Mr. R. Alexander Glenn  
Progress Energy Service Company, LLC  
Post Office Box 14042  
St. Petersburg, Florida 33733  

Re: Docket No. 090079-EI – Petition for Increase in Rates by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Dear Mr. Glenn:


In accordance with Rule 25-6.043, F.A.C., the petition must be accompanied by certain minimum filing requirements and copies of prepared direct testimony and exhibits for each witness testifying on behalf of the Company in support of the requested increase. We have reviewed the Company’s filing and have determined that the Company has not met the minimum filing requirements imposed by this rule. Attached is a list of the noted minimum filing requirements deficiencies. The revised minimum filing requirements should be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk as soon as practicable.

If you should have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Tim Devlin  
Director

TD/JS
Attachment
cc: John T. Burnett (PEF)  
Paul Lewis, Jr. (PEF)  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Office of the General Counsel (Fleming)  
Division of Economic Regulation (Slemkewicz)
SCHEDULE B-1, ADJUSTED RATE BASE
The “Per Books” amounts for the 2010 and 2009 projected test years should be based on currently approved amounts and rates for such items as accumulated depreciation and the storm damage reserve. In its testimony, PEF is proposing to revise the currently approved rates for depreciation, dismantlement and decommissioning, as well as a revision to the storm damage accrual. These proposed revisions should be shown as adjustments to the per books amounts rather than being included in the per books amounts. Schedule B-1 should be resubmitted showing all such revisions as adjustments to the per books amounts.

SCHEDULE B-2, RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
The “Per Books” amounts for the 2010 and 2009 projected test years should be based on currently approved amounts and rates for such items as accumulated depreciation and the storm damage reserve. In its testimony, PEF is proposing to revise the currently approved rates for depreciation, dismantlement and decommissioning, as well as a revision to the storm damage accrual. These proposed revisions should be shown as adjustments to the per books amounts rather than being included in the per books amounts. Schedule B-2 should be resubmitted showing all such revisions as adjustments to the per books amounts.

SCHEDULE C-1, ADJUSTED JURISDICTIONAL NET OPERATING INCOME CALCULATION
The “Per Books” amounts for the 2010 and 2009 projected test years should be based on currently approved amounts and rates for such items as accumulated depreciation and the storm damage reserve. In its testimony, PEF is proposing to revise the currently approved rates for depreciation, dismantlement and decommissioning, as well as a revision to the storm damage accrual. These proposed revisions should be shown as adjustments to the per books amounts rather than being included in the per books amounts. Schedule C-1 should be resubmitted showing all such revisions as adjustments to the per books amounts.

SCHEDULE C-2, NET OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS
The “Per Books” amounts for the 2010 and 2009 projected test years should be based on currently approved amounts and rates for such items as accumulated depreciation and the storm damage reserve. In its testimony, PEF is proposing to revise the currently approved rates for depreciation, dismantlement and decommissioning, as well as a revision to the storm damage accrual. These proposed revisions should be shown as adjustments to the per books amounts rather than being included in the per books amounts. Schedule C-2 should be resubmitted showing all such revisions as adjustments to the per books amounts.

SCHEDULE C-3, JURISDICTIONAL NET OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS
The “Per Books” amounts for the 2010 and 2009 projected test years should be based on currently approved amounts and rates for such items as accumulated depreciation and the storm damage reserve. In its testimony, PEF is proposing to revise the currently approved rates for depreciation, dismantlement and decommissioning, as well as a revision to the storm damage accrual. These proposed revisions should be shown as adjustments to the per books amounts rather than being included in the per books amounts. Schedule C-3 should be resubmitted showing all such revisions as adjustments to the per books amounts.
SCHEDULE C-24, PARENT(S) DEBT INFORMATION
Based on information included in Progress Energy, Inc.'s Form 10-K for 2008, it appears that Progress Energy, Inc. (the parent company) does have debt included in its capital structure. Therefore, Schedule C-24 should be refiled with the requested data for all applicable years.

SCHEDULE G-14, INTERIM PARENT(S) DEBT INFORMATION
Based on information included in Progress Energy, Inc.'s Form 10-K for 2008, it appears that Progress Energy, Inc. (the parent company) does have debt included in its capital structure. Therefore, Schedule G-14 should be refiled with the requested data for the historical 2008 interim test year.