IN RE: NUCLEAR POWER PLANT COST RECOVERY CLAUSE Docket No. 100009-EI Submitted for Filing: April 22, 2010

NOTICE OF FILING AFFIDAVITS IN SUPPORT OF PEF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Notice is hereby given, through the undersigned counsel, on behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") of affidavits in support of Progress Energy Florida's Second Request for Confidential Classification as to PEF's responses to Citizens' First Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 1-43) and First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-7) as follows:

- 1. Affidavit of Sue Hardison;
- 2. Affidavit of John Elnitsky; and
- 3. Affidavit of Raymond Phillips.

COMMISSION

RECEIVED-FPSC

Respectfully submitted,

James Michael Walls Florida Bar No. 0706242 Blaise N. Huhta Florida Bar No. 0027942 Matthew R. Bernier Florida Bar No. 0059886 CARLTON FIELDS, P.A. Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239 Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 03152 APR 22 2 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

General Counsel John Burnett Associate General Counsel Dianne M. Triplett Associate General Counsel PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042 Telephone: (727) 820-5587 COM Facsimile: (727) 820-5519 APA ECR GCL RAD SSC ADM OPC

R. Alexander Glenn

CLK 16728967.1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to counsel and parties of record as indicated below via electronic and U.S. Mail this \mathcal{Y}_{day} day of April, 2010.

Attorney

Anna Williams Lisa Bennett Keino Young Staff Attorney Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee 32399 Phone: (850) 413-6218 Facsimile: (850) 413-6184 Email: anwillia@psc.state.fl.us <u>lbennett@psc.state.fl.us</u> kyoung@psc.state.fl.us

Vicki G. Kaufman Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Keefe Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828 Fax: (850) 681-8788 Email: <u>vkaufman@kagmlaw.com</u> <u>imoyle@kagmlaw.com</u>

John W. McWhirter McWhirter Law Firm 400 North Tampa Street, Ste. 2450 Tampa, FL 33602 Phone: (813) 224-0866 Facsimile: (813) 221-1854 Email: jmcwhirter@mac-law.com Charles Rehwinkel Associate Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Phone: (850) 488-9330 Email: rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

Bryan S. Anderson Jessica Cano Florida Power & Light 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 Phone: (561) 691-7101 Facsimile: (561) 691-7135 Email: <u>bryan.anderson@fpl.com</u> Jessica.cano@fpl.com

James W. Brew Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson St NW 8th FL West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201 Phone: (202) 342-0800 Fax: (202) 342-0807 Email: jbrew@bbrslaw.com Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr. Progress Energy Florida, Inc. 106 East College Avenue, Ste. 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740 Phone: (850) 222-8738 Facsimile: (850) 222-9768 Email: paul.lewisjr@pgnmail.com

Captain Shayla L. McNeill Air Force Legal Operations Agency (AFLOA) Utility Litigation Field Support Center (ULFSC) 139 Barnes Drive, Ste. 1 Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5319 Phone: (850) 283-6663 Facsimile: (850) 283-6219 Email: shayla.mcneill@tyndall.af.mil Randy B. Miller White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. PO Box 300 White Springs, FL 32096 Email: RMiller@pscphosphate.com

In re: Nuclear Power Plant Cost Recovery Clause Docket No. 100009-EI April <u>,</u> 2010

AFFIDAVIT OF SUE HARDISON IN SUPPORT OF PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION REGARDING ITS RESPONSES TO CITIZENS' FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND FIRST SET OF INTRROGATORIES

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority duly authorized to administer oaths, personally appeared Sue Hardison, who being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says that:

1. My name is Sue Hardison. I am over the age of 18 years and I have been authorized by Progress Energy Florida (hereinafter "PEF" or the "Company") to give this affidavit in the above-styled proceeding on PEF's behalf and in support of PEF's Request for Confidential Classification (the "Request"). The facts attested to in my affidavit are based upon my personal knowledge.

2. As General Manager - Corporate Development Group Business Services, I am responsible for financial services for the Corporate Development Group, including budgeting, capital planning and cost management. I am also responsible for project controls and contract administration for the Corporate Development Group.

3. PEF is seeking confidential classification for portions of its Responses to Citizens' First Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 1-43), specifically numbers 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40, 41, 42 and

0 3 1 5 2 APR 22 2

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

43, and Citizens' First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-7), specifically numbers 6 and 7 (collectively, the "Responses"). A detailed description of the confidential information at issue is contained in confidential Attachment A to PEF's Request and is outlined in PEF's Justification Matrix that is attached to PEF's Request as Appendix C.

4. The Company is requesting confidential classification of this information because the documents and information sought contain and include proprietary and confidential information that would impair PEF's competitive business interests if publicly disclosed, as well as information concerning bids or other contractual data the disclosure of which would impair the Company's ability to contract on favorable terms and, in many cases, the information constitutes trade secrets of the Company and its contract partners. In many instances, the disclosure of this information would violate contractual confidentiality provisions. Further, the information requested also contains internal auditing reports and controls, the disclosure of which would impair the Company's ability to successfully perform internal audits by inhibiting full and candid disclosure to internal auditors.

