

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for staff-assisted rate case in  
Lee County by Mobile Manor Water  
Company, Inc. | DOCKET NO. 090170-WU  
ORDER NO. PSC-10-0299-AS-WU  
ISSUED: May 10, 2010

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

LISA POLAK EDGAR  
NATHAN A. SKOP  
BEN A. "STEVE" STEVENS III

FINAL ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

BY THE COMMISSION:

Background

Mobile Manor Water Company, Inc. (Utility or Mobile Manor) is a Class C utility serving 313 water customers in Lee County. According to the Utility's 2008 Annual Report, its total gross revenue was \$50,531 and its operating expenses were \$70,979.

Prior to this rate case, we last established rate base for the Utility pursuant to Order No. 13067.<sup>1</sup> The Utility changed its name from Mobile Manor, Inc. to Mobile Manor Water Company, Inc. on November 29, 2004.<sup>2</sup>

On April 6, 2009, we received the Utility's application for a staff-assisted rate case. In its application, the Utility requested interim rates. By Order No. PSC-09-0421-PCO-WU, we approved a 47.09 percent interim increase, subject to refund with interest.<sup>3</sup> Due to problems obtaining security, the Utility did not implement the interim rates until October 1, 2009.

A customer meeting was held on September 30, 2009. Our staff received letters before the meeting that the timing of the meeting precluded many customers from participating because they were still up north. Approximately 47 customers attended this meeting with a majority of them opposed to any rate increase.

<sup>1</sup> See Order No. 13067, issued March 3, 1984, in Docket No. 830402-W (TC), In re: Application for the transfer of Certificate No. 56-W from William P. and Peggy J. Bishop to Mobile Manor, Inc.

<sup>2</sup> See Order No. PSC-04-1104-FOF-WU, issued November 8, 2004, in Docket No. 040602-WU, In re: Application for name change on Certificate No. 56-W in Lee County from Mobile Manor, Inc. to Mobile Manor Water Company, Inc.

<sup>3</sup> See Order No. PSC-09-0421-PCO-WU, issued June 15, 2009, in Docket No. 090170-WU, In re: Application for staff-assisted rate case in Lee County by Mobile Manor Water Company, Inc.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
03916 MAY 10 09  
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

At the November 10, 2009, Agenda Conference, we proposed to approve a 4.61 percent across-the-board increase over the rates in effect prior to filing.<sup>4</sup> However, before the proposed agency action order (PAA Order) approving the increased rates could become final, we received a timely protest and request for evidentiary proceedings (Protest) on December 21, 2009. That Protest had approximately 156 separate signatures representing approximately 109 residential connections. The customers raised four issues and actually requested that a larger rate increase be allowed above the approved PAA rates. In response to this Protest, on December 23, 2009, we received a petition (Responsive Petition) signed by 56 customers (non-protesting customers) which opposed any additional increase above and beyond that which was proposed in the PAA Order. This Responsive Petition addressed three of the four issues raised by the protestors.

Based on the timely Protest, the PAA Order never became final, and our staff noticed and scheduled an issue Identification/Settlement Meeting for January 29, 2010. Approximately 130 customers attended this meeting.<sup>5</sup> After discussing the issues raised by the protesting customers, a discussion concerning settlement of the case ensued. After much discussion and after approximately 30 customers had already left, a settlement was proposed with approximately 91 customers being in favor of it and 9 customers still apparently opposed to any additional increase. The customers requested time to have the proposed settlement reduced to writing and the necessary signatures obtained.

On March 9, 2010,<sup>6</sup> our staff counsel sent letters to all customers who had signed the original Protest as well as all customers who had signed the Responsive Petition to determine if any customers wanted to participate as a party and pursue a formal hearing. Only one customer, Mr. Tom Hawkins,<sup>7</sup> responded that he would like to participate as a party.

On March 11, 2010, we received a proposed Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Agreement on behalf of the protesting customers and some of the non-protesting customers is incorporated in this Order as Attachment A. However, prior to receiving the Settlement Agreement, we received a petition in opposition to the proposed Settlement Agreement.<sup>8</sup> The following table illustrates how many customers were represented in the Protest, the Responsive Petition, the Settlement Agreement, and the opposition to the Settlement Agreement.

