | |
| 23 | Turner | 3,4 | 1,57 | 0.00 | 1.57 | |
| 24 | Univ of Fla | 1 | 2.91 | 0.00 | 2.91 | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | Not | es: * Maintenance outag | es are incorporated in the forced o | utages. | | |

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | Witness: Crisp |

| Line | | | | umptions (Cont'd) | | | |
|------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| No. | | | Planned Maintena | ance for 2009 | | | |
| 1 | | | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) | |
| 2 | Plant and Unit | | Start Date | End Date | Outage | Total Days | |
| 3 | | | | | Duration (Days) | | |
| 4 | Anciote | 1 | November 28 | December 18 | 21 | 21 | |
| | Anclote | 2 | February 7 | February 22 | 16 | 16 | |
| 5 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Crystal River | 1 | October 24 | November 8 | 16 | 16 | |
| 7 | Crystal River | 2 | December 8 | December 16 | 9 | 9 | |
| 8. | Crystal River | 3 | September 26 | December 19 | 85 | 85 | |
| 9 | Crystal River | 5 | February 21 | May 15 | 84 | 84 | |
| 10 | Crystal River | 5 | November 9 | December 6 | 28 | 28 | |
| 11 | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Hines | 1 | February 28 | March 22 | 23 | 23 | |
| 13 | Hines | 2 | April 18 | May 3 | 16 | 16 | |
| 14 | Hines | 2 | October 24 | December 7 | 45 | 45 | (281 MW Only) |
| 15 | Hines | 3 | May 2 | May 24 | 23 | 23 | |
| 16 | Hines | 3 | October 31 | November 22 | 23 | 23 | (285 MW Only) |
| 17 | Hines | 4 | March 7 | April 19 | 44 | 44 | |
| 18 | Hines | 4 | October 3 | October 18 | 16 | 16 | |
| 19 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Suwannee | 1 | January 3 | April 24 | 112 | 112 | |
| 21 | Suwannee | 2 | May 16 | May 31 | 16 | 16 | |
| 22 | Suwannee | 3 | January 31 | February 15 | 16 | 16 | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Tiger Bay | 1 | March 28 | April 5 | 9 | 9 | |
| 25 | Tiger Bay | 1 | October 10 | October 20 | 11 | 11 | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | Notes: | | | | | |
| 28 | | i) Planned maintenanc | e for 2009 is based on: "2009 | Generating Unit Maintenance | Outage Schedule* dated October (| 3, 2008. | |

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | Witness: Crisp |
| | | | |

| Line | | | II. Operating Assumptions (C | Cont'd) | |
|------|----------------|------|--|--|---|
| No. | | | Net Unit Capacity Ratings for | 2009 | |
| 1 | | | (A) | (B) | |
| . 2 | Plant and Unit | | Net * | Net * | |
| 3 | | | Summer (MW) | Winter (MW) | |
| 4 | Anciote | 1 | 499 | 522 | |
| 5. | Anclote | 2 | 507 | 526 | Notes: |
| 6 | Bartow | 1 | n/a | 125 | |
| 7 | Bartow | 2 | n/a | 124 | * All ratings are maximum dependable capability. |
| 8 | Barlow | 3 | n/a | 215 | Summer ratings are effective May 1 through |
| 9. | Crystal | 1 | 372 | 386 | October 31. Winter ratings are effective |
| 10 | Crystal | 2 | 494 | 496 | November 1 through April 30. |
| 11 | Crystal | 3 ** | 813 | 826 | |
| 12 | Crystal | 4 | 722 | 734 | ** Crystal River 3 net ratings have been adjusted |
| 13 | Crystal | 5 | 722 | 734 | for sale of 9.17806% of capacity. |
| 14 | Suwannee | 1 | 30 | 33 | |
| 15 | Suwannee | 2 | 28 | 31 | |
| 16 | Suwannee | 3 | 71 | 82 | |
| 17 | | | ************************************** | ************************************** | |
| 18 | Total Steam | | 4,258 | 4,834 | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of Data Shown: |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|---|
| | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | _ Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | Witness: Crisp |