5. In addition, public disclosure of these documents would allow other parties to discover how the Company analyzes risk options, scheduling, and cost, and would thus impair PEF's ability to contract for such goods and services on competitive and favorable terms. If this information was disclosed to the public, it could adversely impact PEF's competitive interests. Portions of these documents reflect the Company's internal strategies for evaluating projects and meeting deadlines. If such information was disclosed to PEF's competitive and/or other potential suppliers, PEF's efforts to obtain competitive nuclear equipment and service options that provide economic value to both the Company and its ratepayers could be compromised by

2

the Company's competitors and/or suppliers changing their offers, consumption, or purchasing behavior within the relevant markets.

6. PEF must be able to assure these vendors that sensitive business information, such as the terms of their contracts, will be kept confidential. Indeed, as discussed above, some of the contracts at issue contain confidentiality provisions that prohibit the disclosure of the terms of the contract to third parties. Specifically, the information at issue relates to competitively negotiated contractual data, such as quantity and pricing of goods and services, and other contractual terms, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the Company to negotiate these contracts on favorable terms. If other third parties were made aware of confidential contractual terms that PEF has with other parties, they may offer less competitive contractual terms in future contractual negotiations. Without the Company's measures to maintain the confidentiality of sensitive terms in contracts with these nuclear contractors, the Company's efforts to obtain competitive contracts could be undermined to the detriment of PEF and its ratepayers.

7. Upon receipt of all this confidential information, and with its own confidential information, strict procedures are established and followed to maintain the confidentiality of the terms of the documents and information provided, including restricting access to those persons who need the information to assist the Company. At no time since negotiating and receiving the contracts and doing the project analyses in question has the Company publicly disclosed that information or the terms of the contracts at issue. The Company has treated and continues to treat the information at issue as confidential.

8. This concludes my affidavit.

3

Further affiant sayeth not.

Dated this 14th day of April, 2010.

^(Signature) Sue Hardison General Manager – Corporate Development Group Business Services

THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT was sworn to and subscribed before me this \underline{H} day of April, 2010 by Sue Hardison. She is personally known to me, or has produced her

driver's license, or her

In wake County

(AFFIX NOTARIAL SEAL)

DAWN M. BISSON Notary Public, North Carolina Wake County My Commission Expires May 03, 2011

M Bisson aun (Printed Name)

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF North Carolina

as identification.

(Commission Expiration Date) 1/ R

(Serial Number, If Any)

In re: Nuclear Power Plant Cost Recovery Clause Docket No. 100009-EI April <u>20</u>, 2010

AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN ELNITSKY IN SUPPORT OF PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION REGARDING ITS RESPONSES TO CITIZENS' FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND FIRST SET OF INTROGATORIES

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF PINELLAS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority duly authorized to administer oaths, personally appeared John Elnitsky, who being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says that:

1. My name is John Elnitsky. I am over the age of 18 years and I have been authorized by Progress Energy Florida (hereinafter "PEF" or the "Company") to give this affidavit in the above-styled proceeding on PEF's behalf and in support of PEF's Request for Confidential Classification (the "Request"). The facts attested to in my affidavit are based upon my personal knowledge.

2. As Vice President of the Nuclear Plant Development ("NPD") department, I am responsible for the licensing and construction of the Levy Nuclear Project ("LNP"), including the direct management of the Engineering, Procurement, and Construction ("EPC") agreement with Westinghouse and Shaw, Stone & Webster (the "Consortium"). The Company reorganized the NPD in May 2009 to focus NPD on overall program management of the LNP including the associated base load transmission projects. The revised NPD Program Management Team (PMT) included the nuclear plant licensing, engineering, construction, operational readiness, and base load transmission sections and matrixed support from representatives of project controls,

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE 0 3 1 5 2 APR 22 2 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERA

business and financial services, and contract management and administration.

3. PEF is seeking confidential classification for portions of its Responses to Citizens' First Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 1-43), specifically numbers 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40, 41, 42 and 43, and Citizens' First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-7), specifically numbers 6 and 7 (collectively, the "Responses"). A detailed description of the confidential information at issue is contained in confidential Attachment A to PEF's Request and is outlined in PEF's Justification Matrix that is attached to PEF's Request as Appendix C.

4. The Company is requesting confidential classification of this information because the documents and information sought include proprietary and confidential information that would impair PEF's competitive business interests if publicly disclosed. The information sought also includes bids, other contractual data, and trade secrets of the Company and its contract partners, the disclosure of which would impair the Company's ability to contract on favorable terms in the future. In many instances, the disclosure of this information would also violate contractual confidentiality provisions. Further, the information requested also contains internal auditing reports and controls, the disclosure of which would impair the Company's ability to successfully perform internal audits by inhibiting full and candid disclosure to internal auditors.