---

<sup>4</sup> See Order No. PSC-09-0790-PAA-WU (PAA Order), issued November 30, 2009, in Docket No. 090170-WU, In re: Application for staff-assisted rate case in Lee County by Mobile Manor Water Company, Inc.

<sup>5</sup> All customers were given notice of the meeting.

<sup>6</sup> Because a significant number of letters were returned (23 letters were returned as undeliverable), staff counsel obtained the appropriate addresses and sent out a second set of letters asking if the remaining customers wanted to be considered as parties.

<sup>7</sup> He is the one who obtained the signatures of approximately 153 customers, and filed the Protest requesting increased rates.

<sup>8</sup> Petition in opposition to the Settlement Agreement was received on March 2, 2010.

	<u>Protest</u>	<u>Responsive Petition</u>	<u>Settlement</u>	<u>Opposition to Settlement</u>
Customers	109	56	156	81
Signatures <sup>9</sup>	212	56	212	97

This Order addresses the proposed Settlement Agreement. We have the authority to consider this Settlement Agreement pursuant to Sections 367.011(2) and 367.0814, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

Settlement Agreement

As noted above, we proposed a 4.61 percent across-the-board increase over the rates in effect prior to filing. However, before that PAA Order could become final, we received a timely Protest on December 21, 2009. In that Protest, the customers raised four issues and actually requested that a larger increase be allowed above the approved PAA rates. The protesting customers objected to the following four issues in staff’s PAA Order: 1) Chemicals; 2) Contractual Services – Professional; 3) Contractual Services – Other; and 4) Rents. In response to this Protest, on December 23, 2009, we received a Responsive Petition signed by the non-protesting customers that opposed any additional increase, and addressed three of the four issues raised by the protestors. The customers who signed the Settlement Agreement, including Mr. Tom Hawkins who is currently the only party other than the Utility, proposed to settle the case which would resolve the four separate issues raised by the protestors. Further, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the customers agree that the Utility should be allowed to keep the escrowed funds.<sup>10</sup>

The customers who signed the Settlement Agreement believe the appropriate revenue requirement for the Utility is \$74,822. However, based on the Utility’s billing determinants, the settlement rates only generate a revenue requirement of \$71,603.<sup>11</sup> Our staff contacted the customers and informed them of the discrepancy of \$3,219 in revenues. The customers have agreed to forgo the \$3,219 in order to finalize this proceeding. The Settlement Agreement proposed a 70 percent allocation of the fee assessed by the Utility’s management company, Associa Benson’s Inc., to the Utility and 30 percent to Mobile Manor, Inc. (the non-utility

---

<sup>9</sup> Many customers of record own more than one property in Mobile Manor and for each petition, except the Responsive Petition, would list each property and sign for each property that they owned, i.e., some signatures would appear multiple times. The number of customers reflect how many separate residential connections out of a total of 313 were indicated on the petition. The number of signatures reflect the number of separate signatures and counts each inhabitants signature, e.g., husband and wife as a separate signature.

<sup>10</sup> Finally, in Paragraph 8 of the Settlement Agreement, the Utility “agrees not to file for any new rate case before March 1, 2012, except for price indexes and pass-throughs pursuant to Section 367.081(4), Florida Statutes, for the recovery of government-mandated improvements, and those agreed upon between Mobile Manor and its customers in the future.”

<sup>11</sup> The PAA Order found that the revenue requirement was \$61,792.

entity). Because the customers have agreed to forgo the additional revenues, this results in a management company fee allocation of 56 percent to the Utility and 44 percent to Mobile Manor, Inc.

Although some of the non-protesting customers now agree with the Settlement Agreement, the majority of non-protesting customers are opposed to the Settlement Agreement. However, as of the time of our vote on the Settlement Agreement, no customer other than Mr. Hawkins has filed either a petition requesting a formal hearing or a request that he or she be made a formal party. The customers opposing any additional increase dispute the prudence to hire the management company, Associa Benson's Inc. Further, the opposing customers believe that if all maintenance cannot be completed internally, then the Utility should be turned over to Lee County Utilities.