| Line | | | II. Operating Assumptions (| | |
|------|--|-------------|--|---|--|
| No. | | | Net Unit Capacity Ratings for | r 2009 (Cont'd) | |
| 1 | Year and the second sec | | (A) | (B) | |
| 2 | Peaker Site and Units | | Net * | Net * | |
| 3 | | | Summer (MW) | Winter (MW) | |
| 4 | Avon Park | 1,2 | 49 | 70 | |
| 5 | Bartow | 1,2,3,4 | 176 | 226 | |
| 6 | Bartow CC | 4 | 1,159 | n/a | |
| 7 | Bayboro | 1,2,3,4 | 178 | 232 | |
| 8 | DeBary | 1,2,3,4,5,6 | 313 | 393 | |
| 9 | DeBary | 7,8,9,10 | 329 | 386 | |
| 10 | Higgins | 1,2,3,4 | 1 1 3 | 133 | |
| 11 | Hines | 1 | 466 | 528 | |
| 12 | Hines | 2 | 490 | 562 | |
| 13 | Hines | 3 | 499 | 570 | |
| 14 | Hines | 4 | 475 | 550 | |
| 15 | InterCity | 1,2,3,4,5,6 | 280 | 369 | |
| 16 | InterCity | 7,8,9,10 | 329 | 376 | |
| 17 | InterCity | 11 | 143 | 161 | |
| 18 | InterCity | 12,13,14 | 232 | 278 | |
| 19 | Rio Pinar | 1 | 12 | 16 | |
| 20 | Suwannee | 1,2,3 | 153 | 199 | |
| 21 | Tiger Bay | 1 | 204 | 225 | |
| 22 | Turner | 1,2 | 22 | 32 | |
| 23 | Turner | 3,4 | 126 | 169 | |
| 24 | Univ of Fla | 1 | 46 | 47 | |
| 25 | | | mean an and an air and the artisant title and the artisant title | | |
| 26 | Total Peakers | | 5,794 | 5,522 | |
| 27 | | | 44-sections and the conference of the conference | *************************************** | |
| 28 | System Total | | 10,052 | 10,356 | |
| | | | | | |

| Schedule F-8 | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 16 of 24 |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| FLORIDA PUBL | IC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation | on: For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | Type of Data Shown: |
| COMPANY: PR | OGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales forecast. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKET NO: 0 | 990079-EI | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toorney |
| Line | | III. Construction Budget Assumptions | |
| No. | ASSUMPTIONS - FUNCTIONAL AREA | | |
| | iction Plant | | |
| 3 | | awageted to require capital expanditures of about \$135 million in 2000 and \$7. | allian in 0040. The malest will be at each |
| 4 | service at the completion of the refueling outage in | expected to require capital expenditures of about \$135 million in 2009 and \$7 n December 2009. | nilion in 2010. The project will be placed in |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | penditures of \$35 million in 2009 and capital expenditures of \$5 million in 2010 | . The project will be placed into service June 1, |
| 7 | 2009. | | |
| 8 | | | |
| | mission and Distribution Plant | | |
| 10 | | e Bartow Repowering Project will incur about \$8 million of capital expenditures | in 2009 of which the majority be placed in |
| 11 | service in March 2009. | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | Delivery plant expenditures of \$386m in 2009 and \$ | 421m during 2010 include those expenditures required to provide the infrastruc | ture for the projected customer growth, and |
| 14 | | illity to existing customer base. None of these expenditures individually exceed | |
| 15 | are justified on the basis of environmental, capacity | , availability, safety, regulatory requirements, and/or discretion (where benefits | exceed cost). |
| 16 | 18 may | | |
| | al Plant | | |
| 18 | General plant expenditures are used to a) provide p properly maintained or repaired. | roper equipment for new employees with proper equipment to perform their job | s, b) replace existing equipment that can not be |
| 19 20 | ргорепу папканев от гералев. | | |
| 21 NUCLEAR | EIIEI | | |
| 22 | Purchases of Nuclear Fuel are expected to be appr | ovimately \$41m in 2000 | |
| 23 | r divisions of received in the are expected to be appri | Danatesy 44 mm in 2003 | |
| | ICE FOR FUNDS USED DURING CONSTRUCTION | | |
| 25 | | assumed to be the amount associated with work order projects not eligible for | AFLIDC and work order projects ready for |
| 26 | | amount is consistent with the amount authorized at the time this forecast was pr | |
| 27 | | | , |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | | | |
| Supporting Sche | dules: | | Recap Schedules: |

