## Marguerite McLean

100136 - EI

From:

Costello, Jeanne [jcostello@carltonfields.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2010 10:49 AM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Caroline Klancke; Charles Rehwinkel; rick@rmelsonlaw.com; paul.lewisjr@pgnmail.com; john.burnett@pgnmail.com; alex.glenn@pgnmail.com; Walls, J. Michael; Huhta, Blaise N.

Subject:

Docket 100136-EI

Attachments:

Docket 100136 PEF Request for Clarification.pdf



< Docket 100136 PEF Request for Clarification.pdf>> Docket 100136-EI Petition for approval of an accounting order to record a depreciation expense credit, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Attached for filing is a copy of correspondence (2 pages) to Staff Counsel requesting clarification of data request numbers 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19.

This filing/request is made on behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc. by

Jeanne Costello on behalf of Mike Walls Carlton Fields, P.A. 4221 W. Boy Scout Boulevard, Suite 1000
Tampa, Florida 33607-5780
direct 813.229.4917
fax 813.229.4133
jcostello@carltonfields.com
www.carltonfields.com

DOCUMENT NO. DATE

## CARLTON FIELDS

James Michael Walls 813.229.4257 direct

mwalls@carltonfields.com

ST. PETERSBURG TAMPA

WEST PALM BEACH

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4221 W. Boy Scout Boulevard Suite 1000 Tampa, Florida 33607-5780

P.O. Box 3239 Tampa, Florida 33601-3239

813.223.7000 813.229.4133 fax www.carltonfields.com

March 30, 2010

Caroline Klancke Senior Attorney Office of the General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

VIA E-MAIL **AND US MAIL** 

Re:

Docket No. 100136-El - Petition for approval of an accounting order to record a depreciation expense credit, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Dear: Ms. Klancke,

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF" or the "Company") received Staff's First Data Request in the above-referenced docket and needs clarification for some of the data requests to better understand what the Commission staff is requesting so that PEF can appropriately respond to these data requests. These requests are included below, identified by their numerical number in Staff's First Data Request, and PEF's clarification request is included below the data request.

Please provide a copy of PEF's approved 2010 budget for rate base and net operating 15. income on both a system and jurisdictional basis.

What does Staff mean by "PEF's 2010 approved budget?"

Please provide a copy of any updates to the 2010 budget for rate base and net operating 16. income on both a system and jurisdictional basis.

What does Staff mean by "updates to the 2010 budget?"

17. For the months available, please provide a monthly comparison between the budgeted and actual results for 2010 for rate base and net operating income on both a system and jurisdictional basis.

What does Staff mean by "budgeted" results for 2010? Are the references to "budget" numbers in data requests 15, 16, 17 the same?

> DOCUMENT NO. DATE

02274-10 3/30/10 TPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

16609718.1

With respect to the request for "actual results" for 2010 PEF received approval for an extension of time until April 15, 2010 to file its January surveillance report and will have difficulty meeting that deadline. Is the Commission Staff now asking for this information in response to this data request by April 8, 2010 or can PEF provide this information in accordance with its current extension for filing its January 2010 surveillance report?

18. Based on PEF's approved 2010 budget, please provide a calculation of the December 2010 "FPSC Adjusted" average rate base, net operating income, cost of capital and return on equity utilizing the same format as required in the earnings surveillance report.

It appears to PEF that data request 18 seeks the same information that was requested in data request 15. Is data request 18 different from data request 15?

19. Based on any updates to PEF's approved 2010 budget, please provide a calculation of the December 2010 "FPSC Adjusted" average rate base, net operating income, cost of capital and return on equity utilizing the same format as required in the earnings surveillance report.

It appears to PEF that data request 19 seeks the same information that was requested in data requests 16 and 17. Is data request 19 different from data requests 16 and 17? Also, to the extent data request 19 is similar to data request 17, PEF seeks the same clarification regarding the existing extension for filing its January 2010 surveillance report that PEF raises above with respect to data request 17.

Thank you for your attention to PEF's request for clarification of Staff's First Data Request.

Sincerely,

James Michael Walls

Cc: All parties of record