Based on the expenses being requested for approval by this Settlement Agreement, our staff did an analysis to determine the reasonableness of the expenses in comparison to other similarly situated Class C water utilities. Our staff compared the following expenses: Account 601 – Salaries and Wages-Employees; Account 603 – Salaries and Wages – Officers; Account 630 – Contractual Services – Billing; Account 631 – Contractual Services – Professional; and Account 636 – Contractual Services – Other. For those expenses, the range on a per equivalent residential connection (ERC) basis is \$72 to \$196, with an average of \$118 (See Attachment B). Mobile Manor's per ERC expense is \$91. Therefore, we find the expenses requested in the Settlement Agreement are reasonable.

Based on the above, we further find that the Settlement Agreement is a reasonable resolution to address all protested issues. Finally, we find that it is in the public interest for us to approve the Settlement Agreement because it promotes administrative efficiency and avoids the time and expense of a hearing. In keeping with our long-standing practice of encouraging parties to settle contested proceedings whenever possible,<sup>12</sup> we hereby approve the Settlement Agreement.

We also note that the customer base for the Utility is highly seasonal.<sup>13</sup> The average usage is approximately 3,000 gallons per month when all customers are present and utilizing the water system. Based on the average usage, the typical residential customer's bill will be as shown below:

<u>Typical Residential Bill</u>	<u>Rates Prior To Filing</u>	<u>Interim Rates</u>	<u>PAA Rates</u>	<u>Settlement Rates</u>
3,000 Gallons	\$25.66	\$37.75	\$26.85	\$29.06

<sup>12</sup> See Order Nos. PSC-07-0535-AS-WS, issued June 26, 2007, in Docket No. 060258-WS, In re: Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Seminole County by Sanlando Utilities Corp.; and PSC-06-0092-AS-WU, issued February 9, 2006, in Docket No. 000694-WU, In re: Petition by Water Management Services, Inc. for limited proceeding to increase water rates in Franklin County.; Order No. PSC-05-0956-PAA-SU, issued October 7, 2005, in Docket No. 050540-SU, In re: Settlement offer for possible overearnings in Marion County by BFF Corp.; and Order No. PSC-00-0374-S-EI, issued February 22, 2000, in Docket No. 990037-EI, In re: Petition of Tampa Electric Company to close Rate Schedules IS-3 and IST-3, and approve new Rate Schedules GSLM-2 and GSLM-3.

<sup>13</sup> All customers have 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch meters, and use an average of 1,400 gallons per month.

Analyzing the average usage, the difference between the PAA rates and the Settlement rates is \$2.21 per month.<sup>14</sup>

By May 19, 2010, the Utility shall file a proposed customer notice and revised tariff sheets which are consistent with our decision. The approved rates shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date of the tariff pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C., after staff has verified that the proposed customer notice is adequate, and the notice has been provided to the customers. The Utility shall provide proof that the customers have received notice within 10 days after the date of the notice. With this approval of the Settlement Agreement, the escrowed funds shall be released to the Utility.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the proposed Settlement Agreement shall be approved as set forth in the body of this Order. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the protesting customers' Petition on Proposed Agency Action shall be deemed resolved in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. It is further

ORDERED that Attachments A and B to this Order are incorporated herein by reference. It is further

ORDERED that by May 19, 2010, Mobile Manor Water Company, Inc., shall file a proposed customer notice and revised tariff sheets which are consistent with our decision. It is further

ORDERED that the approved rates shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date of the tariff pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C., and after our staff has verified that the proposed customer notice is adequate and the notice has been provided to the customers. It is further

ORDERED that Mobile Manor Water Company, Inc., shall provide proof that the customers have received notice within 10 days after the date of the notice. It is further

ORDERED that the escrowed funds from the collection of the interim rates shall be released to Mobile Manor Water Company, Inc. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed.

---

<sup>14</sup> The monthly difference between the rates prior to filing and the settlement rates would be \$3.40 (usage rate remains the same, so the only increase would be the \$3.40 for the base facility charge).

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 10th day of May, 2010.