| Schedule | F-8 | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 17 of 24 |
|----------|--|-------------------------|--|---|
| FLORIDA | A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | Type of Data Shown: |
| COMPAN | YY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 |
| DOCKET | NO: 090079-EI | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 |
| | | | | Witness: Toomey |
| Line | | | IIL Construction Budget Assumptions (Cont'd) | |
| No. | RHEADS | | | |
| 2 | | and avantional hour | s are applied to all charges for productive labor. | |
| 3 | builden rates for benefits, payron taxes | and exceptional nour | s are applied to all charges for productive labor. | |
| 4 | Targeted base salaries are allocated by | etween productive lab | or and exceptional hours. This allocation is based on the average days t | taken off in 2006 for company observed holidays. |
| 5 | | | npercentage of work days in the year. For the 2009 budget, 85.84% of b | |
| 6 | | | This allocation results in an exceptional hours burden rate appliallocated | |
| 7 | Delivery business unit, the exceptional | hours burden rate is a | adjusted to 20.0% based on higher average vacation days taken. | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | Productive labor is burdened at a 8.069 | 6 rate for payroll taxe | s (including FICA, Medicare, and federal and state unemployment taxes) |). |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | The employee benefit burden rate | is based on the follow | wing costs for 2009 (\$ in millions) | |
| 12 | | Employee health ca | are \$ 30.9 | |
| 13 | | Retiree health care | 20.3 | |
| 14 | | Employee life insur | ance 1.1 | |
| 15 | | 401(k) plan | 14.1 | |
| 16 | | Wellness Program | 1.0 | |
| 17 | | FAS 112 health / Li | fe 0.8 | |
| 18 | | FAS 112 salary cor | | |
| 19 | | Total | \$ 69.0 | |
| 20 | | Benefits burden rat | e 27.5% | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | The resulting total burden rate applied | • | | |
| 23 | | Exceptional hours | 16.50% | |
| 24 | | Payroll taxes | 8.06% | |
| 25 | | Pension | 13.10% | |
| 26 | | Benefits | 27.50% | |
| 27 | | Total burden rate | 65.16% | |
| 28 | | | MANAGE AND | |
| 29 | Variances in the budget for total burder | ned benefits versus to | tal expected costs are adjusted at the corporate level. | |

Supporting Schedules:

| Schedule F-8 | | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 18 of 24 | | |
|--------------|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| FLORIC | A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | n: For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in Type of data shown: | | | |
| | | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | | | |
| COMPA | NY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 | | |
| | | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 | | |
| DOCKE | T NO: 090079-El | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 | | |
| | | | | Witness: Toomey | | |
| Line | | | IV. Balance Sheet Assumptions | | | |
| No. | | | | | | |
| 10 | FILITY PLANT | | | | | |
| 2 | ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE | | | | | |
| 3 | The timing and dollar amount of additions re | elated to major projec | ts is based on the current budget submissions from the business units. The d | ollar amount for minor project | | |
| 4 | | | get submission from the business units. The timing of these additions is based | on a percentage of the prior | | |
| 5 | month GWIP balance and historical trends. | Retirements are bas | ed on known amortization schedules and historical trends. | | | |
| 6 | EL POTDIO DI AUTURI DI POD FUTURI MET | | · · | | | |
| / | ELECTRIC PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE | I not be placed in ser | vice before December 31, 2010. There are no additional closings to this account | int projected for 2009 or 2010 | | |
| 8 9 | Existing electric plant field for future use will | r not be placed in ser | vice before December 31, 2010. There are no additional doshings to this according | the projected for 2000 or 2010. | | |
| 10 | CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS | | | | | |
| 11 | | 9/2010 Corporate Co | onstruction Budget. Allowance for Funds Used During Construction is calculate | ed at an annual rate of 8.48% | | |
| 12 | , 5.61 5.75 (6.16) 5.5 (6.16) | | • | | | |
| 13 | CONTRA CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRE | SS - NUCLEAR | | | | |
| 14 | The balance for Contra CWIP - Nuclear is o | alculated by adding r | nonthly construction expenditures from the construction budget forecast, speci | facily for recoverable nuclear capital, | | |
| 15 | onset by a regulatory asset. | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | ACCUMULATED PROVISION FOR DEPRECIA | | | | | |
| 18 | Depreciation expense is derived from the B | udgeted income state | ement. Plant retirements are taken from monthly Electric Plant in-service activ | ity. | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION OF ELECTR | | in expense from the income statement, except for the storm cost amorization of | whome which reduces the regulatory asset | | |
| 21 | The only activity affecting this account is the | a monuny amoruzauo | in expense from the income statement, except for the storm cost among anone | Appende Willow readable the regulatory about | | |
| 22 23 | NUCLEAR FUEL | | | | | |
| 23 24 | | he approximately \$4 | 1m in 2009. Other changes represent the forecasted nuclear fuel burn from th | e Production Simulation Model. | | |
| 25 | 1 dichases of Nacional Lact are expected to | bo approximatory 4 r | THE IT ESSET OF CHANGES TOP SOOK THE TELESCOPE TO SEE THE SECTION OF THE SECTION | | | |
| 26 | NON-UTILITY PROPERTY | | | | | |
| 27 | Represents the change resulting from addit | ions and depreciation | on property assets utilized in the non-regulated business. | | | |
| Suppo | rting Schedules: | | | Recap Schedules: | | |

