Ann Cole

090144-EI

From: Chuck Hill

Monday, May 18, 2009 12:32 PM Sent: To: Katherine Fleming; Mary Bane

Cc:

Betty Ashby: Selena Chambers; Booter Imhof; Mary Anne Helton; Jennifer Brubaker; Ann Cole; Tim Devlin; Marshall Willis; Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Erik Sayler; Caroline Klancke; Keino Young; William C. Garner; Lorena Holley; Roberta Bass; Bill McNulty; Larry Harris; Lois Graham; Kay Posey; Keliy

McLanahan; Cristina Slaton; Steve Larson

Subject: RE: Request for Oral Modification - Item 10, May 19, 2009 Agenda Conference

Approved.

From: Katherine Fleming

Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 11:17 AM

To: Mary Bane; Chuck Hill

Cc: Betty Ashby; Selena Chambers; Booter Imhof; Mary Anne Helton; Jennifer Brubaker; Ann Cole; Tim Devlin; Marshall Willis; Cheryl Bulecza-Banks; Erik Sayler; Caroline Klancke; Keino Young; William C. Garner; Lorena Holley; Roberta Bass; Bill McNulty; Larry Harris; Lois Graham; Kay Posey; Kelly McLanahan; Cristina Slaton; Steve Larson

Subject: Request for Oral Modification - Item 10, May 19, 2009 Agenda Conference

Staff requests permission to make two oral modifications to its recommendation for Docket No. 090144-EI, which the Commission will address as Item 10 at the May 19, 2009, Agenda Conference.

Upon further review, staff believes that the last sentence of the first full paragraph contained on page 7 of the staff recommendation should be stricken as it contains an inadvertent misstatement. The paragraph should be modified as shown below in legislative format:

ECR PEF's Petition for a Limited Proceeding for the Bartow Repowering Project CCL with its permissions of Section 300...

provisions, the Commission may, without proposed charges subject to refund, pending the outcome of the proposed charges subject to refund, pending the outcome of the proposed charges to go into effect by operation day suspension period, thus allowing the proposed charges to go into effect by operation of law. Under this course of action, however, the amounts collected by PEF would not be subject to refund.

Que of law. Under this course of action, however, the amounts collected by PEF would not be subject to refund.

Que of law of law of the issues, staff also requests permission to modify Issue 7 (close the docket issue), as shown below in legislative format:

This docket be closed? contains a tariff sheet listing its proposed charges. Thus, by filing a revised tariff sheet wang, flins

protest. Furthermore, Staff also recommends that if the order is protested, this docket

should be consolidated with Docket No. 090079-EI, PEF's rate base <u>rate</u> proceeding, in the interest of administrative efficiency, and given the congruence between the issues and parties in the two dockets. <u>If no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.</u> (Fleming)

Staff Analysis: Yes No. If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this tariff should remain in effect, with any revenues held subject to refund, pending review in the base rate proceeding in Docket No. 090079-EL resolution of the protest. Furthermore, Staff also recommends that if the order is protested, this docket should be consolidated with Docket No. 090079-EI, PEF's rate base rate proceeding, in the interest of administrative efficiency, and given the congruence between the issues and parties in the two dockets. If no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns regarding this request.

Thank you.