---

ANN COLE  
Commission Clerk

( S E A L )

RRJ

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request:

- 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or
- 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of Commission Clerk, and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Application for staff-assisted rate  
case in Lee County by Mobile Manor  
Water Company, Inc. \_\_\_\_\_/

Docket No. 090170-WU

RECEIVED-FPSC  
10 MAR 11 AM 8:52  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

THIS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT is made and entered into this \_\_\_\_ February, 2010, by and among Mobile Manor Water Company, Inc. (Mobile Manor), the protesting customers of Mobile Manor, and the non-protesting customers of Mobile Manor.

**WITNESSETH**

WHEREAS, the Florida Public Service Commission (Commission) issued Proposed Agency Action Order No. PSC-09-0790-PAA-WU in this docket on November 30, 2009 (PAA Order); and

WHEREAS, on December 21, 2009, Mobile Manor and protesting customers filed a timely protest to the PAA Order;

WHEREAS, on December 23, 2009, fifty-five customers ("non-protesting customers") filed a letter in opposition to the increases sought by the protesting customers. The non-protesting customers did not request a hearing; and

WHEREAS, in order to avoid the time, expense and uncertainty associated with adversarial litigation, and in keeping with the Commission's long-standing policy and practice of encouraging parties in protested proceedings to settle issues whenever possible, Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers hereby enter into this Agreement to settle this case in accordance with the terms and conditions contained herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the mutual covenants set forth below, Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers agree as follows:

1. Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers have agreed that the appropriate utility rent allocation is 90 percent.

DOCUMENT NUMBER: DATE  
01657 03/11/10  
FPSC-09-0790-PAA-WU

2. Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers have agreed that the appropriate chemicals expense is \$32.

3. Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers have agreed that the appropriate contractual services - professional expense is \$1,485.

4. Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers have agreed that the appropriate utility allocation for Associa Bensons is 70 percent.

5. Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers have agreed that the appropriate revenue requirement is \$74,822 for water.

6. Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers agree that the appropriate rates are as follows:

MOBILE MANOR WATER COMPANY, INC.		DOCKET NO. 090170-WU			
TEST YEAR ENDING 12/31/08					
MONTHLY WATER RATES					
	RATES PRIOR TO FILING	COMMISSION APPROVED INTERIM	COMMISSION PROPOSED FINAL	SETTLEMENT RATES	MONTHLY RATE REDUCTION
<b>Residential and General Service</b>					
<u>Base Facility Charge by Meter</u>					
<u>Size:</u>					
5/8"X3/4"	\$6.94	-\$10.21	\$7.26	\$10.34	\$0.06
3/4"	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10.89	\$15.51	\$0.09
1"	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18.15	\$25.85	\$0.15
1-1/2"	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$36.30	\$51.70	\$0.30
2"	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$58.08	\$82.72	\$0.49
3"	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$116.16	\$165.44	\$0.97
4"	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$181.50	\$258.50	\$1.52
6"	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$363.00	\$517.00	\$3.04
<b>Residential Gallonage Charge</b>					
Gallonage Charge (all gallons)	\$6.24	\$9.18	\$6.53	\$6.24	
<b>General Service Gallonage Charge</b>					
Gallonage Charge (all gallons)	\$6.24	\$9.18	\$6.53	\$6.24	
<u>Typical Residential 5/8" x 3/4" Meter Bill Comparison</u>					
3,000 Gallons	\$25.66	-\$37.75	\$26.85	\$29.06	
5,000 Gallons	\$38.14	\$56.11	\$39.91	\$41.54	
10,000 Gallons	\$69.54	\$102.01	\$72.56	\$72.74	

7. Further, Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers agree that there is no requirement for a refund of interim rates.

8. Mobile Manor agrees not to file for any new rate case before March 1, 2012, except for price indexes and pass-throughs pursuant to Section 367.081(4), Florida Statutes, for the recovery of government-mandated improvements, and those agreed upon between Mobile Manor and its customers in the future.

9. The submission of this Settlement Agreement by Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers is in the nature of an offer to settle. Consequently, if this Settlement Agreement is not accepted and approved without modification by Commission Order, then this Settlement Agreement is rejected and shall be considered null and void and no one may use the attempted agreement in this or any other proceeding.