| Schedule F-8 | | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 19 of 24 | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| FLORIL | DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of data shown: | | |
| | | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | | | |
| COMPA | ANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 | | | | |
| | | | forecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 | | |
| DOCKE | DOCKET NO: 090079-EI Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2 | | | | | |
| | | | | Witness: Toomey | | |
| Line | | | IV. Balance Sheet Assumptions (Continued) | | | |
| No. | | | | | | |
| 1 | ACCUMULATED PROVISION FOR DEPRECIA | TION - NON-UTILITY | | | | |
| 2 | The monthly balance will increase by the p | provision for depreciati | οn. | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| | THER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS | | | | | |
| 5 | OTHER INVESTMENTS | 17:16 | | | | |
| 6 | The monthly balance will remain unchange | ed for the budget year. | | | | |
| 7 | 4-11-D 4-D-41-1-11-10-0 | | | | | |
| 8 | OTHER SPECIAL FUNDS | ing Found. The asselse | and a construction in a manage opening was a considered as part of the 2002 Pate | Casa Sottlement agreement in Drocket | | |
| 9 | No. 000824-El. | ing rund. The nuclea | r decommissioning expense accrual was suspended as part of the 2002 Rate | Case Settlement agreement in Docket | | |
| 10 11 | (NO. 000024-E1. | | | | | |
| | URRENT AND ACCRUED ASSETS | | | | | |
| 13 | CASH | | | | | |
| 14 | Monthly balances are derived from the bud | igeted cash forecast. | | | | |
| 15 | • | | | | | |
| 16 | SPECIAL DEPOSITS | | | | | |
| 17 | Monthly balances related to deposits on m | ortgaged property solo | d or destroyed are assumed to be zero. | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | WORKING FUNDS | | | | | |
| 20 | Assumed that the monthly balance of work | king funds for employe | es and various company offices are assumed to be zero. | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | TEMPORARY CASH INVESTMENT | fab - 4do.at-at-aa- | | | | |
| 23 | Temporary cash investments are a function | n of the budgeted casi | n receipts and dispursements. | | | |
| 24 | NOTES RECEIVABLE | | | | | |
| 25 26 | Notes receivable is assumed to remain co | nstant for the budget v | rear | | | |
| 27 | Hotes receivable is assumed to femality | indicate for the budget j | van. | | | |
| | | | | Doorn Cohodulos | | |
| Suppo | rting Schedules: | | | Recap Schedules: | | |

