From: matthew.feil@akerman.com
Sent: $\quad$ Friday, April 30, 2010 3:35 PM
To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc: Charles Murphy; mg2708@att.com; th9467@att.com; paul.guarisco@phelps.com; jimdry@newphone.com; nicki.garcia@akerman.com
Subject: RE: Electronic Filing - Docket No. 100022-TP
Attachments: 20100430152941009.pdf

Attached is an electronic filing for the docket referenced below. If you have any questions, please contact either Matt Feil or Nicki Garcia at the numbers below. Thank you.

## Person Responsible for Filing:

Matthew Feil
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
106 East College Avenue, Suite 1200
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(850) 425-1614 (direct)
(850) 222-0103 (main)
matt.feil@akerman.com
Docket No. and Name: Docket No. 100022-TP - in Re: Complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT\&T Florida Against Image Access, Inc. d/b/a NewPhone

Filed on behalf of: NewPhone
Total Number of Pages: 5 (including cover letter)
Description of Documents: NewPhone's Reply to AT\&T Response to Motion to Dismiss or Stay

## Nicki Garcia

Office of:
Lila A. Jaber
Mathew Feil

## Akerman Senterfitt

106 East College Avenue, Suite 1200
Tallahassee, FL 32301
(850) 425-1677

Nicki.Garcia@Akerman.com
www akerman.com | Bio | V Card

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information contained in this transmission may be privileged and confidential information, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately reply to the sender that you have received this communication in error and then delete it. Thank you.

CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: To comply with U.S. Treasury Department and IRS regulations, we are required to advise you that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this transmittal, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any person for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed in this e-mail or attachment.

## Dallas

Denver
Forl Landerdale
lacksonville
Los Angeles
Madison
Miami
New York
Orlando
Tatlahassee
Tampa
Tysons Corner
Washington, DC
West Palm Beach

Suite 1200
106 East College Avenue
Tallahassee, FL 32301
www.akerman.com
8502249634 fel 8502220103 far

April 30, 2010

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Ann Cole
Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399

## Re: Docket 100022-TP - Complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a AT\&T Florida Against Image Access, luc. d/b/a NewPhone

Dear Ms. Cole:
Attached for filing in the referenced Docket, please lind Image Access, Inc. $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}$ NewPhone's Reply to AT\&T's Response to Motion to Dismiss or Stay.

Your assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.


## STATE OF FLORIDA

## PUBLIC SERVICE COMIMISSION

In Re: Complaint of BellSouth Telecom- ) munications, Inc., d/b/a AT\&T Florida )

Docket No. 100022-TP
Against Image Access, Inc. d/b/a ) NewPhone )

## NEWPHONE'S REPLY TO AT\&T'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMIISS AND/OR STAY

Image Access, Inc. $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}$ NewPhone ("NewPhone") hereby files the following Reply to the Response ("Response") filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT\&T Southeast d/b/a AT\&T Florida ("AT\&T") on April 9, 2010, to NewPhone's Motion to Dismiss and/or Stay. ${ }^{1}$ NewPhone maintains that this Reply is permissible and necessary because AT\&T's Response makes a request for affirmative relief (in effect, a motion) for security, as addressed in the body of this Reply. To the extent that the Commission deems it necessary for NewPhone to move for leave to file this Reply ${ }^{2}$ under its rules, NewPhone so moves pursuant to Rule 28106.204, Florida Administrative Code. In support hereof, NewPhone states as follows:

1. AT\&T asks that the Commission require NewPhone to deposit into escrow the full amount which AT\&T claims in its Complaint should the Commission decide to delay these proceedings. ${ }^{3}$.NewPhone opposes any escrow requirement. AT\&T's request is in direct contravention of the applicable provisions of the parties' Interconnection Agreement, which allow the billed party (here, NewPhone) to withhold payment of disputed amounts pending a
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resolution of a billing dispute. ${ }^{4}$ Requiring NewPhone to escrow any amount subject to a billing dispute would be contrary to the parties Interconnection Agreement as filed with and approved by this Commission. There is no basis in law (and AT\&T cites to none) which allows AT\&T or this Commission to unilaterally amend the parties’ approved Interconnection Agreement by engrafting an escrow requirement for amounts in dispute between the parties. The only reason which AT\&T offers in support of its escrow request is that AT\&T is "concerned that the resellers will not be able to pay any amounts they ultimately will be found to owe."5 NewPhone has denied that it owes AT\&T any of the disputed amounts. Moreover, AT\&T has not proffered a scintilla of evidence to support its alleged claims, or the amounts allegedly due. Requiring NewPhone to deposit the amount claimed by AT\&T would financially harm NewPhone, considering NewPhone ultimately should not be required to pay any portion of the amounts properly withheld by NewPhone that are the subject of AT\&T's Complaint. Accordingly, should the Commission decide to dismiss or stay this proceeding, it must do so without any requirement to escrow the amounts in dispute.

Respectfully submitted this $30^{\text {th }}$ day of April, 2010.
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Paul F. Guarisco (LA Bar Roll No. 22070)
W. Bradley Kline (LA Bar Roll No. 32530)

PHELPS DUNBAR LLP
II City Plaza, 400 Convention Street, Suite 1100
Post Office Box 4412
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821
Telephone: (225) 376-0241
Facsimile: (225) 381-9197
paul.guarisco@phelps.com
COUNSEL FOR IMAGE ACCESS, INC. d/b/a NEWPHONE

## CERTIIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following by email, and/or U.S. Mail this $30^{\text {th }}$ day of April, 2010.

| Charles Murphy, Esq. | E. Earl Edenfield, Jr. <br> Jamie Morrow, Esq. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tracy W. Hatch |  |
| Office of the General Counsel | Manuel A. Guardian |
| Florida Public Service Commission | c/o Gregory R. Follensbee |
| 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard | 150 South Monroe Street |
| Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 |  |
| cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us |  |
| jmorrow@psc.state.fl.us | Suite 400 |
|  | Tallahassee, FL 32301 |
| mg2708@att.com |  |
| th9467@att.com |  |
| Paul F. Guarisco |  |
| Phelps Dunbar LLP | Jim Dry |
| II City Plaza | President |
| 400 Convention Street-Suite 1100 | Image Access, Inc. d/b/a NewPhone |
| P.O. Box 4412 | 5555 Hilton Avenue, Ste 605 |
| Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4412 | Baton Rouge, LA 70808 |
| paul.guarisco@phelps.com | jimdry@newphone.com |

By:



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Per Order No. PSC-10-0260-PCO-TP, issued April 26, 2010, this responsive pleading is timely filed.
    ${ }^{2}$ This Reply may could have been styled a "Response in Opposition to AT\&T's Request for Relief," but for ease in tracking purposes, NewPhone designated it as a "Reply."
    ${ }^{3}$ See Response p. 10.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Interconnection Agreement, Attachment 7 Section 2.2.
    ${ }^{5} I d$.