10. Mobile Manor, the protesting customers, and the non-protesting customers expressly agree that all activity relating to this docket should be suspended until the Commission disposes of the request for approval of Settlement Agreement.

11. This Settlement Agreement will become effective on the date the Commission issues a final order approving the agreement in total. Upon the Commission issuing a final order approving this Settlement Agreement, the protesting customers' Petition on Proposed Agency Action shall be deemed resolved in accordance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

12. The customers and Mobile Manor have evidenced their acceptance and agreement with the provisions of this Settlement Agreement by their signatures, and personally represent that they have authority to execute this Settlement Agreement on their own behalf or on behalf of Mobile Manor.

**MOBILE MANOR WATER COMPANY, INC.**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Tom Hawkins, Board President  
150 Lantern Lane  
North Fort Myers, FL 33917

Line No.	Signature	Address
172	Ralph E. Braden	211 LAMPLIGHTER LN.
173	Janice Millhause	140 Lamplighter Lane
174	Jean C. MacKenzie	164 Lamplighter Ln.
175	Janice Braden	211 Lamplighter
176	Jean MacKenzie	208 Lamplighter
177	Jean MacKenzie	202 Lamplighter
178	William O. Lakely	227 Lamplighter Lane
179	Kathleen Lakely	227 Lamplighter Lane
180	Winifred Boice	226 Lamplighter Lane
181	Robert S. Hall	160 Lamplighter Lane
182	Kathy Hall	160 Lamplighter Ln.
183	W. Wornack	217 Lamplighter
184	C. Wornack	217 Lamplighter Lane
185	Dale S. Evans	232 Lamplighter Lane
186	Eurdella Evans	232 Lamplighter Lane
187	Maximo Cliff Bauer	133 lamplighter
188	Mary A. Clifford	154 Flame Lane
189	Jerry Hollie	254 FIRE FALL LN
190		
191	William A. Conner	253 Firefall
192	Albert Joseph	228 Firefall Lane
193	Charles R. Hancock	225 Firefall Lane
194		
195		

Line No.	Signature	Address
148	Mary E. Kroy	111 Amber Ave, N. Ft. Myers,
149	Carl A. Norman	233 Fireball Ln N. Ft. Myers FL
150	[Signature]	237 FIREBALL LANE NFM
151	[Signature]	241 FIREBALL LN
152	Richard Euid	125 <del>Light</del> Lamp Lighter
153	Dan A. Vance	350 Lantern Ln NFM FL
154	Deana Cant	125 Lamp Lighter Ln
155	Jean Kendall	189 Fireball Ln
156	Margaret Jean Wolfinger	227 Lynden Lane
157	Wayne J. Wolfinger	" " "
158	Marilyn B. DeLau	119 Gaslight Av.
159	Joe W. Hoodlich	118 gaslight ave.
160	Kitty Baxter	102 Torch Ln
161	Kitty Baxter	121 Lantern Ln
162	Cindy Lewis	305 Lantern Ln
163	Ed Kurowski	188 fireball Ln
164	Jeanne Kurowski	188 fireball Ln
165	Michael Grandeney	2109 Twin Brooks Rd.
166	Mary A. Grandeney	2109 Twin Brooks Rd.
167		
168		
169		
170		
171		

<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Signature</u>	<u>Address</u>
48	<i>Marlene Oline</i>	<i>176 Fairball Lane</i>
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		
61		
62		
63		
64		
65		
66		
67		
68		
69		
70		
71		

Line No.	Signature	Address
124	Lili A. Burnett	106 Amber Lane
125	Maan Hye Lee	106 Amber Lane
126	Paula H. Anderson	112 Torch Lane
127	Robyn D. Gunning	103 Lantern Place
128	Jean V. Longfield	130 FLAME LANE
129	Paula Fleckinger	130 FLAME LANE
130	Donald M. Anderson	103 Lantern Pl.
131	Katie Cherry	177 Lantern Lane
132	Cheryl Black	129 Candle Cove
133	Katie Cherry	177 Lantern Lane
134	Donald E. Anderson	136 Gaslight Ave.
135	Marta J. Anderson	136 Gaslight Ave.
136	Michael Vlantis	111 Lantern Pl.
137	Christine Vlantis	111 Lantern Pl.
138	Don Longfield	201 Fireball Ln
139	Jean Longfield	201 Fireball Ln.
140	Joseph T. Tolsch	213 Fireball Ln
141	Jackie Bredemus	281 Fireball Ln
142	Marty Shapiro	249 Lantern Ln.
143	Linda Karppinen	116 Lantern Pl
144	U. Karppinen	116 Lantern Ln.
145	Robert O. Kede	128 Torch Ln.
146	Robert O. Kede	128 TORCH LN.
147	Marta Shapiro	138 Lantern Ln

