Marguerite McLean

090009-EI

OPC RCP SSC

SGA

ADM

CLK

From:

Jamie Whitlock [jwhitlock@enviroattorney.com]

Sent:

Thursday, August 13, 2009 4:25 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Bryan.Anderson@fpl.com; Lisa Bennett; jbrew@bbrslaw.com; Jennifer Brubaker; john.burnett@pgnmail.com; jessica.cano@fpl.com; Gary Davis; janusman@att.net; alex.glenn@pgnmail.com; mjh@talisman-intl.com; Ljacobs50@comcast.net; vkaufman@kagmlaw.com; paul.lewisjr@pgnmail.com; shayla.mcneill@tyndall.af.mil; imcwhirter@mac-law.com; RMiller@pcsphosphate.com; jmoyle@kagmlaw.com; Charles Rehwinkel;

eroach@mcguirewoods.com; Stright, Lisa; ataylor@bbrslaw.com; hthompson@talisman-intl.com; Tibbetts, Arlene; Jamie Whitlock; Anna Williams; Keino Young; Walls, J. Michael; Triplett, Dianne; Bernier, Matthew R.

Subject:

FPSC Docket 090009-EI: SACE Motion for Leave to Supplement Testimony

Attachments: Mtn Leave Supplement Gunersen Testimony e.pdf

Person Responsbile For Filing

James S. Whitlock Gary A. Davis & Associates 61 North Andrews Avenue PO Box 649 Hot Springs, NC 28779 Tel: (828) 622-0044 Fax: (828) 622-7610

jwhitlock@enviroattorney.com

- b. Docket No. 090009-EI, In Re: Nuclear Cost Recovery
- c. Filed on behalf of Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE)
- d. Total Pages =9
- e. SACE's Motion for Leave to Supplement Testimony of Arnold Gundersen

James S. Whitlock Gary A. Davis & Associates Attorneys at Law 61 North Andrews Avenue P.O. Box 649 Hot Springs, N.C. 28743

(P) (828) 622-0044

(F) (828) 622-7610

Email: jwhitlock@enviroattorney.com
Web: www.enviroattorney.com

The information contained in this electronic transmission is privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, do not read it. Please immediately notify the sender that you have received this communication in error and then destroy the documents.



Think Green! Please do not print this e-mail unless necessary

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 08440 AUG 138

8/13/2009

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear Plant Cost)	·
Recovery Clause)	DOCKET NO. 090009-EI
)	FILED: August 13, 2009
)	

SOUTHERN ALLIANCE FOR CLEAN ENERGY'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT TESTIMONY OF ARNOLD GUNDERSEN

The Southern Alliance for Clean Energy ("SACE"), by and through counsel, respectfully request leave to supplement the prefiled testimony of Arnold Gundersen, and in support thereof state:

- 1. On July 15, 2009, SACE timely filed the testimony and exhibits of Arnold Gundersen. In his prefiled testimony, Mr. Gundersen discussed, *inter alia*, the likelihood for scheduling delays and resulting uncertainty in the licensing of Progress Energy Florida's (PEF) Levy Units 1 and 2 AP 1000 reactors. Mr. Gundersen opined that these scheduling delays were due in part to uncertainty in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's ("NRC") COLA licensing process, NRC delays in approving the AP1000 standard design, as well as geological issues with the Levy County site.
- 2. On July 28, 2009, after the time Mr. Gundersen prepared his prefiled testimony and exhibits, the NRC issued a letter setting forth its written estimate of the projected review schedule for the PEF Levy COLA. In the letter, the NRC stated, *inter alia*, that NRC staff anticipated that the schedule for the Levy COLA licensing review, and the safety and environmental reviews in particular, will require additional time than what was originally anticipated. The letter also noted that the AP1000 design certification and the Vogtle R-COLA review schedules were recently revised.