| Schedule F-8 | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 20 of 24 | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| FLORIC | A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation: | r: For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in Type of data shown: | | |
| | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | | |
| COMPA | NY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 | |
| | | torecast. | XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 | |
| DOCKE | T NO: 090079-EI | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey | |
| Line | | IV. Balance Sheet Assumptions (Continued) | | |
| No. | • | | | |
| 1 <u>C</u> | URRENT AND ACCRUED ASSETS (CONTINUED) | | | |
| 2 | NOTES AND ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE FROM ASSOCIATED COM | | | |
| 3 | The monthly balances in accounts receivable from associated co | ompanies will remain constant for the year. | | |
| 4 | 1000UUT0 DEOFEMBLE | | | |
| 5 | ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE | and the second s | hald he received as each that month | |
| 6 | | projected assuming that a specified percent of a forecasted months revenue we | ould be received as cash that month | |
| 7 | and other amounts would be collected in subsequent months. | A different lag factor is applied to different types of revenue receipts. | | |
| 8 9 | | | | |
| 10 | MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES | | | |
| 11 | | derived from the Company's Corporate Model and will remain constant through | nout the year. | |
| 12 | , | | · | |
| 13 | FUEL STOCK | | | |
| 14 | The fuel inventory level was projected by subtracting the estimate | ed cost of the fuel burn as determined by the Production Simulation Model and | the average inventory cost and adding the projected | |
| 15 | costs to purchase additional fuel at forecasted prices sufficient to | bring inventory to targeted quantity levels. | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | PREPAYMENTS | | | |
| 18 | Monthly balances based on expected payment dates for insuran | ce and the related amortization period for these amounts. | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | ACCRUED UTILITY REVENUES | to the desired to the second of the second o | and at a constant loval | |
| 21 | It was assumed that the accrued utility revenue balance would be | e equal to the beginning balance; therefore the accrued utility revenues are for | ecast at a constant level. | |
| 22 | OTHER CHROCALL AND ACCOURT ASSETS | | | |
| 23 | OTHER CURRENT AND ACCRUED ASSETS | | | |
| 24 25 | It was assumed that other current and accrued assets relating to | rate base would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore the other current | and accrued assets are forecast at a constant level. | |
| 26 | • | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Suppo | rting Schedules: | | Recap Schedules: | |

| Schedule F-8 | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 21 of 24 | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation: | | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | Type of data shown: | |
| COMPA | ANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales forecast. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 |
| DOCKE | ET NO: 090079-EI | | 10100000 | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey |
| Line | | mesuroirat annius marini | IV. Balance Sheet Assumptions (Continued) | |
| No. | EFERRED CHARGES AND OTHER ASSETS | | | |
| 2 | EFENNED CHANGES AND OTHEN ASSETS | | | |
| 3 | DEFERRED CLAUSE BALANCES | | | |
| 4 | This account captures such items as the FUEL, CC | R, NCR, ECC | R and ECRC clause current month deferral and the amortization of the prior mo | onth's deferral. In addition, it includes the |
| 5 | GPIF amortization. | | | |
| 6 | | | v | |
| 7 | OTHER REGULATORY ASSETS & OTHER DEFERRE | D DEBITS | Company of the Compan | and the angle of the surgery for expected the completent loves. |
| 8 | It was assumed that, in general, the average of the | remaining oth | er regulatory assets and deferred debits would be equal to the beginning balan | ce; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. |
| 9 | WILLIAM TITTO I AND AN RELACINED BERT | | | |
| 10 | UNAMORTIZED LOSS ON REACQUIRED DEBT | ronggirod de | ebt and increased for new issues - amortization is calculated over the life of the | deht instrument |
| 11 12 | This line item is reduced for amortization of loss of | reacquired de | and and increased for the wissues - anionization is calculated over the fire of the | door monament |
| 13 | ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES | | | |
| 14 | This line item fluctuates for impact of deferred tax a | assets | | |
| 15 | The mio test haddened for impact of about a tax- | | | |
| | APITALIZATION | | | |
| 17 | COMMON STOCK | | | |
| 18 | No changes are expected during 2009 | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | PREFERRED STOCK | | | |
| 21 | No changes are expected during the year. | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | OTHER PAID IN CAPITAL | | | |
| 24 | No changes are expected during the year. | | | |
| 25 | UNIA DODODOLATED DETAINED EABNINGS | | | |
| 26 27 | UNAPPROPRIATED RETAINED EARNINGS The projected monthly balances are expected to in | crease by the | monthly earnings applicable to common from the Budgeted income statement | and will be reduced by expected common dividends to |
| 21 | be paid during the year. | or cacoo by the | mental aminida debuggina a agustan nam ma madara manna agus agus | , |
| Supro | orting Schedules: | ····· | | Recap Schedules: |
| - Cuppo | CHINE MACCAMENTAL. | | | |

| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation: for a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in developing projected or estimated data. At an iminimum, state assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales — Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2009 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 Witness: Toorney IV. Balance Sheet Assumptions (Continued) No. 1 LONG-TERM DEBT 2 There are no debt issuances forecasted in 2009. 2009 financing needs are assumed to be met with additional borrowings under the Company's commercial paper program and through short-term debt. 5 OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES RETAIL UNFUNDED STORM DAMAGE The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. 9 EVO OF LIFE NOLEAR MAS AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUE. The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. 10 OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such kerns as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. 5 OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such kerns as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. 1 | Schedule F-8 | | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 22 of 24 | |
|--|------------------|---|--------------------|---|--|--|
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales proceed. Incompany: Proceed Test Year Ended 12311/2008 (Archael 12311/2008) Witness: Toomey ILINE No. I | FLORIDA PUBLIC | SERVICE COMMISSION | Explanation: | | Type of data shown: | |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI Line No. IV. Balance Sheet Assumptions (Continued) No. LONG-TERM DEBT There are no debt issuances forecasted in 2009. 2009 financing needs are assumed to be met with additional borrowings under the Company's commercial paper program and through short-term debt. 6 OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES 7 RETAIL UNFUNDED STORM DAMAGE 8 The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. 9 END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL. 11 The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. 9 UTHER NINSCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES 10 There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. 10 CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES 10 NOTES PAYABLE 21 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 22 The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. 24 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 25 The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | COMPANY: PROX | GRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales | • | |
| 1 LONG-TERM DEBT 2 There are no debt issuances forecasted in 2009. 2009 financing needs are assumed to be met with additional borrowings under the Company's commercial paper program and through short-term debt. 4 debt. 5 OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES RETAIL UNFUNDED STORM DAMAGE The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. 9 END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. 10 OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deterred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. 10 CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. 24 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | DOCKET NO: 090 | 079-EI | | | | |
| There are no debt issuances forecasted in 2009. 2009 financing needs are assumed to be met with additional borrowings under the Company's commercial paper program and through short-term debt. The monthy balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | | | IV. Balance Sheet Assumptions (Continued) | | |
| There are no debt issuances forecasted in 2009. 2009 financing needs are assumed to be met with additional borrowings under the Company's commercial paper program and through short-term debt. 6 OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES 7 RETAIL UNFUNDED STORM DAMAGE 8 The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. 6 END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL 11 The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. 12 OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES 13 There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. 16 CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES 17 NOTES PAYABLE 28 Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 29 The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. 29 The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | V DERT | | | A STATE OF THE STA | |
| debt. OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES RETAIL UNFUNDED STORM DAMAGE The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. BURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | | 2009 financing | needs are assumed to be met with additional borrowings under the Company's | commercial paper program and through short-term | |
| FIGURE 1 LIABILITIES OTHER NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES RETAIL UNFUNDED STORM DAMAGE The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | | | John Marie Company | | |
| RETAIL UNFUNDED STORM DAMAGE The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. OURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | 4 | | | | | |
| RETAIL UNFUNDED STORM DAMAGE The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. OURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | 5 | | | | | |
| The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | 6 OTHER NO | N-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | |
| END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. OURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-lerm borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | 7 RETAIL | LUNFUNDED STORM DAMAGE | | | | |
| END OF LIFE NUCLEAR M&S AND LAST CORE NUCLEAR FUEL The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | 8 T h | e monthly balances increase by the accruals | shown on the inco | ome statement and decrease by the cash payments. | | |
| The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | = | | | | | |
| OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | , w | | | | | |
| OTHER MISCELLANEOUS OPERATING RESERVES There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | e monthly balances increase by the accruals | shown on the inco | ome statement and decrease by the cash payments. | | |
| There are various accounts such items as DOE Facility Decommissioning, Pension Liability, Deferred SERP, Medical & Life Insurance reserves, Worker's Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | | =- | | | |
| general, it was assumed that the average of the remaining miscellaneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore they are forecast at a constant level. CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | | | estantes Denotes Lightlity Deferred CEDD Medical 9 Life Insurance reconses | Morkeda Comp Accrual, and Environmental liability. In | |
| 16 17 18 CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES 19 NOTES PAYABLE 20 Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. 21 22 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 23 The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. 24 25 26 27 | | | | | | |
| 17 18 CURRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES 19 NOTES PAYABLE 20 Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. 21 22 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 23 The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. 24 25 26 27 | ~ | neral, it was assumed that the average of the | remaining miscel | laneous operating reserves would be equal to the beginning balance; therefore | they are lorecast at a constant level. | |
| NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | | | | | |
| NOTES PAYABLE Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | 1715 | AND ACCOURD LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Short-term borrowing requirements are as determined in the Budgeted cash forecast. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | | | | | |
| ACCOUNTS PAYABLE The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. | | | mined in the Budo | neted cash forecast. | | |
| 22 ACCOUNTS PAYABLE 23 The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. 24 25 26 27 | | on tour continuing requirements are as as as | minut in in o Dawl | getoo easti tercoust. | | |
| The monthly balances for fuel, purchased power, trade payables and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Model. 25 26 27 | | INTS PAYABLE | | | | |
| 25 26 27 | | e monthly balances for fuel, purchased power | r, trade payables | and Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost are derived from the Company's Corporate Mo | del. | |
| 26 27 | | * | | | | |
| 27 | 25 | | | | | |
| | 26 | | | | | |
| Supporting Schedules: Recap Schedules: | 27 | | | | | |
| | Supporting Scher | tules: | | | Recao Schedules: | |