Concurring with the Settlement Agreement

Line No.	Signature	Address
1	Richard O. Sopher	129 Coochlight LN.
2	<del>Cherlene Kandel</del>	102 Lantern Pl
3	<del>Paul Randall</del>	101 Lantern Pl
4	Cherlene Kandel	101 Lantern Pl
5	Paul Randall	102 Lantern Pl
6	Nileen Dilligan	206 Firchall Lane
7	Richard Dilligan	206 Firchall Lane
8	MJ O'Hara	120 LANTERN PLACE
9	Kathy Sims	120 LANTERN PLACE
10	Janet Edmunds	133 LANTERN LANE
11	Janet Edmunds	134 LANTERN LANE
12	Janet Edmunds	215 LANTERN LANE
13	Debbie Buckfield	120 Lantern Place
14	R. Edmunds	134 LANTERN LANE
15	R. Edmunds	133 LANTERN LANE
16	R. Edmunds	215 LANTERN LANE
17	Jim Buckfield	120 Lantern Place
18	Grace Hare (man)	105 Amber Ave.
19	Charles Harkner	105 Amber Ave
20	Mary C. Babcock	168 Firchall Lane
21	Laurie Bauer	169 Firchall Lane
22	Norma Jenson	134 Lantern Place
23	Joe Arnold	242 Tieball

Concurring with the Settlement Agreement

Line No.	Signature	Address
1	Norman Prater SR	365 Lantern Lane
2	Mary Prater	11 71
3	Carl Seiver	141 Lamplighter
4	Carl Seiver	148 Lamplighter
5	Carl Seiver	125 Conolly Ct
6	Joni Johnson	102 Flame Ln
7	Rose Porter	177 Fireball Ln.
8	<del>Mary C. Babcock</del>	<del>108 Fireball Lane</del>
9	Jean Seiver	282 Fireball Lane
10	Betty Durham	123 amber ave
11	Betty Henderson	123 Lantern Place
12	Harriet T. Dwyer	123 <del>Flame Lane</del>
13	Arnold E. Hedy	123 Flame
14	Charlene Wash	268 Flame Ln
15	Harold R. Hitt	210 Fireball Ln.
16	Vanita E. Copfane	108 Lantern Pl
17	Rhyltha Copfane	108 Lantern pl
18	Daniel Mackey	164 Lamplighter
19	Kenneth A. Ad	243 Lantern Ln.
20	Don Moore	121 LANTERN PLACE
21	Richard Griffith	101 Amber Ave.
22	David HARRIS	221 Fireball Ln
23	Detores Harris	" "

Line No.	Signature	Address
24	H. Torner	105 TORCH TER
25	Myma Skatt	218 Fireball Ln.
26	Bob F.A.	117 Torch Terrace
27	Carol Fountaine	" " "
28	David Skatt	218 Fireball Pl.
29	Robert B. Martin	110 Amber Ave.
30	Paul White	104 LANTERN PLACE
31	Jean Hutchinson	309 Lantern Lane
32	James & Lisa	268 Flames Lane
33	<del>Thomas R. Holder</del>	<del>168 Lantern Lane</del>
34	Joseph A. Holder	168 Lantern Lane
35	Thomas R. Holder	" " "
36	David W. Gilliland	112 Lantern Pl.
37	Samuel J. Miller	112 LANTERN PL.
38	Tom Hawkins	257 Fireball LN
39	Cindy Hawkins	257 Fireball LN
40	Cindy Hawkins	266 Fireball LN
41	Becky Sloan	266 Fireball LN
42	Harold Kinder	138 LANTERN LN
43	Lona Kinder	" " "
44	Dennis Lander	169 Lantern Ln
45	Dennis Lander	" " "
46	Richard L. Grant	109 Fireball Circle
47	Carolyn A. Stearns	107 Amber Ave.

