

VOTE SHEET

May 5, 2009

Docket No. 080248-SU – Application for increase in wastewater rates in Pinellas County by Tierra Verde Utilities, Inc. (Deferred from the April 21, 2009, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

Issue 1: Is the quality of service provided by Tierra Verde Utilities, Inc. satisfactory?

Recommendation: Yes. The overall quality of service provided by the Utility is satisfactory.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures in the majority column:

Katrina J. McMurrain

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS: Chairman Carter participated in the conference by telephone. He will sign the vote sheet upon his return to the office.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04341 MAY-6 8

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the audit adjustments to rate base and operating expense to which the Utility and staff agree, be made?

Recommendation: Yes. Based on the audit adjustments agreed to by the Utility and staff, the following adjustments should be made to rate base and operating expenses.

Audit Finding	Wastewater
No. 1 - Increase CIAC	\$25,425
No. 1 - Increase Amortization Expense (CIAC)	\$1,228
No. 1 - Increase Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$614
No. 2 - Decrease Accumulated Depreciation	\$75,829
No. 2 - Decrease Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$107,686
No. 2 - Decrease Amortization Expense (CIAC)	\$67,203
No. 2 - Decrease CIAC	\$1
No. 3 - Decrease Contractual Services – Legal	\$114
No. 3 - Decrease Rate Case Expense Adjustment	\$2,829
No. 4 - Decrease Accumulated Depreciation	\$1,517
No. 4 - Increase Accumulated Amortization of CIAC	\$1,209
No. 4 - Decrease Depreciation Expense	\$8,074
No. 4 - Increase Amortization Expense (CIAC)	\$1,209
AT No. 5 - Increase Transportation Expense	\$3,138

APPROVED

Issue 3: What are the used and useful percentages of the Utility's wastewater collection system?

Recommendation: The collection system is 100 percent used and useful.

APPROVED

Issue 4: What is the appropriate working capital allowance?

Recommendation: The appropriate working capital allowance is \$93,285 ~~91,724~~.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet

May 5, 2009

Docket No. 080248-SU – Application for increase in wastewater rates in Pinellas County by Tierra Verde Utilities, Inc. (Deferred from the April 21, 2009, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 5: What is the appropriate rate base for the December 31, 2007, test year?

Recommendation: Consistent with other recommended adjustments, the appropriate simple average rate base for the test year ending December 31, 2007, is \$1,504,479 ~~1,502,918~~.

APPROVED

Issue 6: What is the appropriate return on common equity?

Recommendation: The appropriate return on common equity is 12.34 percent based on the Commission's leverage formula currently in effect. Staff recommends an allowed range of plus or minus 100 basis points be recognized for ratemaking purposes.

APPROVED

Issue 7: What is the appropriate weighted average cost of capital including the proper components, amounts, and cost rates associated with the capital structure for the test year ended December 31, 2007?

Recommendation: The appropriate weighted average cost of capital for the test year ended December 31, 2007, is 8.29 percent.

APPROVED

Issue 8: Should an adjustment be made to Purchased Wastewater Treatment due to excessive infiltration and inflow?

Recommendation: Yes. Purchased Wastewater Treatment Expense should be decreased by \$84,119 due to excessive infiltration and inflow.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet

May 5, 2009

Docket No. 080248-SU – Application for increase in wastewater rates in Pinellas County by Tierra Verde Utilities, Inc. (Deferred from the April 21, 2009, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 9: Should an adjustment be made to operation and maintenance expense?

Recommendation: Yes. Operation and maintenance expense should be decreased by \$1,570.

APPROVED

Issue 10: Should an adjustment to Contractual Services-Other be made?

Recommendation: Yes. Contractual Services-Other should be decreased by \$2,046 ~~14,536~~.

APPROVED

Issue 11: What is the appropriate amount of rate case expense?

Recommendation: The appropriate rate case expense is \$91,558. This expense should be recovered over four years for an annual expense of \$22,890. Thus, rate case expense should be reduced by \$19,107.

APPROVED

Issue 12: What is the test year wastewater operating income or loss before any revenue increase?

Recommendation: The test year operating income is a net loss of \$54,659 ~~46,891~~ for wastewater before any revenue increase.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet

May 5, 2009

Docket No. 080248-SU – Application for increase in wastewater rates in Pinellas County by Tierra Verde Utilities, Inc. (Deferred from the April 21, 2009, Commission Conference, revised recommendation filed.)

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 13: What is the appropriate revenue requirement?

Recommendation: The following is the appropriate revenue requirement:

	Test Year		Revenue	
	<u>Revenues</u>	<u>\$ Increase</u>	<u>Requirement</u>	<u>% Increase</u>
Wastewater	\$780,729	\$301,207	\$1,081,936	38.58%
		\$287,930	\$1,068,659	36.88%

APPROVED

Issue 14: What are the appropriate wastewater rates for Tierra Verde?

Recommendation: The appropriate monthly rates are shown on Schedule No. 4 of staff's memorandum dated April 23, 2009. Staff's recommended rates are designed to produce revenues of \$1,081,936 ~~1,068,659~~ excluding miscellaneous service charge revenues. The Utility should file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates. The approved rates should be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. The rates should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The Utility should provide proof of the date notice was given no less than 10 days after the date of the notice.

APPROVED

Issue 15: In determining whether any portion of the interim increase granted should be refunded, how should the refund be calculated, and what is the amount of the refund, if any?

Recommendation: The proper refund amount should be calculated by using the same data used to establish final rates, excluding rate case expense and other items not in effect during the interim period. This revised revenue requirement for the interim collection period should be compared to the amount of interim revenue requirement granted. Based on this calculation, no wastewater refunds are required.

APPROVED

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 16: What is the appropriate amount by which rates should be reduced four years after the established effective date to reflect the removal of the amortized rate case expense?

Recommendation: The rates should be reduced as shown on Schedule No. 4 of staff's memorandum dated April 23, 2009 to remove \$23,969 for rate case expense, grossed up for regulatory assessment fees (RAFs), which is being amortized over a four-year period. The decrease in rates should become effective immediately following the expiration of the four-year rate case expense recovery period, pursuant to Section 367.0816, F.S. The Utility should be required to file revised tariffs and a proposed customer notice setting forth the lower rates and the reason for the reduction no later than one month prior to the actual date of the required rate reduction.

APPROVED

Issue 17: Should the Utility be required to provide proof that it has adjusted its books for all Commission approved adjustments?

Recommendation: Yes. To ensure that the Utility adjusts its books in accordance with the Commission's decision, Tierra Verde should provide proof, within 90 days of the final order in this docket, that the adjustments for all the applicable National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners Uniform System of Accounts primary accounts have been made.

APPROVED

Issue 18: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within twenty-one days of the issuance of the order, a consummating order will be issued. The docket should remain open for staff's verification that the revised tariff sheets and customer notice have been filed by the Utility and approved by staff, and that the interim refund has been completed and verified by staff. Once these actions are complete, this docket should be closed administratively, and the corporate undertaking should be released.

APPROVED