| Schedule F-8 | | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 23 of 24 | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation: | | Explanation: | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in | Type of data shown: | | | |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | | developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales forecast. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 | | | |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey | | | |
| Line | | | IV. Balance Sheet Assumptions (Continued) | | | | |
| No. | | | | | | | |
| 1 <u>C</u> 2 | URRENT AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES (CONT) | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | The monthly balances are derived from information provided by Associated Companies. | | | | | | |
| 5 | (110 Monthly Research of Control of the Control | and, pieriaea eg i i | | | | | |
| 6 | CUSTOMER DEPOSITS | | | | | | |
| 7 | Customer deposit balance is based on historical relationship between total deposits and number of customers. Accrued interest for the customer accounts are credited to the customers in June. | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | TAXES ACCRUED The monthly balances increase by the accruals for property and income tax shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | INTEREST ACCRUED | | | | | | |
| 14 | The monthly balances increase by the accruals shown on the income statement and decrease by the cash payments. | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | • • • • | | | | |
| 16 | DIVIDENDS DECLARED - COMMON STOCK | | | | | | |
| 17 | Dividends declared and paid in the current month. | | | | | | |
| 18 | · | | | | | | |
| 19 | OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | | | |
| 20 | Change reflects the loss in the Company Own | ed Life Insurance (C | OLI). The monthly balance of all other accounts in this group to remain const | ant for the year. | | | |
| 21 | • | | | | | | |
| 22 | OTHER TAX COLLECTIONS PAYABLE | | | | | | |
| 23 | The monthly tax accruals comes from the Budg | get income stateme | nt. Payments for other taxes are derived from an analysis of each specific "Ot | her Tax". | | | |
| 24 | • • | - | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | |

Supporting Schedules:

| Schedule F-8 | | | ASSUMPTIONS | Page 24 of 24 | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Explanation: | | | For a projected test year, provide a schedule of assumptions used in developing projected or estimated data. At a minimum, state | Type of data shown: | | | |
| COMPANY: PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC. | | | assumptions used for balance sheet, income statement, and sales forecast. | Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/2010 XX Prior Year Ended 12/31/2009 | | | |
| DOCKET NO: 090079-EI | | | | Historical Test Year Ended 12/31/2008 Witness: Toomey | | | |
| Line No. | | | IV. Balance Sheet Assumptions (Continued) | | | | |
| | THER LIABILITIES | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | REGULATORY LIABILITY - SFAS 109 | | | | | | |
| 4 | This balance changes to reflect the amortization of the SFAS 109 Regulatory Liability. | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | |
| 6 | ACCUMULATED DECEMBER INVECTMENT TAY OPENTIA COUNTRIA ATER DECEMBER INCOME TAYED | | | | | | |
| 8 | 7 ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT/ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES 8 The net monthly balance for investment tax credit is determined from the activity shown in the Budget income statement. | | | | | | |
| 9 | The net monthly balance for investment tax | cream is determined in | on the activity shown in the budget income statement. | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | OTHER DEFERRED LIABILITIES | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS FAS 143 | | | | | | |
| 16 | The change in this balance represents the accretion expense associated with FAS 143. | | | | | | |
| 17 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | |
| Supporting Schedules: | | | | Recap Schedules: | | | |