Line No.	Signature	Address
24	James Cannon	242 Fireball
25	Brenda Hutto	210 Fireball
26	Kathy Hogan	116 TORCH LANE
27	M. Robert Kebeck	211 LANTERN LANE
28	Robert E. Clin	176 Fireball Lane
29	Bonnie Hilliard	220 Klame Lane
30	Robert Hilliard	220 Klame Lane.
31	William Watherson	104 Coachlight
32	Linda Watherson	104 Coachlight
33	Vito De Francesco	112 TORCH LN
34	Janet De Francesco	112 TORCH LN.
35	Sue Walters	361 LANTERN LN.
36	Ray Gordon	3 TORCH LANE
37	Etienne Jean	132 Lamplight Lane
38	Ray Gordon	132 Lamp Light
39	Helma Gordon	123 Torch Lane
40	Nancy Smed	244 Ramplighter Ln
41	Melba Smed	244 Lamplighter Ln.
42	Clara Johnson	120 Coachlight
43	Beil Johnson	120 Coachlight
44	Mary Thom	129 Torch Ln
45	Carl Thom	129 Torch Ln
46	James B. McCarty	173 Lantern Ln
47	Dean Wietzhausen	119 TORCH LN.

Line No.	Signature	Address
48	Walter S.	123 Gaslight Ave. N. Ft Myer.
49	Joseph H.	231 Lantern Lane
50	Thomas P.	209 Fireball Ln.
51	William Bonhoff	222 Fireball Ln
52	Jorie Bonhoff	222 Fireball Lane
53	Deanie Darby	209 Fireball Ln.
54	B. J. Guld	218 Lamplighter Ln
55	Paul E. Guld	" " "
56	Mary Ward	353 Lantern Lane
57	Kenneth Ward	353 Lantern Lane
58	Lena Barrett	357 Lantern Lane
59	Charles Dick	2119 Twinbrook Rd
60	Sharon Wick	2119 Twinbrook Rd.
61	Dalton Young	318 Lantern LN
62	Nancy Selim	272 Flame Ln.
63	Patricia J.	272 Flame Ln
64	Zeruya T.	239 Lantern Ln
65	Margaret Morrison	256 Flame
66	Donald Morrison	256 Flame
67	Margaret Morrison	137 Lantern Pl
68	Donald Morrison	137 Lantern Pl.
69	Ernest J.	145 Lamplighter Ln.
70	Shirley Schaeffer	153 Lantern Lane
71	Peggy S. Schaeffer	153 Lantern Lane

Line No.	Signature	Address
72	Normand Gagnaire	214 Fireball Lane N.E. Ft. M.
73	Dorise Gagnaire	214 Fireball Lane N.E. Ft. M.
74	Suzanne Duchesne	216 Flame Ln.
75	John & Loretta	177 FIR Ball
76	Maryjane Wadsworth	280 Flame Ln.
77	William Bentley	114 Flame Ln.
78	Paul Bentley	114 Flame Lane
79	Dennis Richardson	119 Lantern Pl.
80	Roger Gaskill	124 Torch Ln.
81	Sue Gaskill	124 Torch Ln.
82	Lama Harris	100 Coachlight Ln.
83	Souza Richardson	119 Lantern Pl.
84	Albert & Carpenter	108 Lamp Lighter
85	Joseph E. Finkle	122 Flame Lane
86	Lee Finkle	122 Flame Lane
87	Donald & Terry	123 Flame Lane
88	Margaret	
89	Fred Mattison	129 Lantern Pl.
90	Theresa Mattison	129 Lantern Pl.
91	Wilma Martin	110 Amber Ave
92	Helen White	104 Lantern Pl.
93	Lwendolyn Stewart	141 Lamp Lighter Ln.
94	Lwendolyn Stewart	148 Lamp Lighter Ln.
95	Lwendolyn Stewart	125 Candle Cp.

