## **Diamond Williams**

$\infty$	2	B	<u>_</u>	TF	Э

From:	Kelly, Tamela D [Tamela.Kelly@CenturyLink.com]
Sent:	Tuesday, July 06, 2010 3:56 PM
То:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc:	Masterton, Susan S
Subject:	000121B-TP, CenturyLink's RCA Rpt - May 2010
Attachments:	000121B-TP, Embarq's RCA Rpt - May 2010.pdf

## Filed on Behalf of:

Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel Embarq Florida, Inc . d/b/a CenturyLink 315 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Telephone: 850/599-1560 Fax: 850/224-0794 Email: susan.masterton@centurylink.com

Docket No. 000121B-TP

Title of filing: CenturyLink's RCA Rpt. - May 2010

Filed on behalf of: Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink

Number Pages: 6 pages

Description: CenturyLink's Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Rpt - May 2010

Tamela Kelly Regulatory/Government Affairs Specialist CenturyLink Voice: 850.599.1029 | Fax: 850.224.0794 | Email: <u>tamela.kelly@centurylink.com</u>

> DOCUMENT WITHPERFORME 0 5 5 0 3 JULIES 2 FPSC-COMPACIANCE

Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel



FLTLHZ0501-507 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel: 850.599.1560

July 6, 2010

Ms. Ann Cole Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink is CenturyLink's May 2010 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report. This report is being provided as required by Order Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of disaggregation shall require a RCA by CenturyLink, which shall then be published on a monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of January 2010 through March 2010 as published in the February, March and April reports.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Shors nothing

Susan S. Masterton

Enclosures

cc: David Rich Jerry Hallenstein Lisa Harvey

STATE STATE STATE

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to all known parties of record this 6th day of July, 2010.

Adam Teitzman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 ateitzman@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. David A. Konuch 246 E. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 <u>dkonuch@fcta.com</u>

Pennington Law Firm Peter Dunbar P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32301 pete@penningtonlawfirm.com Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Ms. Carolyn Ridley Time Warner Telecom 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069-4002 carolyn.ridley@twtelecom.com

#### AT&T Florida/TCG South Florida, Inc.

E. Edenfield/T. Hatch c/o Mr. Gregory Follensbee \*\* 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1561 greg.follensbee@att.com

Covad Communications Company Ms. Lael Atkinson 7000 North MoPac Expressway, Floor 2 Austin, TX 78731-3045

latkinson@covad.com

Sus s. note

Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel

\*\* Requested RCA report not be sent via email. ATT will access from FPSC website if needed.



# May 2010 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects March 2010 data, published April 2010)

## **Florida Public Service Commission**

Background

If there is non-compliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Embarq shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. Embarq's root cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.01: All Electronic – Residential POTS						
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan	
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.	

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.02: All Electronic - Business POTS						
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan	
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	4Q2009				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.	

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.11: All Electronic - UNE Loops Non-designed						
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan	
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.	

DODANA SEP-DATE

05503 JUL-62



Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.16: All Electronic - LNP	han kala ili ana sa da kanana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana				
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	4Q2009				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.03.01: Electronic/Manual Mix – Residential POTS						
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan	
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.	

Measure 2	: Average	FOC Notice	Interval	

	Ŷ		
~ . ~ ~	ب معتقد منتخذ محت	nic - UNE Loops Non	
Submaaaamea II'	1977 TT+ All Blosten	nia INII CARP NAR	daeimnad
ORDINCASULC 02		RIC - CIVE FORBS IVOID	-0.03151108

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010			• •	Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 3: Average Reject Notice Interval Submeasure 03.03.02.01: Electronic/Manual Mix - Content Errors (other edits) - Resale Orders							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan		
On an aggregate level the center/system did not provide within time limitations a rejected notice. The aggregate result was 7.17 hours compared to a benchmark of 6 hours.					Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders with less rejects now that EASE has been implemented.		



Measure 7: Average Completed Interval Submeasure 07.02.02: Business POTS - No Field Work						
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan	
The increase in porting orders and the way in which they are closed out (CLEC has 10 days after DD) is causing non-compliance.	2Q2008				This issue is being investigated to see if it is a CLEC training issue or a system/analyst problem which can be corrected with training. Once this is determined proper course of action will be taken. Embarq will continue to monitor this measure to ensure parity is maintained.	

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval Submeasure 07.11.01: UNE Loops Non-designed - Field Work					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Of the 8 orders that were non-compliant, all 8 were delayed in service provisioning because facilities were not properly identified before order was sent to the field,	2Q2008		2%		Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion issues to allow for timelier processing of orders. Dispatching centers continue efforts to balance workload with resources to ensure orders are completed in a timely manner. In addition, assignment is working to improve accuracy of initial assignments.

Measure 11:	Percent of Due Dates Missed
Submeasure	11.02.01: Business POTS - Field Work

Sudmeasure 11.v2.v1: Business PO15 - Fleiu work		<u> </u>			
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	
Of the 17 orders that were non-compliant, 11 or 65%	1Q2010		11%	Ongoing	Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion
were missed because of system issues attempting to					issues to allow for timelier processing of orders. Dispatching
close orders, 4 or 24% were due to failed pair selection					centers continue efforts to balance workload with resources to
while processing DCOPs (cd errors). 1 or 6% was					ensure orders are completed in a timely manner. In addition,
missed because or an ordering issue, 1 or 6% was					assignment is working to improve accuracy of initial assignments.
missed because of a processing error.					

#### Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed Submeasure 11.11.01: UNE Loops Non-Designed Field Work

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Of the 20 orders that were non-complaint all 20 were missed because of provisioning issues having to do with missing information on the service order after it was sent to Network to be completed.	1Q2008		3%		Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion issues to allow for timelier processing of orders. Dispatching centers continue efforts to balance workload with resources to ensure orders are completed in a timely manner. In addition, assignment is working to improve accuracy of initial assignments.



Date	Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Pian
1Q2009			Ongoing	All issues repaired or corrected
	1Q2009	1Q2009	1Q2009	1Q2009 Ongoing