5. The public disclosure of these documents would allow other parties to discover how the Company analyzes risk options, scheduling, and cost, and would impair PEF's ability to contract for such goods and services on competitive and favorable terms. Portions of these documents reflect the Company's internal strategies for evaluating projects and meeting deadlines. If such information was disclosed to PEF's competitors and/or other potential suppliers, PEF's efforts to obtain competitive nuclear equipment and service options that provide economic value to both the Company and its ratepayers could be compromised by the

2

Company's competitors and/or suppliers potentially changing their offers, consumption, or purchasing behavior within the relevant markets.

6. PEF must be able to assure vendors that sensitive business information, such as the terms of their contracts, will be kept confidential. Additionally, as discussed above, some of the contracts at issue contain confidentiality provisions that prohibit the disclosure of the terms of the contract to third parties. The information at issue relates to competitively negotiated contractual data, such as quantity and pricing of goods and services, and other contractual terms, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the Company to negotiate these contracts on favorable terms. If other third parties were made aware of confidential contractual terms that PEF has with other parties, they may offer less competitive contractual terms in future contractual negotiations.

7. Upon receipt of confidential information, strict procedures are established and followed to maintain the confidentiality of the terms of the documents and information provided, including restricting access to those persons who need the information to assist the Company. At no time since negotiating and receiving the contracts and conducting the project analyses in question has the Company publicly disclosed the information or the terms of the contracts at issue. The Company has treated and continues to treat the information at issue as confidential.

8. This concludes my affidavit.

Further affiant sayeth not.

Dated this $2 \odot$ day of April, 2010.

(Signature)

John Elnitsky, Vice President of the Nuclear Plant Development

THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT was sworn to and subscribed before me this $\frac{2}{20}^{4}$ day of April, 2010 by John Elnitsky. He is personally known to me, or has produced his

driver's license, or his ______as identification.

Sain dæs (Signature) Godsey-BAUR A. Joanne (Printed Name) NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF Florida 08 20 ust (Commission(Expiration Date) D.D. 7024 (Serial Number, If Any)

(AFFIX NOTARIAL SEAL)

In re: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause

Docket No: 100009-EI Submitted for Filing: April 2/, 2010

AFFIDAVIT OF RAYMOND PHILLIPS IN SUPPORT OF PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA'S SECOND REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF <u>Pinellas</u>

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority duly authorized to administer oaths, personally appeared Raymond Phillips, who being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says that:

1. My name is Raymond Phillips. I am over the age of 18 years old and I have been authorized by Progress Energy Florida (hereinafter "PEF" or the "Company") to give this affidavit in the above-styled proceeding on PEF's behalf and in support of PEF's Request for Confidential Classification. The facts attested to in my affidavit are based upon my personal knowledge.

2. I am an Audit Manager for Progress Energy (PGN). This department manages internal audits conducted by the Progress Energy on various projects. Within the PGN internal audit department, I am the Audit Manager primarily responsible for audits of Progress Energy Florida. As the Florida Audit Manager, I am responsible for the completion of internal audits scheduled for Company projects. I also have knowledge as to the Company's internal auditing controls and how PGN carries out the process of conducting internal audits.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 03152 APR 22 = FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

3. PEF is seeking confidential classification for portions of responsive documents to OPC's First Request for Production of Documents, and OPC's First Set of Interrogatories. A detailed description of the confidential information at issue is contained in confidential Attachment A to PEF's Second Request for Confidential Classification and is outlined in PEF's Justification Matrix that is attached to PEF's Second Request for Confidential Classification as Attachment C. PEF is requesting confidential classification of these documents because they include internal audit reports and controls, confidential and proprietary contractual information, and other information related to the Company's competitive business interests, the disclosure of which would compromise PEF's competitive business interests.

4. Specifically, portions of these responsive documents contain internal audit reports and workpapers that resulted from the internal audit of the Company's various projects. PEF is requesting confidential classification of these reports and workpapers because public disclosure of the documents and information in question would compromise PEF's ability to effectively audit the Company's major projects. If the Company were to know that its internal auditing controls and process were subject to public disclosure, it would compromise the level of cooperation needed with auditors to efficiently conduct audits. In addition, such information and documents are specifically defined by Section 366.093(3)(b) as proprietary confidential business information that is entitled to confidential status.

5. Upon receipt of this confidential information, and with its own confidential information, strict procedures are established and followed to maintain the confidentiality of the documents and information provided, including restricting access to those persons who need the information to assist the Company, and restricting the number of, and access to the information. At no time since receiving the information in question has the Company publicly disclosed that

information. The Company has treated and continues to treat the information at issue as confidential.

6. This concludes my affidavit.

Further affiant sayeth not.

Dated the 2/ day of April, 2010.

Raymond Phillips Progress Energy Services Company, LLC

THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT was sworn to and subscribed before me this 2/2 day of april, 2010, by Raymond Phillips. He is personally known to me, or has produced his <u>Florida</u> driver's license, or his ______as identification.

(Signature)

(AFFIX NOTARIAL SEAL)

Eileen M. Clark (Printed Name nmission # DD593488 NOTARY Expires September 10, 2010 Bonded Troy Fain meurance, Inc. 800-385-7019

(Commission Expiration Date)

(Serial Number, If Any)