Line No.	Signature	Address
100	<del>Robert D. Hardy</del>	<del>259 Fireball Lane</del>
101	Honna M. Hardy	229 Fireball Lane
102	Danell Grogan	314 Lantern Lane
103	Betty Thomas	301 Lantern Lane
104	Marilyn Carter	129 Sunplighter
105	Carl K. Kellard	129 Sunplighter Ln.
106	Bradley T. K.	325 WATER LANE
107	Mujala T. K.	325 WATER LANE
108	Judy Berg	322 Lantern Lane
109	Patricia Berg	322 Lantern Lane
110	J. S. L. L.	123 LANTERN PLACE
111	Judy Owen	165 Lantern Lane
112	Arnold Lyngmore	129 Coachlight
113	Shaula C. Smith	258 Fireball Lane
114	Catherine Louise Smith	258 Fireball Lane
115	Lorain M. Hardy	270 Fireball Ln
116	Lorain Howe	284 Flame Ln
117	James Howe	284 Flame Ln
118	D. Le Roy Thicket	105 Lantern Place
119	Judy Stappich	101 Amber Ave.
120	Oliver Thompson	101 Fireball Ln.
121	Roger Sparks	252 Flame Lane
122	Arthur Kwik	326 Lantern Lane
123	Mr Richard Kwik	326 LANTERN LN.

	Mobile Manor	Venture Associates	Holiday Utility Company*	County-Wide Utility*	W.P. Utilities, Inc.*	Anglers Cove West*	Innerarity Island Development Corporation	Buccaneer Water Service
(601) SALARIES AND WAGES - EMPLOYEES	\$10,374	\$119,127	\$12,287	\$15,059	\$0	\$10,908	\$0	\$25,646
(603) SALARIES AND WAGES - OFFICERS	\$0	\$23,665	\$0	\$21,189	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(604) EMPLOYEE PENSION & BENEFITS	\$0	\$38,690	\$0	\$6,751	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(610) PURCHASED WATER	\$20,496	\$390,710	\$80,102	\$38,769	\$34,968	\$47,748	\$12,130	\$94,813
(615) PURCHASED POWER	\$0	\$0	\$1,490	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(616) FUEL FOR POWER PRODUCTION	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(618) CHEMICALS	\$32	\$0	\$327	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(620) MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	\$106	\$3,395	\$583	\$1,504	\$41	\$554	\$0	\$0
(630) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - BILLING	\$3,360	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,440	\$0	\$8,110	\$23,962
(631) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - PROFESSIONAL	\$2,060	\$15,759	\$49,803	\$4,742	\$5,074	\$683	\$1,800	\$22,322
(635) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - TESTING	\$3,953	\$5,295	\$2,286	\$5,151	\$307	\$0	\$620	\$0
(636) CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - OTHER	\$12,865	\$999	\$13,682	\$36,354	\$10,070	\$14,505	\$4,513	\$6,038
(640) RENTS	\$8,640	\$24,564	\$0	\$6,665	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(650) TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE	\$0	\$7,766	\$0	\$588	\$0	\$75	\$0	\$0
(655) INSURANCE EXPENSE	\$0	\$8,157	\$2,242	\$693	\$0	\$3,893	\$0	\$0
(665) REGULATORY COMMISSION EXPENSE	\$382	\$0	\$2,241	\$2,497	\$400	\$1,324	\$0	\$660
(670) BAD DEBT EXPENSE	\$0	\$0	\$867	\$629	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(675) MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	\$698	\$4,618	\$2,853	\$4,666	\$208	\$5,805	\$7,942	\$0
Total O & M Expenses	\$62,965	\$642,745	\$168,763	\$145,257	\$54,508	\$85,495	\$35,115	\$173,441
TOTAL O&M FOR ACCTS (601,603,630,631&636)	\$28,659	\$159,550	\$75,772	\$77,344	\$18,584	\$26,096	\$14,423	\$77,968
NUMBER OF ERCs	315	1059	386	512	189	340	200	974
	\$90.98	\$151	\$196	\$151	\$98	\$77	\$72	\$80
Average	\$118							