DOCUMENT NO. DATE

08440-09 8/3/09

FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

- 3. This information was not available at the time Mr. Gundersen prepared, and SACE timely filed, his prefiled testimony. Therefore, Mr. Gundersen has prepared brief supplemental testimony discussing the July 28, 2009 NRC letter and attaching the letter as an exhibit thereto. This supplemental testimony involves no new opinions on the part of Mr. Gundersen; rather, it simply provides additional evidence for opinions previously offered by Mr. Gundersen in his prefiled testimony.
- 4. SACE submits that no party will be prejudiced by the granting of this Motion. Mr. Gundersen is making no changes to his original prefiled testimony, and further is not offering any new opinions not contained in his original testimony. Rather, he is simply offering new evidence which supports opinions he has previously offered. Furthermore, the July 28, 2009 letter was made an Exhibit to Mr. Gundersen's deposition testimony, and was further discussed at his deposition. Therefore, there will be no unfair surprise to any party, and ultimately granting this Motion will simply provide the Commission and the parties with information relevant and important to this proceeding with advance notice.
- 5. SACE has contacted the parties with respect to their position on this Motion. FPL and PEF have both indicated that they object to the Motion. OPC, PCS and Staff have all taken no position on the Motion.

WHEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, SACE requests that the Commission enter an Order granting leave to file the attached supplemental testimony and Exhibit of Arnold Gundersen.

This the 13th day of August, 2009.

/s/James S. Whitlock
James S. Whitlock
Gary A. Davis & Associates
61 North Andrews Avenue
PO Box 649
Hot Springs, NC 28779
(828) 622-0044

Counsel for SACE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 090009

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT TESTIMONY has been furnished by electronic mail (e-mail) and/or U.S. Mail this the 13th day of August, 2009.

Captain Shayla L. McNeill AFLOA/JACL-ULT AFCESA 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1 Tyndall Air Force Base, FL 32403 shayla.mcneill@tyndall.af.mil

Brickfield Law Firm

James W. Brew/F. Alvin Taylor 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007 jbrew@bbrslaw.com

Carlton Fields Law Firm

J. Michael Walls/Diane M. Tripplett P. O. Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239 mwalls@carltonfields.com

Florida Power & Light Company

Mr. Wade Litchfield 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859 wade_litchfield@fpl.com

Office of Public Counsel

J. R. Kelly/C. Beck/C. Rehwinkel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

PCS Administration (USA), Inc.

Karin S. Torain
Suit 400
1101 Skokie Boulevard
Northbrook IL 60062
KSTorain@potashcorp.com

Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr. 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 paul.lewisjr@pgnmail.com

Progress Energy Service Company, LLC

John T. Burnett/R. Alexander Glenn P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042 john.burnett@pgnmail.com

Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, Inc.

c/o Williams Law Firm
E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
1720 S. Gadsden Street MS 14, Suite 20
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Ljacobs50@comcast.net

White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.

Randy B. Miller
P. O. Box 300
White Springs, FL 32096
RMiller@pcsphosphate.com

Florida Industrial Power Users Group

John W. McWhirter, Jr. c/o McWhirter Law Firm

P. O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601 jmcwhirter@mac-law.com

Honorable Charles S. Dean Senate Majority Whip 311 Senate Office Building

404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Hanarahla Milza Fasana

Honorable Mike Fasano 8217 Massachusetts Ave. New Port Richey, FL 34653

Keefe Law Firm

Vicki Gordon Kaufmann/Jon C. Moyle, Jr. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 vkaufman@kagmlaw.com

Mark Ballast

2965 LongbrookeWay Clearwater, FL 33760 X7525w@yahoo.com

Saporito Energy Consultants

Thomas Saporito
P. O. Box 8413
Jupiter, FL 33468-8413
SaporitoEnergyConsultants@gmail.com

/s/James S. Whitlock
James S. Whitlock
Counsel for SACE

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear Plant Cost)	
Recovery Clause)	DOCKET NO. 090009-EI
)	FILED: August 13, 2009
)	

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF ARNOLD GUNDERSEN

ON BEHALF OF SOUTHERN ALLIANCE FOR CLEAN ENERGY (SACE)

E. LEON JACOBS, JR. Florida Bar No. 0714682 WILLIAMS & JACOBS, LLC 1720 S. Gadsden Street MS 14 Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel: (850) 222-1246 Fax: (850) 599-9079

Email: ljacobs50@comcast.net

GARY A. DAVIS
N.C. Bar No. 25976
JAMES S. WHITLOCK
N.C. Bar. No. 34304
GARY A. DAVIS & ASSOCIATES
PO Box 649
Hot Springs, NC 28779
Tel: (828) 622-0044
Fax: (828) 622-7610
Email: gadavis@enviroattorney.com

Email: gadavis@enviroattorney.co jwhitlock@enviroattorney.com

08440 AUG 138

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1	IN RE: NUCLEAR PLANT COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
2	BY THE SOUTHERN ALLIANCE FOR CLEAN ENERGY
3	FPSC DOCKET NO. 090009-EI
4	
5	SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF
6	ARNOLD GUNDERSEN
7	
8	I. INTRODUCTION
9	Q. Please state your name and business address.
10	A. My name is Arnold Gundersen. My business address is Fairewinds Associates, Inc,
11	376 Appletree Point Road, Burlington, VT 05408.
12	
13	Q. Are you the same Arnold Gundersen who previously provided prefiled
14	testimony in the above captioned matter regarding the likelihood of scheduling
15	delays at Levy County Units 1 and 2 and Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 on July 15,
16	2009?
17	A. Yes.
18	
19	II. PURPOSE OF SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY
20	Q. Why do you now wish to supplement your prefiled testimony of July 15, 2009?
21	A. I have been retained by the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE) to evaluate
22	the potential for scheduling delays and resulting uncertainty in the licensing and
23	construction of four AP 1000 reactors proposed for construction in Florida by Progress
24	Energy Florida (PEF) (Levy Units 1 and 2) and Florida Power and Light (FPL) (Turkey
	THE PROPERTY OF

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08440 AUG 138

2	feasibility of completion of these reactors.
3	On July 15, 2009, I testified to the likelihood for scheduling delays and resulting
4	uncertainty in the licensing of Progress Energy Florida's (PEF) Levy Units 1 and 2 AP
5	1000 reactors. In my testimony, I opined that scheduling delays were due in part to
6	uncertainty in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's ("NRC") COLA licensing process,
7	NRC delays in approving the AP1000 standard design, as well as geological issues with
8	the Levy County site.
9	On July 28, 2009, almost two weeks after my prefiled testimony was submitted, the
10	Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a letter, attached as Exhibit AG-9, setting
11	forth its written estimate of the projected review schedule for the PEF Levy COLA. This
12	NRC staff letter, pursuant to a July 10, 2009 Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB)
13	Order, provides further evidence for and supports my original testimony.
14	
15	Q. Are you sponsoring any Exhibits to your Supplemental Testimony?
16	A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following Exhibits:
17	AG-9. NRC Scheduling Letter 7-28-09
18	
19	III. SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY
20	Q. How does the July 28, 2009 NRC letter marked Exhibit AG-9 support your
21	original July 15, 2009 testimony in this case?
22	A. As referenced above, I previously testified as to the likelihood for scheduling delays
23	and resulting uncertainty in the licensing of Progress Energy Florida's (PEF) Levy Units
24	1 and 2 AP 1000 reactors. I further testified that there was uncertainty due to the possible

1 Point Units 6 and 7), and the effect of these delays and uncertainty on the long-term

1	unique geological issues with the Levy County site. Finally, I testified as to additional
2	scheduling uncertainty in regards to the AP1000 reference site.
3	
4	In its letter to ASLB, NRC staff states it is anticipated that the schedule for the Levy
5	COLA licensing review, and the safety and environmental reviews in particular, will
6	require additional time than what was originally anticipated. This is based in part on
7	geotechnical review of the proposed Levy site by the NRC. The letter also noted that the
8	AP1000 design certification and the Vogtle R-COLA review schedules were recently
9	revised.
10	
11	Therefore, Exhibit AG-9 supports my prefiled testimony that "the scheduling
12	assumptions used for the four AP 1000 reactors proposed to be constructed in Florida are
13	not prudent, as there appears to be no contingency for events which are highly likely to
14	occur. In my opinion, neither FPL nor PEF have shown the long-term feasibility of
15	completing these reactors, and that these very optimistic schedules are even achievable
16	and it is most likely that cost overruns and schedule delays are unavoidable." [Page 21
17	Line 8 Gundersen Prefiled Testimony]
18	
19	Q: Does this conclude this supplemental testimony?
20	A: Yes.